IMPORTANT

You must read the following before continuing. The following applies to the Information Memorandum following this page, and you are therefore required to read this carefully before reading, accessing or making any other use of the Information Memorandum. In accessing the Information Memorandum, you agree to be bound by the following terms and conditions, including any modifications to them any time you receive any information from us as a result of such access.

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION MEMORANDUM MAY NOT BE FORWARDED OR DISTRIBUTED OTHER THAN AS PROVIDED BELOW AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED IN ANY MANNER WHATSOEVER. THIS INFORMATION MEMORANDUM MAY ONLY BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES AND WITHIN THE UNITED STATES TO "QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONAL BUYERS" ("QIBs") AS DEFINED IN AND PURSUANT TO RULE 144A OF THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE "SECURITIES ACT") ("RULE 144A"). ANY FORWARDING, DISTRIBUTION OR REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IN WHOLE OR IN PART IS UNAUTHORISED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS DIRECTIVE MAY RESULT IN A VIOLATION OF THE SECURITIES ACT OR THE APPLICABLE LAWS OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS. IF YOU HAVE GAINED ACCESS TO THIS TRANSMISSION CONTRARY TO ANY OF THE FOREGOING RESTRICTIONS, YOU ARE NOT AUTHORISED AND WILL NOT BE ABLE TO PURCHASE ANY OF THE NOTES DESCRIBED IN THE ATTACHED DOCUMENT.

NOTHING IN THIS ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION CONSTITUTES AN OFFER TO SELL OR THE SOLICITATION OF AN OFFER TO BUY ANY SECURITIES IN ANY JURISDICTION. THE SECURITIES HAVE NOT BEEN AND WILL NOT BE REGISTERED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OR WITH ANY SECURITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF ANY STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND MAY NOT BE OFFERED OR SOLD WITHIN THE UNITED STATES EXCEPT TO QIBS PURSUANT TO RULE 144A.

Confirmation of your Representation: In order to be eligible to view this Information Memorandum or make an investment decision with respect to any securities, you must be a person who is outside the United States unless you are a QIB in the United States. By accepting the email and accessing this Information Memorandum, you shall be deemed to have represented to the Arrangers and Dealers named herein that you and any customers you represent, unless you are QIBs, are not in the United States; the electronic mail address that you have given to us and to which this e-mail has been delivered is not located in the United States, its territories and possessions (including Puerto Rico, the US Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, Wake Island and the Northern Mariana Islands), any State of the United States or the District of Columbia unless you are a QIB in the United States; and that you consent to delivery of such Information Memorandum by electronic transmission.

You are reminded that this Information Memorandum has been delivered to you on the basis that you are a person into whose possession this Information Memorandum may be lawfully delivered in accordance with the laws of the jurisdiction in which you are located and you may not, nor are you authorised to, deliver this Information Memorandum to any other person.

Any materials relating to the offering do not constitute, and may not be used in connection with, an offer or solicitation in any place where offers or solicitations are not permitted by law. If a jurisdiction requires that the potential offering be made by a licensed broker or dealer and any underwriter or any affiliate of any underwriter is a licensed broker or dealer in that jurisdiction, any offering shall be deemed to be made by the underwriter or such affiliate on behalf of the Issuer in such jurisdiction.

This document is being distributed only to and directed only at (i) persons who are outside the United Kingdom, (ii) persons who have professional experience in matters relating to investments falling within Article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005, or (iii) those persons to whom it may otherwise lawfully be distributed (all such persons together being referred to as "**relevant persons**"). This document is directed only at relevant persons and must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not relevant persons. Any investment or investment activity to which this document relates is available only to relevant persons and will be engaged in only with relevant persons.

This Information Memorandum has been sent to you in an electronic form. You are reminded that documents transmitted via this medium may be altered or changed during the process of electronic transmission and consequently none of the Arrangers or Dealers named herein, any person who controls any such persons, or any of their respective directors, officers, employees, agents or affiliates accepts any liability or responsibility whatsoever in respect of any difference between the Information Memorandum distributed to you in electronic format and the hard copy version.

INFORMATION MEMORANDUM



ACTING THROUGH THE MINISTRY OF PUBLIC FINANCE EUR 18,000,000,000 Global Medium Term Note Programme

Under this EUR 18,000,000,000 global medium term note programme (the "**Programme**") described in this information memorandum (the "**Information Memorandum**"), Romania acting through the Ministry of Public Finance ("**Romania**" or the "**Issuer**"), subject to compliance with all relevant laws, regulations and directives, may from time to time issue notes ("**Notes**") on the terms set out herein, as supplemented by a Final Terms or Drawdown Information Memorandum (each as defined herein). The aggregate principal amount of Notes outstanding will not at the time of issuance exceed EUR 18,000,000,000 (or the equivalent in other currencies).

This Information Memorandum does not comprise a prospectus for the purpose of the Prospectus Directive (as defined herein). Accordingly, this document has not been and will not be submitted for approval to any competent authority within the meaning of the Prospectus Directive and in particular the *Luxembourg Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier* (the "CSSF"), in its capacity as competent authority for the purposes of the Prospectus Directive.

Applications may be made for Notes to be admitted to listing on the official list and to trading on the regulated market of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. The Programme also permits Notes to be issued on the basis that they will not be admitted to listing, trading and/or quotation by any competent authority, stock exchange and/or quotation system or to be admitted to listing, trading and/or quotation by such other or further competent authorities, stock exchanges and/or quotation systems as may be agreed with the Issuer. The relevant Final Terms in respect of any issue of any Notes will specify whether or not such Notes will be admitted to listing and/or trading on any other market and/or stock exchange.

Investing in Notes issued under the Programme involves certain risks. The principal risk factors that may affect the abilities of the Issuer to fulfill its obligations under the Notes are discussed under "Risk Factors" below.

The Notes have not been, and will not be, registered under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "**Securities Act**") or with any securities regulatory authority of any state or other jurisdiction of the United States, and Notes in bearer form are subject to U.S. tax law requirements and may not be offered, sold or delivered within the United States or its possessions or to a United States person except in certain transactions permitted by U.S. tax regulations. The Notes may not be offered, sold or (in the case of Notes in bearer form) delivered within the United States or to, or for the account or benefit of, U.S. persons (as defined in Regulation S under the Securities Act ("**Regulation S**")) except in certain transactions exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The Notes may be offered and sold (A) in bearer form or registered form outside the United States in reliance on Regulation S and (B) in registered form within the United States to persons who are "qualified institutional buyers" ("**QIBs**") in reliance on Rule 144A under the Securities Act ("**Rule 144A**"). Prospective purchasers who are QIBs are hereby notified that sellers of the Notes may be relying on the exemption from the provisions of Section 5 of the Securities Act provided by Rule 144A. For a description of these and certain further restrictions on offers, sales and transfers of Notes and distribution of this Information Memorandum, see "*Subscription and Sale*" and "*Transfer Restrictions*".

ERSTE GROUP BANK AG	Arrangers	SOCIETE GENERALE CORPORATE & INVESTMENT BANKING
BANCA IMI	Dealers BARCLAYS	BNP PARIBAS
BOFA MERRILL LYNCH	CITIGROUP	COMMERZBANK
DAIWA CAPITAL MARKETS EUROPE	DEUTSCHE BANK	ERSTE GROUP BANK AG
GOLDMAN SACHS INTERNATIONAL	HSBC	ING
J.P. MORGAN	NATIXIS	NOMURA
RAIFFEISEN BANK INTERNATIONAL AG	SOCIETE GENERALE CORPORATE & INVESTMENT BANKING	UNICREDIT BANK

21 MAY 2015

	Page
OVERVIEW	11
RISK FACTORS	16
INFORMATION INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE	34
FINAL TERMS AND DRAWDOWN INFORMATION MEMORANDUM	35
FORMS OF THE NOTES	36
TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES	42
FORM OF FINAL TERMS	74
SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO THE NOTES WHILE IN GLOBAL FORM	
DESCRIPTION OF ROMANIA	91
MONETARY AND FINANCIAL SYSTEM	149
USE OF PROCEEDS	216
TAXATION	217
SUBSCRIPTION AND SALE	230
TRANSFER RESTRICTIONS	233
CLEARING AND SETTLEMENT	236
GENERAL INFORMATION	240

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IMPORTANT NOTICES

This Information Memorandum contains information provided by the Issuer in connection with the Programme and the Notes to be issued under the Programme. The Issuer accepts sole responsibility for the information contained in this Information Memorandum and declares that, having taken all reasonable care to ensure that such is the case, the information contained in this Information Memorandum is, to the best of its knowledge, in accordance with the facts and contains no omission likely to affect its import.

This Information Memorandum does not constitute a prospectus pursuant to Part II of the Luxembourg law on prospectuses for securities (*loi relative aux prospectus pour valeurs mobilières*) dated 10 July 2005 (the **"Luxembourg Prospectus Law"**) nor a simplified prospectus pursuant to Chapter 2 of Part III of the Luxembourg Prospectus Law. Accordingly, this Information Memorandum does not purport to meet the format and the disclosure requirements of the Prospectus Directive and Commission Regulation (EC) No 809/2004 (as amended) implementing the Prospectus Directive and it has not been and will not be, submitted for approval to any competent authority within the meaning of the Prospectus Directive and in particular the CSSF, in its capacity as competent authority under the Luxembourg Prospectus Law.

Each Tranche (as defined herein) of Notes will be issued on the terms set out herein under "*Terms and Conditions of the Notes*" (the "**Conditions**") as amended and/or supplemented by a document specific to such Tranche called final terms (the "**Final Terms**") or in a separate information memorandum specific to such Tranche (the "**Drawdown Information Memorandum**") as described under "*Final Terms and Drawdown Information Memorandum*". In the case of a Tranche of Notes which is the subject of a Drawdown Information Memorandum, each reference in this Information Memorandum to information being specified or identified in the relevant Final Terms shall be read and construed as a reference to such `information being specified or identified in the relevant Drawdown Information Memorandum unless the context requires otherwise. This Information Memorandum must be read and construed together with any amendments or supplements hereto and with any information incorporated by reference herein and, in relation to any Tranche of Notes which is the subject of Final Terms must be read and construed together with the relevant Final Terms.

The Issuer has confirmed to the Dealers named under "Subscription and Sale" that this Information Memorandum contains all information which is (in the context of the Programme, the issue, offering and sale of the Notes) material; that such information is true and accurate in all material respects and is not misleading in any material respect; that any opinions, predictions or intentions expressed herein are honestly held or made and are not misleading in any material respect; that this Information, opinions, predictions or intentions or intentions or intentions (in the context of the Programme, the issue, offering and sale of the Notes) not misleading in any material respect; and that all proper enquiries have been made to verify the foregoing.

No person has been authorised to give any information or to make any representation not contained in or not consistent with this Information Memorandum or any other document entered into in relation to the Programme or any information supplied by the Issuer or such other information as is in the public domain and, if given or made, such information or representation should not be relied upon as having been authorised by the Issuer or any Dealer.

Neither the Dealers nor any of their respective affiliates have authorised the whole or any part of this Information Memorandum and none of them makes any representation or warranty or accepts any responsibility as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this Information Memorandum. Neither the delivery of this Information Memorandum or any Final Terms nor the offering, sale or delivery of any Note shall, in any circumstances, create any implication that the information contained in this Information Memorandum is true subsequent to the date hereof or the date upon which this Information Memorandum has been most recently amended or supplemented or that there has been no adverse change, or any event reasonably likely to involve any adverse change, in the prospects or financial or trading position of the Issuer since the date thereof or, if later, the date upon which this Information Memorandum has been most recently amended or supplemented or that any other information supplied in connection with the Programme is correct at any time subsequent to the date on which it is supplied or, if different, the date indicated in the document containing the same.

The distribution of this Information Memorandum and any Final Terms and the offering, sale and delivery of the Notes in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law. Persons into whose possession this Information Memorandum or any Final Terms comes are required by the Issuer and the Dealers to inform themselves

about and to observe any such restrictions. For a description of certain restrictions on offers, sales and deliveries of Notes and on the distribution of this Information Memorandum or any Final Terms and other offering material relating to the Notes, see "Subscription and Sale" and "Transfer Restrictions".

In particular, the Notes have not been, and will not be, registered under the Securities Act or with any securities regulatory authority of any state or other jurisdiction of the United States, and Notes in bearer form are subject to U.S. tax law requirements and may not be offered, sold or delivered within the United States or its possessions or to a United States person except in certain transactions permitted by U.S. tax regulations. The Notes may not be offered, sold or (in the case of Notes in bearer form) delivered within the United States or to, or for the account or benefit of, U.S. persons (as defined in Regulation S) except from, or in a transaction not subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act.

The Notes may be offered and sold (A) in bearer form or registered form outside the United States to non-U.S. persons in reliance on Regulation S and (B) in registered form within the United States to QIBs in reliance on Rule 144A. Prospective purchasers are hereby notified that sellers of the Notes may be relying on the exemption from the provisions of Section 5 of the Securities Act provided by Rule 144A. For a description of these and certain further restrictions on offers, sales and transfers of Notes, see "Subscription and Sale" and "Transfer Restrictions".

NEITHER THE PROGRAMME NOR THE NOTES HAVE BEEN APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED BY THE U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (THE "SEC"), ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION IN THE UNITED STATES OR ANY OTHER U.S. REGULATORY AUTHORITY, NOR HAS ANY OF THE FOREGOING AUTHORITIES PASSED UPON OR ENDORSED THE MERITS OF ANY OFFERING OF NOTES OR THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THIS INFORMATION MEMORANDUM. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE IN THE UNITED STATES.

Neither this Information Memorandum nor any Final Terms constitutes an offer or an invitation to subscribe for or purchase any Notes and should not be considered as a recommendation by the Issuer, the Dealers or any of them that any recipient of this Information Memorandum or any Final Terms should subscribe for or purchase any Notes. Each recipient of this Information Memorandum or any Final Terms shall be taken to have made its own investigation and appraisal of the condition (financial or otherwise) of the Issuer. Each investor contemplating purchasing any Notes should make its own independent investigation of the financial condition and affairs, and its own appraisal of the creditworthiness of the Issuer, including consultation with its such tax, legal and financial advisors as it deems necessary.

The maximum aggregate principal amount of Notes outstanding at any one time under the Programme will not exceed EUR 18,000,000,000 (and for this purpose, any Notes denominated in another currency shall be translated into euro at the date of the agreement to issue such Notes (calculated in accordance with the provisions of the Dealer Agreement). The maximum aggregate principal amount of Notes which may be outstanding at any one time under the Programme may be increased from time to time, subject to compliance with the relevant provisions of the Dealer Agreement as defined under "*Subscription and Sale*".

In this Information Memorandum, unless otherwise specified, references to the "Government" are to the government of the Republic of Romania, references to the "EEA" are to the European Economic Area, references to a "Member State" are references to a Member State of the EEA, references to "\$", "U.S.\$", "U.S. dollars" or "dollars" are to United States dollars, references to "RON" and "Leu" are to Romanian New Leu, references to "EUR" or "euro" are to the single currency introduced at the start of the third stage of European Economic and Monetary Union pursuant to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, as amended, references to the "Prospectus Directive" mean Directive 2003/71/EC (and amendments thereto, including the 2010 PD Amending Directive, to the extent implemented in the relevant Member State), and includes any relevant implementing measure in the relevant Member State, references to the "2010 PD Amending Directive 2010/73/EU, references to "TWh" are to terawatt hours and references to "KWh" are to kilowatt hours.

As of the date of this Information Memorandum, the Programme has been rated "Baa3" by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("**Moody's**"), "BBB-" for unsecured Notes with a maturity of one year or more and "A-3" for unsecured Notes with a maturity of less than one year by Standard & Poor's Credit Market Services France SAS ("**S&P**") and "BBB-" by Fitch Ratings Limited ("**Fitch**"). S&P and Fitch are both established in the EEA and are registered under Regulation (EU) No 1060/2009, as amended (the "**CRA Regulation**"). Moody's is not established in the EEA and as of the date of this Information Memorandum is not certified under the CRA Regulation, nor is the rating it has given to the Programme endorsed by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation. A security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to suspension, reduction or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating agency.

Tranches of Notes issued under the Programme will be rated or unrated. Where a Tranche of Notes is rated, such rating will not necessarily be the same as the rating(s) described above, which are assigned to the Programme and not to the Notes issued under the Programme, or the rating(s) assigned to the Programme or to Notes already issued. There is no assurance that the Notes under the Programme will be assigned a rating, or that the rating assigned to a specific issue under the Programme will be the same as the rating assigned to the Programme. Where a Tranche of Notes is rated, the applicable rating(s) will be specified in the relevant Final Terms. Whether or not each credit rating applied for in relation to a relevant Tranche of Notes will be (1) issued by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation, or (2) issued by a credit rating agency which is not established in the EEA but will be endorsed by a credit ratings agency (a "CRA") which is established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation or (3) issued by a credit rating agency which is not established in the EEA but which is certified under the CRA Regulation will be disclosed in the Final Terms. In general, European regulated investors are restricted from using a rating for regulatory purposes if such rating is not issued by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation unless (1) the rating is provided by a credit rating agency not established in the EEA but is endorsed by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation or (2) the rating is provided by a credit rating agency not established in the EEA which is certified under the CRA Regulation.

In connection with the issue of any Tranche of Notes, the Dealer or Dealers (if any) named as the Stabilising Manager(s) (or persons acting on behalf of any Stabilising Manager(s)) in the applicable Final Terms may over allot Notes or effect transactions with a view to supporting the market price of the Notes at a level higher than that which might otherwise prevail. However, there is no assurance that the Stabilising Manager(s) (or persons acting on behalf of a Stabilising Manager) will undertake stabilisation action. Any stabilisation action may begin on or after the date on which adequate public disclosure of the terms of the offer of the relevant Tranche of Notes is made and, if begun, may be ended at any time, but it must end no later than the earlier of 30 days after the issue date of the relevant Tranche of Notes and 60 days after the date of the allotment of the relevant Tranche of Notes. Any stabilisation action or overallotment must be conducted by the relevant Stabilising Manager(s) (or person(s) acting on behalf of any Stabilising Manager(s)) in accordance with all applicable laws and rules.

NOTICE TO NEW HAMPSHIRE RESIDENTS

NEITHER THE FACT THAT A REGISTRATION STATEMENT NOR AN APPLICATION FOR A LICENCE HAS BEEN FILED UNDER CHAPTER 421-B OF THE NEW HAMPSHIRE REVISED STATUTES ANNOTATED, 1955 ("**RSA 421-B**") WITH THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE OR THE FACT THAT A SECURITY IS EFFECTIVELY REGISTERED OR A PERSON IS LICENSED IN THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE CONSTITUTES A FINDING BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE THAT ANY DOCUMENT FILED UNDER RSA 421-B IS TRUE, COMPLETE AND NOT MISLEADING. NEITHER ANY SUCH FACT NOR THE FACT THAT AN EXEMPTION OR EXCEPTION IS AVAILABLE FOR A SECURITY OR A TRANSACTION MEANS THAT THE SECRETARY OF STATE HAS PASSED IN ANY WAY UPON THE MERITS OR QUALIFICATIONS OF, OR RECOMMENDED OR GIVEN APPROVAL TO, ANY PERSON, SECURITY OR TRANSACTION. IT IS UNLAWFUL TO MAKE, OR CAUSE TO BE MADE, TO ANY PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER, CUSTOMER OR CLIENT ANY REPRESENTATION INCONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS PARAGRAPH.

SERVICE OF PROCESS AND ENFORCEMENT OF CIVIL LIABILITIES

Romania is a foreign sovereign nation, and a substantial portion of the assets of Romania are located outside the United States. As a result, it may not be possible for investors to effect service of process within the United States upon Romania, or to enforce in the United States, court judgments obtained in courts located in the United States, against Romania. In addition, it may be difficult for investors to enforce, in original actions brought in courts in jurisdictions located outside the United States, liabilities predicated upon US securities laws.

Furthermore, the United States and Romania currently do not have bilateral or other treaties between them providing for the reciprocal recognition and enforcement of judgments (other than arbitration awards) in civil and commercial matters. A final and conclusive judgment for the payment of money rendered by any federal or state court in the United States based on civil liability, whether or not predicated solely upon US federal securities laws, would not automatically be recognised or enforceable in Romania.

The procedure for the recognition and enforcement in Romania of a judgment rendered by a court in a jurisdiction outside Romania in commercial and civil matters depends on whether that jurisdiction is from (i) a state which is a member of the European Union ("EU") or a party to the 2007 Lugano Convention on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (the "Lugano Convention") or (ii) a non-EU Member State which is not a party to the Lugano Convention.

A judgment of a court of law of a non-EU Member State which is not a party to the Lugano Convention made in personam for a certain sum, which is not impeachable as void or voidable under the internal laws of the foreign jurisdiction (a "Non-European Judgment") would be recognised in Romania provided that: (a) the Non-European Judgment is final ("hotarare definitiva") according to the law of the state where it was made; (b) the court rendering such Non-European Judgment had, according to lex fori, jurisdiction to try the relevant litigation, but without relying exclusively on the presence in that jurisdiction of the defendant or of some of its assets which are not directly connected with that litigation; (c) there exists reciprocity regarding the effects of foreign judgments between Romania and the foreign jurisdiction which rendered the Non-European Judgment whose recognition is sought; (d) when given in default of appearance, the party who lost the trial was served in due course with the summoning for appearance for the hearing where the court tried the merits of the case and with the document which instituted the proceedings, was given the possibility to defend itself and was given the possibility to challenge the Non-European Judgment; (e) such Non-European Judgment was not obtained by fraud or in a manner manifestly inconsistent with or contrary to Romanian public order; (f) where the Non-European Judgment is rendered in an area of law where persons cannot dispose freely of their rights, the Non-European Judgment was not obtained exclusively for the purpose of withholding the matter from the incidence of the law that would otherwise be applicable pursuant to Romanian conflict of law rules; (g) no substantially similar action or proceeding involving the same parties resulted in a judgment (even if not final) of the Romanian courts or is pending before Romanian courts as at the date the action or proceeding commenced before the foreign jurisdiction which rendered the Non-European Judgment; (h) the Non-European Judgment is not irreconcilable with a prior foreign judgment which may be recognised in Romania; (i) Romanian courts did not have exclusive jurisdiction to try the subject matter of the NonEuropean Judgment pursuant to Romanian civil procedure laws; (j) the right of defence was not breached; (k) the Non-European Judgment may not be challenged in any other manner in the state where it was rendered; and (l) the application for recognition before Romanian courts is duly made according to the Romanian procedural rules and encloses all the documentation thereby required. Additionally, the recognition of the Non-European Judgment may not be refused solely for the reason that the foreign court rendering the Non-European Judgment applied another law than the law that would have been applicable according to Romanian conflict of law rules, except where the trial concerns the civil status and the capacity of a Romanian citizen and the solution adopted by the court differs from the solution that would have been reached according to the Romanian law.

A Non-European Judgment can be enforced in Romania based on a final decision of a Romanian competent court approving the enforcement, only if: (i) the requirements mentioned above for the recognition in Romania of Non-European Judgments are met; (ii) the Non-European Judgment is enforceable according to the law of the jurisdiction where it was made; (iii) where the Non-European Judgment establishes an obligation arising from a foreign fiscal law, there exists reciprocity regarding the effects of foreign judgments in the relevant fiscal matter between Romania and the foreign jurisdiction which rendered the Non-European Judgment whose recognition and enforcement is sought; (iv) the enforcement of such Non-European Judgment does not constitute, directly or indirectly, the enforcement of foreign penal laws; (v) the right to require enforcement has not expired/did not prescribe according to the statute of limitation period under Romanian law is of three years as of the moment the judgment is final and enforceable); and (vi) the application for enforcement before Romanian courts is duly made according to the Romanian procedural rules and encloses all the documentation thereby required.

A Non-European Judgment would be recognised and enforced in Romania in accordance with the foregoing paragraphs unless otherwise set forth by the international treaties to which Romania is a party.

A court judgment rendered in an EU Member State or a state which is a party to the Lugano Convention, other than Romania (a "European Judgment") would be recognised in Romania only if: (a) such recognition is not manifestly contrary to public order in Romania; (b) where it was given in default of appearance, if (i) the defendant was served with the document which instituted the proceedings or with an equivalent document in sufficient time and in such a way as to enable him to arrange for his defence and failing that, if (ii) the defendant failed to commence proceedings to challenge the judgment when it was possible for him to do so; (c) it is not irreconcilable with a judgment given in a dispute between the same parties in Romania; (d) it is not irreconcilable with an earlier judgment given in a EU Member State or a state which is a party to the Lugano Convention (other than Romania) or in a third state involving the same cause of action and between the same parties, provided that the earlier judgment fulfils the conditions necessary for its recognition in Romania; and (e) the European Judgment does not conflict with the provisions of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters or the Lugano Convention, dealing with jurisdiction in matters relating to insurance, jurisdiction over customer contracts and exclusive jurisdiction or the provisions of the Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters dealing with jurisdiction in matters relating to insurance, jurisdiction over customer contracts, individual contracts of employment and exclusive jurisdiction, as applicable.

A European Judgment can be enforced in Romania based on a final decision of a Romanian competent court approving the enforcement, only if: (i) it is enforceable in the state where the European Judgment was made; (ii) the Romanian competent court is provided with a copy of the European Judgment which satisfies the conditions necessary to establish its authenticity; (iii) the Romanian competent court is provided with an original certificate issued by the relevant state's court or other competent authority substantially in the form set out in Annex V of the Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters or the Lugano Convention or the form set out in Annex I of the Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, as applicable, and none of the conditions above preventing the recognition of a European Judgment is applicable; (iv) where the European Judgment orders a periodic payment by way of penalty, (including but not limited to, default interest), the amount of the payment has been finally determined by the court of the state of origin; and (v) the right to enforce the final judgment is not restricted by any limitation period. The

general limitation period under Romanian law is of three years as of the moment the judgment is final and enforceable.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the statements contained in this Information Memorandum, as well as written and oral statements that Romania and its representatives make from time to time in reports, filings, news releases, conferences, teleconferences, web postings or otherwise, are or may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Statements that are not historical facts, including, without limitation, statements about Romania's beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on current plans, objectives, assumptions, estimates and projections. When used in this Information Memorandum, the words "anticipates", "estimates", "expects", "believes", "intends", "plans", "aims", "seeks", "may", "will", "should" and any similar expressions generally identify forward-looking statements. Therefore, undue reliance should not be placed on them. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made and Romania undertakes no obligation to update publicly any of them in light of new information or future events. Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Romania cautions that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: (i) plans with respect to the implementation of economic policy, including privatisations, and the pace of economic and legal reforms; (ii) expectations about the behaviour of the economy if certain economic policies are implemented; (iii) the outlook for gross domestic product, inflation, exchange rates, interest rates, foreign investment, trade and fiscal accounts; and (iv) estimates of external debt repayment and debt service.

In addition to the factors described in this Information Memorandum, including those discussed under "*Risk Factors*", the following factors, among others, could cause future results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made herein:

- adverse external factors, such as global or regional economic slowdowns that may affect Romania, higher international interest rates, reduced demand for Romania's exports or increases in oil and gas prices, which could each adversely affect Romania's economy and in particular could negatively affect the current account, balance of payments and international reserves and cause or contribute to recession or low growth in Romania;
- adverse domestic factors, such as recession, declines in foreign direct investment ("FDI") and portfolio investment, high domestic inflation, high domestic interest rates, exchange rate volatility, strong variations in yearly agricultural output, a reduction in gas supplies, difficulties in borrowing in the domestic and foreign markets, trade and political disputes between Romania and its trading partners, political uncertainty or lack of political consensus, which could each lead to lower growth in Romania and lower international currency reserves;
- decisions of Romania's official creditors regarding the provision of new debt or rescheduling of the existing debt and decisions of international organisations, such as the International Monetary Fund (the "IMF") or the EU, regarding the terms of their financial assistance to Romania, and accordingly the net cash flow to or from Romania over the life of the Notes;
- decisions of international financial institutions such as the IMF, the , the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the "EBRD") and the European Investment Bank (the "EIB") regarding the funding of new or existing projects over the life of the Notes; and
- political and economic factors in Romania and abroad, which affect the timing and structure of economic reforms in Romania, the climate for FDI, the rate of absorption of the EU funds and the pace, scale and timing of privatisations in Romania.

INFORMATION SOURCES

The statistical information in this Information Memorandum has been derived from a number of different identified sources. All statistical information provided in this Information Memorandum may differ from that produced by other sources for a variety of reasons, including the use of different definitions, methodologies of calculation and cut-off times.

The source for most of the financial and demographic statistics for Romania included in this Information Memorandum is data prepared by, and is stated on the authority of, the National Institute of Statistics, a Romanian government agency. The National Institute of Statistics harmonises, to the extent possible, its programmes and methodologies with the statistics of the EU. Certain other financial and statistical information contained herein has been derived from official Romanian government bodies including the Ministry of Finance and from the National Bank of Romania, and is stated on the authority of such bodies.

OVERVIEW

This following is a brief overview only and must be read, in relation to any Series of Notes, in conjunction with the relevant Final Terms and, to the extent applicable, the Terms and Conditions of the Notes set out herein. The following overview does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by the remainder of this Information Memorandum. Words and expressions defined in "Terms and Conditions of the Notes" or elsewhere in this Information Memorandum have the same meanings in this summary.

Issuer:	Romania, acting through the Ministry of Public Finance.
Risk Factors:	Investing in Notes issued under the Programme involves certain risks. The principal risk factors that may affect the abilities of the Issuer to fulfill its obligations under the Notes are discussed under " <i>Risk Factors</i> " below.
Arrangers:	Erste Group Bank AG and Société Générale.
Dealers:	Banca IMI S.p.A., Barclays Bank PLC, BNP Paribas, Citigroup Global Markets Limited, Commerzbank Aktiengesellschaft, Daiwa Capital Markets Europe Limited, Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch, Erste Group Bank AG, Goldman Sachs International, HSBC Bank plc, ING Bank N.V., J.P. Morgan Securities plc, Merrill Lynch International, Natixis, Nomura International plc, Raiffeisen Bank International AG, Société Générale, UniCredit Bank AG and any other Dealer appointed from time to time by the Issuer generally in respect of the Programme or in relation to a particular Tranche of Notes.
Fiscal Agent:	Société Générale Bank & Trust.
Registrar, Paying Agent and Transfer Agent:	Citibank, N.A., London Branch.
Luxembourg Listing Agent:	Société Générale Bank & Trust.
Final Terms or Drawdown Information Memorandum:	Notes issued under the Programme may be issued either (1) pursuant to this Information Memorandum and associated Final Terms or (2) pursuant to a Drawdown Information Memorandum. The terms and conditions applicable to any particular Tranche of Notes will be the Terms and Conditions of the Notes as supplemented, amended and/or replaced to the extent described in the relevant Final Terms or, as the case may be the relevant Drawdown Information Memorandum.
Listing and Trading:	Applications may be made for Notes to be admitted from the date hereof to listing on the official list and to trading on the regulated market of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. The Programme also permits Notes to be issued on the basis that they will not be admitted to listing, trading and/or quotation by any competent authority, stock exchange and/or quotation system or to be admitted to listing, trading and/or quotation by such other or further competent authorities, stock exchanges and/or quotation systems as may be agreed with the Issuer.
Clearing Systems:	DTC, Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or, in relation to any Tranche of Notes, any other clearing system as may be specified in the relevant Final Terms.
Initial Programme Amount:	Up to EUR 18,000,000,000 (or its equivalent in other currencies) aggregate principal amount of Notes outstanding at any one time.
Issuance in Series:	Notes will be issued in Series. Each Series may comprise one or more Tranches issued on different issue dates. The Notes of each Series will all be subject to identical terms, except that the

issue date and the amount of the first payment of interest may be different in respect of different Tranches. The Notes of each Tranche will all be subject to identical terms in all respects save that a Tranche may comprise Notes of different denominations.

Notes may be issued in bearer form or in registered form. Bearer Notes will not be exchangeable for Registered Notes and Registered Notes will not be exchangeable for Bearer Notes. No single Series or Tranche may comprise both Bearer Notes and Registered Notes.

Bearer Notes

Each Tranche of Bearer Notes will initially be in the form of either a Temporary Global Note or a Permanent Global Note, in each case as specified in the relevant Final Terms. Each Global Note which is not intended to be issued in new global note form (a "Classic Global Note" or "CGN"), as specified in the relevant Final Terms, will be deposited on or around the relevant issue date with a depositary or a common depositary for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system and each Global Note which is intended to be issued in new global note form (a "New Global Note" or "NGN"), as specified in the relevant Final Terms, will be deposited on or around the relevant issue date with a common safekeeper for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg. Each Temporary Global Note will be exchangeable for a Permanent Global Note or, if so specified in the relevant Final Terms, for Definitive Notes. If the TEFRA D Rules are specified in the relevant Final Terms as applicable, certification as to non-U.S. beneficial ownership will be a condition precedent to any exchange of an interest in a Temporary Global Note or receipt of any payment of interest in respect of a Temporary Global Note. Each Permanent Global Note will be exchangeable for Definitive Notes in accordance with its terms. Definitive Notes will, if interest-bearing, have Coupons attached and, if appropriate, a Talon for further Coupons.

Registered Notes

Each Tranche of Registered Notes will be represented by either:

- (i) Individual Note Certificates; or
- (ii) one or more Unrestricted Global Note Certificates in the case of Registered Notes sold outside the United States in reliance on Regulation S and/or one or more Restricted Global Note Certificates in the case of Registered Notes sold to QIBs in reliance on Rule 144A,

in each case as specified in the relevant Final Terms.

Each Note represented by an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will either be: (a) in the case of an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate to be held under the new safekeeping structure ("New Safekeeping Structure" or "NSS"), registered in the name of a common safekeeper (or its nominee) for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system and the relevant Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will be deposited on or about the issue date with the common safekeeper for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg or (b) in the case of an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate which is not to be held under the New Safekeeping

Forms of Notes:

Structure, registered in the name of a common depositary (or its nominee) for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg, or registered in the name of Cede & Co. as nominee for DTC if such Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will be held for the benefit of Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg through DTC and/or any other relevant clearing system and the relevant Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will be deposited on or about the issue date with the common depositary or such other nominee or custodian.

Each Note represented by a Restricted Global Note Certificate will be registered in the name of Cede & Co. (or such other entity as is specified in the applicable Final Terms) as nominee for DTC and the relevant Restricted Global Note Certificate will be deposited on or about the issue date with the DTC Custodian. Beneficial interests in Notes represented by a Restricted Global Note Certificate may only be held through DTC at any time.

Notes may be denominated in any currency or currencies, subject to compliance with all applicable legal and/or regulatory and/or central bank requirements. Payments in respect of Notes may, subject to such compliance, be made in and/or linked to, any currency or currencies other than the currency in which such Notes are denominated.

The Notes and Coupons relating to them constitute direct, unconditional and unsecured obligations of the Issuer which rank and will at all times rank pari passu, without preference among themselves, with all other unsecured Public External Indebtedness (as defined in Condition 5 (Negative Pledge)) of the Issuer, from time to time outstanding, provided, however, that the Issuer shall have no obligation to effect equal or rateable payment(s) at any time with respect to any such other Public External Indebtedness and, in particular, the Issuer shall have no obligation to pay other Public External Indebtedness at the same time or as a condition of paying sums due on the Notes and/or Coupons and vice versa. See "Terms and Conditions of the Notes - Status" and "Risk Factors- Risks Related to Notes Generally - The Issuer is not required to effect *payment under the Notes equally or rateably with payment(s)* under its other debt obligations and, in particular, is not required to make payment under the Notes at the same time as or as a condition of paying sums due under its other debt obligations and vice versa".

Notes may be issued at any price and either on a fully or partly paid basis, as specified in the relevant Final Terms. The price and amount of Notes to be issued under the Programme will be determined by the Issuer and the relevant Dealer(s) at the time of issue in accordance with prevailing market conditions.

Any maturity subject, in relation to specific currencies, to compliance with all applicable legal and/or regulatory and/or central bank requirements.

Where Notes have a maturity of less than one year and either (a) the issue proceeds are received by the Issuer in the United Kingdom or (b) the activity of issuing the Notes is carried on from an establishment maintained by the Issuer in the United Kingdom, such Notes must: (i) have a minimum redemption value of £100,000 (or its equivalent in other currencies) and be

Currencies:

Status of the Notes:

Issue Price:

Maturities:

	issued only to persons whose ordinary activities involve them in acquiring, holding, managing or disposing of investments (as principal or agent) for the purposes of their businesses or who it is reasonable to expect will acquire, hold, manage or dispose of investments (as principal or agent) for the purposes of their businesses; or (ii) be issued in other circumstances which do not constitute a contravention of section 19 of the FSMA by the Issuer.
Redemption:	Notes may be redeemable at par or at such other Redemption Amount (detailed in a formula, index or otherwise) as may be specified in the relevant Final Terms. Notes may also be redeemable in two or more instalments on such dates and in such manner as may be specified in the relevant Final Terms.
Optional Redemption:	Notes may be redeemed before their stated maturity at the option of the Issuer (either in whole or in part) and/or the Noteholders to the extent (if at all) specified in the relevant Final Terms.
Interest:	Notes may be interest-bearing or non-interest bearing. Interest (if any) may accrue at a fixed rate or a floating rate or other variable rate or be index-linked and the method of calculating interest may vary between the issue date and the maturity date of the relevant Series.
Denominations:	Notes will be issued in such denominations as may be specified in the relevant Final Terms, subject to compliance with all applicable legal and/or regulatory and/or central bank requirements.
Negative Pledge:	The Notes will have the benefit of a negative pledge as described in Condition 5 (<i>Negative Pledge</i>).
Cross Default:	The Notes will have the benefit of a cross default as described in Condition 13 (<i>Events of Default</i>).
Taxation:	All payments in respect of Notes will be made free and clear of withholding taxes of Romania, unless the withholding is required by law. In that event, the Issuer will (subject as provided in Condition 12 (<i>Taxation</i>)) pay such additional amounts as will result in the Noteholders receiving such amounts as they would have received in respect of such Notes had no such withholding been required.
Governing Law:	English law.
Enforcement of Notes in Global Form:	In the case of Global Notes and Global Note Certificates, individual investors' rights against the Issuer will be governed by a deed of covenant dated 21 May 2015 (the " Deed of Covenant "), a copy of which will be available for inspection at the specified office of the Fiscal Agent.
Meetings of Noteholders:	The Conditions contain a "collective action" clause which permits defined majorities to bind all Noteholders.
	If the Issuer issues future debt securities which contain collective action clauses in substantially the same form as the collective action clause in the Conditions, the Notes would be capable of aggregation for voting purposes with any such future debt securities, thereby allowing 'cross-series' modifications to the terms and conditions of all affected series of Notes (even, in some circumstances, where majorities in certain Series did not vote in favour of the modifications being voted on). See " <i>Risk Factors – Risks Related to Notes Generally – The terms and</i>

conditions of the Notes contain a "collective action" clause under which the terms of any one series of Notes and/or multiple Series of Notes may be amended, modified or waived without the consent of the holders of all Notes or of all affected Series of Notes".

The following ratings have been assigned to the Programme:

"BBB-" by Fitch;

"Baa3" by Moody's; and

"BBB-" (for unsecured Notes with a maturity of one year or more) and "A-3" (for unsecured Notes with a maturity of less than one year) by S&P.

Tranches of Notes issued under the Programme will be rated or unrated. Where a Tranche of Notes is rated, such rating will not necessarily be the same as the rating(s) described above, which are assigned to the Programme and not to the Notes issued under the Programme, or the rating(s) assigned to the Programme or to Notes already issued. There is no assurance that the Notes under the Programme will be assigned a rating, or that the rating assigned to a specific issue under the Programme will be the same as the rating assigned to the Programme. Where a Tranche of Notes is rated, the applicable rating(s) will be specified in the relevant Final Terms.

Whether or not each credit rating applied for in relation to a relevant Tranche of Notes will be (1) issued by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation, or (2) issued by a credit rating agency which is not established in the EEA but will be endorsed by a CRA which is established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation or (3) issued by a credit rating agency which is not established in the EEA but which is certified under the CRA Regulation. will be disclosed in the Final Terms.

In general, European regulated investors are restricted from using a rating for regulatory purposes if such rating is not issued by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation unless (1) the rating is provided by a credit rating agency not established in the EEA but is endorsed by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation or (2) the rating is provided by a credit rating agency not established in the EEA which is certified under the CRA Regulation.

S&P and Fitch are both established in the EEA and are registered under the CRA Regulation. Moody's is not established in the EEA and as of the date of this Information Memorandum is not certified under the CRA Regulation, nor is the rating it has given to the Programme endorsed by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation.

For a description of certain restrictions on offers, sales and deliveries of Notes and on the distribution of offering material in the United States of America, the European Economic Area, the United Kingdom and Romania, see "*Subscription and Sale*".

There are restrictions on transfers of Notes. See "Transfer Restrictions".

Ratings:

Selling Restrictions:

Transfer Restrictions:

RISK FACTORS

The Issuer believes that the following factors may affect or hinder its ability to fulfil its obligations under the Notes. All of these factors are contingencies which may or may not occur and the Issuer is not in a position to express a view on the likelihood of any such contingency occurring.

In addition, factors which are material for the purpose of assessing the market risks associated with the Notes are described below.

The Issuer believes that the factors described below represent the principal risks inherent in investing in the Notes, but the inability of the Issuer to pay interest, principal or other amounts on or in connection with the Notes may occur for other reasons which may not be considered significant risks by the Issuer based on information currently available to it or which it may not currently be able to anticipate.

Prospective investors should read the entire Information Memorandum and reach their own views prior (including in consultation with any tax, legal and financial advisors as it deems necessary) to making any investment decision. Words and expressions defined in "Terms and Conditions of the Notes" or elsewhere in this Information Memorandum have the same meanings in this section.

Risks Relating to Romania

An investment in an emerging market such as Romania is subject to substantially greater risks than an investment in a more developed country

An investment in a country such as Romania, which joined the EU in 2007, but which is still an emerging market, is subject to greater risks than an investment in a country with a more developed economy and more developed political and legal systems. Although progress has been made in reforming Romania's economy and political and legal systems, the development of Romania's legal infrastructure and regulatory framework is still ongoing. As a consequence, an investment in Romania carries risks that are not typically associated with investing in more mature markets. Accordingly, investors should exercise particular care in evaluating the risks involved and must decide for themselves whether, in light of those risks, an investment in Romania is appropriate. Generally, investments in emerging markets, such as Romania, are only suitable for sophisticated investors who can fully appreciate the significance and consequences of the risks involved.

In addition, international investors' reactions to events occurring in one country sometimes demonstrate a "contagion" effect, in which an entire region or class of investment is disfavoured by international investors. Therefore, investment in Romania's sovereign securities, as in any other comparable economy, could be adversely affected by negative economic or financial developments in other countries. There can be no assurance that conditions resulting from any crises similar to the global financial and economic crisis that started in 2008, the European sovereign debt crisis or the recent political turmoil in Europe, the Middle East and Africa will not negatively affect the economic performance of, or investor confidence in, developing markets, including Romania.

Political and economic uncertainty could have an adverse effect on Romania's economy

Romania has undergone major changes during its recent history. Many political and economic reforms have taken place, but Romania's economy still has a number of structural weaknesses. These include a reliance on industrial sector exports, an ageing population which will require greater government expenditures on social services in the future, and, historically, a current account imbalance, as well as delayed absorption of EU funds and a lack of certain key reforms, each of which may affect Romania's creditworthiness.

In addition, a series of political conflicts occurred during 2012, culminating with the impeachment of President Basescu by the Romanian Parliament in July 2012 - a measure which was reversed when the popular referendum called to confirm his dismissal was declared invalid due to a failure to attain the legal quorum.

This political uncertainty lessened once the Social Liberal Union ("USL") won more than 67 per cent. in the parliamentary elections that took place on 9 December 2012. Since December 2012, Romania has been led by a USL-supported government, with Victor Ponta as the Prime Minister (see "Description of Romania — Overview—Political System—Political and economic uncertainty").

Starting in September 2013, several street protests took place in the Romanian capital of Bucharest and in other cities against the contemplated use of cyanide in connection with gold mining at the Rosia Montana site. These protests continued until a draft law on the gold mining project had been withdrawn from the Parliament. Street protests in 2014 were also aimed at certain legislative proposals of the Parliament meant to amend the Criminal Code and to implement amnesty for certain criminal acts, while the latter proposal was also criticised by representatives of several EU countries, the United States and European Commission. Protests also broke out across major Romanian cities in November 2014, following the first round of the presidential elections. The protests were linked to allegations that Romanian citizens living in several cities abroad faced certain impediments during the voting process. Additional protests took place against the main investor interested in shale gas production announced that it had decided to cease its shale gas operations in Romania. Further parliamentary elections are scheduled for 2016 and, if disruptive events similar to those surrounding the 2012 elections were to occur or no parliamentary majority were to develop, Romania's economy could be adversely affected.

The victory by President Iohannis in the November 2014 presidential elections has raised a degree of nearterm political uncertainty as the opposition has attempted to form a new government by changing the parliamentary majority. This political uncertainty has affected the implementation of reforms, in particular with regard to state-owned enterprises and gas price deregulation.

There can be no assurance that the Government will be effective in addressing structural challenges in the Romanian economy. Even if the Government's action is effective, the reforms and the ongoing adjustment and fiscal consolidation measures that the Romanian authorities have undertaken in connection with financing agreements with the IMF and the European Commission (the "EC") could result in increased social pressures or an erosion of political support, making further reforms more difficult and hampering economic growth.

The recent events in the Ukraine could have an adverse affect on the Romanian economy and financial system

If the conflict between Ukraine and Russia were to continue or to escalate, it is possible that this could have an adverse affect on certain sectors of Romania's economy and its financial system, although, to date, no material adverse affect has been observed. The persistence of geopolitical tensions between Russia and Ukraine might lead to an increased volatility of capital flows channelled to local financial markets, and therefore to the Romanian economy.

Trade restrictions and/or disruptions (including a disruption caused by the general weakening of the Russian and Ukrainian economies) could weaken the Romanian economy primarily as a result of a reduction in net exports or reduced access to energy supplies. Depending on the severity of these potential events, they might ultimately trigger a deterioration in economic sentiment, with potentially additional adverse effects for the Romanian economy. Commercial ties with Russia and Ukraine accounted for less than 5.0 per cent. of Romania's total foreign trade in 2014. However, in 2014 exports to those countries decreased by 11.8 per cent., and imports from those countries fell by 3.2 per cent., although in the case of imports this was driven by the reduction in the international price of crude oil, rather than a reduction in volumes (which were 30 per cent. higher in 2014).

In 2014, consumption of imported gas represented 7.5 per cent. (including imported gas held in local underground storage) of total gas consumption. As a result, Romania should be able to withstand a total shutdown of its gas imports for at least several months.

The conflict between the Ukraine and Russia could also have an impact on the Romanian economy through the direct or indirect financial exposure of Romanian entities to Russian and Ukrainian counterparties. Romanian entities have limited direct exposure to Ukrainian or Russian institutions, although some domestic companies are exposed to Russia and Ukraine (particularly to Russia, in sectors such as iron and steel, metallurgy and oil refining). However, any impact would be in the long-term and those companies with exposures are not deemed to be systemically important to the Romanian economy.

Nevertheless, there are potential indirect impacts that could materialize, given the considerable Russian and Ukrainian assets held by banks from Austria, Italy and France, to whom the Romanian banking sector is also heavily exposed (in Romania there are seven credit institutions with Austrian capital, three with French capital and two with Italian capital, accounting for a market share of 54.4 per cent. of the total loans to the private sector at 28 February 2015).

On a net basis, external risks stemming from the on-going crisis in Ukraine and Russia to the domestic economy are seen as rather balanced given the weak commercial and financial flows between Romania and these economies. However, if these tensions persist and/or intensify, significant changes in the balance of risks might occur, especially via secondary effects (including interaction between the banking sector and the real economy) from unfavourable financial and confidence shocks, including in the context of a regional or even European wide crisis.

Risks relating to global events

Romania experienced some contraction in its economy and other adverse economic and financial effects as a result of the global financial crisis, including a correction in the real estate sector and limited access to international capital markets, followed by a moderate resumption of growth starting in 2011. Gross domestic product ("**GDP**") increased by 0.6 per cent. in 2012 as compared to 2011, by 3.4 per cent. in 2013 as compared to 2012 and by 2.8 per cent. in 2014 as compared to 2013. Partly as a result of pressures from the global economic crisis, Romania recoded (cash) budget deficits of 6.3 per cent. of GDP in 2010, 4.2 per cent. in 2011 and 2.5 per cent in 2012.

In 2010 and 2011, a sovereign financial crisis emerged in Europe, triggered by high budget deficits and rising direct and contingent sovereign debt in Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain and Cyprus, which created concerns about the ability of these states to continue to service their sovereign debt obligations. These concerns impacted financial markets and resulted in high and volatile bond yields on the sovereign debt of many EU nations, indicating a reassessment of sovereign credit risks. Despite measures undertaken by the ECB, a fear emerged among investors and in the economy more widely that some countries in the Eurozone might default on their obligations, which resulted in a general reduction in financing, greater volatility in the markets and acute difficulties in obtaining liquidity internationally. On more than one occasion, fear arose that the European Monetary Union might be dissolved, or that individual member states might leave the single euro currency. These fears were rekindled during 2014 and 2015 when doubts as to Greece's ability to find a long-term solution to its funding needs gave rise to speculation around its potential exit from the Eurozone. This has led to renewed concerns about potential economic stagnation in Europe more generally. While negotiations between the IMF, the ECB, the EC (together, the "**Troika**") and Greece are ongoing, at present, no long-term solution has been found.

Market and economic disruptions stemming from the crisis in Europe have affected, and may continue to affect, the inflow of capital for the purposes of investment; consumer confidence levels and spending; bankruptcy rates; levels of incurrence of and default on consumer debt; and home prices, among other factors. There can be no assurance that market disruptions in Europe, including the increased cost of funding for certain government institutions, will not spread, nor can there be any assurance that future assistance packages will be available or, even if provided, will be sufficient to stabilise the affected countries and markets in Europe or elsewhere. The possible exit from the Eurozone of one or more European states and/or the replacement of the euro by one or more successor currencies could cause significant market dislocations and lead to adverse economic and operational impacts that are inherently difficult to predict or evaluate, and otherwise may have potentially materially adverse impacts on the Issuer.

The economic crisis in the Eurozone could affect Romania's goods balance, which is heavily reliant upon intra-EU trade. In 2013, based on EU-28 data, 67.5 per cent. of Romania's (FOB) exports and 75.5 per cent. of Romania's (FOB) imports were attributable to intra-EU trade. During 2014, exports to other EU countries increased to 69.1 per cent. of total exports (a 1.6 percentage point increase from 2013), while imports from other EU countries decreased to 75.0 per cent. of total imports (a 0.5 percentage point decrease from 2013) (see "*Description of Romania—Foreign Trade and Balance of Payments*").

There can be no assurance that economic events at the global level or in countries with which Romania has a trading or investment relationship will not adversely affect Romania's economy and its ability to raise capital in the international debt markets in the future.

Prospective investors should ensure that they have sufficient knowledge and awareness of global financial and economic developments, the Eurozone crisis and the economic situation and outlook in Romania as they consider necessary to enable them to make their own evaluation (including in consultation with any tax, legal and financial advisors as it deems necessary) of the risks and merits of an investment in the Notes. In particular, prospective investors should take into account the current uncertainty as to how the Eurozone crisis

and the wider global economic situation will develop over time and how they will affect the Romanian economy.

The Romanian banking sector has a high level of foreign currency denominated loans, which could result in the Romanian banking system experiencing additional stress due to a potential increase in non-performing loans resulting from currency fluctuations, which could have a material adverse effect on the Romanian economy

Several Eastern European countries, including Romania, witnessed a notable increase in foreign currency denominated loans over the last decade as borrowers sought lower interest rates in foreign currency denominated loans, particularly euro denominated loans. In Romania, this was in part a consequence of the country's significant foreign trade activities with its European area partners, the large presence of EU-based companies and banks in Romania and the country's anticipated adoption of the euro. In the past, a depreciation in the exchange rate of RON against the euro contributed to the deterioration in the quality of credit portfolios in the Romanian banking system, as it became more expensive for Romanian borrowers with domestic currency denominated incomes to meet their obligations in foreign-currency.

Recent figures show that the volume of foreign-currency denominated loans declined in 2013 and 2014 following measures implemented by the National Bank of Romania ("**NBR**") to rebalance the currency composition of new loans in favour of RON-denominated loans, including the introduction of tighter rules on foreign-currency lending by Romanian banks. The share of new EUR-denominated loans extended by domestic banks narrowed to 36.5 per cent. in 2013 and 24.5 per cent. in 2014 of the new total issuance of loans to companies and households (compared to 52.5 per cent. in 2011 and 44.7 per cent. in 2012). Therefore, credit risk that could materialize from loan portfolios denominated in foreign currencies is on a downtrend, as the share of foreign-currency denominated loans (of which most are denominated in euro) of total lending to the private sector decreased to 56.1 per cent. in February 2015 from 60.9 per cent. in December 2013 and 62.5 per cent. in December 2012.

Nevertheless, despite the impact of these measures and the reduction in the past several years of foreign currency denominated loans, there can be no assurance that these trends will not halt or reverse. As current levels of foreign currency denominated loans remain significant, the vulnerabilities stemming from the large stock of foreign currency loans remains a source of concern, while the risks associated with this type of financing continue to outpace that related to RON denominated lending (see "*Financial System—Banking System—Current Condition of the Banking Sector*" for further information).

The high level of foreign ownership in the Romanian banking system makes it vulnerable to disruption as a result of internal or external factors

The difficult external environment poses a challenge to financial stability in Romania. In particular, the fallout from the sovereign debt crisis along with the lingering vulnerabilities in certain banking sectors in Europe, may harm economic growth in Romania and the capacity of the banking sector to access financing, as well as undermining banks' asset quality.

The Romanian banking sector is dominated by subsidiaries of banks incorporated in Eurozone countries, with a relatively large proportion of assets being held by Austrian (36.0 per cent. of the total net assets of credit institutions in Romania), French (13.0 per cent.) and Greek (11.8 per cent.) banks as at the end of February 2015 (see "*Description of Romania—Monetary and Financial System—Banking System—General*"). As at the end of February 2015, foreign banks also owned 90 per cent. of banks' net assets in Romania.

Foreign banks may rebalance their global loan portfolio in a manner that might adversely affect Romania as a result of events related or unrelated to Romania, including the on-going economic turbulence in the Eurozone and sovereign debt markets. In addition, foreign banks may dispose of, decrease new funding to or refinance the funding to their subsidiaries operating in Romania in the event of weaker than expected economic performance. This may lead to, among other things, depleted capital in the event of increased economic stress and RON depreciation. Resulting balance sheet mismatches may negatively affect the Romanian economy and, as a result, have an adverse effect on Romania's capacity to meet its obligations under the Notes.

The adverse effects of the deleveraging process announced by large European banking groups have not significantly impacted Romania so far, due to the balanced macroeconomic policies under the EU-IMF-World Bank arrangements and the lending strategies of the leading banking groups operating in Romania, which contemplate preserving local capital outlays. Parent banks have, to date, continued to provide capital to

support their subsidiaries in the local market, and capital contributions have been made by shareholders without any recourse to public funds (EUR 111 million in 2012, EUR 190 million in 2013 and EUR 394 million in 2014). Furthermore, the European Bank Coordination "Vienna Initiative 2.0" launched in January 2012, coordinated by the EBRD, the EIB, the EC, the IMF, and the World Bank, aims also at avoiding disorderly deleveraging through coordinated action by home and host-country regulators and supervisors and the banks themselves. To provide protection for the Romanian banking system, Government Ordinance 1/2012 amending and supplementing several pieces of legislation on credit institutions has also been adopted in order to set up a "bridge bank" to temporarily take over the operations of distressed banks which pose a threat to financial stability in Romania. Other backstop measures have also been made available, mainly consisting of private sector solutions (including purchases and assumptions by healthy banks of loan portfolios and/or deposit portfolios of troubled banks). However, there can be no assurance that these or other measures will be effective in preventing significant deleveraging in the Romanian banking sector, which may negatively affect the Romanian economy.

There can be no assurance that Romania's credit rating will not change

The long-term foreign and domestic currency debt of Romania is currently rated BBB- by S&P, Baa3 by Moody's and BBB- by Fitch (see "*Description of Romania—Public Finance—Public Debt—Credit Ratings*"). Deterioration in key economic indicators such as an increase in the fiscal deficit as a result of contemplated changes to the fiscal code (though the Government does not believe, based on the current draft of the revised fiscal code under consideration, that this risk is likely to materialise), or the materialisation of any of the risks discussed herein, may contribute to credit rating downgrades which could result in a sub-investment grade rating of the Notes. In turn, any adverse changes in an applicable credit rating could adversely affect the trading price for the Notes. In addition, a sub-investment grade rating could adversely affect Romania's ability to refinance existing indebtedness, finance its deficit and could adversely affect its capacity to meet its obligations under the Notes.

A credit rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to suspension, reduction or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating agency.

Infrastructure in Romania is underdeveloped, and Romania may experience difficulties in financing and developing infrastructure successfully

Compared to Western Europe, infrastructure in Romania, particularly the transportation system, is underdeveloped. Romania currently has plans to undertake various development projects to improve infrastructure in the country (see "*Description of Romania—Transportation—Infrastructure Development*"). Various financing plans have been proposed and attempted to further infrastructure development, including the use of public private partnerships ("**PPP**"). Romania also funds infrastructure development using EU non-reimbursable funds.

However, the funding and construction of infrastructure has been challenging. For example, whereas PPP projects have frequently been used in other countries in the EU for various investment objectives, Romania has not, to date, successfully completed a major PPP transport project. Several attempts have been made in Romania in the past to launch PPP projects, but the attempts have failed during contract negotiation, execution or completion due to the lack of a proven legal framework governing this field, the lack of experience of the public authorities that initiate PPP/concession projects, and the difficulty of completing PPP/concession projects during the financial crisis.

To address its infrastructure gap and improve its growth prospect, Romania will need to advance structural reforms. The poor infrastructure is due partly to the dominance of inefficient SOEs in the transportation and energy sectors where quality of public investment is low.

There can be no guarantee that infrastructure projects will be financed or completed successfully, and any failure or delays in developing infrastructure projects in Romania may slow the growth in the Romanian economy.

Delays in the reform of state-owned enterprises may hamper economic growth

The IMF noted in their October 2012 Country Report that structural reforms in Romania, including the stateowned enterprises corporate governance reform and the privatisation agenda, continue to experience significant delays. This remained a theme of subsequent reports by the IMF. Given that state-owned enterprises account for more than half of the activity in the energy sector and approximately one third of activity in the transportation and storage sectors, the slow progress in restructuring inefficient state-owned enterprises severely hampers investment and growth. In particular, the IMF Country Report outlined that inefficiencies in state-owned enterprises lead to poor operating performance, arrears, and less resources for investment, while losses and arrears in state-owned enterprises drain public finances and constrain the government's fiscal policy flexibility. According to the IMF report released in October 2013 in relation to Romania's request for a Stand-by Arrangement, critical progress was made in some areas, such as moving toward market-based pricing of energy and reducing the stock of arrears, but in other areas, progress was slow, such as in increasing private sector involvement in the energy and transportation sectors, which inhibited much-needed capital investment and delayed efficiency-enhancing reforms. Moreover, in areas where important legislative initiatives were approved, such as reforms of corporate governance for stateowned entities, implementation has not been successful. In the IMF report released in March 2014, it was noted that structural reform had been largely successful in certain areas such as energy policy, but that despite improvements in the financial performance of some SOEs, the performance of many others remained weak, and that improved SOE corporate governance (which had suffered some setbacks in 2014) would enhance SOE performance and infrastructure service delivery.

The risk of delays and setbacks in implementing further structural reforms, particularly those risks which are of a political nature, is high. Any such delay or setback could further negatively impact improvements in the efficiency of, and the attractiveness of investing in, Romania's economy and, ultimately, adversely affect the trading price of the Notes.

Romania is subject to risk in relation to its balance of payments¹

Romania's current account deficit was approximately 4.5 per cent. of GDP from 2010 to 2012 and narrowed to 0.8 per cent. of GDP in 2013 (semi-final data) and further to 0.4 per cent. of GDP in 2014 (provisional data), with goods and services balances contributing to this improvement. Romania's goods balance deficit was 6.7 per cent. of GDP in 2012, 3.8 per cent. in 2013 and 3.6 per cent. in 2014. Romania's services surplus increased from an average share of GDP of 1.4 per cent from 2010 to 2012 to an average of 3.6 per cent in 2013 and 2014. Beyond the current account deficit contraction, Romania's financing needs were smoothed by the expanding inflows of net capital transfers which increased from an average of 0.7 per cent. of GDP in 2013 and 2.6 per cent. of GDP in 2014. The financial account recorded an average net inflows of 3.4 per cent. of GDP during 2010 to 2012, followed by average net outflows of 1.6 per cent of GDP in 2013 to 2014, primarily as a result of scheduled repayments in the framework of the 2009 stand-by arrangement with the IMF. While Romania's current account deficit has improved over the past three years, as the large majority of Romania's exports are to the EU, a slow economic recovery or a return to recession in the EU member states to whom Romania primarily exports its goods and services, could negatively impact Romania's exports, and thus the trade deficit, and therefore negatively impact Romania's economy.

Romania is subject to exchange rate and inflation risk

The RON is subject to a managed-floating exchange rate regime, whereby the value of the RON against foreign currencies is determined in the interbank foreign exchange market. The NBR's monetary policy strategy is inflation targeting. The managed-floating exchange rate regime is in line with using inflation targets as a nominal anchor for monetary policy and allowing for a flexible policy response to unpredicted shocks likely to affect the economy, and the NBR does not target any level or range for the exchange rate. The ability of the NBR to limit volatility of the RON is contingent on a number of economic and political factors, including the availability of foreign currency reserves and foreign direct investment inflows, as well as developments in market sentiment and investors' risk aversion. In December 2012 as compared to December 2011, the RON depreciated in nominal terms by 3.6 per cent. against the euro (which corresponds to an appreciation of 1.1 per cent. in real terms) and by 4.0 per cent. against the U.S. dollar (which corresponds to an appreciation of 0.7 per cent. in real terms). In December 2013, the RON gained 0.6 per cent. against the euro and 5.1 per cent. against the U.S. dollar (compared to December 2012). In December 2014, the RON

¹ For the purposes of this document, the balance of payments is presented according to the International Monetary Fund's BPM6 Methodology. Thus, figures referred to as "Goods" in the current account are different from the foreign trade data produced by the National Institute for Statistics because, in order to comply with the BPM6 principle regarding change in ownership, the former exclude from the international trade in goods data, the value of goods processed in Romania and abroad and include the net exports of goods under merchandising).

appreciated against the Euro in nominal terms by 0.1 per cent. while in real terms it appreciated by 0.9 per cent., and the RON depreciated against the U.S. dollar in nominal terms by 10.0 per cent., while in real terms it appreciated by 9.2 per cent. (compared to December 2013). (see "*Monetary and Financial System— Exchange Rate Policy*"). A significant depreciation of the RON could adversely affect the country's economic and financial condition.

Inflation targeting continues to be the monetary policy strategy of the NBR. The annual CPI inflation rate fell from 3.14 per cent. at the end of 2011 to 1.8 per cent. in April and May 2012 and subsequently rose to 4.95 per cent. in December 2012 on the back of a severe contraction of domestic agricultural output (coupled with tensions on the international markets for agricultural commodities) and of unfavourable statistical base effects. Against the background of a persistent demand deficit, improving inflation expectations, a relatively stable EUR/RON exchange rate and a combination of favourable supply-side shocks (two consecutive good harvest years, falling energy prices), disinflation sped up in the second half of 2013 and the full year 2014, with end-of-period annual rates staying at 1.6 per cent. and 0.8 per cent., respectively. The annual inflation rate remained below the variation band of the inflation target in the first quarter of 2015, reaching 0.79 per cent. in March.

According to the latest NBR projections, presented on 8th May 2015, the annual Consumer Price Index ("**CPI**") inflation rate is projected to be 0.2 per cent. and 1.9 per cent. at the end of 2015 and 2016, respectively. The annual CPI inflation rate is projected to move into negative territory from the second quarter of 2015 until the first quarter of 2016, (except at the end of 2015) given the impact on the adjusted CORE2 index components as well as on VFE (vegetables, fruit and eggs) prices of the government's decision of 7 April 2015 to broaden the scope of the lower VAT rate to all food items, non-alcoholic beverages and public food services as of 1 June 2015. Once the initial effect of this fiscal measure has ended, currently estimated to occur at the end of the second quarter of 2016, the annual inflation rate is projected to increase to 1.5 per cent. and then to re-enter the variation band of the inflation target starting in the fourth quarter of 2016.

The balance of risks which could impact future inflation rates are relatively in equilibrium in the short term, while being tilted towards a decline in inflation rates over the medium-term. Relevant external risks are that volatility of capital flows owing to the variability of investors' risk appetite driven by geopolitical tensions and the possibly diverging monetary policy stances of the world's major central banks. Moreover, there are risks related to the EUR/USD exchange rate developments which may effect the USD/RON exchange rate and, hence, on the oil price expressed in RON. Domestically, the uncertainty surrounding the consistent implementation of an adequate policy mix and the speeding up of structural reforms in accordance with a timetable agreed upon with international institutions is a matter of concern. A risk associated with inflation moving from the current forecast is the implementation of the fiscal measures in the legislative proposal amending the Fiscal Code submitted to Parliament for approval, which was not included in the baseline scenario due to uncertainties in existence at the date of the projection. Additionally, there is a risk related to developments in domestic food prices which stem from possible deviations from the original assessment as to the expected magnitude of the impact of broadening the scope of the lower VAT rate in June 2015.

Future financing from international organizations such as the IMF could require implementation of certain measures, including more stringent austerity measures, which may be difficult to achieve

In 2009, Romania entered into loan agreements with the IMF, the World Bank and the EU for financial support during the global economic downturn. As a condition to these loans, it agreed to implement severe austerity measures on Romania's public finances, including raising sales taxes and reducing public sector wages (see "*Description of Romania—Public Finance—Public Debt—Public Debt Instruments and External Financing Programmes—External Financing Programmes*"). Romania has implemented its structural reforms agreed under the 2009-2011 financial support package. In 2011, Romania entered into precautionary agreements with the IMF and the EU. These agreements made medium-term financial assistance arrangements available to Romania in case of an emergency financial situation and signal the international community's continued support for the policies and measures currently under implementation. No funds have been drawn under the precautionary agreements. After the successful completion of the stand-by agreement in 2011-2013 with the IMF and after consulting with the EU, in July 2013 Romania negotiated a follow-up precautionary stand-by arrangement with the IMF and the EU (see "*Description of Romania—Public Debt Instruments and External Financing Programmes—Stand-by Agreement with IMF*") in the amount of EUR 4 billion. At the date of this Information Memorandum, no amounts have been drawn under this arrangement.

In addition to the support extended by the IMF and EU under the precautionary package, Romania concluded with the World Bank in September 2012 a EUR 1 billion development policy loan with a deferred drawdown option ("DPL-DDO") which has been disbursed. The programme monitored under this agreement focuses on the elements of the reform programme already agreed with the IMF and EC but gives a particular emphasis to three main areas. (i) increasing budget revenues through improved tax collection and fiscal discipline; (ii) improving the governance of state owned enterprises ("SOEs") in the energy sector to enhance their efficiency and contribution to the budget; and (iii) enhancing fiscal sustainability and improving service in the health sector through the optimisation of public health services. Another World Bank loan in the amount of approximately EUR 70 million aiming to support the tax modernisation process was signed with the World Bank in May 2013, and was drawn in August 2013. Between 2014 and 2017, the Romanian authorities intend to obtain from the World Bank up to EUR 4 billion (approximately EUR 1 billion per year), of which 70 per cent. is related to two new DPLs series and 30 per cent. is related to loan operations, in order to sustain sector reforms such as in the health, education, energy and social inclusion sectors (see "Description of Romania -Public Finance—Public Debt—Public Debt Instruments and External Financing Programmes—World Bank"). Under this plan, Romania concluded in June 2014 with the World Bank two loan agreements amounting in the aggregate to EUR 1 billion (of which EUR 750 million represents the first development policy loan within the new DPL series, and EUR 250 million will assist in financing the Health Sector Reform - Improving Health System Quality and Efficiency Project). Three other loans in an aggregate total amount of approximately EUR 1 billion (including the loan in the amount of EUR 200 million for the secondary education sector recently approved by the World Bank Board) are expected to be concluded with the World Bank by the end of 2015 or early 2016.

Additionally, in December 2013 and May 2014 Romania concluded a financing facility in a total amount of EUR 300 million with the European Investment Bank, for Rural Development EU co-financing, and the Romanian Government has started preliminary discussions with the Council of Europe Development Bank for a new loan in an amount of EUR 175 million to continue the social housing program.

If the macroeconomic targets under the precautionary agreements concluded with the IMF or EU become more stringent following future negotiations, or if Romania not only needs to draw upon the agreements but also to seek further financing from supra- or international organisations, the availability of such financing might require Romania to implement further measures that could hamper economic growth and, if indirect taxes are increased again, result in significant inflation. There can be no guarantee that the IMF, the EC or other supra- or international organisations will make further similar financing programmes available to Romania in the future.

Failure to access all available EU funds could slow Romania's further development

Historically, Romania has had a low absorption rate on programmes potentially financeable from EU postaccession funds, in particular from EU Structural and Cohesion Funds (European Regional Development Fund, Cohesion Fund, European Social Fund), although since 2012 when the Ministry of European Funds was established the absorption rate has been increasing. As at 31 December 2014, Romania's current absorption rate (the level of amounts sent for reimbursements to the EC as a percentage of the total amount of Structural and Cohesion Funds available to it) was 51.8 per cent. of the total EU budget allocation for the 2007–2013 programming period (see "Description of Romania—Membership of the European Union—EU Funding— Structural and Cohesion Funds—Allocations and Absorption of the EU Structural and Cohesion Funds as at 30 June 2013"). The low absorption rates are due to a variety of issues (see "Description of Romania— Membership of the European Union—EU Funding—Structural and Cohesion Funds as at and ameliorative measures").

Additionally, funding under the Structural and Cohesion Funds for a number of operational programmes has been previously pre-suspended, and financial corrections were applied in respect of the expenditures under certain operational programmes. There can be no assurance that similar situations will not occur in the future if adequate measures are not implemented or if such measures do not yield the expected results.

The use of Structural and Cohesion Funds is also subject to a decommitment rule. Based on estimates of the Romanian authorities and of the European Commission, in 2012 Romania lost EUR 155 million from the funds allocated to the operational programmes for transport and competitiveness as a result of the automatic decommitment rule. In 2013 and 2014 no other amounts were decommitted, and for 2015 approximately EUR 3.02 billion of EU funds must be requested for reimbursement to EC in order to avoid decommitment. The total amount of reimbursement that must be requested from the EC prior to the closure of the programming

period in March 2017 in order to reach 100 per cent. absorption rate is EUR 9.95 billion. See "*Description of Romania—Membership of the European Union—EU Funding—Structural and Cohesion Funds—Decommitment rule*". Allocations that are decommitted will be permanently lost.

In 2015, a priority action plan ("**PAP**") was established to accelerate the implementation of 2007 - 2013 programmes and to pave the ground for the implementation of the 2014 - 2020 programming exercise. The goal of the PAP is to avoid a substantial decommitment of funds on 31 December 2015, create the pre-requisites for achieving at least an 80% absorption rate, and ensuring the proper start of the 2014-2020 programming exercise. However, there can be no certainty that the PAP will be enacted or, even if it is enacted, that it will achieve its desired goals.

The loss of potential EU funding would have a negative impact on Romania's budget. Failure to utilise available funding could also slow the pace at which Romania is able to develop its infrastructure and economy, which could have an adverse effect on the Romanian economy and its capacity to meet its obligations under the Notes.

Corruption and money laundering issues may hinder the growth of the Romanian economy, and otherwise have a material adverse effect on Romania and therefore on the Notes

Although progress was made in the field of money laundering by the passing of important laws needed to implement the provisions of Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and Council of 26 October 2005 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing and of Commission Directive 2006/70/EC regarding politically exposed persons, independent analysts and media reports have identified corruption and money laundering as problems in Romania. In 2014, the Transparency International Corruption Perceptions Index, which evaluates data on corruption in countries throughout the world and ranked countries from 1 (least corrupt) to 174 (most corrupt), Romania was ranked 69th as compared to 66th in 2012).

Although Romania has implemented certain actions to effectively prosecute corruption cases, resulting in several high-profile convictions and several international evaluations have recognised Romania's improved track record in terms of effectively fighting high-level corruption cases in the fight against corruption, money laundering or setbacks in the implementation of the rule of law in Romania may have a material adverse effect on the Romanian economy.

Official economic data and third party information included in this Information Memorandum may not be fully comparable with information on similar subjects from other sources or countries

This Information Memorandum includes information and statistics from a range of government ministries and other state entities, including the Ministry of Public Finance, the NBR, the National Commission for Prognosis and the Ministry of Economy, Commerce and Business Environment. The various sources of this information may compile the information and statistics which they provide using differing methodologies and practices. These differences can produce variations in results. This Information Memorandum presents data as provided by the ministry or other source to which the data is attributed. No attempt has been made to reconcile such data to the data compiled by other ministries or state entities or by third party organisations.

The Romanian National Institute of Statistics estimates that, based on national statistics on tax evasion and the number of employees reported in business and household surveys, Romania has a significant shadow economy, though its current size is unknown. The accuracy of official economic data may therefore be distorted as a result of such shadow economy.

This Information Memorandum also provides information derived from third party sources. Romania has not independently verified such information.

The inconsistent application of law in the Romanian courts could have a negative effect on the economy and therefore on the Notes

The Romanian judicial system has been and is currently undergoing systemic reform aimed at (i) improving transparency and efficiency in the judicial process; (ii) removing conflicts of interest and incompatibilities within the judiciary; (iii) improving efficiency of the investigation and prosecution of high level corruption; and (iv) implementing a broad anti-corruption framework within the Romanian justice system.

To effect these reforms, Romania implemented new Criminal and Criminal Procedures Codes in February 2014 affecting several major judicial institutions including the Ministry of Justice, the High Court of Cassation and Justice ("**HCCJ**"), the Superior Council of Magistracy, and the National Institute for the Magistracy ("**NIM**"). In addition, the Strategy for the Development of the Judiciary for the years 2015-2020 put forward by the Ministry of Justice was approved by the government on 23 December 2014. This document draws heavily on CVM recommendations, as well as on studies developed with the World Bank, in particular the Functional Analysis of the Romanian judiciary. Drawing on a series of underlying principles based on the rule of law, the strategy defines objectives for further reform in the period 2015-2020 to make the judiciary more efficient and accountable and to increase its quality. The HCCJ has further developed its use of preliminary rulings and appeals to unify jurisprudence. It has also pursued measures to improve the dissemination of court judgements. Similar practical steps have been seen in the prosecution and in the judicial leadership more widely. Thematic inspections conducted by the Judicial Inspection also contribute to establishing consistent practice among judges. There has been progress on the publication of court decisions. The Ministry of Justice completed a project recently (financed through EU funds) of a portal consolidating existing legislation.

As Romania is a civil law jurisdiction, judicial decisions under Romanian law have no precedential effect. For the same reason, courts are not bound by earlier court decisions taken under the same or similar circumstances, which can result in the inconsistent application of Romanian legislation to resolve the same or similar disputes. The lack of predictability on court decisions may deter investment in Romania and thus could have a negative impact on economic growth. The Romanian judicial system has gone through several reforms to modernise and strengthen the system. While the 2015 CVM report highlights a number of areas of progress, it also points to several outstanding issues. Among these are a high degree of inconsistency in some court decisions, a lack of objective criteria in legislation surrounding the prosecution of certain government figures, a reluctance on the part of Parliament to apply final court decisions and an insufficiently systematic approach taken to tackle corruption. And while the Minister of Justice has been able to secure increases in government funding to implement reform efforts, there can be no guarantee that future funding would be commensurate with needs, including in light of any potential budgetary setbacks or austerity measures. Without proper funding, it is unlikely that the reforms contemplated would be effectively implemented. There also can also be no certainty that ongoing reform efforts will produce the desired results or that the new strategies will prove successful. See "Description of Romania-Overview-Judiciary and Constitutional Court-Reform of the Judiciary". If Romania were unable to effectively and successfully implement its reform efforts or if existing reforms were to prove less effective than initially observed or contemplated, this would likely depress confidence in the Romanian judicial system, which may have a materially adverse effect on the Romanian economy.

A significant increase of Romania's debt level could make it difficult to refinance debt on favourable terms

Romania's level of aggregate public indebtedness according to national legislation (including guarantees) increased to 44.3 per cent. of GDP (preliminary data) as at the end of December 2014, compared to 41.9 per cent. of GDP as at the end of December 2013, while according to EU methodology general government debt increased to 39.8 per cent. of GDP as at the end of December 2014, compared to 38.0 per cent. of GDP as at the end of December 2013. Compared with that of most other EU Member States, Romania's public debt is relatively modest both in absolute terms and as a percentage of GDP. In addition, Romania has a relatively high level of private debt, which decreased to 39.4 per cent. of GDP (preliminary data) as at the end of December 2014, compared to 43.2 per cent. of GDP as at the end of December 2013. An increase in Romania's indebtedness or deterioration in financing conditions as a result of market, economic or political factors outside Romania's control could make it difficult for Romania to refinance its indebtedness on favourable terms though Romania maintains a policy of retaining a hard currency buffer amounting to around four months of gross funding needs. There is a relatively high level of non-resident ownership of public debt (approximately 52 per cent. (calculated as per EU methodology) as at the end of December 2014). In addition, any deterioration of the current account deficit and/or a decrease in net foreign direct investments would add further pressure on Romania's external finances. Romania's public debt is also subject to foreign currency risk, as approximately 57 per cent. of the country's public debt was denominated in foreign currencies (principally in Euro) (calculated as per EU methodology) as at the end of December 2014 despite the existence of the hard currency buffer for four months of gross funding needs to ensure protection against any vulnerabilities arising from external factors.

The increased use of the euro in financial transactions in Romania may adversely affect the effectiveness of the NBR's monetary policy

The use of the euro in financial transactions in Romania has increased over the past decade, including during the global financial crisis. This increase was primarily attributable to the high proportion of foreign currency denominated loans to the private sector (with the majority of such loans denominated in euro), that was fuelled by the availability of financing of local Romanian operations of foreign banks. However, the uptrend in the proportion of foreign currency-denominated loans slowed in the second half of 2012 due to the implementation of NBR Regulation No. 24/2011 on loans to households. Further measures to support this trend consisted, among others, of the NBR Regulation No. 17/2012 on lending conditions applied in order to implement the European Systemic Risk Board's recommendations on foreign-currency denominated loans.

With respect to banks' liabilities, the proportion of foreign currency denominated deposits, which also include remittances from persons working abroad, in total deposits has been much lower (during the period from January 2014 to February 2015, on average, 34.6 per cent. of deposits were denominated in a foreign currency). As the NBR's monetary policy primarily impacts the RON and has limited impact on foreign currencies, including the euro, the large - scale use of the euro in the Romanian economy may undermine the ability of the NBR to implement its monetary policy. Similarly, the policies of the European Central Bank (the "ECB") affecting the euro may indirectly impact the Romanian economy. Any limitations on the effectiveness of the NBR's monetary policy, whether due to the influence of the euro or otherwise, may have an adverse effect on the Romanian economy.

A portion of Romania's natural gas consumption is dependent on imports from Russia and certain other countries

In 2012, 2013 and 2014, the share of imported natural gas (mainly from Russia) represented 18.4 per cent., 15.3 per cent. and 7.5 per cent., respectively, of total natural gas resources used in Romania.

Russia has, recently and in the past, threatened to cut off the supply of oil and gas to Romania's neighbouring country, Ukraine, in order to apply pressure on Ukraine to settle outstanding gas debts and maintain the low transit fees for Russian oil and gas through Ukrainian pipelines to European consumers including Romania. (see "*Risk Factors—The recent events in the Ukraine could have an adverse affect on the Romanian economy and financial system*").

Romania's energy security represents an essential element of its economic development and as such one of Romania's priorities is to diversify energy sources and supply routes and to limit its dependency on imports. To this end Romania promotes certain important investment projects in the energy sector (see also "*Description of Romania—The Romanian Economy—Restructuring and Investment*"). Any changes affecting the implementation of such projects, combined with any situations similar to those occurring in January 2009 and in 2014 affecting supplies of natural gas from Russia to Romania may have negative effects on the Romanian economy.

Factors Which Are Material for the Purpose of Assessing the Market Risks Associated with Notes Issued under the Programme

The Notes may not be a suitable investment for all investors

Each potential investor in the Notes must determine the suitability of that investment in light of its own circumstances. In particular, each potential investor should:

- have sufficient knowledge and experience to make a meaningful evaluation of the Notes, the merits and risks of investing in the Notes and the information contained or incorporated by reference in this Information Memorandum or any applicable supplement;
- (ii) have access to, and knowledge of, appropriate analytical tools to evaluate, in the context of its particular financial situation, an investment in the Notes and the impact the Notes will have on its overall investment portfolio;
- (iii) have sufficient financial resources and liquidity to bear all of the risks of an investment in the Notes, including Notes with principal or interest payable in one or more currencies, or where the currency for principal or interest payments is different from the potential investor's currency;

- (iv) understand thoroughly the terms of the Notes and be familiar with the behaviour of any relevant indices and financial markets; and
- (v) be able to evaluate (either alone or with the help of a financial adviser) possible scenarios for economic, interest rate and other factors that may affect its investment and its ability to bear the applicable risks.

Some Notes are complex financial instruments. Sophisticated institutional investors generally do not purchase complex financial instruments as stand-alone investments. They purchase complex financial instruments as a way to reduce risk or enhance yield with an understood, measured, appropriate addition of risk to their overall portfolios. A potential investor should not invest in Notes which are complex financial instruments unless it has the expertise (either alone or with a financial adviser) to evaluate how the Notes will perform under changing conditions, the resulting effects on the value of the Notes and the impact this investment will have on the potential investor's overall investment portfolio.

Risks Related to the Structure of a Particular Issue of Notes

A wide range of Notes may be issued under the Programme. A number of these Notes may have features which contain particular risks for potential investors. Set out below is a description of the most common such features:

Notes subject to optional redemption by the Issuer

An optional redemption feature of Notes is likely to limit their market value. During any period when the Issuer may elect to redeem Notes, the market value of those Notes generally will not rise substantially above the price at which they can be redeemed. This also may be true prior to any redemption period.

The Issuer may be expected to redeem Notes when its cost of borrowing is lower than the interest rate on the Notes. At those times, an investor generally would not be able to reinvest the redemption proceeds at an effective interest rate as high as the interest rate on the Notes being redeemed and may only be able to do so at a significantly lower rate. Potential investors should consider reinvestment risk in light of other investments available at that time.

Index Linked Notes and Dual Currency Notes

The Issuer may issue Notes with principal or interest determined by reference to an index or formula, to changes in the prices of securities or commodities, to movements in currency exchange rates or other factors (each, a "**Relevant Factor**"). In addition, the Issuer may issue Notes with principal or interest payable in one or more currencies which may be different from the currency in which the Notes are denominated. Potential investors should be aware that:

- (i) the market price of such Notes may be volatile;
- (ii) they may receive no interest;
- (iii) payment of principal or interest may occur at a different time or in a different currency than expected;
- (iv) they may lose all or a substantial portion of their principal;
- (v) a Relevant Factor may be subject to significant fluctuations that may not correlate with changes in interest rates, currencies or other indices;
- (vi) if a Relevant Factor is applied to Notes in conjunction with a multiplier greater than one or contains some other leverage factor, the effect of changes in the Relevant Factor on principal or interest payable will likely be magnified; and
- (vii) the timing of changes in a Relevant Factor may affect the actual yield to investors, even if the average level is consistent with their expectations. In general, the earlier the change in the Relevant Factor, the greater the effect on yield.

The historical experience of an index should not be viewed as an indication of the future performance of such index during the term of any Index Linked Notes. Accordingly, each potential investor should consult its own financial and legal advisers about the risk entailed by an investment in any Index Linked Notes and the suitability of such Notes in light of its particular circumstances.

Partly Paid Notes

The Issuer may issue Notes where the issue price is payable in more than one instalment. Failure to pay any subsequent instalment could result in an investor losing all of his investment.

Variable rate Notes with a multiplier or other leverage factor

Notes with variable interest rates can be volatile investments. If they are structured to include multipliers or other leverage factors, or caps or floors, or any combination of those features or other similar related features, their market values may be even more volatile than those for securities that do not include those features.

Inverse Floating Rate Notes

Inverse Floating Rate Notes have an interest rate equal to a fixed rate minus a rate based upon a reference rate such as LIBOR. The market values of those Notes typically are more volatile than market values of other conventional floating rate debt securities based on the same reference rate (and with otherwise comparable terms). Inverse Floating Rate Notes are more volatile because an increase in the reference rate not only decreases the interest rate of the Notes, but may also reflect an increase in prevailing interest rates, which further adversely affects the market value of these Notes.

Fixed/Floating Rate Notes

Fixed/Floating Rate Notes may bear interest at a rate that converts from a fixed rate to a floating rate, or from a floating rate to a fixed rate. Where the Issuer has the right to effect such a conversion, this will affect the secondary market and the market value of the Notes since the Issuer may be expected to convert the rate when it is likely to produce a lower overall cost of borrowing. If the Issuer converts from a fixed rate to a floating rate in such circumstances, the spread on the Fixed/Floating Rate Notes may be less favourable than the prevailing spreads on comparable Floating Rate Notes tied to the same reference rate. In addition, the new floating rate at any time may be lower than the rates on other Notes. If the Issuer converts from a floating rate to a fixed rate in such circumstances, the fixed rate may be lower than the prevailing rates on its Notes.

Notes issued at a substantial discount or premium

The market values of securities issued at a substantial discount or premium from their principal amount tend to fluctuate more in relation to general changes in interest rates than do prices for conventional interestbearing securities. Generally, the longer the remaining term of the securities, the greater the price volatility as compared to conventional interest-bearing securities with comparable maturities.

Risks Related to Notes Generally

Set out below is a brief description of certain risks relating to the Notes generally:

The terms and conditions of the Notes contain a "collective action" clause under which the terms of any one Series of Notes and/or multiple Series of Notes may be amended, modified or waived without the consent of the holders of all Notes or of all affected Series of Notes.

The Conditions contain provisions regarding amendments, modifications and waivers, commonly referred as "collective action" clauses. Such clauses permit defined majorities to bind all Noteholders, including Noteholders who did not vote and Noteholders who voted in a manner contrary to the majority. The relevant provisions also permit, in relation to reserved matters, multiple Series of Notes to be aggregated for voting purposes (*provided that*, each such Series also contains the collective action clauses in the terms and conditions of the relevant Notes).

The Issuer expects that all Series of Notes issued under the Programme will include such collective action clauses, thereby giving the Issuer the ability to request modifications or actions in respect of reserved matters across multiple Series of Notes. This means that a defined majority of the holders of such Series of Notes (when taken in the aggregate only, in some circumstances, and/or individually) would be able to bind all holders of Notes in all the relevant aggregated Series

Any modification or actions relating to reserved matters, including in respect of payments, amendment of the Events of Default and other important terms, may be made to a single Series of Notes with the consent of the holders of 75 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount outstanding of such Notes, and to multiple Series of

Notes with the consent of both (i) the holders of 66²/₃ per cent. of the aggregate principal amount outstanding of all Series of Notes being aggregated and (ii) the holders of 50 per cent. in aggregate principal amount outstanding of each Series of Notes being aggregated. In addition, under certain circumstances, including the satisfaction of the Uniformly Applicable Condition in the Conditions, any such modification or action relating to reserved matters may be made to multiple Series of Notes being aggregated only, without requiring a particular percentage of the holders in any individual affected Series of Notes to vote in favour of any proposed modification or action. Any modification or action proposed by the Issuer may, at the option of the Issuer, be made in respect of some Series of Notes simultaneously. At the time of any proposed modification or action, the Issuer will be obliged, inter alia, to specify which method or methods of aggregation will be used by the Issuer.

There is a risk therefore that the terms and conditions of a Series of Notes may be amended, modified or waived in relation to a Reserved Matter (as defined in Condition 17.5 (*Reserved Matters*) of the Terms and Conditions of the Notes) in certain circumstances whereby the Noteholders voting in favour of an amendment, modification or waiver may be Noteholders of a different Series of Notes and, as such, without a minimum percentage of the Noteholders of the relevant Series (such as the Notes) having voted in favour of such amendment, modification or waiver. In addition, there is a risk that the provisions allowing for aggregation across multiple Series of Notes may make the Notes less attractive to purchasers in the secondary market on the occurrence of an Event of Default or in a distress situation. Further, any such amendment, modification to any Notes may adversely affect their trading price.

In the future, the Issuer may issue debt securities which contain collective action clauses in the same form as the collective action clauses in the Conditions. If this occurs, then this could mean that any Series of Notes issued under the Programme would be capable of aggregation with any such future debt securities.

The terms and conditions of the Notes restrict the ability of an individual holder to declare an Event of Default, and permit a majority of holders to rescind a declaration of such a default.

The Notes contain a provision which, if an Event of Default occurs, allows the holders of at least 25 per cent., in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes to declare all the Notes to be immediately due and payable by providing notice in writing to the Issuer, whereupon the Notes shall become immediately due and payable, at their principal amount with accrued interest, without further action or formality.

The Conditions also contain a provision permitting the holders of at least 50 per cent., in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes to notify the Issuer to the effect that the Event of Default or Events of Default giving rise to any above-mentioned declaration is or are cured following any such declaration and that such holders wish the relevant declaration to be withdrawn. The Issuer shall give notice thereof to the Noteholders, whereupon the relevant declaration shall be withdrawn and shall have no further effect.

The Issuer is not required to effect payment under the Notes equally or rateably with payment(s) under its other debt obligations and, in particular, is not required to make payment under the Notes at the same time as or as a condition of paying sums due under its other debt obligations and vice versa

The Notes will at all times rank at least *pari passu* with all other unsecured Public External Indebtedness (as defined in the Terms and Conditions of the Notes) of the Issuer. However, the Issuer will have no obligation to effect payment under the Notes equally or rateably with payment(s) under other unsecured Public External Indebtedness of the Issuer and, in particular, will have no obligation to make payment under the Notes at the same time or as a condition of paying sums due under other unsecured Public External Indebtedness of the Issuer.

Tax consequences of holding the Notes

Any potential investor should consult its own independent tax adviser for more information about the tax consequences of acquiring, owning and disposing of Notes in its particular circumstances, which could follow from, amongst others, the EU Savings Directive. See "*Taxation*" and the risk factor "*—EU Savings Directive*".

Bearer Notes generally may not be offered or sold in the United States or to U.S. persons. Unless an exemption applies, a U.S. person holding a Bearer Note or Coupon will not be entitled to deduct any loss on

the Bearer Note or Coupon and must treat as ordinary income any gain realised on the sale or other disposition (including the receipt of principal) of the Bearer Note or Coupon.

Further Notes may be issued without the consent of Noteholders

The Issuers may from time to time create and issue further Notes without the consent of Noteholders, subject to terms and conditions which are the same as those of existing Notes, or the same except for the amount of the first new payment of interest. Such new Notes may be consolidated and form a single series with outstanding Notes, if such further Notes are able to be treated as fungible for the purposes of US tax and securities laws.

EU Savings Directive

Under EC Council Directive 2003/48/EC on the taxation of savings income (the "**Savings Directive**"), each Member State is required to provide to the tax authorities of another Member State details of payments of interest or other similar income paid by a person within its jurisdiction to, or collected by such a person for, an individual resident or certain limited types of entity established in that other Member State; however, for a transitional period, Austria instead applies a withholding system for a transitional period (subject to a procedure whereby, on meeting certain conditions, the beneficial owner of the interest or other income may request that no tax be withheld) unless during such period it elects otherwise.

A number of non-EU countries, and certain dependent or associated territories of certain Member States, have adopted similar measures (either provision of information or transitional withholding) in relation to payments made by a person within their jurisdiction to, or collected by such a person for, an individual resident or certain limited types of entities established in a Member State. In addition, the Member States have entered into provision of information or transitional withholding arrangements with certain of those dependent or associated territories in relation to payments made by a person in a Member State to, or collected by such a person for, an individual resident or associated territories in relation to payments made by a person in a Member State to, or collected by such a person for, an individual resident or certain limited types of entities established in one of those territories.

The Council of the European Union formally adopted a Council Directive amending the Directive on 24 March 2014 (the "Amending Directive"). The Amending Directive broadens the scope of the requirements and the range of payments described above. Member States have until 1 January 2016 to adopt the national legislation necessary to comply with the Amending Directive which legislation must apply from 1 January 2017. The changes made under the Amending Directive include extending the scope of the Directive to payments made to, or collected for, certain other entities and legal arrangements.

However, the European Commission has proposed the repeal of the Directive from 1 January 2017 in the case of Austria and from 1 January 2016 in the case of all other Member States (subject to on-going requirements to fulfil administrative obligations such as the reporting and exchange of information relating to, and accounting for withholding taxes on, payments made before those dates). This is to prevent overlap between the Directive and a new automatic exchange of information regime to be implemented under Council Directive 2011/16/EU on Administrative Cooperation in the field of Taxation (as amended by Council Directive 2014/107/EU, pursuant to which Member States will be required to apply other new measures on mandatory automatic exchange of information from 1 January 2016 except that Austria is allowed to start applying these measures up to one year later). The proposal also provides that, if it proceeds, Member States will not be required to apply the new requirements of the Amending Directive.

If a payment were to be made or collected through a Member State which has opted for a withholding system and an amount of, or in respect of, tax were to be withheld from that payment pursuant to the Savings Directive, neither the Issuer nor any paying agent nor any other person would be obliged to pay additional amounts with respect to any Note as a result of the imposition of such withholding tax. The Issuer is required to maintain a Paying Agent in a Member State that is not obliged to withhold or deduct tax pursuant to any law implementing the Savings Directive.

Investors who are in any doubt as to their position should consult their professional advisers.

A claimant may face delays in receiving payments under a court judgment or may not be able to enforce a court judgment against certain assets of Romania in certain circumstances

Romania is a sovereign state. Consequently, it may be difficult for investors to obtain judgments of courts in countries outside Romania against Romania. Enforcement of such judgments in Romania may be refused in certain circumstances in the absence of an applicable treaty facilitating such enforcement. There is also a risk

that, notwithstanding the waiver of sovereign immunity by Romania, a claimant will not be able to enforce a court judgment against certain assets of Romania in certain jurisdictions (including the imposition of any arrest order or attachment or seizure of such assets and their subsequent sale) without Romania having specifically consented to such enforcement at the time when the enforcement is sought.

Certain rights and properties of Romania benefit from sovereign immunity under Romanian or international law, which implies, inter alia, that such rights and properties, on the grounds that they belong to the public domain of Romania or of Romanian administrative-territorial units (i.e. counties, cities or villages) cannot (i) be sold or otherwise subjected to transfer of ownership, (ii) constitute security for creditors or be subjected to foreclosure or (iii) be acquired by third parties by prolonged or good-faith possession (i.e. usus capio) or by any other means whatsoever. Such rights and properties include, without limitation, all the assets listed in Article 136, republished, of the Romanian Constitution and Article 859 of the Civil Code of Romania (i.e. subterranean resources of public interest, airspace, waters with hydroelectric potential, areas of national or local interest, beaches, territorial waters, natural resources of the contiguous economic zone and the continental shelf, as well as other assets established by law), the assets listed in the Schedule to the Public Property Law No. 213/1998 as subsequently amended (e.g. electrical energy transportation networks, railway infrastructure and their tunnels, oil and gas pipes, navigable channels, reservoirs and dams etc.), any present or future "premises of the mission" as defined in the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations signed in 1961 (including the furnishings and other property therein and the means of transport of such mission), any "consular premises" as defined in the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations signed in 1963 (including the furnishings and other property therein and the means of transport of such mission) or military property or military assets or property or assets of Romania related thereto, and any other assets that according to the Romanian laws or by their nature are of public use or interest and are acquired by legal means by the Romanian state or by the administrative-territorial units of Romania.

Under the Romanian Government Ordinance No. 22/2002 on the foreclosure of the public institutions' payment obligations under writs of enforcement as amended, the foreclosure of the payment obligations of public institutions (including Romania (as the Issuer)) established through writs of enforcement may only be carried out against the amounts included for such purposes in the relevant public budget. Should there be insufficient amounts in the budget for such purpose, Romania has the benefit of a six month period from the date of receipt of the summons for payment from a competent enforcement officer to fulfil its payment obligations before the relevant creditor may begin foreclosure proceedings against it according to the Code of Civil Procedure or other applicable foreclosure laws. Furthermore, a court of law may grant a grace period or rescheduling of payments at the request of Romania, if Romania evidences that it is unable to meet its obligations towards the relevant creditor because of obligations incumbent on the Issuer according to the law.

Prior to the accession of Romania to the EU, the practice of the Romanian courts was inconsistent when confronted with the request to issue judgments for amounts expressed in a currency other than RON. Following Romania's accession to the EU, such conduct could be deemed in breach of the European law principle of free movement of capital, nevertheless, there can be no assurance that a Romanian court will observe existing European case law. As a result, there may be cases where a Romanian court issues a judgment for amounts expressed in Romanian currency only, irrespective of the original currency of the claim.

The foreign exchange reserves of Romania are controlled and administered by the NBR, which is an independent central bank legally distinct from the government. Accordingly, such reserves would not be available to satisfy any claim or judgment in respect of the Notes.

Romanian courts are not familiar with the concept of insolvency of public authorities, and consequently the procedure for, and enforcement of payment under, the Notes in such circumstances is uncertain.

Change of law

The conditions of the Notes are based on English law in effect as at the date of this Information Memorandum. No assurance can be given as to the impact of any possible judicial decision or change to English law or administrative practice after the date of this Information Memorandum.

Notes where denominations involve integral multiples

In relation to any issue of Notes which have denominations consisting of a minimum Specified Denomination plus one or more higher integral multiples of another smaller amount, it is possible that such Notes may be

traded in amounts that are not integral multiples of such minimum Specified Denomination. In such a case a holder who, as a result of trading such amounts, holds an amount which is less than the minimum Specified Denomination in his account with the relevant clearing system at the relevant time may not receive a definitive Note in respect of such holding (should definitive Notes be printed) and would need to purchase a principal amount of Notes such that its holding amounts to a Specified Denomination.

If definitive Notes are issued, holders should be aware that definitive Notes which have a denomination that is not an integral multiple of the minimum Specified Denomination may be illiquid and difficult to trade.

Reliance on DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg procedures

Notes issued under the Programme will be represented on issue by one or more Global Notes or Global Certificates that may be deposited with a common depositary for Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg or may be deposited with a nominee for DTC. Except in the circumstances described in each Global Note and/or Global Certificate, investors will not be entitled to receive Notes in definitive form. Each of DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg and their respective direct and indirect participants will maintain records of the beneficial interests in each Global Note or Global Certificate held through it. While the Notes are represented by a Global Note or Global Certificate, investors will be able to trade their beneficial interests only through the relevant clearing systems and their respective participants.

While the Notes are represented by Global Notes or Global Certificates, the Issuer will discharge its payment obligation under the Notes by making payments through the relevant clearing systems. A holder of a beneficial interest in a Global Note or Global Certificate must rely on the procedures of the relevant clearing system and its participants to receive payments under the Notes. The Issuer has no responsibility or liability for the records relating to, or payments made in respect of, beneficial interests in any Global Note or Global Certificate.

Holders of beneficial interests in a Global Note or Global Certificate will not have a direct right to vote in respect of the Notes so represented. Instead, such holders will be permitted to act only to the extent that they are enabled by the relevant clearing system and its participants to appoint appropriate proxies.

Transferability of the Notes may be limited under applicable securities laws

The Notes have not been and will not be registered under the Securities Act or the securities laws of any state of the United States or any other jurisdiction. Certain Notes issued under the Programme may not be offered, sold or otherwise transferred in the United States or to, or for the account or benefit of, a U.S. person other than to persons that are QIBs. Each purchaser of Notes will be deemed, by its acceptance of such Notes, to have made certain representations and agreements intended by the Issuer to restrict transfers of Notes as described under "Subscription and Sale" and "Transfer Restrictions". It is the obligation of each purchaser of Notes to ensure that its offers and sales of Notes comply with all applicable securities laws.

Risks related to the market generally

Set out below is a brief description of the principal market risks, including liquidity risk, exchange rate risk, interest rate risk and credit risk:

The secondary market generally

Although an application has been made to list on the Official List of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange and to trade the Notes on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange's regulated market, there is no assurance that such application will be accepted or that an active trading market for the Notes will develop or, if one does develop, that it will be liquid or maintained. Therefore, investors may not be able to sell their Notes easily or at prices that will provide them with a yield comparable to similar investments that have a developed secondary market. This is particularly the case for Notes that are especially sensitive to interest rate, currency or market risks, are designed for specific investment objectives or strategies or have been structured to meet the investment requirements of limited categories of investors. These types of Notes generally would have a more limited secondary market and more price volatility than conventional debt securities. Illiquidity may have a severely adverse effect on the market value of Notes.

The market for securities issued by Romania is influenced by economic and market conditions in Romania and, to a varying degree, economic conditions in other Eastern European markets as well as global, emerging and developed markets generally. There can be no assurance that events which would cause volatility of the sort that occurred in worldwide financial markets in 1998 and 2008-2009, and which have continued to a considerable degree until the present, will not occur again, or that any such volatility will not adversely affect the price or liquidity of the Notes.

In addition, if the Notes are traded after their initial issuance, they may trade at a discount to their initial offering price, depending upon prevailing interest rates, the market for similar securities, general economic conditions and the financial condition of Romania. As a result of the above factors, investors may not be able to sell their Notes easily or at prices that will provide them with a yield comparable to similar investments that have a developed secondary market.

Exchange rate risks and exchange controls

The Issuer will pay principal and interest on the Notes in the Specified Currency. This presents certain risks relating to currency conversions if an investor's financial activities are denominated principally in a currency or currency unit (the "**Investor's Currency**") other than the Specified Currency. These include the risk that exchange rates may significantly change (including changes due to devaluation of the Specified Currency or revaluation of the Investor's Currency) and the risk that authorities with jurisdiction over the Investor's Currency may impose or modify exchange controls. An appreciation in the value of the Investor's Currency relative to the Specified Currency would decrease (1) the Investor's Currency - equivalent yield on the Notes, (2) the Investor's Currency-equivalent value of the principal payable on the Notes and (3) the Investor's Currency-equivalent market value of the Notes.

Currently there are no exchange control restrictions in place in Romania. However, it may be mentioned in the context of notes with a maturity of less than one year that, if significant short term foreign currency inflows were to exercise sufficient pressure on the foreign exchange market and significantly affect the central bank's monetary and foreign exchange policies, with resulting impact on internal liquidity and material deterioration of the payments balance, under the NBR Regulation No. 4/2005 on foreign exchange operations, the NBR may activate certain safeguard measures. These safeguard measures may consist of: obliging residents and non- residents to notify the NBR of their intention to enter into short-term capital foreign exchange transactions; setting thresholds and other limitations for short-term capital foreign exchange transactions which generate capital inflows and outflows by residents and non-residents; temporarily withholding, in an account domiciled with the NBR, certain incoming/outgoing amounts denominated in RON or foreign currency resulting from short-term capital foreign exchange transactions and which generate capital inflows and outflows by residents and non-residents; applying a fee on transactions made on the foreign exchange market; increasing minimum reserve requirements for amounts representing short-term capital inflows, held by residents or non-residents with credit institutions; setting maturity restrictions for certain short-term capital foreign exchange transactions; restricting the introduction of new short-term capital foreign exchange transactions; and introducing additional monitoring measures concerning capital foreign exchange transactions and/or currency control measures. Nevertheless, by virtue of NBR Regulation No. 4/2005, the enforcement of such measures cannot extend beyond a period of six months and should be notified to the EC (and stopped, if so requested by the EC). They must also apply without discrimination and may not be directed solely against a particular transaction or entity.

Interest rate risks

Investment in Fixed Rate Notes involves the risk that subsequent changes in market interest rates may adversely affect the value of the Fixed Rate Notes.

Legal investment considerations may restrict certain investments

The investment activities of certain investors are subject to legal investment laws and regulations, or review or regulation by certain authorities. Each potential investor should consult its legal advisers to determine whether and to what extent (1) Notes are legal investments for it, (2) Notes can be used as collateral for various types of borrowing and (3) other restrictions apply to its purchase or pledge of any Notes. Financial institutions should consult their legal advisors or the appropriate regulators to determine the appropriate treatment of Notes under any applicable risk-based capital or similar rules.

INFORMATION INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

All amendments and supplements to this Information Memorandum prepared by the Issuer from time to time shall be deemed to be incorporated in, and form part of, this document save that any statement contained herein or any documents incorporated by reference in, and forming part of, this Information Memorandum shall be deemed to be modified or superseded for the purpose of this Information Memorandum to the extent that a statement contained in any document subsequently incorporated by reference modifies or supersedes such statement.

Copies of the documents specified above as containing information incorporated by reference in this Information Memorandum may be inspected, free of charge, at the specified office of the Paying Agent. Any information contained in any of the documents specified above which is not incorporated by reference in this Information Memorandum is either not relevant to investors or is covered elsewhere in this Information Memorandum.

FINAL TERMS AND DRAWDOWN INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

In this section the expression "**necessary information**" means, in relation to any Tranche of Notes, the information necessary to enable investors to make an informed assessment of the assets and liabilities, financial position, profits and losses and prospects of the Issuer and of the rights attaching to the Notes. In relation to the different types of Notes which may be issued under the Programme the Issuer has endeavoured to include in this Information Memorandum all of the necessary information except for information relating to the Notes which is not known at the date of this Information Memorandum and which can only be determined at the time of an individual issue of a Tranche of Notes.

Any information relating to the Notes which is not included in this Information Memorandum and which is required in order to complete the necessary information in relation to a Tranche of Notes will be contained either in the relevant Final Terms or in a Drawdown Information Memorandum. Such information will be contained in the relevant Final Terms unless any of such information constitutes a significant new factor relating to the information contained in this Information Memorandum in which case such information, together with all of the other necessary information in relation to the relevant series of Notes, may be contained in a Drawdown Information Memorandum.

For a Tranche of Notes which is the subject of Final Terms, those Final Terms will, for the purposes of that Tranche only, supplement this Information Memorandum and must be read in conjunction with this Information Memorandum. The terms and conditions applicable to any particular Tranche of Notes which is the subject of Final Terms are the Conditions as supplemented, amended and/or replaced to the extent described in the relevant Final Terms.

The terms and conditions applicable to any particular Tranche of Notes which is the subject of a Drawdown Information Memorandum will be the Conditions as supplemented, amended and/or replaced to the extent described in the relevant Drawdown Information Memorandum. In the case of a Tranche of Notes which is the subject of a Drawdown Information Memorandum, each reference in this Information Memorandum to information being specified or identified in the relevant Drawdown Information Memorandum Information Shall be read and construed as a reference to such information being specified or identified in the relevant Drawdown Information Memorandum unless the context requires otherwise.

Each Drawdown Information Memorandum will be constituted either (1) by a single document containing the necessary information relating to the Issuer and the relevant Notes or (2) by a registration document (the "**Registration Document**") containing the necessary information relating to the Issuer, a securities note (the "**Securities Note**") containing the necessary information relating to the relevant Notes and, if necessary, a summary note. In addition, if the Drawdown Information Memorandum is constituted by a Registration Document and a Securities Note, any significant new factor, material mistake or inaccuracy relating to the information included in the Registration Document which arises or is noted between the date of the Registration Document and the date of the Securities Note which is capable of affecting the assessment of the relevant Notes will be included in the Securities Note.

FORMS OF THE NOTES

Bearer Notes

Each Tranche of Notes in bearer form ("Bearer Notes") will initially be in the form of either a temporary global note in bearer form (the "Temporary Global Note"), without interest coupons, or a permanent global note in bearer form (the "Permanent Global Note"), without interest coupons, in each case as specified in the relevant Final Terms. Each Temporary Global Note or, as the case may be, Permanent Global Note (each a "Global Note") which is not intended to be issued in New Global Note ("NGN") form, as specified in the relevant Final Terms, will be deposited on or around the issue date of the relevant Tranche of the Notes with a depositary or a common depositary for Euroclear Bank S.A./N.V. as operator of the Euroclear System ("Euroclear") and/or Clearstream Banking, société anonyme, Luxembourg ("Clearstream, Luxembourg") and/or any other relevant Final Terms, will be deposited on or around the issue date of the relevant Tranche of the relevant Tranche of the relevant Clear System and each Global Note which is intended to be issued in NGN form, as specified in the relevant Final Terms, will be deposited on or around the issue date of the relevant to be issued in NGN form, as specified in the relevant Final Terms, will be deposited on or around the issue date of the relevant Tranche of the relevant Tranche of the relevant Tranche of the relevant Tranche of the relevant Final Terms, will be deposited on or around the issue date of the relevant Tranche of the relevant Tranche of the Notes with a common safekeeper for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg.

On 13 June 2006, the ECB announced that Notes in NGN form are in compliance with the "Standards for the use of EU securities settlement systems in ESCB credit operations" of the central banking system for the euro (the "**Eurosystem**"), **provided that** certain other criteria are fulfilled. At the same time the ECB also announced that arrangements for Notes in NGN form will be offered by Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg as of 30 June 2006 and that debt securities in global bearer form issued through Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg after 31 December 2006 will only be eligible as collateral for Eurosystem operations if the NGN form is used.

While any Bearer Note issued in accordance with the United States Treasury Regulation §1.163-5(c)(2)(i)(D) or any successor rules in substantially the same form as the rules in such regulations for purposes of Section 4701 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "**TEFRA D Rules**") is represented by a Temporary Global Note, payments of principal and interest (if any) due prior to the Exchange Date (as defined below) will be made against presentation of the Temporary Global Note only to the extent that certification (substantially in the form to be provided) to the effect that the beneficial owners of such Temporary Global Note are not U.S. persons or persons who have purchased for resale to any U.S. person, as required by U.S. Treasury regulations, has been received by the relevant clearing system(s) and the relevant clearing system(s) has or have given a like certification (based on the certifications it has or they have received) to the Paying Agent. Any reference in this section to the relevant clearing system(s) shall mean the clearing and/or settlement system(s) specified in the applicable Final Terms.

In the case of each Tranche of Bearer Notes, the relevant Final Terms will also specify whether United States Treasury Regulation 1.163-5(c)(2)(i)(C) or any successor rules in substantially the same form as the rules in such regulations for purposes of Section 4701 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the **"TEFRA C Rules"**) or TEFRA D Rules are applicable in relation to the Notes or, if the Notes do not have a maturity of more than 365 days, that neither the TEFRA C Rules nor the TEFRA D Rules are applicable.

Temporary Global Note exchangeable for Permanent Global Note

If the relevant Final Terms specifies the form of Notes as being "Temporary Global Note exchangeable for a Permanent Global Note", then the Notes will initially be in the form of a Temporary Global Note which will be exchangeable, in whole or in part, for interests in a Permanent Global Note, without interest coupons, not earlier than 40 days after the issue date (the "**Exchange Date**") of the relevant Tranche of the Notes upon certification as to non-U.S. beneficial ownership. No payments will be made under the Temporary Global Note unless exchange for interests in the Permanent Global Note is improperly withheld or refused. In addition, interest payments in respect of the Notes cannot be collected without such certification of non-U.S. beneficial ownership.

1. Whenever any interest in the Temporary Global Note is to be exchanged for an interest in a Permanent Global Note, the Issuer shall procure (in the case of first exchange) the delivery of a Permanent Global Note, duly authenticated and, in the case of a Permanent Global Note in NGN form, effectuated, to the bearer of the Temporary Global Note or (in the case of any subsequent exchange) an increase in the principal amount of the Notes represented by the Permanent Global Note in accordance with its terms against:

- (a) presentation and (in the case of final exchange) presentation and surrender of the Temporary Global Note to or to the order of the Fiscal Agent; and
- (b) receipt by the Fiscal Agent of a certificate or certificates of non-U.S. beneficial ownership,

within 7 days of the bearer requesting such exchange.

The principal amount of Notes represented by the Permanent Global Note shall be equal to the aggregate of the principal amounts specified in the certificates of non-U.S. beneficial ownership **provided**, **however**, **that** in no circumstances shall the principal amount of Notes represented by the Permanent Global Note exceed the initial principal amount of Notes represented by the Temporary Global Note.

- 2. If:
 - (a) the Permanent Global Note has not been delivered or the principal amount thereof increased by 5.00 p.m. (London time) on the seventh day after the bearer of the Temporary Global Note has requested exchange of an interest in the Temporary Global Note for an interest in a Permanent Global Note; or
 - (b) the Temporary Global Note (or any part thereof) has become due and payable in accordance with the Terms and Conditions of the Notes or the date for final redemption of the Temporary Global Note has occurred and, in either case, payment in full of the amount of principal falling due with all accrued interest thereon has not been made to the bearer of the Temporary Global Note in accordance with the terms of the Temporary Global Note on the due date for payment,

then the Temporary Global Note (including the obligation to deliver a Permanent Global Note) will become void at 5.00 p.m. (London time) on such seventh day (in the case of (a) above) or at 5.00 p.m. (London time) on such due date (in the case of (b) above) and the bearer of the Temporary Global Note will have no further rights thereunder (but without prejudice to the rights which the bearer of the Temporary Global Note or others may have under the Deed of Covenant).

- 3. The Permanent Global Note will become exchangeable, in whole but not in part only and at the request of the bearer of the Permanent Global Note, for Bearer Notes in definitive form ("**Definitive Notes**"):
 - (a) on the expiry of such period of notice as may be specified in the Final Terms; or
 - (b) at any time, if so specified in the Final Terms; or
 - (c) if the Final Terms specifies "in the limited circumstances described in the Permanent Global Note", then if either of the following events occurs:
 - (i) Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg or any other relevant clearing system is closed for business for a continuous period of 14 days (other than by reason of legal holidays) or announces an intention permanently to cease business; or
 - (ii) any of the circumstances described in Condition 13 (*Events of Default*) occurs.

Whenever the Permanent Global Note is to be exchanged for Definitive Notes, the Issuer shall procure the prompt delivery (free of charge to the bearer) of such Definitive Notes, duly authenticated and with Coupons and Talons attached (if so specified in the Final Terms), in an aggregate principal amount equal to the principal amount of Notes represented by the Permanent Global Note to the bearer of the Permanent Global Note against the surrender of the Permanent Global Note to or to the order of the Fiscal Agent within 30 days of the bearer requesting such exchange.

4. If:

- (a) Definitive Notes have not been duly delivered by 5.00 p.m. (London time) on the thirtieth day after the bearer has requested exchange of the Permanent Global Note for Definitive Notes; or
- (b) the Permanent Global Note was originally issued in exchange for part only of a Temporary Global Note representing the Notes and such Temporary Global Note becomes void in accordance with its terms; or

(c) the Permanent Global Note (or any part thereof) has become due and payable in accordance with the Terms and Conditions of the Notes or the date for final redemption of the Permanent Global Note has occurred and, in either case, payment in full of the amount of principal falling due with all accrued interest thereon has not been made to the bearer in accordance with the terms of the Permanent Global Note on the due date for payment,

then the Permanent Global Note (including the obligation to deliver Definitive Notes) will become void at 5.00 p.m. (London time) on such thirtieth day (in the case of (a) above) or at 5.00 p.m. (London time) on the date on which such Temporary Global Note becomes void (in the case of (b) above) or at 5.00 p.m. (London time) on such due date ((c) above) and the bearer of the Permanent Global Note will have no further rights thereunder (but without prejudice to the rights which the bearer of the Permanent Global Note or others may have under the Deed of Covenant).

Temporary Global Note exchangeable for Definitive Notes

If the relevant Final Terms specifies the form of Notes as being "Temporary Global Note exchangeable for Definitive Notes" and also specifies that the TEFRA C Rules are applicable or that neither the TEFRA C Rules or the TEFRA D Rules are applicable, then the Notes will initially be in the form of a Temporary Global Note which will be exchangeable, in whole but not in part, for Bearer Notes in definitive form ("**Definitive Notes**") not earlier than 40 days after the issue date of the relevant Tranche of the Notes.

If the relevant Final Terms specifies the form of Notes as being "Temporary Global Note exchangeable for Definitive Notes" and also specifies that the TEFRA D Rules are applicable, then the Notes will initially be in the form of a Temporary Global Note which will be exchangeable, in whole or in part, for Definitive Notes not earlier than 40 days after the issue date of the relevant Tranche of the Notes upon certification as to non-U.S. beneficial ownership. Interest payments in respect of the Notes cannot be collected without such certification of non-U.S. beneficial ownership.

Whenever the Temporary Global Note is to be exchanged for Definitive Notes, the Issuer shall procure the prompt delivery (free of charge to the bearer) of such Definitive Notes, duly authenticated and with Coupons and Talons attached (if so specified in the relevant Final Terms), in an aggregate principal amount equal to the principal amount of Notes represented by the Temporary Global Note to the bearer of the Temporary Global Note against the surrender of the Temporary Global Note to or to the order of the Fiscal Agent within 30 days of the bearer requesting such exchange.

5. If:

- (a) Definitive Notes have not been duly delivered by 5.00 p.m. (London time) on the thirtieth day after the bearer has requested exchange of the Temporary Global Note for Definitive Notes; or
- (b) the Temporary Global Note (or any part thereof) has become due and payable in accordance with the Terms and Conditions of the Notes or the date for final redemption of the Temporary Global Note has occurred and, in either case, payment in full of the amount of principal falling due with all accrued interest thereon has not been made to the bearer in accordance with the terms of the Temporary Global Note on the due date for payment,

then the Temporary Global Note (including the obligation to deliver Definitive Notes) will become void at 5.00 p.m. (London time) on such thirtieth day (in the case of (a) above) or at 5.00 p.m. (London time) on such due date (in the case of (b) above) and the bearer of the Temporary Global Note will have no further rights thereunder (but without prejudice to the rights which the bearer of the Temporary Global Note or others may have under the Deed of Covenant).

Permanent Global Note exchangeable for Definitive Notes

- 6. If the relevant Final Terms specifies the form of Notes as being "Permanent Global Note exchangeable for Definitive Notes", then the Notes will initially be in the form of a Permanent Global Note which will be exchangeable in whole, but not in part, for Definitive Notes:
 - (a) on the expiry of such period of notice as may be specified in the relevant Final Terms; or
 - (b) at any time, if so specified in the relevant Final Terms; or

- (c) if the relevant Final Terms specifies "in the limited circumstances described in the Permanent Global Note", then if either of the following events occurs:
 - (i) Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg or any other relevant clearing system is closed for business for a continuous period of 14 days (other than by reason of legal holidays) or announces an intention permanently to cease business or
 - (ii) any of the circumstances described in Condition 13 (*Events of Default*) occurs.

Whenever the Permanent Global Note is to be exchanged for Definitive Notes, the Issuer shall procure the prompt delivery (free of charge to the bearer) of such Definitive Notes, duly authenticated and with Coupons and Talons attached (if so specified in the relevant Final Terms), in an aggregate principal amount equal to the principal amount of the Permanent Global Note to the bearer of the Permanent Global Note against the surrender of the Permanent Global Note to or to the order of the Fiscal Agent within 30 days of the bearer requesting such exchange.

7. If:

- (a) Definitive Notes have not been duly delivered by 5.00 p.m. (London time) on the thirtieth day after the bearer has requested exchange of the Permanent Global Note for Definitive Notes; or
- (b) the Permanent Global Note (or any part thereof) has become due and payable in accordance with the Terms and Conditions of the Notes or the date for final redemption of the Permanent Global Note has occurred and, in either case, payment in full of the amount of principal falling due with all accrued interest thereon has not been made to the bearer in accordance with the terms of the Permanent Global Note on the due date for payment,

then the Permanent Global Note (including the obligation to deliver Definitive Notes) will become void at 5.00 p.m. (London time) on such thirtieth day (in the case of (a) above) or at 5.00 p.m. (London time) on such due date ((b) above) and the bearer of the Permanent Global Note will have no further rights thereunder (but without prejudice to the rights which the bearer of the Permanent Global Note or others may have under the Deed of Covenant).

Legend concerning United States persons

In the case of any Tranche of Bearer Notes having a maturity of more than 365 days, the Notes in global form, the Notes in definitive form and any Coupons and Talons appertaining thereto will bear a legend to the following effect:

"Any United States person who holds this obligation will be subject to limitations under the United States income tax laws, including the limitations provided in Sections 165(j) and 1287(a) of the Internal Revenue Code."

Registered Notes

Each Tranche of Notes in registered form ("Registered Notes") will be represented by either:

- (i) individual Note Certificates in registered form ("Individual Note Certificates"); or
- (ii) one or more unrestricted global note certificates ("Unrestricted Global Note Certificate(s)") in the case of Registered Notes sold outside the United States to non-U.S. persons in reliance on Regulation S ("Unrestricted Registered Notes") and/or one or more restricted global note certificates ("Restricted Global Note Certificate(s)") in the case of Registered Notes sold to QIBs in reliance on Rule 144A ("Restricted Registered Notes"),

in each case as specified in the relevant Final Terms, and references in this Information Memorandum to "Global Note Certificates" shall be construed as a reference to Unrestricted Global Note Certificates and/or Restricted Global Note Certificates.

In a press release dated 22 October 2008, "Evolution of the custody arrangement for international debt securities and their eligibility in Eurosystem credit operations", the ECB announced that it has assessed the new holding structure and custody arrangements for registered notes which the ICSDs had designed in cooperation with market participants and that Notes to be held under the New structure (the "New Safekeeping **Structure**" or "NSS") would be in compliance with the "*Standards for the use of EU securities settlement systems in ESCB credit operations*" of the central banking system for the euro (the "**Eurosystem**"), subject to the conclusion of the necessary legal and contractual arrangements. The press release also stated that the new arrangements for Notes to be held in NSS form will be offered by Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg as of 30 June 2010 and that registered debt securities in global registered form issued through Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg after 30 September 2010 will only be eligible as collateral in Eurosystem operations if the New Safekeeping Structure is used.

Each Note represented by an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will either be: (a) in the case of a Certificate which is not to be held under the New Safekeeping Structure, registered in the name of a common depositary (or its nominee) for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg registered in the name of Cede & Co. as nominee for DTC if such Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will be held for the benefit of Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg through DTC and/or any other relevant clearing system and the relevant Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will be deposited on or about the issue date with the common depositary or such other nominee or custodian; or (b) in the case of an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate to be held under the New Safekeeping Structure, be registered in the name of a common safekeeper (or its nominee) for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system and the relevant Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will be deposited on or about the issue date with the common depositary or such other nominee or custodian; or (b) in the case of an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate to be held under the New Safekeeping Structure, be registered in the name of a common safekeeper (or its nominee) for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system and the relevant Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will be deposited on or about the issue date with the common safekeeper for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg.

Each Note represented by a Restricted Global Note Certificate will be registered in the name of Cede & Co. (or such other entity as is specified in the applicable Final Terms) as nominee for The Depositary Trust Company ("**DTC**") and the relevant Restricted Global Note Certificate will be deposited on or about the issue date with the custodian for DTC (the "**DTC Custodian**"). Beneficial interests in Notes represented by a Restricted Global Note Certificate may only be held through DTC at any time.

If the relevant Final Terms specifies the form of Notes as being "Individual Note Certificates", then the Notes will at all times be represented by Individual Note Certificates issued to each Noteholder in respect of their respective holdings.

Global Note Certificate exchangeable for Individual Note Certificates

If the relevant Final Terms specifies the form of Notes as being "Global Note Certificate exchangeable for Individual Note Certificates", then the Notes will initially be represented by one or more Global Note Certificates each of which will be exchangeable in whole, but not in part, for Individual Note Certificates:

- (i) on the expiry of such period of notice as may be specified in the relevant Final Terms; or
- (ii) at any time, if so specified in the relevant Final Terms; or
- (iii) if the relevant Final Terms specifies "in the limited circumstances described in the Global Note Certificate", then:
 - (a) in the case of any Global Note Certificate held by or on behalf of DTC, if DTC notifies the Issuer that it is no longer willing or able to discharge properly its responsibilities as depositary with respect to the Global Note Certificate or DTC ceases to be a "clearing agency" registered under the U.S. Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") or if at any time DTC is no longer eligible to act as such, and the relevant Issuer is unable to locate a qualified successor within 90 days of receiving notice or becoming aware of such ineligibility on the part of DTC;
 - (b) in the case of any Unrestricted Global Note Certificate held by or on behalf of Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg or any other relevant clearing system, if Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg or any other relevant clearing system is closed for business for a continuous period of 14 days (other than by reason of legal holidays) or announces an intention permanently to cease business; and
 - (c) in any case, if any of the circumstances described in Condition 13 (*Events of Default*) occurs.

Whenever a Global Note Certificate is to be exchanged for Individual Note Certificates, each person having an interest in a Global Note Certificate must provide the Registrar (through the relevant clearing system) with such information as the Issuer and the Registrar may require to complete and deliver Individual Note Certificates (including the name and address of each person in which the Notes represented by the Individual Note Certificates are to be registered and the principal amount of each such person's holding). In addition, whenever a Restricted Global Note Certificate is to be exchanged for Individual Note Certificates, each person having an interest in the Restricted Global Note Certificate must provide the Registrar (through the relevant clearing system) with a certificate given by or on behalf of the holder of each beneficial interest in the Restricted Global Note Certificate stating either (i) that such holder is not transferring its interest at the time of such exchange or (ii) that the transfer or exchange of such interest has been made in compliance with the transfer restrictions applicable to the Notes and that the person transferring such interest reasonably believes that the person acquiring such interest is a QIB and is obtaining such beneficial interest in a transaction meeting the requirements of Rule 144A. Individual Note Certificates issued in exchange for interests in the Restricted Global Note Certificate will bear the legends and be subject to the transfer restrictions set out under "*Transfer Restrictions*".

Whenever a Global Note Certificate is to be exchanged for Individual Note Certificates, the Issuer shall procure that Individual Note Certificates will be issued in an aggregate principal amount equal to the principal amount of the Global Note Certificate within five business days of the delivery, by or on behalf of the registered holder of the Global Note Certificate to the Registrar of such information as is required to complete and deliver such Individual Note Certificates against the surrender of the Global Note Certificate at the specified office of the Registrar.

Such exchange will be effected in accordance with the provisions of the Agency Agreement and the regulations concerning the transfer and registration of Notes scheduled to the Agency Agreement and, in particular, shall be effected without charge to any holder, but against such indemnity as the Registrar may require in respect of any tax or other duty of whatsoever nature which may be levied or imposed in connection with such exchange.

Terms and Conditions applicable to the Notes

The terms and conditions applicable to any Definitive Note or Individual Note Certificate will consist of the terms and conditions set out under "*Terms and Conditions of the Notes*" and the provisions of the relevant Final Terms which supplement, amend and/or replace those terms and conditions.

The terms and conditions applicable to any Note in global form will differ from those terms and conditions which would apply to the Note were it in definitive form to the extent described under "Summary of Provisions Relating to the Notes while in Global Form".

Rights under Deed of Covenant

Under the Deed of Covenant, persons shown in the records of DTC, Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system as being entitled to an interest in a Temporary Global Note or a Permanent Global Note which becomes void will acquire directly against the Issuer all those rights to which they would have been entitled if, immediately before the Temporary Global Note or Permanent Global Note became void, they had been the holders of Definitive Notes in an aggregate principal amount equal to the principal amount of Notes they were shown as holding in the records of DTC, Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES

The following is the text of the terms and conditions which, as supplemented, amended and/or replaced by the relevant Final Terms will be endorsed on each Note in definitive form issued under the Programme. The terms and conditions applicable to any Note in global form will differ from those terms and conditions which would apply to the Note were it in definitive form to the extent described under "Summary of Provisions Relating to the Notes while in Global Form".

1 Introduction

- (a) **Programme**: Romania (the "**Issuer**") has established a Global Medium Term Note Programme (the "**Programme**") for the issuance of up to EUR 18,000,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of notes (the "**Notes**").
- (b) Final Terms: Notes issued under the Programme are issued in series (each a "Series") and each Series may comprise one or more tranches (each a "Tranche") of Notes. Each Tranche is the subject of a final terms (the "Final Terms") which supplements these terms and conditions (the "Conditions"). The terms and conditions applicable to any particular Tranche of Notes are these Conditions as supplemented, amended and/or replaced by the relevant Final Terms. In the event of any inconsistency between these Conditions and the relevant Final Terms, the relevant Final Terms shall prevail.
- (c) Agency Agreement: The Notes are the subject of an amended and restated issue and paying agency agreement dated 21 May 2015 (the "Agency Agreement") between the Issuer, Société Générale Bank & Trust as fiscal agent (the "Fiscal Agent", which expression includes any successor fiscal agent appointed from time to time in connection with the Notes) and Citibank N.A., London Branch as registrar (the "Registrar", which expression includes any successor registrar appointed from time to time in connection with the Notes), paying agent (together with the Fiscal Agent, the "Paying Agents", which expression includes any successor or additional paying agent appointed from time to time in connection with the Notes) and transfer agent (the "Transfer Agent", which expression includes any successor or additional transfer agent appointed from time to time in connection with the Notes). In these Conditions references to the "Agents" are to the Paying Agents and the Transfer Agent and any reference to an "Agent" is to any one of them.
- (d) The Notes: The Notes may be issued in bearer form ("Bearer Notes"), or in registered form ("Registered Notes"). All subsequent references in these Conditions to "Notes" are to the Notes which are the subject of the relevant Final Terms. Copies of the relevant Final Terms are available for viewing at the Specified Office of the Fiscal Agent and the Registrar and copies may be obtained from the Specified Office of the Fiscal Agent and the Registrar.
- (e) Summaries: Certain provisions of these Conditions are summaries of the Agency Agreement and are subject to the detailed provisions of the Agency Agreement. Noteholders (as defined herein) and the holders of the related interest coupons, if any (the "Couponholders" and the "Coupons", respectively) are bound by, and are deemed to have notice of, all the provisions of the Agency Agreement applicable to them. Copies of the Agency Agreement are available for inspection by Noteholders during normal business hours at the Specified Offices of each of the Agents, the initial Specified Offices of which are set out below.

2 Interpretation

(a) *Definitions*: In these Conditions the following expressions have the following meanings:

"Accrual Yield" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Additional Business Centre(s)" means the city or cities specified as such in the relevant Final Terms;

"Additional Financial Centre(s)" means the city or cities specified as such in the relevant Final Terms;

"Business Day" means:

- (A) in relation to any sum payable in euro, a TARGET Settlement Day and a day on which commercial banks and foreign exchange markets settle payments generally in each (if any) Additional Business Centre; and
- (B) in relation to any sum payable in a currency other than euro, a day on which commercial banks and foreign exchange markets settle payments generally in the Principal Financial Centre of the relevant currency and in each (if any) Additional Business Centre;

"**Business Day Convention**", in relation to any particular date, has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms and, if so specified in the relevant Final Terms, may have different meanings in relation to different dates and, in this context, the following expressions shall have the following meanings:

- (A) **"Following Business Day Convention**" means that the relevant date shall be postponed to the first following day that is a Business Day;
- (B) **"Modified Following Business Day Convention**" or **"Modified Business Day Convention**" means that the relevant date shall be postponed to the first following day that is a Business Day unless that day falls in the next calendar month in which case that date will be the first preceding day that is a Business Day;
- (C) **"Preceding Business Day Convention**" means that the relevant date shall be brought forward to the first preceding day that is a Business Day;
- (D) **"FRN Convention"**, **"Floating Rate Convention"** or **"Eurodollar Convention"** means that each relevant date shall be the date which numerically corresponds to the preceding such date in the calendar month which is the number of months specified in the relevant Final Terms as the Specified Period after the calendar month in which the preceding such date occurred **provided**, **however, that**:
 - (i) if there is no such numerically corresponding day in the calendar month in which any such date should occur, then such date will be the last day which is a Business Day in that calendar month;
 - (ii) if any such date would otherwise fall on a day which is not a Business Day, then such date will be the first following day which is a Business Day unless that day falls in the next calendar month, in which case it will be the first preceding day which is a Business Day; and
 - (iii) if the preceding such date occurred on the last day in a calendar month which was a Business Day, then all subsequent such dates will be the last day which is a Business Day in the calendar month which is the specified number of months after the calendar month in which the preceding such date occurred; and
- (E) **"No Adjustment**" means that the relevant date shall not be adjusted in accordance with any Business Day Convention;

"**Calculation Agent**" means the Fiscal Agent or such other Person specified in the relevant Final Terms as the party responsible for calculating the Rate(s) of Interest and Interest Amount(s) and/or such other amount(s) as may be specified in the relevant Final Terms;

"Calculation Amount" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Coupon Sheet" means, in respect of a Note, a coupon sheet relating to the Note;

"**Day Count Fraction**" means, in respect of the calculation of an amount for any period of time (the "**Calculation Period**"), such day count fraction as may be specified in these Conditions or the relevant Final Terms and:

- (A) if "Actual/Actual (ICMA)" is so specified, means:
 - (i) where the Calculation Period is equal to or shorter than the Regular Period during which it falls, the actual number of days in the Calculation Period divided by the product of (1) the actual number of days in such Regular Period and (2) the number of Regular Periods in any year; and

- (ii) where the Calculation Period is longer than one Regular Period, the sum of:
 - (A) the actual number of days in such Calculation Period falling in the Regular Period in which it begins divided by the product of (1) the actual number of days in such Regular Period and (2) the number of Regular Periods in any year; and
 - (B) the actual number of days in such Calculation Period falling in the next Regular Period divided by the product of (a) the actual number of days in such Regular Period and (2) the number of Regular Periods in any year;
- (iii) if "Actual/365" or "Actual/Actual (ISDA)" is so specified, means the actual number of days in the Calculation Period divided by 365 (or, if any portion of the Calculation Period falls in a leap year, the sum of (A) the actual number of days in that portion of the Calculation Period falling in a leap year divided by 366 and (B) the actual number of days in that portion of the Calculation Period falling in a non-leap year divided by 365);
- (iv) if "Actual/365 (Fixed)" is so specified, means the actual number of days in the Calculation Period divided by 365;
- (v) if "Actual/360" is so specified, means the actual number of days in the Calculation Period divided by 360;
- (vi) if "**30/360**" is so specified, the number of days in the Calculation Period divided by 360, calculated on a formula basis as follows:

Day Count Fraction=
$$\frac{[360 \times (Y_2 - Y_1)] + [30 \times (M_2 - M_1)] + (D_2 - D_1)}{360}$$

where:

"Y1" is the year, expressed as a number, in which the first day of the Calculation Period falls;

"Y2" is the year, expressed as a number, in which the day immediately following the last day included in the Calculation Period falls;

"M1" is the calendar month, expressed as a number, in which the first day of the Calculation Period falls;

"M2" is the calendar month, expressed as number, in which the day immediately following the last day included in the Calculation Period falls;

"D1" is the first calendar day, expressed as a number, of the Calculation Period, unless such number would be 31, in which case D1 will be 30; and

"D2" is the calendar day, expressed as a number, immediately following the last day included in the Calculation Period, unless such number would be 31 and D1 is greater than 29, in which case D2 will be 30";

(vii) if "**30E/360**" or "**Eurobond Basis**" is so specified, the number of days in the Calculation Period divided by 360, calculated on a formula basis as follows:

Day Count Fraction=
$$\frac{[360 \times (Y_2 - Y_1)] + [30 \times (M_2 - M_1)] + (D_2 - D_1)}{360}$$

where:

"Y1" is the year, expressed as a number, in which the first day of the Calculation Period falls;

"Y2" is the year, expressed as a number, in which the day immediately following the last day included in the Calculation Period falls;

"M1" is the calendar month, expressed as a number, in which the first day of the Calculation Period falls;

"M2" is the calendar month, expressed as a number, in which the day immediately following the last day included in the Calculation Period falls;

"D1" is the first calendar day, expressed as a number, of the Calculation Period, unless such number would be 31, in which case D1 will be 30; and "D2" is the calendar day, expressed as a number, immediately following the last day included in the Calculation Period, unless such number would be 31, in which case D2 will be 30; and

(viii) if "**30E/360 (ISDA)**" is so specified, the number of days in the Calculation Period divided by 360, calculated on a formula basis as follows:

Day Count Fraction= $\frac{[360 \times (Y_2 - Y_1)] + [30 \times (M_2 - M_1)] + (D_2 - D_1)}{360}$

where:

"Y1" is the year, expressed as a number, in which the first day of the Calculation Period falls;

"Y2" is the year, expressed as a number, in which the day immediately following the last day included in the Calculation Period falls;

"M1" is the calendar month, expressed as a number, in which the first day of the Calculation Period falls;

"M2" is the calendar month, expressed as a number, in which the day immediately following the last day included in the Calculation Period falls;

"D1" is the first calendar day, expressed as a number, of the Calculation Period, unless (i) that day is the last day of February or (ii) such number would be 31, in which case D1 will be 30; and **"D2**" is the calendar day, expressed as a number, immediately following the last day included in the Calculation Period, unless (i) that day is the last day of February but not the Maturity Date or (ii) such number would be 31, in which case D2 will be 30,

provided, **however**, **that** in each such case the number of days in the Calculation Period is calculated from and including the first day of the Calculation Period to but excluding the last day of the Calculation Period;

"Early Termination Amount" means, in respect of any Note, its principal amount or such other amount as may be specified in, or determined in accordance with, these Conditions or the relevant Final Terms;

"Extraordinary Resolution" has the meaning given in the Agency Agreement;

"Final Redemption Amount" means, in respect of any Note, its principal amount or such other amount as may be specified in, or determined in accordance with, the relevant Final Terms;

"First Interest Payment Date" means the date specified in the relevant Final Terms;

"Fixed Coupon Amount" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Holder", in the case of Bearer Notes, has the meaning given in Condition 3(b) (*Form, Denomination, Title and Transfer—Title to Bearer Notes*) and, in the case of Registered Notes, has the meaning given in Condition 3(d) (*Form, Denomination, Title and Transfer—Title to Registered Notes*);

"Interest Amount" means, in relation to a Note and an Interest Period, the amount of interest payable in respect of that Note for that Interest Period;

"Interest Commencement Date" means the Issue Date of the Notes or such other date as may be specified as the Interest Commencement Date in the relevant Final Terms;

"Interest Determination Date" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Interest Payment Date" means the First Interest Payment Date and any other date or dates specified as such in, or determined in accordance with the provisions of, the relevant Final Terms and, if a Business Day Convention is specified in the relevant Final Terms:

- (A) as the same may be adjusted in accordance with the relevant Business Day Convention; or
- (B) if the Business Day Convention is the FRN Convention, Floating Rate Convention or Eurodollar Convention and an interval of a number of calendar months is specified in the relevant Final Terms as being the Specified Period, each of such dates as may occur in accordance with the FRN Convention, Floating Rate Convention or Eurodollar Convention at such Specified Period of calendar months following the Interest Commencement Date (in the case of the first Interest Payment Date) or the previous Interest Payment Date (in any other case);

"Interest Period" means each period beginning on (and including) the Interest Commencement Date or any Interest Payment Date and ending on (but excluding) the next Interest Payment Date;

"**ISDA Definitions**" means the 2000 ISDA Definitions (as amended and updated as at the date of issue of the first Tranche of the Notes of the relevant Series (as specified in the relevant Final Terms) as published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc.) or, if so specified in the relevant Final Terms, the 2006 ISDA Definitions (as amended and updated as at the date of issue of the first Tranche of the Notes of the relevant Series (as specified in the relevant Final Terms) as published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc.) or, if so specified in the first Tranche of the Notes of the relevant Series (as specified in the relevant Final Terms) as published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc.);

"Issue Date" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Margin" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Maturity Date" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Maximum Rate of Interest" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Maximum Redemption Amount" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Member State" means a member state of the European Economic Area;

"Minimum Rate of Interest" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"**Noteholder**", in the case of Bearer Notes, has the meaning given in Condition 3(b) (*Form, Denomination, Title and Transfer—Title to Bearer Notes*) and, in the case of Registered Notes, has the meaning given in Condition 3(d) (*Form, Denomination, Title and Transfer—Title to Registered Notes*);

"Optional Redemption Amount (Call)" means, in respect of any Note, its principal amount or such other amount as may be specified in, or determined in accordance with, the relevant Final Terms;

"Optional Redemption Amount (Put)" means, in respect of any Note, its principal amount or such other amount as may be specified in, or determined in accordance with, the relevant Final Terms;

"Optional Redemption Date (Call)" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Optional Redemption Date (Put)" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Participating Member State" means a Member State of the European Union which adopts the euro as its lawful currency in accordance with the Treaty;

"Payment Business Day" means:

(A) if the currency of payment is euro, any day which is:

- (i) a day on which banks in the relevant place of presentation (if presentation is required) are open for presentation and payment of bearer debt securities and for dealings in foreign currencies; and
- (ii) in the case of payment by transfer to an account, a TARGET Settlement Day and a day on which dealings in foreign currencies may be carried on in each (if any) Additional Financial Centre; or

- (B) if the currency of payment is not euro, any day which is:
 - (i) a day on which banks in the relevant place of presentation (if presentation is required) are open for presentation and payment of bearer debt securities and for dealings in foreign currencies; and
 - (ii) in the case of payment by transfer to an account, a day on which dealings in foreign currencies may be carried on in the Principal Financial Centre of the currency of payment and in each (if any) Additional Financial Centre;

"**Person**" means any individual, company, corporation, firm, partnership, joint venture, association, organisation, state or agency of a state or other entity, whether or not having separate legal personality;

"Principal Financial Centre" means, in relation to any currency, the principal financial centre for that currency provided, however, that:

- (A) in relation to euro, it means the principal financial centre of such Participating Member State as is selected (in the case of a payment) by the payee or (in the case of a calculation) by the Calculation Agent; and
- (B) in relation to Australian dollars, it means either Sydney or Melbourne and, in relation to New Zealand dollars, it means either Wellington or Auckland; in each case as is selected (in the case of a payment) by the payee or (in the case of a calculation) by the Calculation Agent;

"**Put Option**" means a put option in accordance with the provisions of Condition 10 (d) (*Redemption at the option of Noteholders*);

"**Put Option Notice**" means a notice which must be delivered to a Paying Agent by any Noteholder wanting to exercise a right to redeem a Note at the option of the Noteholder;

"**Put Option Receipt**" means a receipt issued by a Paying Agent to a depositing Noteholder upon deposit of a Note with such Paying Agent by any Noteholder wanting to exercise a right to redeem a Note at the option of the Noteholder;

"**Rate of Interest**" means the rate or rates (expressed as a percentage per annum) of interest payable in respect of the Notes specified in the relevant Final Terms or calculated or determined in accordance with the provisions of these Conditions and/or the relevant Final Terms;

"**Redemption Amount**" means, as appropriate, the Final Redemption Amount, the Optional Redemption Amount (Call), the Optional Redemption Amount (Put), the Early Termination Amount or such other amount in the nature of a redemption amount as may be specified in, or determined in accordance with the provisions of, the relevant Final Terms;

"**Reference Banks**" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms or, if none, four major banks selected by the Calculation Agent in the market that is most closely connected with the Reference Rate;

"Reference Price" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Reference Rate" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Regular Period" means:

- (A) in the case of Notes where interest is scheduled to be paid only by means of regular payments, each period from and including the Interest Commencement Date to but excluding the first Interest Payment Date and each successive period from and including one Interest Payment Date to but excluding the next Interest Payment Date;
- (B) in the case of Notes where, apart from the first Interest Period, interest is scheduled to be paid only by means of regular payments, each period from and including a Regular Date falling in any year to but excluding the next Regular Date, where "Regular Date" means the day and month (but not the year) on which any Interest Payment Date falls; and
- (C) in the case of Notes where, apart from one Interest Period other than the first Interest Period, interest is scheduled to be paid only by means of regular payments, each period from and

including a Regular Date falling in any year to but excluding the next Regular Date, where "**Regular Date**" means the day and month (but not the year) on which any Interest Payment Date falls other than the Interest Payment Date falling at the end of the irregular Interest Period;

"**Relevant Date**" means, in relation to any payment, whichever is the later of (a) the date on which the payment in question first becomes due and (b) if the full amount payable has not been received in the Principal Financial Centre of the currency of payment by the Fiscal Agent on or prior to such due date, the date on which (the full amount having been so received) notice to that effect has been given to the Noteholders;

"Relevant Financial Centre" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"**Relevant Screen Page**" means the page, section or other part of a particular information service (including, without limitation, Reuters) specified as the Relevant Screen Page in the relevant Final Terms, or such other page, section or other part as may replace it on that information service or such other information service, in each case, as may be nominated by the Person providing or sponsoring the information appearing there for the purpose of displaying rates or prices comparable to the Reference Rate;

"Relevant Time" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Security Interest" means any mortgage, charge, pledge, lien or other security interest including, without limitation, anything analogous to any of the foregoing under the laws of any jurisdiction;

"Specified Currency" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Specified Denomination(s)" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Specified Office" has the meaning given in the Agency Agreement;

"Specified Period" has the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms;

"Talon" means a talon for further Coupons;

"TARGET2" means the Trans-European Automated Real-Time Gross Settlement Express Transfer payment system which utilises a single shared platform and which was launched on 19 November 2007;

"TARGET Settlement Day" means any day on which TARGET2 is open for the settlement of payments in euro;

"Treaty" means the Treaty establishing the European Communities, as amended; and

"Zero Coupon Note" means a Note specified as such in the relevant Final Terms.

- (b) *Interpretation*: In these Conditions:
 - (i) if the Notes are Zero Coupon Notes, references to Coupons and Couponholders are not applicable;
 - (ii) if Talons are specified in the relevant Final Terms as being attached to the Notes at the time of issue, references to Coupons shall be deemed to include references to Talons;
 - (iii) if Talons are not specified in the relevant Final Terms as being attached to the Notes at the time of issue, references to Talons are not applicable;
 - (iv) any reference to principal shall be deemed to include the Redemption Amount, any additional amounts in respect of principal which may be payable under Condition 12 (*Taxation*), any premium payable in respect of a Note and any other amount in the nature of principal payable pursuant to these Conditions;
 - (v) any reference to interest shall be deemed to include any additional amounts in respect of interest which may be payable under Condition 12 (*Taxation*) and any other amount in the nature of interest payable pursuant to these Conditions;

- (vi) references to Notes being "**outstanding**" shall be construed in accordance with the Agency Agreement;
- (vii) if an expression is stated in Condition 2(a) (*Definitions*) to have the meaning given in the relevant Final Terms, but the relevant Final Terms gives no such meaning or specifies that such expression is "not applicable" then such expression is not applicable to the Notes; and
- (viii) any reference to the Agency Agreement shall be construed as a reference to the Agency Agreement, as the case may be, as amended and/or supplemented up to and including the Issue Date of the Notes.

3 Form, Denomination, Title and Transfer

- (a) Bearer Notes: Bearer Notes are in the Specified Denomination(s) with Coupons and, if specified in the relevant Final Terms, Talons attached at the time of issue. In the case of a Series of Bearer Notes with more than one Specified Denomination, Bearer Notes of one Specified Denomination will not be exchangeable for Bearer Notes of another Specified Denomination.
- (b) Title to Bearer Notes: Title to Bearer Notes and the Coupons will pass by delivery. In the case of Bearer Notes, "Holder" means the holder of such Bearer Note and "Noteholder" and "Couponholder" shall be construed accordingly.
- (c) Registered Notes: Registered Notes are in the Specified Denomination(s), which may include a minimum denomination specified in the relevant Final Terms and higher integral multiples of a smaller amount specified in the relevant Final Terms.
- (d) Title to Registered Notes: The Registrar will maintain the registers in accordance with the provisions of the Agency Agreement. A certificate (each, a "Note Certificate") will be issued to each Holder of Registered Notes in respect of its registered holding. Each Note Certificate will be numbered serially with an identifying number which will be recorded in the Register. In the case of Registered Notes, "Holder" means the person in whose name such Registered Note is for the time being registered in the Register (or, in the case of a joint holding, the first named thereof) and "Noteholder" shall be construed accordingly.
- (e) **Ownership**: The Holder of any Note or Coupon shall (except as otherwise required by law) be treated as its absolute owner for all purposes (whether or not it is overdue and regardless of any notice of ownership, trust or any other interest therein, any writing thereon or, in the case of Registered Notes, on the Note Certificate relating thereto (other than the endorsed form of transfer) or any notice of any previous loss or theft thereof) and no Person shall be liable for so treating such Holder. No person shall have any right to enforce any term or condition of any Note under the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999.
- (f) **Transfers of Registered Notes**: Subject to paragraphs (i) (*Closed periods*) and (j) (*Regulations concerning transfers and registration*) below, a Registered Note may be transferred upon surrender of the relevant Note Certificate, with the endorsed form of transfer duly completed, at the Specified Office of the Registrar or the Transfer Agent, together with such evidence as the Registrar or (as the case may be) the Transfer Agent may reasonably require to prove the title of the transferor and the authority of the individuals who have executed the form of transfer; provided, however, that a Registered Note may not be transferred unless the principal amount of Registered Notes transferred and (where not all of the Registered Notes held by a Holder are being transferred) the principal amount of the balance of Registered Notes not transferred are Specified Denominations. Where not all the Registered Notes represented by the surrendered Note Certificate are the subject of the transfer, a new Note Certificate in respect of the balance of the Registered Notes will be issued to the transferor.
- (g) Registration and delivery of Note Certificates: Within five business days of the surrender of a Note Certificate in accordance with paragraph (f) (*Transfers of Registered Notes*) above, the Registrar will register the transfer in question and deliver a new Note Certificate of a like principal amount to the Registered Notes transferred to each relevant Holder at its Specified Office or (as the case may be) the Specified Office of the Transfer Agent or (at the request and risk of any such relevant Holder) by uninsured first class mail (airmail if overseas) to the address specified for the purpose by such relevant

Holder. In this paragraph, "**business day**" means a day on which commercial banks are open for general business (including dealings in foreign currencies) in the city where the Registrar or (as the case may be) the Transfer Agent has its Specified Office.

- (h) No charge: The transfer of a Registered Note will be effected without charge by or on behalf of the Issuer or the Registrar or the Transfer Agent but against such indemnity as the Registrar or (as the case may be) the Transfer Agent may require in respect of any tax or other duty of whatsoever nature which may be levied or imposed in connection with such transfer.
- (i) *Closed periods*: Noteholders may not require transfers to be registered during the period of 15 days ending on the due date for any payment of principal or interest in respect of the Registered Notes.
- (j) Regulations concerning transfers and registration: All transfers of Registered Notes and entries on the Register are subject to the detailed regulations concerning the transfer of Registered Notes scheduled to the Agency Agreement. The regulations may be changed by the Issuer with the prior written approval of the Registrar. A copy of the current regulations will be mailed (free of charge) by the Registrar to any Noteholder who requests in writing a copy of such regulations.

4 Status

The Notes and Coupons constitute direct, unconditional and unsecured obligations of the Issuer which rank and will at all times rank *pari passu*, without preference among themselves, with all other unsecured Public External Indebtedness (as defined in Condition 5 (*Negative Pledge*)) of the Issuer, from time to time outstanding, provided, however, that the Issuer shall have no obligation to effect equal or rateable payment(s) at any time with respect to any such other Public External Indebtedness and, in particular, the Issuer shall have no obligation to pay other Public External Indebtedness at the same time or as a condition of paying sums due on the Notes and/or Coupons and *vice versa*.

5 Negative Pledge

So long as any Note remains outstanding (as defined in the Agency Agreement) the Issuer will not create or permit to subsist any Security Interest other than a Permitted Security Interest (as defined below) in any of its property or assets to secure Public External Indebtedness of the Issuer unless (i) the Notes are secured equally and rateably with such Public External Indebtedness or (ii) the Notes have the benefit of such other security, guarantee, indemnity or other arrangement as shall be substantially equivalent.

"Permitted Security Interest" means:

- (a) any Security Interest upon property (or any revenues therefrom) to secure Public External Indebtedness incurred for the purpose of financing the acquisition or construction of such property;
- (b) any Security Interest existing on any property (or any revenues therefrom) at the time of its acquisition;
- (c) any Security Interest securing Public External Indebtedness incurred for the purpose of Project Financing provided that (i) the holders of such Public External Indebtedness expressly agree to limit their recourse to the assets and revenues of such project as the principal source of repayment of such Public External Indebtedness and (ii) the property over which such Security Interest is granted consists solely of such assets and revenues;
- (d) any Security Interest existing on the original date of issue of each series of Notes; and
- (e) the renewal or extension of any Security Interest described in subparagraphs (a) to (d) above, **provided that** the principal amount of the Public External Indebtedness secured thereby is not increased.

"**Project Financing**" means any arrangement for the provision of funds which are to be used solely to finance a project for the acquisition, construction, development, or exploitation of any property.

"**Public External Indebtedness**" means any obligations (other than the Notes) for borrowed monies that are (i) denominated or payable in a currency or by reference to a currency other than the lawful currency of Romania and (ii) evidenced or represented by bonds, notes or other securities which are for the time being or

are capable of being or intended to be quoted, listed or ordinarily dealt in on any stock exchange, automated trading system, over-the-counter or other securities market.

6 Fixed Rate Note Provisions

- (a) *Application*: This Condition 6 (*Fixed Rate Note Provisions*) is applicable to the Notes only if the Fixed Rate Note Provisions are specified in the relevant Final Terms as being applicable.
- (b) Accrual of interest: The Notes bear interest from the Interest Commencement Date at the Rate of Interest payable in arrear on each Interest Payment Date, subject as provided in Condition 11(A) (Payments Bearer Notes) and Condition 11(B) (Payments Registered Notes). Each Note will cease to bear interest from the due date for final redemption unless, upon due presentation, payment of the Redemption Amount is improperly withheld or refused, in which case it will continue to bear interest in accordance with this Condition 6 (as well after as before judgment) until whichever is the earlier of (i) the day on which all sums due in respect of such Note up to that day are received by or on behalf of the relevant Noteholder and (ii) the day which is seven days after the Fiscal Agent has notified the Noteholders that it has received all sums due in respect of the Notes up to such seventh day (except to the extent that there is any subsequent default in payment).
- (c) Fixed Coupon Amount: The amount of interest payable in respect of each Note for any Interest Period shall be the relevant Fixed Coupon Amount and, if the Notes are in more than one Specified Denomination, shall be the relevant Fixed Coupon Amount in respect of the relevant Specified Denomination.
- (d) Calculation of interest amount: The amount of interest payable in respect of each Note for any period for which a Fixed Coupon Amount is not specified shall be calculated by applying the Rate of Interest to the Calculation Amount, multiplying the product by the relevant Day Count Fraction, rounding the resulting figure to the nearest sub-unit of the Specified Currency (half a sub-unit being rounded upwards) and multiplying such rounded figure by a fraction equal to the Specified Denomination of such Note divided by the Calculation Amount. For this purpose a "sub-unit" means, in the case of any currency other than euro, the lowest amount of such currency that is available as legal tender in the country of such currency and, in the case of euro, means one cent.

7 Floating Rate Note and Index-Linked Interest Note Provisions

- (a) *Application*: This Condition 7 (*Floating Rate Note and Index-Linked Interest Note Provisions*) is applicable to the Notes only if the Floating Rate Note Provisions or the Index-Linked Interest Note Provisions are specified in the relevant Final Terms as being applicable.
- (b) Accrual of interest: The Notes bear interest from the Interest Commencement Date at the Rate of Interest payable in arrear on each Interest Payment Date, subject as provided in Condition 11(A) (Payments—Bearer Notes) and Condition 11(B) (Payments—Registered Notes). Each Note will cease to bear interest from the due date for final redemption unless payment of the Redemption Amount is improperly withheld or refused, in which case it will continue to bear interest in accordance with this Condition 7 (Floating Rate Note and Index-Linked Interest Note Provisions) (as well after as before judgment) until whichever is the earlier of (i) the day on which all sums due in respect of such Note up to that day are received by or on behalf of the relevant Noteholder and (ii) the day which is seven days after the Fiscal Agent has notified the Noteholders that it has received all sums due in respect of the Notes up to such seventh day (except to the extent that there is any subsequent default in payment).
- (c) **Screen Rate Determination**: If Screen Rate Determination is specified in the relevant Final Terms as the manner in which the Rate(s) of Interest is/are to be determined, the Rate of Interest applicable to the Notes for each Interest Period will be determined by the Calculation Agent on the following basis:
 - (i) if the Reference Rate is a composite quotation or customarily supplied by one entity, the Calculation Agent will determine the Reference Rate which appears on the Relevant Screen Page as of the Relevant Time on the relevant Interest Determination Date;
 - (ii) if Linear Interpolation is specified as applicable in respect of an Interest Period in the applicable Final Terms, the Rate of Interest for such Interest Period shall be calculated by the Calculation Agent by straight-line linear interpolation by reference to two rates which appear on the

Relevant Screen Page as of the Relevant Time on the relevant Interest Determination Date, where:

- (A) one rate shall be determined as if the relevant Interest Period were the period of time for which rates are available next shorter than the length of the relevant Interest Period; and
- (B) the other rate shall be determined as if the relevant Interest Period were the period of time for which rates are available next longer than the length of the relevant Interest Period;

provided, however, that if no rate is available for a period of time next shorter or, as the case may be, next longer than the length of the relevant Interest Period, then the Calculation Agent shall determine such rate at such time and by reference to such sources as it determines appropriate;

- (iii) in any other case, the Calculation Agent will determine the arithmetic mean of the Reference Rates which appear on the Relevant Screen Page as of the Relevant Time on the relevant Interest Determination Date;
- (iv) if, in the case of (i) above, such rate does not appear on that page or, in the case of (iii) above, fewer than two such rates appear on that page or if, in either case, the Relevant Screen Page is unavailable, the Calculation Agent will:
 - (A) request the principal Relevant Financial Centre office of each of the Reference Banks to provide a quotation of the Reference Rate at approximately the Relevant Time on the Interest Determination Date to prime banks in the Relevant Financial Centre interbank market in an amount that is representative for a single transaction in that market at that time; and
 - (B) determine the arithmetic mean of such quotations; and
- (v) if fewer than two such quotations are provided as requested, the Calculation Agent will determine the arithmetic mean of the rates (being the nearest to the Reference Rate, as determined by the Calculation Agent) quoted by major banks in the Principal Financial Centre of the Specified Currency, selected by the Calculation Agent, at approximately 11.00 a.m. (local time in the Principal Financial Centre of the Specified Currency) on the first day of the relevant Interest Period for loans in the Specified Currency to leading European banks for a period equal to the relevant Interest Period and in an amount that is representative for a single transaction in that market at that time,

and the Rate of Interest for such Interest Period shall be the sum of the Margin and the rate or (as the case may be) the arithmetic mean so determined; **provided**, **however**, **that** if the Calculation Agent is unable to determine a rate or (as the case may be) an arithmetic mean in accordance with the above provisions in relation to any Interest Period, the Rate of Interest applicable to the Notes during such Interest Period will be the sum of the Margin and the rate or (as the case may be) the arithmetic mean last determined in relation to the Notes in respect of a preceding Interest Period.

- (d) ISDA Determination: If ISDA Determination is specified in the relevant Final Terms as the manner in which the Rate(s) of Interest is/are to be determined, the Rate of Interest applicable to the Notes for each Interest Period will be the sum of the Margin and the relevant ISDA Rate where "ISDA Rate" in relation to any Interest Period means a rate equal to the Floating Rate (as defined in the ISDA Definitions) that would be determined by the Calculation Agent under an interest rate swap transaction if the Calculation Agent were acting as Calculation Agent for that interest rate swap transaction under the terms of an agreement incorporating the ISDA Definitions and under which:
 - (i) the Floating Rate Option (as defined in the ISDA Definitions) is as specified in the relevant Final Terms;
 - (ii) the Designated Maturity (as defined in the ISDA Definitions) is a period specified in the relevant Final Terms;
 - (iii) the relevant Reset Date (as defined in the ISDA Definitions) is either (A) if the relevant Floating Rate Option is based on the London inter-bank offered rate (LIBOR) for a currency,

the first day of that Interest Period or (B) in any other case, as specified in the relevant Final Terms; and

- (iv) if Linear Interpolation is specified as applicable in respect of an Interest Period in the applicable Final Terms, the Rate of Interest for such Interest Period shall be calculated by the Calculation Agent by straight-line linear interpolation by reference to two rates based on the relevant Floating Rate Option, where:
 - (A) one rate shall be determined as if the Designated Maturity were the period of time for which rates are available next shorter than the length of the relevant Interest Period; and
 - (B) the other rate shall be determined as if the Designated Maturity were the period of time for which rates are available next longer than the length of the relevant Interest Period,

provided, however, that if there is no rate available for a period of time next shorter than the length of the relevant Interest Period or as the case may be, next longer than the length of the relevant Interest Period, then the Calculation Agent shall determine such rate at such time and by reference to such sources as it determines appropriate.

- (e) *Index-Linked Interest*: If the Index-Linked Interest Note Provisions are specified in the relevant Final Terms as being applicable, the Rate(s) of Interest applicable to the Notes for each Interest Period will be determined in the manner specified in the relevant Final Terms.
- (f) *Maximum or Minimum Rate of Interest*: If any Maximum Rate of Interest or Minimum Rate of Interest is specified in the relevant Final Terms, then the Rate of Interest shall in no event be greater than the maximum or be less than the minimum so specified.
- (g) **Calculation of Interest Amount**: The Calculation Agent will, as soon as practicable after the time at which the Rate of Interest is to be determined in relation to each Interest Period, calculate the Interest Amount payable in respect of each Note for such Interest Period. The Interest Amount will be calculated by applying the Rate of Interest for such Interest Period to the Calculation Amount, multiplying the product by the relevant Day Count Fraction, rounding the resulting figure to the nearest sub-unit of the Specified Currency (half a sub-unit being rounded upwards) and multiplying such rounded figure by a fraction equal to the Specified Denomination of the relevant Note divided by the Calculation Amount. For this purpose a "**sub-unit**" means, in the case of any currency other than euro, the lowest amount of such currency that is available as legal tender in the country of such currency and, in the case of euro, means one cent.
- (h) Calculation of other amounts: If the relevant Final Terms specifies that any other amount is to be calculated by the Calculation Agent, the Calculation Agent will, as soon as practicable after the time or times at which any such amount is to be determined, calculate the relevant amount.

The relevant amount will be calculated by the Calculation Agent in the manner specified in the relevant Final Terms.

- (i) Publication: The Calculation Agent will cause each Rate of Interest and Interest Amount determined by it, together with the relevant Interest Payment Date, and any other amount(s) required to be determined by it together with any relevant payment date(s) to be notified to the Paying Agents and each competent authority, stock exchange and/or quotation system (if any) by which the Notes have then been admitted to listing, trading and/or quotation as soon as practicable after such determination but (in the case of each Rate of Interest, Interest Amount and Interest Payment Date) in any event not later than the first day of the relevant Interest Period. Notice thereof shall also promptly be given to the Noteholders. The Calculation Agent will be entitled to recalculate any Interest Amount (on the basis of the foregoing provisions) without notice in the event of an extension or shortening of the relevant Interest Period. If the Calculation Amount is less than the minimum Specified Denomination the Calculation Amount and the Interest Amount in respect of a Note having the minimum Specified Denomination.
- (j) *Notifications etc*: All notifications, opinions, determinations, certificates, calculations, quotations and decisions given, expressed, made or obtained for the purposes of this Condition 7 (*Floating Rate Note and Index-Linked Interest Note Provisions*) by the Calculation Agent will (in the absence of manifest

error) be binding on the Issuer, the Paying Agents, the Noteholders and the Couponholders and (subject as aforesaid) no liability to any such Person will attach to the Calculation Agent in connection with the exercise or non-exercise by it of its powers, duties and discretions for such purposes.

8 Zero Coupon Note Provisions

- (a) *Application*: This Condition 8 (*Zero Coupon Note Provisions*) is applicable to the Notes only if the Zero Coupon Note Provisions are specified in the relevant Final Terms as being applicable.
- (b) *Late payment on Zero Coupon Notes*: If the Redemption Amount payable in respect of any Zero Coupon Note is improperly withheld or refused, the Redemption Amount shall thereafter be an amount equal to the sum of:
 - (i) the Reference Price; and
 - (ii) the product of the Accrual Yield (compounded annually) being applied to the Reference Price on the basis of the relevant Day Count Fraction from (and including) the Issue Date to (but excluding) whichever is the earlier of (i) the day on which all sums due in respect of such Note up to that day are received by or on behalf of the relevant Noteholder and (ii) the day which is seven days after the Fiscal Agent has notified the Noteholders that it has received all sums due in respect of the Notes up to such seventh day (except to the extent that there is any subsequent default in payment).

9 Dual Currency Note Provisions

- (a) *Application*: This Condition 9 (*Dual Currency Note Provisions*) is applicable to the Notes only if the Dual Currency Note Provisions are specified in the relevant Final Terms as being applicable.
- (b) *Rate of Interest*: If the rate or amount of interest falls to be determined by reference to an exchange rate, the rate or amount of interest payable shall be determined in the manner specified in the relevant Final Terms.

10 Redemption and Purchase

- (a) **Scheduled redemption**: Unless previously redeemed, or purchased and cancelled, the Notes will be redeemed at their Final Redemption Amount on the Maturity Date, subject as provided in Condition 11 (*Payments*).
- (b) Redemption at the option of the Issuer: If the Call Option is specified in the relevant Final Terms as being applicable, the Notes may be redeemed at the option of the Issuer in whole or, if so specified in the relevant Final Terms, in part on any Optional Redemption Date (Call) at the relevant Optional Redemption Amount (Call) on the Issuer's giving not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' notice to the Noteholders in accordance with Condition 21 (Notices) (which notice shall be irrevocable and shall oblige the Issuer to redeem the Notes or, as the case may be, the Notes specified in such notice on the relevant Optional Redemption Date (Call) at the Optional Redemption Amount (Call) plus accrued interest (if any) to such date).
- (c) **Partial redemption:** If the Notes are to be redeemed in part only on any date in accordance with Condition 10(b) (*Redemption at the option of the Issuer*), in the case of Bearer Notes, the Notes to be redeemed shall be selected by the drawing of lots in such place as the Fiscal Agent approves and in such manner as the Fiscal Agent considers appropriate, subject to compliance with applicable law, the rules of each competent authority, stock exchange and/or quotation system (if any) by which the Notes have then been admitted to listing, trading and/or quotation and the notice to Noteholders referred to in Condition 10(b) (*Redemption at the option of the Issuer*) shall specify the serial numbers of the Notes so to be redeemed and, in the case of Registered Notes, each Note shall be redeemed in part in the proportion which the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes to be redeemed on the relevant Optional Redemption Date (Call) bears to the aggregate principal amount of outstanding Notes on such date. If any Maximum Redemption Amount or Minimum Redemption Amount is specified in the relevant Final Terms, then the Optional Redemption Amount (Call) shall in no event be greater than the maximum or be less than the minimum so specified.

- **Redemption at the option of Noteholders:** If the Put Option is specified in the relevant Final Terms as (d) being applicable, the Issuer shall, at the option of the holder of any Note redeem such Note on the Optional Redemption Date (Put) specified in the relevant Put Option Notice at the relevant Optional Redemption Amount (Put) together with interest (if any) accrued to (but excluding) such date. In order to exercise the option contained in this Condition 10(d), the holder of a Note must, not less than 30 nor more than 60 days before the relevant Optional Redemption Date (Put), deposit with any Paying Agent such Note together with all unmatured Coupons relating thereto and a duly completed Put Option Notice in the form obtainable from any Paying Agent. The Paying Agent with which a Note is so deposited shall deliver a duly completed Put Option Receipt to the depositing Noteholder. No Note, once deposited with a duly completed Put Option Notice in accordance with this Condition 10(d), may be withdrawn; provided, however, that if, prior to the relevant Optional Redemption Date (Put), any such Note becomes immediately due and payable or, upon due presentation of any such Note on the relevant Optional Redemption Date (Put), payment of the redemption moneys is improperly withheld or refused, the relevant Paying Agent shall mail notification thereof to the depositing Noteholder at such address as may have been given by such Noteholder in the relevant Put Option Notice and shall hold such Note at its Specified Office for collection by the depositing Noteholder against surrender of the relevant Put Option Receipt. For so long as any outstanding Note is held by a Paying Agent in accordance with this Condition 10(d), the depositor of such Note and not such Paying Agent shall be deemed to be the holder of such Note for all purposes.
- (e) *No other redemption*: The Issuer shall not be entitled to redeem the Notes otherwise than as provided in paragraphs (a) to (d) above.
- (f) *Early redemption of Zero Coupon Notes*: Unless otherwise specified in the relevant Final Terms, the Redemption Amount payable on redemption of a Zero Coupon Note at any time before the Maturity Date shall be an amount equal to the sum of:
 - (i) the Reference Price; and
 - (ii) the product of the Accrual Yield (compounded annually) being applied to the Reference Price from (and including) the Issue Date to (but excluding) the date fixed for redemption or (as the case may be) the date upon which the Note becomes due and payable.

Where such calculation is to be made for a period which is not a whole number of years, the calculation in respect of the period of less than a full year shall be made on the basis of such Day Count Fraction as may be specified in the Final Terms for the purposes of this Condition 10(f) or, if none is so specified, a Day Count Fraction of 30E/360.

- (g) **Purchase**: The Issuer may at any time purchase Notes in the open market or otherwise and at any price, **provided that** all unmatured Coupons are purchased therewith.
- (h) *Cancellation*: All Notes so redeemed or purchased by the Issuer and any unmatured Coupons attached to or surrendered with them shall be cancelled and may not be reissued or resold.

11 Payments

(A) **Bearer Notes**

This Condition 11(A) is only applicable to Bearer Notes.

- (a) Principal: Payments of principal shall be made (where applicable) only against presentation and (provided that payment is made in full) surrender of Bearer Notes at the Specified Office of any Paying Agent outside the United States by cheque drawn in the currency in which the payment is due on, or by transfer to an account denominated in that currency (or, if that currency is euro, any other account to which euro may be credited or transferred) and maintained by the payee with, a bank in the Principal Financial Centre of that currency (in the case of a sterling cheque, a town clearing branch of a bank in the City of London).
- (b) *Interest*: Payments of interest shall, subject to paragraph (h) below, be made only against presentation and (**provided that** payment is made in full) surrender of the appropriate Coupons at the Specified Office of any Paying Agent outside the United States in the manner described in paragraph (a) above.

- (c) *Payments in New York City*: Payments of principal or interest may be made at the Specified Office of a Paying Agent in New York City if (i) the Issuer has appointed Paying Agents outside the United States with the reasonable expectation that such Paying Agents will be able to make payment of the full amount of the interest on the Notes in the currency in which the payment is due when due, (ii) payment of the full amount of such interest at the offices of all such Paying Agents is illegal or effectively precluded by exchange controls or other similar restrictions and (iii) payment is permitted by applicable United States law.
- (d) *Payments subject to fiscal laws*: All payments in respect of the Bearer Notes are subject in all cases to any applicable fiscal or other laws and regulations in the place of payment, but without prejudice to the provisions of Condition 12 (*Taxation*). No commissions or expenses shall be charged to the Noteholders or Couponholders in respect of such payments.
- (e) *Deductions for unmatured Coupons*: If the relevant Final Terms specifies that the Fixed Rate Note Provisions are applicable and a Bearer Note is presented without all unmatured Coupons relating thereto:
 - (i) if the aggregate amount of the missing Coupons is less than or equal to the amount of principal due for payment, a sum equal to the aggregate amount of the missing Coupons will be deducted from the amount of principal due for payment; provided, however, that if the gross amount available for payment is less than the amount of principal due for payment, the sum deducted will be that proportion of the aggregate amount of such missing Coupons which the gross amount actually available for payment bears to the amount of principal due for payment;
 - (ii) if the aggregate amount of the missing Coupons is greater than the amount of principal due for payment:
 - (A) so many of such missing Coupons shall become void (in inverse order of maturity) as will result in the aggregate amount of the remainder of such missing Coupons (the "Relevant Coupons") being equal to the amount of principal due for payment; provided, however, that where this sub-paragraph would otherwise require a fraction of a missing Coupon to become void, such missing Coupon shall become void in its entirety; and
 - (B) a sum equal to the aggregate amount of the Relevant Coupons (or, if less, the amount of principal due for payment) will be deducted from the amount of principal due for payment; provided, however, that, if the gross amount available for payment is less than the amount of principal due for payment, the sum deducted will be that proportion of the aggregate amount of the Relevant Coupons (or, as the case may be, the amount of principal due for payment) which the gross amount actually available for payment bears to the amount of principal due for payment.

Each sum of principal so deducted shall be paid in the manner provided in paragraph (a) above against presentation and (**provided that** payment is made in full) surrender of the relevant missing Coupons. No payments will be made in respect of void coupons.

- (f) Unmatured Coupons void: If the relevant Final Terms specifies that this Condition 11(A)(f) is applicable or that the Floating Rate Note Provisions or the Index-Linked Interest Note Provisions are applicable, on the due date for final redemption of any Note or early redemption in whole of such Note pursuant to Condition 10(d) (*Redemption at the option of Noteholders*), Condition 10(b) (*Redemption at the option of the Issuer*) or Condition 13 (*Events of Default*), all unmatured Coupons relating thereto (whether or not still attached) shall become void and no payment will be made in respect thereof.
- (g) *Payments on business days*: If the due date for payment of any amount in respect of any Bearer Note or Coupon is not a Payment Business Day in the place of presentation, the holder shall not be entitled to payment in such place of the amount due until the next succeeding Payment Business Day in such place and shall not be entitled to any further interest or other payment in respect of any such delay.

- (h) *Payments other than in respect of matured Coupons*: Payments of interest other than in respect of matured Coupons shall be made only against presentation of the relevant Notes at the Specified Office of any Paying Agent outside the United States.
- (i) *Partial payments*: If a Paying Agent makes a partial payment in respect of any Bearer Note or Coupon presented to it for payment, such Paying Agent will endorse thereon a statement indicating the amount and date of such payment.
- (j) Exchange of Talons: On or after the maturity date of the final Coupon which is (or was at the time of issue) part of a Coupon Sheet relating to the Notes, the Talon forming part of such Coupon Sheet may be exchanged at the Specified Office of the Fiscal Agent for a further Coupon Sheet (including, if appropriate, a further Talon but excluding any Coupons in respect of which claims have already become void pursuant to Condition 14 (*Prescription*). Upon the due date for redemption of any Bearer Note, any unexchanged Talon relating to such Note shall become void and no Coupon will be delivered in respect of such Talon.

(B) Registered Notes

This Condition 11(B) is only applicable to Registered Notes.

- (a) Principal: Payments of principal shall be made by cheque drawn in the currency in which the payment is due drawn on, or, upon application by a Holder of a Registered Note to the Specified Office of the Fiscal Agent not later than the fifteenth day before the due date for any such payment, by transfer to an account denominated in that currency (or, if that currency is euro, any other account to which euro may be credited or transferred) and maintained by the payee with, a bank in the Principal Financial Centre of that currency (in the case of a sterling cheque, a town clearing branch of a bank in the City of London) and (in the case of redemption) upon surrender (or, in the case of part payment only, endorsement) of the relevant Note Certificates at the Specified Office of any Paying Agent.
- (b) Interest: Payments of interest shall be made by cheque drawn in the currency in which the payment is due drawn on, or, upon application by a Holder of a Registered Note to the Specified Office of the Fiscal Agent not later than the fifteenth day before the due date for any such payment, by transfer to an account denominated in that currency (or, if that currency is euro, any other account to which euro may be credited or transferred) and maintained by the payee with, a bank in the Principal Financial Centre of that currency (in the case of a sterling cheque, a town clearing branch of a bank in the City of London) and (in the case of interest payable on redemption) upon surrender (or, in the case of part payment only, endorsement) of the relevant Note Certificates at the Specified Office of any Paying Agent.
- (c) *Payments subject to fiscal laws*: All payments in respect of the Registered Notes are subject in all cases to any applicable fiscal or other laws and regulations in the place of payment, but without prejudice to the provisions of Condition 12 (*Taxation*). No commissions or expenses shall be charged to the Noteholders in respect of such payments.
- (d) Payments on business days: Where payment is to be made by transfer to an account, payment instructions (for value the due date, or, if the due date is not Payment Business Day, for value the next succeeding Payment Business Day) will be initiated and, where payment is to be made by cheque, the cheque will be mailed (i) (in the case of payments of principal and interest payable on redemption) on the later of the due date for payment and the day on which the relevant Note Certificate is surrendered (or, in the case of payments of interest payable other than on redemption) on the due date for payment. A Holder of a Registered Note shall not be entitled to any interest or other payment in respect of any delay in payment resulting from (A) the due date for a payment not being a Payment Business Day or (B) a cheque mailed in accordance with this Condition 11(B) arriving after the due date for payment or being lost in the mail.
- (e) *Partial payments*: If a Paying Agent makes a partial payment in respect of any Registered Note, the Issuer shall procure that the amount and date of such payment are noted on the Register and, in the case of partial payment upon presentation of a Note Certificate, that a statement

indicating the amount and the date of such payment is endorsed on the relevant Note Certificate.

(f) Payment Record Date: Each payment in respect of a Registered Note will be made to the person shown as the Holder in the Register at the opening of business in the place of the Registrar's Specified Office on the fifteenth day before the due date for such payment (the "Payment Record Date"). Where payment in respect of a Registered Note is to be made by cheque, the cheque will be mailed to the address shown as the address of the Holder in the Register at the opening of business on the relevant Payment Record Date.

12 Taxation

Gross up: All payments of principal and interest in respect of the Notes and the Coupons by or on behalf of the Issuer shall be made free and clear of, and without withholding or deduction for or on account of, any present or future taxes, duties, assessments or governmental charges of whatever nature imposed, levied, collected, withheld or assessed by or on behalf of Romania or of any political subdivision therein or any authority therein or thereof having power to tax, unless the withholding or deduction of such taxes, duties, assessments, or governmental charges is required by law. In that event, the Issuer shall pay such additional amounts as will result in receipt by the Noteholders and the Couponholders after such withholding or deduction been required, except that no such additional amounts shall be payable in respect of any Note, Note Certificate or Coupon presented for payment:

- (a) by or on behalf of a holder which is liable to such taxes, duties, assessments or governmental charges in respect of such Note, Note Certificate or Coupon by reason of its having some connection with Romania other than the mere holding of, or receipt of payment on, the Note, Note Certificate or Coupon; or
- (b) where such withholding or deduction is imposed on a payment to an individual and is required to be made pursuant to European Council Directive 2003/48/EC or any law implementing or complying with, or introduced in order to conform to, such Directive; or
- (c) by or on behalf of a holder who would have been able to avoid such withholding or deduction by presenting the relevant Note, Note Certificate or Coupon to another Paying Agent in a Member State of the European Union; or
- (d) more than 30 days after the Relevant Date except to the extent that the holder of such Note, Note Certificate or Coupon would have been entitled to such additional amounts on presenting such Note, Note Certificate or Coupon for payment on the last day of such period of 30 days.

Any reference in these Conditions to principal or interest shall be deemed to include any additional amounts in respect of principal or interest (as the case may be) which may be payable under this Condition 12 (*Taxation*).

13 Events of Default

If any of the following events (each, an "Event of Default") occurs and is continuing:

- (a) any amount of principal is not paid on the due date for payment thereof or any amount of interest on the Notes is not paid within 30 days of the due date for payment thereof; or
- (b) the Issuer fails to duly perform or observe any of its other material obligations under the Notes, which failure continues unremedied for 45 days after written notice thereof has been delivered by any Noteholder to the Fiscal Agent; or
- (c) Romania ceases to be a member of the IMF or to be eligible to use the general resources of the IMF, and such situation continues unremedied for 45 days after written notice thereof has been delivered by any Noteholder to the Fiscal Agent; or
- (d) (i) the acceleration of the maturity (other than by optional or mandatory prepayment or redemption) of any Public External Indebtedness of the Issuer, (ii) the Issuer defaults in the payment of any principal of or interest on any of its Public External Indebtedness when and as the same shall become due and

payable, and such default continues for more than the grace period, if any, originally applicable thereto or, in the case of interest where such grace period does not exceed 30 days, for more than 30 days or (iii) the Issuer defaults in the payment when due and called upon of any guarantee or indemnity of the Issuer in respect of any Public External Indebtedness of any other person and such default continues for more than the grace period, if any, originally applicable thereto or, if such grace period does not exceed 30 days, for more than 30 days; **provided that** the aggregate amount of the relevant Public External Indebtedness in respect of which one or more of the events mentioned in this subparagraph (d) have occurred equals or exceeds \$70,000,000 or its equivalent; or

- (e) a moratorium on the payment of principal of, or interest on, the Public External Indebtedness of the Issuer is declared by the Issuer, unless such moratorium expressly excludes the Notes; or
- (f) the validity of the Notes is contested by the Issuer or the Issuer shall deny any of its payment obligations under the Notes (whether by a general suspension of payments or a moratorium on the payment of debt or otherwise) or it shall be or become unlawful for the Issuer to perform or comply with all or any of its payment obligations set out in the Notes or any such obligations shall be or become unenforceable or invalid, in each case as a result of any law or regulation in Romania or any ruling of any court in Romania whose decision is final and unappealable,

then the holders of at least 25 per cent. in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes may, by notice in writing to the Issuer (with a copy to the Fiscal Agent), declare all the Notes to be immediately due and payable, whereupon they shall become immediately due and payable at their principal amount together with accrued interest without further action or formality. Notice of any such declaration shall promptly be given to all other Noteholders by the Issuer.

If the Issuer receives notice in writing from holders of at least 50 per cent. in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes to the effect that the Event of Default or Events of Default giving rise to any above mentioned declaration of acceleration is or are cured following any such declaration and that such holders wish the relevant declaration to be withdrawn, the Issuer shall give notice thereof to the Noteholders (with a copy to the Fiscal Agent), whereupon the relevant declaration shall be withdrawn and shall have no further effect, but without prejudice to any rights or obligations which may have arisen before the Issuer gives such notice (whether pursuant to these Conditions or otherwise). No such withdrawal shall affect any other or any subsequent Event of Default or any right of any Noteholder in relation thereto.

14 Prescription

Claims for principal in respect of Bearer Notes shall become void unless the relevant Bearer Notes are presented for payment within ten years of the appropriate Relevant Date. Claims for interest in respect of Bearer Notes shall become void unless the relevant Coupons are presented for payment within five years of the appropriate Relevant Date. Claims for principal and interest on redemption in respect of Registered Notes shall become void unless the relevant Note Certificates are surrendered for payment within ten years of the appropriate Relevant Date.

15 Replacement of Notes and Coupons

If any Note, Note Certificate or Coupon is lost, stolen, mutilated, defaced or destroyed, it may be replaced at the Specified Office of the Fiscal Agent and the Paying Agent having its Specified Office in Luxembourg, subject to all applicable laws and competent authority, stock exchange and/or quotation system requirements, upon payment by the claimant of the expenses incurred in connection with such replacement and on such terms as to evidence, security, indemnity and otherwise as the Issuer may reasonably require. Mutilated or defaced Notes, Note Certificates or Coupons must be surrendered before replacements will be issued.

16 Agents

In acting under the Agency Agreement and in connection with the Notes and the Coupons, the Paying Agents act solely as agents of the Issuer and do not assume any obligations towards or relationship of agency or trust for or with any of the Noteholders or Couponholders.

The initial Paying Agents and their initial Specified Offices are listed below. The initial Calculation Agent (if any) is specified in the relevant Final Terms. The Issuer reserves the right at any time to vary or terminate the

appointment of any Paying Agent and to appoint a successor fiscal agent or Calculation Agent and additional or successor paying agents; **provided**, **however**, **that**:

- (a) the Issuer shall at all times maintain a Fiscal Agent; and
- (b) the Issuer shall at all times maintain a paying agent in an EU Member State that will not be obliged to withhold or deduct tax pursuant to any law implementing European Council Directive 2003/48/EC or any law implementing or complying with, or introduced in order to conform with such directive; and
- (c) if a Calculation Agent is specified in the relevant Final Terms, the Issuer shall at all times maintain a Calculation Agent; and
- (d) if and for so long as the Notes are admitted to listing, trading and/or quotation by any competent authority, stock exchange and/or quotation system which requires the appointment of a Paying Agent in any particular place, the Issuer shall maintain a Paying Agent having its Specified Office in the place required by such competent authority, stock exchange and/or quotation system.

Notice of any change in any of the Paying Agents or in their Specified Offices shall promptly be given to the Noteholders.

17 MEETINGS OF NOTEHOLDERS; WRITTEN RESOLUTIONS

17.1 Convening Meetings of Noteholders; Conduct of Meetings of Noteholders; Written Resolutions:

- (a) The Issuer may convene a meeting of the Noteholders at any time in respect of the Notes in accordance with the provisions of the Agency Agreement. The Issuer will determine the time and place of the meeting and will notify the Noteholders of the time, place and purpose of the meeting not less than 21 and not more than 45 days before the meeting.
- (b) The Issuer or the Fiscal Agent will convene a meeting of Noteholders if the holders of at least 10 per cent. in principal amount of the outstanding Notes (as defined in the Agency Agreement and described in Condition 17.9 (*Notes controlled by the Issuer*)) have delivered a written request to the Issuer or the Fiscal Agent (with a copy to the Issuer) setting out the purpose of the meeting. The Fiscal Agent will agree the time and place of the meeting with the Issuer promptly. The Issuer or the Fiscal Agent, as the case may be, will notify the Noteholders within 10 days of receipt of such written request of the time and place of the meeting, which shall take place not less than 21 and not more than 45 days after the date on which such notification is given.
- (c) The Issuer (with the agreement of the Fiscal Agent) will set the procedures governing the conduct of any meeting in accordance with the Agency Agreement. If the Agency Agreement does not include such procedures, or additional procedures are required, the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent will agree such procedures as are customary in the market and in such a manner as to facilitate any multiple series aggregation, if in relation to a Reserved Matter the Issuer proposes any modification to the terms and conditions of, or action with respect to, two or more series of debt securities issued by it.
- (d) The notice convening any meeting will specify, *inter alia*:
 - (i) the date, time and location of the meeting;
 - (ii) the agenda and the text of any Extraordinary Resolution to be proposed for adoption at the meeting;
 - (iii) the record date for the meeting, which shall be no more than five business days before the date of the meeting;
 - (iv) the documentation required to be produced by a Noteholder in order to be entitled to participate at the meeting or to appoint a proxy to act on the Noteholder's behalf at the meeting;
 - (v) any time deadline and procedures required by any relevant international and/or domestic clearing systems or similar through which the Notes are traded and/or held by Noteholders;
 - (vi) whether Condition 17.2 (*Modification of this Series of Notes only*), or Condition 17.3 (*Multiple Series Aggregation Single limb voting*), or Condition 17.4 (*Multiple Series Aggregation Two*

limb voting) shall apply and, if relevant, in relation to which other series of debt securities it applies;

- (vii) if the proposed modification or action relates to two or more series of debt securities issued by it and contemplates such series of debt securities being aggregated in more than one group of debt securities, a description of the proposed treatment of each such group of debt securities;
- (viii) such information that is required to be provided by the Issuer in accordance with Condition 17.6 (*Information*);
- (ix) the identity of the Aggregation Agent and the Calculation Agent, if any, for any proposed modification or action to be voted on at the meeting, and the details of any applicable methodology referred to in Condition 17.7 (*Claims Valuation*); and
- (x) any additional procedures which may be necessary and, if applicable, the conditions under which a multiple series aggregation will be deemed to have been satisfied if it is approved as to some but not all of the affected series of debt securities.
- (e) In addition, the Agency Agreement contains provisions relating to Written Resolutions. All information to be provided pursuant to this Condition 17.1 shall also be provided, *mutatis mutandis*, in respect of Written Resolutions.
- (f) A "record date" in relation to any proposed modification or action means the date fixed by the Issuer for determining the Noteholders and, in the case of a multiple series aggregation, the holders of debt securities of each other affected series that are entitled to vote on a Multiple Series Single Limb Extraordinary Resolution or a Multiple Series Two Limb Extraordinary Resolution, or to sign a Multiple Series Single Limb Written Resolution or a Multiple Series Two Limb Written Resolution.
- (g) An "**Extraordinary Resolution**" means any of a Single Series Extraordinary Resolution, a Multiple Series Single Limb Extraordinary Resolution and/or a Multiple Series Two Limb Extraordinary Resolution, as the case may be.
- (h) A "Written Resolution" means any of a Single Series Written Resolution, a Multiple Series Single Limb Written Resolution and/or a Multiple Series Two Limb Written Resolution, as the case may be.
- (i) Any reference to "debt securities" means any notes (including the Notes), bonds, debentures or other debt securities issued by the Issuer in one or more series with an original stated maturity of more than one year.
- (j) "Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation" means those debt securities which include or incorporate by reference this Condition 17 and Condition 18 (Aggregation Agent; Aggregation Procedures) or provisions substantially in these terms which provide for the debt securities which include such provisions to be capable of being aggregated for voting purposes with other series of debt securities.

17.2 Modification of this Series of Notes only:

- (a) Any modification of any provision of, or any action in respect of, these Conditions or the Agency Agreement in respect of the Notes may be made or taken if approved by a Single Series Extraordinary Resolution or a Single Series Written Resolution as set out below.
- (b) A "Single Series Extraordinary Resolution" means a resolution passed at a meeting of Noteholders duly convened and held in accordance with the procedures prescribed by the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent pursuant to Condition 17.1 (Convening Meetings of Noteholders; Conduct of Meetings of Noteholders; Written Resolutions) by a majority of:
 - (i) in the case of a Reserved Matter, at least 75 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes; or
 - (ii) in the case of a matter other than a Reserved Matter, more than 50 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes;.
- (c) A "**Single Series Written Resolution**" means a resolution in writing signed or confirmed in writing by or on behalf of the holders of:

- (i) in the case of a Reserved Matter, at least 75 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes; or
- (ii) in the case of a matter other than a Reserved Matter, more than 50 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes.

Any Single Series Written Resolution may be contained in one document or several documents in the same form, each signed or confirmed in writing by or on behalf of one or more Noteholders.

(d) Any Single Series Extraordinary Resolution duly passed or Single Series Written Resolution approved shall be binding on all Noteholders whether or not they attended any meeting, whether or not they voted in favour thereof and whether or not they signed or confirmed in writing any such Single Series Written Resolution, as the case may be, and on all Couponholders.

17.3 Multiple Series Aggregation – Single limb voting:

- (a) In relation to a proposal that includes a Reserved Matter, any modification to the terms and conditions of, or any action with respect to, two or more series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation may be made or taken if approved by a Multiple Series Single Limb Extraordinary Resolution or by a Multiple Series Single Limb Written Resolution as set out below, *provided that* the Uniformly Applicable condition is satisfied.
- (b) A "Multiple Series Single Limb Extraordinary Resolution" means a resolution considered at separate meetings of the holders of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation, duly convened and held in accordance with the procedures prescribed by the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent pursuant to Condition 17.1 (*Convening Meetings of Noteholders; Conduct of Meetings of Noteholders; Written Resolutions*), as supplemented if necessary, which is passed by a majority of at least 75 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding debt securities of all affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation (taken in aggregate).
- (c) A "Multiple Series Single Limb Written Resolution" means each resolution in writing (with a separate resolution in writing or multiple separate resolutions in writing distributed to the holders of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation, in accordance with the applicable bond documentation) which, when taken together, has been signed or confirmed in writing by or on behalf of the holders of at least 75 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding debt securities of all affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation (taken in aggregate). Any Multiple Series Single Limb Written Resolution may be contained in one document or several documents in substantially the same form, each signed or confirmed in writing by or on behalf of one or more Noteholders or one or more holders of each affected series of debt securities.
- (d) Any Multiple Series Single Limb Extraordinary Resolution duly passed or Multiple Series Single Limb Written Resolution approved shall be binding on all Noteholders and holders of each other affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation, whether or not they attended any meeting, whether or not they voted in favour thereof, whether or not any other holder or holders of the same series voted in favour thereof and whether or not they signed or confirmed in writing any such Multiple Series Single Limb Extraordinary Resolution or Multiple Series Single Limb Written Resolution, as the case may be, and on all Couponholders and Couponholders of each other affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation.
- (e) The "Uniformly Applicable" condition will be satisfied if:
 - (i) the holders of all affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation are invited to exchange, convert, or substitute their debt securities, on the same terms, for (A) the same new instrument or other consideration or (B) a new instrument, new instruments or other consideration from an identical menu of instruments or other consideration; or
 - (ii) the amendments proposed to the terms and conditions of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation would, following implementation of such amendments, result in the amended instruments having identical provisions (other than provisions which are necessarily different, having regard to different currency of issuance).
- (f) It is understood that a proposal under paragraph 17.3(a) above will not be considered to satisfy the Uniformly Applicable condition if each exchanging, converting, substituting or amending holder of

each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation is not offered the same amount of consideration per amount of principal, the same amount of consideration per amount of interest accrued but unpaid and the same amount of consideration per amount of past due interest, respectively, as that offered to each other exchanging, converting, substituting or amending holder of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation (or, where a menu of instruments or other consideration is offered, each exchanging, converting, substituting or amending holder of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation is not offered the same amount of consideration per amount of principal, the same amount of consideration per amount of interest accrued but unpaid and the same amount of consideration per amount of past due interest, respectively, as that offered to each other exchanging, converting or amending holder of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation per amount of interest accrued but unpaid and the same amount of consideration per amount of past due interest, respectively, as that offered to each other exchanging, converting, substituting or amending holder of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of per amount of past due interest, respectively, as that offered to each other exchanging, converting, substituting or amending holder of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation electing the same option from such menu of instruments).

(g) Any modification or action proposed under paragraph (a) above may be made in respect of some series only of the Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation and, for the avoidance of doubt, the provisions described in this Condition 17.3 may be used for different groups of two or more series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation simultaneously.

17.4 Multiple Series Aggregation – Two limb voting:

- (a) In relation to a proposal that includes a Reserved Matter, any modification to the terms and conditions of, or any action with respect to, two or more series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation may be made or taken if approved by a Multiple Series Two Limb Extraordinary Resolution or by a Multiple Series Two Limb Written Resolution as set out below.
- (b) A "Multiple Series Two Limb Extraordinary Resolution" means a resolution considered at separate meetings of the holders of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation, duly convened and held in accordance with the procedures prescribed by the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent pursuant to Condition 17.1 (*Convening Meetings of Noteholders; Conduct of Meetings of Noteholders; Written Resolutions*), as supplemented if necessary, which is passed by a majority of:
 - (i) at least 66²/₃ per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding debt securities of affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation (taken in aggregate); and
 - (ii) more than 50 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding debt securities in each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation (taken individually).
- (c) A "**Multiple Series Two Limb Written Resolution**" means each resolution in writing (with a separate resolution in writing or multiple separate resolutions in writing distributed to the holders of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation, in accordance with the applicable bond documentation) which, when taken together, has been signed or confirmed in writing by or on behalf of the holders of:
 - (i) at least 66²/₃ per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding debt securities of all the affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation (taken in aggregate); and
 - (ii) more than 50 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding debt securities in each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation (taken individually). Any Multiple Series Two Limb Written Resolution may be contained in one document or several documents in substantially the same form, each signed or confirmed in writing by or on behalf of one or more Noteholders or one or more holders of each affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation.
- (d) Any Multiple Series Two Limb Extraordinary Resolution duly passed or Multiple Series Two Limb Written Resolution approved shall be binding on all Noteholders and holders of each other affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation, whether or not they attended any meeting, whether or not they voted in favour thereof, whether or not any other holder or holders of the same series voted in favour thereof and whether or not they signed or confirmed in writing any such Multiple Series Two Limb Written Resolution, as the case may be, and on all couponholders and couponholders of each other affected series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation.
- (e) Any modification or action proposed under paragraph (a) above may be made in respect of some series only of the Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation and, for the avoidance of doubt, the provisions

described in this Condition 17.4 may be used for different groups of two or more series of Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation simultaneously.

17.5 Reserved Matters:

In these Conditions, "Reserved Matter" means any proposal:

- (a) to change the date, or the method of determining the date, for payment of principal, interest or any other amount in respect of the Notes, to reduce or cancel the amount of principal, interest or any other amount payable on any date in respect of the Notes or to change the method of calculating the amount of principal, interest or any other amount payable in respect of the Notes on any date;
- (b) to change the currency in which any amount due in respect of the Notes is payable or the place in which any payment is to be made;
- (c) to change the majority required to pass an Extraordinary Resolution, a Written Resolution or any other resolution of Noteholders or the number or percentage of votes required to be cast, or the number or percentage of Notes required to be held, in connection with the taking of any decision or action by or on behalf of the Noteholders or any of them;
- (d) to change this definition, or the definition of "Extraordinary Resolution", "Single Series Extraordinary Resolution", "Multiple Series Single Limb Extraordinary Resolution", "Multiple Series Two Limb Extraordinary Resolution", "Written Resolution", "Single Series Written Resolution", "Multiple Series Single Limb Written Resolution" or "Multiple Series Two Limb Written Resolution";
- (e) to change the definition of "debt securities" or "Debt Securities Capable of Aggregation";
- (f) to change the definition of "Uniformly Applicable";
- (g) to change the definition of "outstanding" or to modify the provisions of Condition 17.9 (*Notes controlled by the Issuer*);
- (h) change the legal ranking of the Notes;
- to change any provision of the Notes describing circumstances in which Notes may be declared due and payable prior to their scheduled maturity date, set out in Condition 13 (*Events of Default*);
- (j) to change the law governing the Notes, the courts to the jurisdiction of which the Issuer has submitted in the Notes, any of the arrangements specified in the Notes to enable proceedings to be taken or the Issuer's waiver of immunity, in respect of actions or proceedings brought by any Noteholder, set out in Condition 25 (*Governing Law and Jurisdiction*);
- (k) to impose any condition on or otherwise change the Issuer's obligation to make payments of principal, interest or any other amount in respect of the Notes, including by way of the addition of a call option;
- (1) to modify the provisions of this Condition 17.5;
- (m) except as permitted by any related guarantee or security agreement, to release any agreement guaranteeing or securing payments under the Notes or to change the terms of any such guarantee or security;
- (n) to exchange or substitute all the Notes for, or convert all the Notes into, other obligations or securities of the Issuer or any other person, or to modify any provision of these Conditions in connection with any exchange or substitution of the Notes for, or the conversion of the Notes into, any other obligations or securities of the Issuer or any other person, which would result in the Conditions as so modified being less favourable to the Noteholders which are subject to the Conditions as so modified than:
 - (i) the provisions of the other obligations or debt securities of the Issuer or any other person resulting from the relevant exchange or substitution or conversion; or

(ii) if more than one series of other obligations or debt securities results from the relevant exchange or substitution or conversion, the provisions of the resulting series of debt securities having the largest aggregate principal amount.

17.6 Information:

Prior to or on the date that the Issuer proposes any Extraordinary Resolution or Written Resolution pursuant to Condition 17.2 (*Modification of this Series of Notes only*), Condition 17.3 (*Multiple Series Aggregation – Single limb voting*) or Condition 17.4 (*Multiple Series Aggregation – Two limb voting*), the Issuer shall publish in accordance with Condition 18 (*Aggregation Agent; Aggregation Procedures*), and provide the Fiscal Agent with the following information:

- (a) a description of the Issuer's economic and financial circumstances which are, in the Issuer's opinion, relevant to the request for any potential modification or action, a description of the Issuer's existing debts and a description of its broad policy reform programme and provisional macroeconomic outlook;
- (b) if the Issuer shall at the time have entered into an arrangement for financial assistance with multilateral and/or other major creditors or creditor groups and/or an agreement with any such creditors regarding debt relief, a description of any such arrangement or agreement and where permitted under the information disclosure policies of the multilateral or such other creditors, as applicable, copies of the arrangement or agreement shall be provided;
- (c) a description of the Issuer's proposed treatment of external debt securities that fall outside the scope of any multiple series aggregation and its intentions with respect to any other debt securities and its other major creditor groups; and
- (d) if any proposed modification or action contemplates debt securities being aggregated in more than one group of debt securities, a description of the proposed treatment of each such group, as required for a notice convening a meeting of the Noteholders in Condition 17.1(d)(vii).

17.7 Claims Valuation:

For the purpose of calculating the par value of the Notes and any affected series of debt securities which are to be aggregated with the Notes in accordance with Condition 17.3 (*Multiple Series Aggregation – Single limb voting*) and Condition 17.4 (*Multiple Series Aggregation – Two limb voting*), the Issuer may appoint a Calculation Agent. The Issuer shall, with the approval of the Aggregation Agent and any appointed Calculation Agent, promulgate the methodology in accordance with which the par value of the Notes and such affected series of debt securities will be calculated. In any such case where a Calculation Agent is appointed, the same person will be appointed as the Calculation Agent for the Notes and each other affected series of debt securities for these purposes, and the same methodology will be promulgated for each affected series of debt securities.

17.8 Manifest error, etc.:

The Notes, these Conditions and the provisions of the Agency Agreement may be amended without the consent of the Noteholders or Couponholders to correct a manifest error. In addition, the parties to the Agency Agreement may agree to modify any provision thereof, but the Issuer shall not agree, without the consent of the Noteholders, to any such modification unless it is of a formal, minor or technical nature or it is not materially prejudicial to the interests of the Noteholders.

17.9 Notes controlled by the Issuer:

For the purposes of (a) determining the right to attend and vote at any meeting of Noteholders, or the right to sign or confirm in writing, or authorise the signature of, any Written Resolution: (b) Condition 17.1 (*Convening Meetings of Noteholders: Conduct of Meetings of Noteholders; Written Resolutions*) and (c) Condition 13 (*Events of Default*), any Notes which are for the time being held by or on behalf of the Issuer or by or on behalf of any person which is owned or controlled directly or indirectly by the Issuer or by any public sector instrumentality of the Issuer shall be disregarded and be deemed not to remain outstanding, where:

- (i) **"public sector instrumentality**" means the National Bank of Romania, any department, ministry or agency of the government of Romania or any corporation, trust, financial institution or other entity owned or controlled by the government of Romania or any of the foregoing; and
- (ii) **"control"** means the power, directly or indirectly, through the ownership of voting securities or other ownership interests or through contractual control or otherwise, to direct the management of or elect or appoint a majority of the board of directors or other persons performing similar functions in lieu of, or in addition, to, the board of directors of a corporation, trust, financial institution or other entity.

A Note will also be deemed to be not outstanding if the Note has previously been cancelled or delivered for cancellation or held for reissuance but not reissued, or, where relevant, the Note has previously been called for redemption in accordance with its terms or previously become due and payable at maturity or otherwise and the Issuer has previously satisfied its obligations to make all payments due in respect of the Note in accordance with its terms.

In advance of any meeting of Noteholders, or in connection with any Written Resolution, the Issuer shall provide to the Fiscal Agent a copy of the certificate prepared pursuant to Condition 18.4 (*Certificate*) which includes information on the total number of Notes which are for the time being held by or on behalf of the Issuer or by or on behalf of any person which is owned or controlled directly or indirectly by the Issuer or by any public sector instrumentality of the Issuer and, as such, such Notes shall be disregarded and deemed not to remain outstanding for the purposes of ascertaining the right to attend and vote at any meeting of Noteholders or the right to sign, or authorise the signature of, any Written Resolution in respect of any such meeting. The Fiscal Agent shall make any such certificate available for inspection during normal business hours at its Specified Office and, upon reasonable request, will allow copies of such certificate to be taken.

17.10 Publication:

The Issuer shall publish all Extraordinary Resolutions and Written Resolutions which have been determined by the Aggregation Agent to have been duly passed in accordance with Condition 18.7 (*Manner of publication*).

17.11 Exchange and Conversion:

Any Extraordinary Resolutions or Written Resolutions which have been duly passed and which modify any provision of, or action in respect of, the Conditions may be implemented at the Issuer's option by way of a mandatory exchange or conversion of the Notes and each other affected series of debt securities, as the case may be, into new debt securities containing the modified terms and conditions if the proposed mandatory exchange or conversion of the Notes is notified to Noteholders at the time notification is given to the Noteholders as to the proposed modification or action. Any such exchange or conversion shall be binding on all Noteholders and Couponholders.

18 AGGREGATION AGENT; AGGREGATION PROCEDURES

18.1 Appointment:

The Issuer will appoint an aggregation agent (the "Aggregation Agent") to calculate whether a proposed modification or action has been approved by the required principal amount outstanding of Notes and, in the case of a multiple series aggregation, by the required principal amount of outstanding debt securities of each affected series of debt securities. In the case of a multiple series aggregation, the same person will be appointed as the Aggregation Agent for the proposed modification of any provision of, or any action in respect of, these Conditions or the Agency Agreement in respect of the Notes and in respect of the terms and conditions or bond documentation in respect of each other affected series of debt securities. The Aggregation Agent shall be independent of the Issuer.

18.2 Extraordinary Resolutions:

If an Extraordinary Resolution has been proposed at a duly convened meeting of Noteholders to modify any provision of, or action in respect of, these Conditions and other affected series of debt securities, as the case may be, the Aggregation Agent will, as soon as practicable after the time the vote cast, calculate whether holders of a sufficient portion of the aggregate principal amount of the

outstanding Notes and, where relevant, each other affected series of debt securities, have voted in favour of the Extraordinary Resolution such that the Extraordinary Resolution is passed. If so, the Aggregation Agent will determine that the Extraordinary Resolution has been duly passed.

18.3 Written Resolutions:

If a Written Resolution has been proposed under the terms of these Conditions to modify any provision of, or action in respect of, these Conditions and the terms and conditions of other affected series of debt securities, as the case may be, the Aggregation Agent will, as soon as reasonably practicable after the relevant Written Resolution has been signed or confirmed in writing, calculate whether holders of a sufficient portion of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes and, where relevant, each other affected series of debt securities, have signed or confirmed in writing in favour of the Written Resolution such that the Written Resolution is passed. If so, the Aggregation Agent will determine that the Written Resolution has been duly passed.

18.4 Certificate:

For the purposes of Condition 18.2 (*Extraordinary Resolutions*) and Condition 18.3 (*Written Resolutions*), the Issuer will provide a certificate to the Aggregation Agent up to three days prior to, and in any case no later than, with respect to an Extraordinary Resolution, the date of the meeting referred to in Condition 17.2 (*Modification of this Series of Notes only*), Condition 17.3 (*Multiple Series Aggregation – Single limb voting*) or Condition 17.4 (*Multiple Series Aggregation – Two limb voting*), as applicable, and, with respect to a Written Resolution, the date arranged for the signing of the Written Resolution.

The certificate shall:

- (a) list the total principal amount of Notes and, in the case of a multiple series aggregation, the total principal amount of each other affected series of debt securities outstanding on the record date; and
- (b) clearly indicate the Notes and, in the case of a multiple series aggregation, debt securities of each other affected series of debt securities which shall be disregarded and deemed not to remain outstanding as a consequence of Condition 17.9 (*Notes controlled by the Issuer*) on the record date identifying the holders of the Notes and, in the case of a multiple series aggregation, debt securities of each other affected series of debt securities.

The Aggregation Agent may rely upon the terms of any certificate, notice, communication or other document believed by it to be genuine.

18.5 Notification:

The Aggregation Agent will cause each determination made by it for the purposes of this Condition 18 to be notified to the Fiscal Agent and the Issuer as soon as practicable after such determination. Notice thereof shall also promptly be given to the Noteholders.

18.6 Binding nature of determinations; no liability:

All notifications, opinions, determinations, certificates, calculations, quotations and decisions given, expressed, made or obtained for the purposes of this Condition 18 by the Aggregation Agent and any appointed Calculation Agent will (in the absence of manifest error) be binding on the Issuer, the Fiscal Agent and the Noteholders and Couponholders and (subject as aforesaid) no liability to any such person will attach to the Aggregation Agent or the Calculation Agent in connection with the exercise or non-exercise by it of its powers, duties and discretions for such purposes.

18.7 Manner of publication:

The Issuer will publish all notices and other matters required to be published pursuant to the Agency Agreement including any matters required to be published pursuant to Condition 17 (*Meetings of Noteholders; Written Resolutions*), this Condition 18, Condition 19 (*Noteholders' Committee*) and Condition 13 (*Events of Default*):

(a) through Euroclear Bank S.A./N.V., Clearstream Banking, *société anonyme* and The Depository Trust Company and/or any other clearing system in which the Notes are held;

- (b) in such other places and in such other manner as may be required by applicable law or regulation; and
- (c) in such other places and in such other manner as may be customary.

19 Noteholders' Committee

- (a) Appointment: Holders of at least 25 per cent. of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding debt securities of all series of affected debt securities (taken in aggregate) may by notice in writing to the Issuer (with a copy to the Fiscal Agent) appoint any person or persons as a committee to represent the interests of such holders (as well as the interests of any holders of outstanding debt securities who wish to be represented by such a committee) if any of the following events has occurred:
 - (i) an Event of Default under Condition 13 (*Events of Default*);
 - (ii) any event or circumstance which could, with the giving of notice, lapse of time, the issuing of a certificate and/or fulfilment of any other requirement provided for in Condition 13 (Events of Default), become an Event of Default;
 - (iii) any public announcement by the Issuer, to the effect that the Issuer is seeking or intends to seek a rescheduling or restructuring of the Notes or any other affected series of debt securities (whether by amendment, exchange offer or otherwise); or
 - (iv) with the agreement of the Issuer, at a time when the Issuer has reasonably reached the conclusion that its debt may no longer be sustainable whilst the Notes or any other affected series of debt securities are outstanding.

Upon receipt of a written notice that a committee has been appointed in accordance with paragraph (a) above, and a certificate delivered pursuant to Condition 19.2 (*Certification*), the Issuer, shall give notice of the appointment of such a committee to:

- (i) all Noteholders in accordance with Condition 21 (Notices); and
- (ii) the holders of each affected series of debt securities in accordance with the terms and conditions of such affected series of debt securities,

as soon as practicable after such written notice and such certificate are delivered to the Issuer.

- (b) *Powers*: Such committee in its discretion may, among other things:
 - (i) engage legal advisers and financial advisers to assist it in representing the interests of the Noteholders;
 - (ii) adopt such rules as it considers appropriate regarding its proceedings;
 - (iii) enter into discussions with the Issuer and/or other creditors of the Issuer;
 - (iv) (designate one or more members of the committee to act as the main point(s) of contact with the Issuer and provide all relevant contact details to the Issuer,

Except to the extent provided in this Condition 19(b) (*Powers*), such committee shall not have the ability to exercise any powers or discretions which the Noteholders could themselves exercise.

19.1 Engagement with the committee and provision of information

- (a) The Issuer shall:
 - (i) subject to paragraph (b) immediately below, engage with the committee in good faith;
 - (ii) provide the committee with information equivalent to that required under Condition 17.6 (*Information*) and related proposals, if any, in each case as the same become available, subject to any applicable information disclosure policies, rules and regulations; and
 - (iii) pay any reasonable fees and expenses of any such committee as may be agreed with it (including without limitation, the reasonable and documented fees and expenses of the

committee's legal and financial advisers, if any) following receipt of reasonably detailed invoices and supporting documentation.

(b) If more than one committee has been appointed by holders of affected series of debt securities in accordance with the provisions of this Condition 19 and/or equivalent provisions set out in the conditions of any affected series of debt securities, the Issuer shall not be obliged to engage with such committees separately. Such committees may appoint a single steering group (to be comprised of representatives from such committees), whereupon the Issuer shall engage with such steering group.

19.2 Certification

Upon the appointment of a committee, the person or persons constituting such a committee (the "**Members**") will provide a certificate to the Issuer and to the Fiscal Agent signed by the authorised representatives of the Members, and the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent may rely upon the terms of such certificate.

The certificate shall certify:

- (a) that the committee has been appointed;
- (b) the identity of the initial Members; and
- (c) that such appointment complies with the conditions of the relevant bond documentation.

Promptly after any change in the identity of the Members, a new certificate, which each of the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent may rely on conclusively, will be delivered to the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent identifying the new Members. Each of the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent will assume that the membership of the committee has not changed unless and until it has received a new certificate.

The provisions of this Condition 19.2 shall apply, mutatis mutandis, to any steering group appointed in accordance with Condition 19.1 (*Engagement with the committee and provision of information*).

In appointing a person or persons as a committee to represent the interests of the Noteholders, the Noteholders may instruct a representative or representatives of the committee to form a separate committee or to join a steering group with any person or persons appointed for similar purposes by other affected series of debt securities.

20 Further Issues

The Issuer may from time to time, without the consent of the Noteholders or the Couponholders, create and issue further notes having the same terms and conditions as the Notes in all respects (or in all respects except for the first payment of interest) so as to form a single series with the outstanding Notes of any series of Notes. References in these Conditions to the Notes include (unless the context requires otherwise) any Notes issued pursuant to this Condition 20 (*Further Issues*) and forming a single series with such Notes **provided that**, in the case of further Notes to which the TEFRA D Rules apply, such further Notes will initially be represented by Temporary Global Notes exchangeable for interests in Permanent Global Notes or Definitive Notes for interests in the Permanent Global Notes or Definitive Notes upon certification of non U.S. beneficial ownership, and **provided further that**, in the case of Registered Notes that are part of a Series that was placed in whole or in part pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act, such additional Notes are issued with less than *de minimis* original issue discount ("**OID**") for U.S. federal income tax purposes or as part of a qualified reopening for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

21 Notices

(a) Bearer Notes: Notices to the Holders of Bearer Notes shall be valid if published in a leading newspaper having general circulation in Luxembourg (which is expected to be Luxemburger Wort) or published on the website of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange (www.bourse.lu) or, in either case, if such publication is not practicable, in a leading English language daily newspaper having general circulation in Europe. Any such notice shall be deemed to have been given on the date of first publication (or if required to be published in more than one newspaper, on the first date on which

publication shall have been made in all the required newspapers). Couponholders shall be deemed for all purposes to have notice of the contents of any notice given to the Holders of Bearer Notes.

- (b) **Registered Notes**: Notices to the Holders of Registered Notes shall be sent to them by first class mail (or its equivalent) or (if posted to an overseas address) by airmail at their respective addresses on the Register and, if the Registered Notes are admitted to trading on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange and it is a requirement of applicable law or regulations, notices to Noteholders will be published on the date of such mailing in a leading newspaper having general circulation in Luxembourg (which is expected to be *Luxemburger Wort*) or published on the website of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange (*www.bourse.lu*) or, in either case, if such publication is not practicable, in a leading English language daily newspaper having general circulation in Europe. Any such notice shall be deemed to have been given on the fourth day after the date of mailing.
- (c) Notices to Issuer: All notices to the Issuer will be valid if sent to the Issuer at the Ministry of Public Finances, 17, Apolodor Street, RO 70663 Bucharest, Romania for the attention of the External Public Finance Department, or such other address as may be notified by the Issuer to Noteholders in accordance with Condition 21(a) (Bearer Notes).

22 Currency Indemnity

If any sum due from the Issuer in respect of the Notes or the Coupons or any order or judgment given or made in relation thereto has to be converted from the currency (the "**first currency**") in which the same is payable under these Conditions or such order or judgment into another currency (the "**second currency**") for the purpose of (a) making or filing a claim or proof against the Issuer, (b) obtaining an order or judgment in any court or other tribunal or (c) enforcing any order or judgment given or made in relation to the Notes, the Issuer shall indemnify each Noteholder, on the written demand of such Noteholder addressed to the Issuer and delivered to the Issuer or to the Specified Office of the Fiscal Agent, against any loss suffered as a result of any discrepancy between (i) the rate of exchange used for such purpose to convert the sum in question from the first currency into the second currency and (ii) the rate or rates of exchange at which such Noteholder may in the ordinary course of business purchase the first currency with the second currency upon receipt of a sum paid to it in satisfaction, in whole or in part, of any such order, judgment, claim or proof.

This indemnity constitutes a separate and independent obligation of the Issuer and shall give rise to a separate and independent cause of action.

23 Rounding

For the purposes of any calculations referred to in these Conditions (unless otherwise specified in these Conditions or the relevant Final Terms), (a) all percentages resulting from such calculations will be rounded, if necessary, to the nearest one hundred-thousandth of a percentage point (with 0.000005 per cent. being rounded up to 0.00001 per cent.), (b) all United States dollar amounts used in or resulting from such calculations will be rounded to the nearest cent (with one half cent being rounded up), (c) all euro amounts used in or resulting from such calculations will be rounded in or resulting from such calculations will be rounded up to the nearest cent (with one half cent being rounded up), (d) all RON amounts used in or resulting from such calculations will be rounded up), and (e) all amounts denominated in any other currency used in or resulting from such calculations will be rounded to the nearest two decimal places in such currency, with 0.005 being rounded upwards.

24 Redenomination, Renominalisation and Reconventioning

- (a) *Application*: This Condition 24 (*Redenomination, Renominalisation and Reconventioning*) is applicable to the Notes only if it is specified in the relevant Final Terms as being applicable.
- (b) Notice of redenomination: If the country of the Specified Currency becomes or, announces its intention to become, a Participating Member State, the Issuer may, without the consent of the Noteholders and Couponholders, on giving at least 30 days' prior notice to the Noteholders and the Paying Agents, designate a date (the "Redenomination Date"), being an Interest Payment Date under the Notes falling on or after the date on which such country becomes a Participating Member State.

- (c) *Redenomination*: Notwithstanding the other provisions of these Conditions, with effect from the Redenomination Date:
 - (i) the Notes shall be deemed to be redenominated into euro in the denomination of euro 0.01 with a principal amount for each Note equal to the principal amount of that Note in the Specified Currency, converted into euro at the rate for conversion of such currency into euro established by the Council of the European Union pursuant to the Treaty (including compliance with rules relating to rounding in accordance with European Community regulations); provided, however, that, if the Issuer determines, with the agreement of the Fiscal Agent then market practice in respect of the redenomination into euro 0.01 of internationally offered securities is different from that specified above, such provisions shall be deemed to be amended so as to comply with such market practice and the Issuer shall promptly notify the Noteholders and Couponholders, each listing authority, stock exchange and/or quotation system (if any) by which the Notes have then been admitted to listing, trading and/or quotation and the Paying Agents of such deemed amendments;
 - (ii) if Notes have been issued in definitive form:
 - (A) all unmatured Coupons denominated in the Specified Currency (whether or not attached to the Notes) will become void with effect from the date (the "Euro Exchange Date") on which the Issuer gives notice (the "Euro Exchange Notice") to the Noteholders that replacement Notes and Coupons denominated in euro are available for exchange (provided that such Notes and Coupons are available) and no payments will be made in respect thereof;
 - (B) the payment obligations contained in all Notes denominated in the Specified Currency will become void on the Euro Exchange Date but all other obligations of the Issuer thereunder (including the obligation to exchange such Notes in accordance with this Condition 24) shall remain in full force and effect; and
 - (C) new Notes and Coupons denominated in euro will be issued in exchange for Notes and Coupons denominated in the Specified Currency in such manner as the Fiscal Agent may specify and as shall be notified to the Noteholders in the Euro Exchange Notice; and
 - (iii) all payments in respect of the Notes (other than, unless the Redenomination Date is on or after such date as the Specified Currency ceases to be a sub-division of the euro, payments of interest in respect of periods commencing before the Redenomination Date) will be made solely in euro by cheque drawn on, or by credit or transfer to a euro account (or any other account to which euro may be credited or transferred) maintained by the payee with, a bank in the principal financial centre of any Member State of the European Communities.
- (d) Interest: Following redenomination of the Notes pursuant to this Condition 24, where Notes have been issued in definitive form, the amount of interest due in respect of the Notes will be calculated by reference to the aggregate principal amount of the Notes presented (or, as the case may be, in respect of which Coupons are presented) for payment by the relevant holder.
- (e) *Interest Determination Date*: If the Floating Rate Note Provisions are specified in the relevant Final Terms as being applicable and Screen Rate Determination is specified in the relevant Final Terms as the manner in which the Rate(s) of Interest is/are to be determined, with effect from the Redenomination Date the Interest Determination date shall be deemed to be the second TARGET Settlement Day before the first day of the relevant Interest Period.

25 Governing Law and Jurisdiction

- (a) *Governing law*: The Notes and any non-contractual obligations arising out of or in connection with the Notes shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, English law.
- (b) **English courts**: The courts of England have exclusive jurisdiction to settle any dispute (a "**Dispute**") arising out of or in connection with the Notes (including a dispute relating to any non-contractual obligation arising out of or in connection with the Notes).

- (c) *Appropriate forum*: The Issuer agrees that the courts of England are the most appropriate and convenient courts to settle any Dispute and, accordingly, that it will not argue to the contrary.
- (d) Rights of the Noteholders to take proceedings outside England: Condition 25(b) (English courts) is for the benefit of the Noteholders only. As a result, nothing in this Condition 25 (Governing law and jurisdiction) prevents any Noteholder from taking proceedings relating to a Dispute ("Proceedings") in any other courts with jurisdiction. To the extent allowed by law, Noteholders may take concurrent Proceedings in any number of jurisdictions.
- (e) Process agent: The Issuer agrees that the documents which start any Proceedings and any other documents required to be served in relation to those Proceedings may be served on it by being delivered to the Economic Counsellor, Embassy of Romania at 4 Palace Green, London W8 4QD. If such person is not or ceases to be effectively appointed to accept service of process on behalf of the Issuer, the Issuer shall, on the written demand of any Noteholder addressed to the Issuer and delivered to the Issuer or to the Specified Office of the Fiscal Agent appoint a further person in England to accept service of process on its behalf and, failing such appointment within 15 days, any Noteholder shall be entitled to appoint such a person by written notice addressed to the Issuer and delivered to the Issuer or to the Specified Office of the Fiscal Agent. Nothing in this paragraph shall affect the right of any Noteholder to serve process in any other manner permitted by law. This Condition applies to Proceedings in England and to Proceedings elsewhere.
- (f) **Consent to enforcement etc.**: The Issuer consents generally in respect of any Proceedings to the giving of any relief or the issue of any process in connection with such Proceedings including (without limitation) the making, enforcement or execution against any property whatsoever (irrespective of its use or intended use) of any order or judgment which is made or given in such Proceedings.
- (g) *Waiver of immunity*: To the extent that the Issuer may in any jurisdiction claim for itself or its assets or revenues immunity from suit, execution, attachment (whether in aid of execution, before judgment or otherwise) or other legal process and to the extent that such immunity (whether or not claimed) may be attributed in any such jurisdiction to the Issuer or its assets or revenues, the Issuer agrees not to claim and irrevocably waives such immunity to the full extent permitted by the laws of such jurisdiction and to the extent it is permitted to do so under applicable law **provided**, **however**, **that** immunity is not waived in respect of public property as such is regulated by the applicable Romanian legislation (including, without limitation, Article 136 of the Romanian Constitution and the Romanian Law No. 213/1998 regarding public property and the legal regime thereof), present or future "premises of the mission" as defined in the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations signed in 1961 (including the furnishings and other property therein and the means of transport of such mission), "consular premises" as defined in the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations signed in 1963 (including the furnishings and other property therein and the means of transport of such mission) or military property or military assets or property or assets of the Issuer related thereto.

26 Standard Clauses

For the purposes of Article 1203 of the Romanian Civil Code, the Issuer hereby expressly accepts all conditions in these terms and conditions which:

- (a) Provide in favour of the Holders of any Notes: (i) the limitation of liability; (ii) the right to unilaterally terminate (*denuntare unilaterala*) the Notes; (iii) the right to suspend performing the Holders' obligations; OR
- (b) Provide to the detriment of the Issuer: (i) the forfeiture of rights (*decadere din drepturi*); (ii) the forfeiture of the benefit of a timeline (*decaderea din beneficiul termenului*); (iii) the limitation of the right to raise defences (*dreptul de a opune exceptii*); (iv) the limitation of the right to contract with third parties; (v) the tacit renewal of the agreement; (vi) the applicable law; (vii) the submission to arbitration (*clauzele compromisorii*); or clauses derogating from the rules of court jurisdiction;

including, without limitation, Conditions 3(e), 4, 5, 7(j), 10(e) and (h), 13, 17.8, 25(a), (b), (c), (d), (f) and (g) and 27.

27 Hardship

Without prejudice to Condition 25(a) (*Governing* law), the Issuer, in full awareness of the contents and nature of the transaction contemplated by these terms and conditions, hereby assumes the risk of change of the circumstances under which these terms and conditions is entered into, in accordance with Article 1271 paragraph 3 letter (c) of the Romanian Civil Code, and hereby waives its right to raise defences based on hardship (*impreviziune*).

FORM OF FINAL TERMS

The Final Terms in respect of each Tranche of Notes will be substantially in the following form, duly supplemented (if necessary), amended (if necessary) and completed to reflect the particular terms of the relevant Notes and their issue. Text in this section appearing in italics does not form part of the form of the Final Terms but denotes directions for completing the Final Terms.

Final Terms dated [•]

ROMANIA

acting through the Ministry of Public Finance

Issue of [Aggregate Principal Amount of Tranche] [Title of Notes]

under the EUR 18,000,000,000

Global Medium Term Note Programme

PART A – CONTRACTUAL TERMS

This document constitutes the final terms relating to the issue of Notes described herein. Terms used herein shall be deemed to be defined as such for the purposes of the Conditions (the "**Conditions**") set forth set forth in the Information Memorandum dated 21 May 2015 [and the supplemental Information Memorandum dated [*date*]] (the "**Information Memorandum**"). These Final Terms contain the final terms of the Notes and must be read in conjunction with such Information Memorandum [as so supplemented].

The Notes have not been, and will not be, registered under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") or with any securities regulatory authority of any state or other jurisdiction of the United States, and Notes in bearer form are subject to U.S. tax law requirements and may not be offered, sold or delivered within the United States or its possessions or to a United States person except in certain transactions permitted by U.S. tax regulations. The Notes may not be offered, sold or (in the case of Notes in bearer form) delivered within the United States or to, or for the account or benefit of, U.S. persons (as defined in Regulation S under the Securities Act ("Regulation S")) except pursuant to an exemption from, or in certain transactions exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act.

The following alternative language applies if the first tranche of an issue which is being increased was issued under an Information Memorandum with an earlier date.

Terms used herein shall be deemed to be defined as such for the purposes of the Conditions (the "**Conditions**") set forth in the Information Memorandum dated [*original date*]. These Final Terms contain the final terms of the Notes and must be read in conjunction with such Information Memorandum dated [*date*] [and the supplemental Information Memorandum dated [*date*], save in respect of the Conditions which are extracted from the Information Memorandum dated [*original date*] and are attached hereto.]

Include whichever of the following apply or specify as "Not Applicable" (N/A). Note that the numbering should remain as set out below, even if "Not Applicable" is indicated for individual paragraphs or subparagraphs. Italics denote guidance for completing the Final Terms.

1	(i) Issuer:	Romania, acting through the Ministry of Public Finance
2	(i) [Series Number:]	[•]
	(ii) [Tranche Number:	[•]
		(If fungible with an existing Series, details of that Series, including the date on which the Notes become fungible).]
3	Specified Currency or Currencies:	[•]
		(If Notes are being cleared through DTC with

interest and or principal payable in a currency other than U.S. dollars, check whether DTC will accept payments in such currency.)

[•] per cent. of the Aggregate Principal Amount [plus accrued interest from [*insert date*] (*in the case of fungible issues only, if applicable*)]

[●] [●]

[•]]

[•]

[•]

[•]

- Aggregate Principal Amount:
 - [(i)] [Series]:
 - [(ii) Tranche:
- 5 Issue Price:

4

- 6 (i) Specified Denominations:
 - (ii) Calculation Amount
- 7 (i) Issue Date:
 - (ii) Interest Commencement Date:
- 8 Maturity Date:

9 Interest Basis:

10 Redemption/Payment Basis:

[*Specify*/Issue Date/Not Applicable]

[Specify date or (for Floating Rate Notes) Interest Payment Date falling in or nearest to the relevant month and year]

[If the Maturity Date is less than one year from the Issue Date and either (a) the issue proceeds are received by the Issuer in the United Kingdom, or (b) the activity of issuing the Notes is carried on from an establishment maintained by the Issuer in the United Kingdom, (i) the Notes must have a minimum redemption value of £100,000 (or its equivalent in other currencies) and be sold only to "professional investors or (ii) another applicable exemption from section 19 of the FSMA must be available.]

[[•] per cent. Fixed Rate]

[[Specify reference rate] +/- [\bullet] per cent. Floating

Rate]

[Zero Coupon]

[Index Linked Interest]

[Other (Specify)]

- (further particulars specified below)
- [Redemption at par]

[Index Linked Redemption]

[Dual Currency]

- [Partly Paid]
- [Instalment]

[Other (Specify)]

11	Change of Interest or Redemption/Payment Basis:	[Specify details of any provision for convertibility of Notes into another interest or redemption/payment basis]					
12	Put/Call Options:	[Investor Put]					
		[Issuer Call]					
		[(further particulars specified below)]					
13	Date [Min Fin] approval for issuance of	[•] [and [•], respectively					
	Notes obtained:	(N.B. Only relevant where authorisation i required for the particular tranche of Notes)					
14	Method of distribution:	[Syndicated/Non-syndicated]					
PROVIS	SIONS RELATING TO INTEREST (IF ANY)	PAYABLE					
15	Fixed Rate Note Provisions	[Applicable/Not Applicable]					
		(If not applicable, delete the remaining sub– paragraphs of this paragraph)					
	(i) Rate[(s)] of Interest:	 [•] per cent. per annum [payable [annually/ semi–annually/quarterly/monthly/other (<i>specify</i>)] in arrear] 					
	(ii) Interest Payment Date(s):	[•] in each year [adjusted in accordance with [specify Business Day Convention and any applicable Business Centre(s) for the definition of "Business Day"]/not adjusted]					
	(iii) Fixed Coupon Amount[(s)]:	[•] per Calculation Amount					
	(iv) Broken Amount(s):	[•] per Calculation Amount, payable on the Interest					
		Payment Date falling [in/on] [•]					
	(v) Day Count Fraction:	[30/360 /Actual/Actual (ICMA/ISDA)/other]					
	(vi) [Determination Dates	[•] in each year (insert regular interest payment dates, ignoring issue date or maturity date in the case of a long or short first or last coupon. N.B. only relevant where Day Count Fraction is Actual/Actual (ICMA))]					
	(vii) Other terms relating to the method of calculating interest for Fixed Rate Notes:	[Not Applicable/give details]					
16	Floating Rate Note Provisions	[Applicable/Not Applicable]					
		(If not applicable, delete the remaining sub- paragraphs of this paragraph)					
	(i) Interest Period(s)	[•]					
	(ii) Specified Period:	[•]					
		(Specified Period and Specified Interest Payment Dates are alternatives. A Specified					

Period, rather than Specified Interest Payment

(iii) Specified Interest Payment Dates:

(iv) [First Interest Payment Date]:

(v) Business Day Convention:

(vi) Additional Business Centre(s):

- (vii) Manner in which the Rate(s) of Interest is/are to be determined:
- (viii) Party responsible for calculating the Rate(s) of Interest and/or Interest Amount(s) (if not the [Fiscal Agent])
- (ix) Screen Rate Determination:
 - Reference Rate:
 - Interest Determination Date(s):
 - Relevant Screen Page:
 - Relevant Time:
 - Relevant Financial Centre:
- (x) ISDA Determination:
 - Floating Rate Option:
 - Designated Maturity:
 - Reset Date:
 - ISDA Definitions
- (xi) Linear Interpolation:

Dates, will only be relevant if the Business Day Convention is the FRN Convention, Floating Rate Convention or Eurodollar Convention. Otherwise, insert "Not Applicable")

[•]

(Specified Period and Specified Interest Payment Dates are alternatives. If the Business Day Convention is the FRN Convention, Floating Rate Convention or Eurodollar Convention, insert "Not Applicable")

[•]

[Floating Rate Convention/Following Business Day Convention/Modified Following Business Day Convention/Preceding Business Day Convention/ other (*give details*)]

[Not Applicable/give details]

[Screen Rate Determination/ISDA Determination/other (*give details*)]

[[Name] shall be the Calculation Agent (no need to specify if the Fiscal Agent is to perform this function)]

[For example, LIBOR or EURIBOR]

[•]

[For example, Reuters LIBOR 01/EURIBOR 01]

[For example, 11.00 a.m. London time/Brussels time]

[For example, London/Euro–zone (where Eurozone means the region comprised of the countries whose lawful currency is the euro]

[•]

[•]

[•]

2006

Not Applicable/Applicable – the Rate of Interest for the [long/short] [first/last] Interest Period shall be calculated using Linear Interpolation (*specify for each short or long interest period*)

[+/-] [•] per cent. per annum

(xii) Margin(s):

(xiii) Minimum Rate of Interest:

(xiv) Maximum Rate of Interest:

(xv) Day Count Fraction:

(xvi) Fall back provisions, rounding provisions, denominator and any other terms relating to the method of calculating interest on Floating Rate Notes, if different from those set out in the Conditions:

17 Zero Coupon Note Provisions

[Amortisation/Accrual] Yield:

Reference Price:

Any other formula/basis of determining amount payable:

18 Index-Linked Interest Note/other variable-linked interest Note Provisions

- (i) Index/Formula/other variable:
- (ii) Calculation Agent responsible for calculating the interest due:
- (iii) Provisions for determining Coupon where calculated by reference to Index and/or Formula and/or other variable:
- (iv) Interest Determination Date(s):
- (v) Provisions for determining Coupon where calculation by reference to Index and/or Formula and/or other variable is impossible or impracticable or otherwise disrupted:
- (vi) Interest or calculation period(s):
- (vii) Specified Period:

- [•] per cent. per annum
- [•] per cent. per annum
- [•]
- [•]

[Applicable/Not Applicable]

(If not applicable, delete the remaining subparagraphs of this paragraph)

[•] per cent. per annum

[•]

[Consider whether it is necessary to specify a Day Count Fraction for the purposes of Condition [10(f)]]

[Applicable/Not Applicable]

(If not applicable, delete the remaining subparagraphs of this paragraph)

[give or annex details]

- [•]
- [•]

[●] [●]

. .

[•]

 $\left[\bullet \right]$

(Specified Period and Specified Interest Payment Dates are alternatives. A Specified Period, rather than Specified Interest Payment Dates, will only be relevant if the Business Day Convention is the FRN Convention, Floating Rate Convention or Eurodollar Convention.

		(Specified Period and Specified Interest					
		Payment Dates are alternatives. If the Business					
		Day Convention is the FRN Convention,					
		Floating Rate Convention or Eurodollar Convention, insert "Not Applicable")					
	(ix) Business Day Convention:	[Floating Rate Convention/ Following Business					
		Day Convention/Modified Following Business					
		Day Convention/Preceding Business Day					
		Convention/ other (give details)]					
	(x) Additional Business Centre(s):						
	(xi) Minimum Rate/Amount of Interest:	[•] per cent. per annum					
	(xii) Maximum Rate/Amount of Interest:	[•] per cent. per annum					
	(xiii) Day Count Fraction:	[•]					
19	Dual Currency Note Provisions	[Applicable/Not Applicable]					
		(If not applicable, delete the remaining sub- paragraphs of this paragraph)					
	Rate of Exchange/method of calculating Rate of Exchange:	[give details]					
Calculation Agent, if any, responsible for		[•]					
	calculating the principal and/or interest due:						
	Provisions applicable where calculation by	[•]					
	reference to Rate of Exchange impossible or impracticable:						
	Person at whose option Specified Currency(ies) is/are payable:	[•]					
PROVIS	SIONS RELATING TO REDEMPTION						
20	Call Option	[Applicable/Not Applicable]					
		(If not applicable, delete the remaining sub- paragraphs of this paragraph)					
	(i) Optional Redemption Date(s):	[•]					
	(ii) Optional Redemption Amount(s) of each Note and method, if any, of	[•] per Calculation Amount					
	calculation of such amount(s):						
	(iii) If redeemable in part:						
	(a) Minimum Redemption Amount:	[•] per Calculation Amount					
	(b) Maximum Redemption Amount	[•] per Calculation Amount					
	(iv) Notice period:	[•]					
21	Put Option	[Applicable/Not Applicable]					

(viii) Specified Interest Payment Dates:

Otherwise, insert "Not Applicable")

[•]

Optional Redemption Date(s):

Optional Redemption Amount(s) of each Note and method, if any, of calculation of such amount(s):

Notice period:

22 Final Redemption Amount of each Note In cases where the Final Redemption Amount is Index-Linked or other variablelinked:

- (i) Index/Formula/variable:
- (ii) Calculation Agent responsible for calculating the Final Redemption Amount:
- (iii) Provisions for determining Final Redemption Amount where calculated by reference to Index and/or Formula and/or other variable:
- (iv) Date for determining Final Redemption Amount where calculation by reference to Index and/or Formula and/or other variable:
- (v) Provisions for determining Final Redemption Amount where calculation by reference to Index and/or Formula and/or other variable is impossible or impracticable or otherwise disrupted:
- (vi) [Payment Date]:
- (vii) Minimum Final Redemption Amount:
- (viii) Maximum Final Redemption Amount:
- 23 Early Redemption Amount
 - Early Redemption Amount(s) on event of default or other early redemption and/or the method of calculating the same (if required or if different from that set out in the Conditions):

GENERAL PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO THE NOTES

Form of Notes:

(If not applicable, delete the remaining subparagraphs of this paragraph)

 $\left[\bullet
ight]$

[•] per Calculation Amount

$[\bullet]$

[•] per Calculation Amount

[give or annex details]

[•]

[•]

[•]

[•]

[•]

- [•] per Calculation Amount
- [•] per Calculation Amount

[Not Applicable

(If the Early Termination Amount are the principal amount of the Notes/ or the Early Termination Amount if different from the principal amount of the Notes)]

Bearer Notes:

[Temporary Global Note exchangeable for a

Permanent Global Note which is exchangeable for Definitive Notes on [•] days' notice/at any time/in the limited circumstances specified in the Permanent Global Note]

[Temporary Global Note exchangeable for Definitive Notes on [•] days' notice]

[Permanent Global Note exchangeable for Definitive Notes on [•] days' notice/at any time/in the limited circumstances specified in the Permanent Global Note]

(Note: The exchange upon notice/at any time options should not be expressed to be applicable if the Specified Denomination of the Notes includes language substantially to the following effect: " \in 100,000 and integral multiples of \in 1,000 in excess thereof up to and including \in 199,000". Furthermore, such Specified Denomination construction is not permitted in relation to any issuance of Notes which is to be represented on issue by a Permanent Bearer Global Notes exchangeable for Definitive Notes.)

Registered Notes:

[Unrestricted Global Note Certificate exchangeable for unrestricted Individual Note Certificates on [•] days' notice/at any time/in the limited circumstances described in the Unrestricted Global Note Certificate]

[Restricted Global Note Certificate exchangeable for Restricted Individual Note Certificates on [•] days' notice/at any time/in the limited circumstances described in the Restricted Global Note Certificate]

[Restricted Global Note Certificate [(U.S.\$ [•]/Euro [•] principal amount)] registered in the name of a nominee for [DTC]]

[Unrestricted Global Note Certificate [(U.S.\$/Euro [•] principal amount)] registered in the name of a nominee for [DTC/a common depositary for Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg/a common safekeeper for Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg (that is, held under the New Safekeeping Structure (NSS))]

[Yes] [No] [Not Applicable]

25 New Global Note:

26 New Safekeeping Structure: [Yes] [No] [Not Applicable] 27 Additional Financial Centre(s) or other [Not Applicable/give details. special provisions relating to payment Note that this paragraph relates to the date and dates: place of payment, and not interest period end dates, to which sub paragraphs 15(ii), 16(vi) and 18(x) relate] 28 Talons for future Coupons or Receipts to [Yes/No. If yes, give details] be attached to Definitive Notes (and dates on which such Talons mature): 29 Details relating to Partly Paid Notes: [Not Applicable/give details] amount of each payment comprising the Issue Price and date on which each payment is to be made [and consequences (if any) of failure to pay, including any right of the Issuer to forfeit the Notes and interest due on late payment]: 30 Details relating to Instalment Notes: [Not Applicable/give details] amount of each instalment, date on which each payment is to be made: 31 Redenomination, renominalisation and [Not Applicable/The provisions [in Condition reconventioning provisions: 24 (Redenomination, Renominalisation and *Reconventioning*)] apply] 32 [Not Applicable/The provisions [in Condition Consolidation provisions: 20 (Further Issues)] [annexed to this Final Terms] apply] 33 Other final terms: [Not Applicable/give details] [(When adding any other final terms consideration should be given as to whether such terms trigger the need for a supplement to the Information Memorandum in accordance with the Information Memorandum.)]

DISTRIBUTION

34 (i) If syndicated, names and addresses of Managers and underwriting commitments: [Not Applicable/give names, addresses and underwriting commitments]

(Include names and addresses of entities agreeing to underwrite the issue on a firm commitment basis and names and addresses of the entities agreeing to place the issue without a firm commitment or on a "best efforts" basis if such entities are not the same as the Managers.)

- (ii) Date of Subscription Agreement:
- (iii) Stabilising Manager(s) (if any):

[Not Applicable/give name]

[•]

35	If non-syndicated, name and address of Dealer:	[Not Applicable/give name and address]			
36	Total commission and concession:	[•] per cent. of the Aggregate Principal Amount			
37	U.S. Selling Restrictions:	[Reg. S Compliance Category 1/2]			
		(<i>In the case of Bearer Notes</i>) — [TEFRA C/TEFRA D/ TEFRA not applicable]			
		(In the case of Registered Notes) - [Not] Rule 144A Eligible			
38	Non-exempt Offer:	Not Applicable			
39	Additional selling restrictions:	[Not Applicable/give details]			

PURPOSE OF FINAL TERMS

These Final Terms comprise the final terms required for issue [and] public offer [admission to trading on the [regulated market of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange] [*other market*] of the Notes described herein] pursuant to the EUR 18,000,000,000 Global Medium Term Note Programme of Romania acting through the Ministry of Public Finance.

RESPONSIBILITY

The Issuer accepts responsibility for the information contained in these Final Terms. [(*Relevant third party information*) has been extracted from (*specify source*). The Issuer confirms that such information has been accurately reproduced and that, so far as it is aware, and is able to ascertain from information published by (*specify source*), no facts have been omitted which would render the reproduced information inaccurate or misleading.]

Signed on behalf of **ROMANIA, ACTING THROUGH THE MINISTRY OF PUBLIC FINANCE:**

By:

Duly authorised

PART B — OTHER INFORMATION

1	LISTING	
	(i) Listing	[London/Luxembourg/Other (specify)/None]
	(ii) Admission to trading	Application is has been made by the Issuer (or on its behalf) for the Notes to be admitted to trading on [<i>specify relevant regulated market</i>]] with effect from [•].] [Application is expected to be made by the Issuer (or on its behalf) for the Notes to be admitted to trading on [<i>specify relevant regulated market</i>] with effect from [•].] [Not Applicable.]
		(Where documenting a fungible issue need to indicate that original Notes are already admitted to trading.)
2	RATINGS	
	Ratings:	The Programme has been rated:
		Standard & Poor's Credit Market Services France SAS: "[•]" (for unsecured Notes with a maturity of one year or more) and "[•]" (for unsecured Notes with a maturity of less than one year)]
		Moody's Investors Service, Inc.: "[•]"
		Fitch Ratings Limited*: "[•]"
		(Other*: The exact legal name of the rating agency entity providing the rating should be specified-for example "Standard & Poor's Credit Market Services France SAS", rather than just Standard and Poor's.)
		<i>Option 1 — CRA established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation</i>
		[Insert legal name of particular credit rating agency entity providing rating] is established in the EEA and registered under Regulation (EU) No 1060/2009, as amended (the "CRA Regulation").
		Option 2 — CRA not established in the EEA but relevant rating is endorsed by a CRA which is established and registered under the CRA Regulation
		[Insert legal name of particular credit rating agency entity providing rating] is not established in the EEA but the rating it has given to the Notes is endorsed by [insert legal name of credit rating agency], which is established in the EEA and registered under Regulation (EU) No 1060/2009, as amended (the " CRA Regulation ").
		Option 3 — CRA is not established in the EEA and

relevant rating is not endorsed under the CRA Regulation but CRA is certified under the CRA Regulation

[Insert legal name of particular credit rating agency entity

providing rating] is not established in the EEA but is certified under Regulation (EU) No 1060/2009, as amended (the "**CRA Regulation**").

Option 4 — CRA neither established in the EEA nor certified under the CRA Regulation and relevant rating is not endorsed under the CRA Regulation

[Insert legal name of particular credit rating agency entity providing rating] is not established in the EEA and is not certified under Regulation (EU) No 1060/2009, as amended (the "**CRA Regulation**") and the rating it has given to the Notes is not endorsed by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation.

[End of options]

[In general, European regulated investors are restricted from using a rating for regulatory purposes if such rating is not issued by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation unless (1) the rating is provided by a credit rating agency not established in the EEA but is endorsed by a credit rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation or (2) the rating is provided by a credit rating agency not established in the EEA which is certified under the CRA Regulation.]

[Need to include a brief explanation of the meaning of the ratings if this has previously been published by the rating provider.]

(The above disclosure should reflect the rating allocated to Notes of the type being issued under the Programme generally or, where the issue has been specifically rated, that rating.)

insufficient to fund all proposed uses state amount and

3 [INTERESTS OF NATURAL AND LEGAL PERSONS INVOLVED IN THE ISSUE/OFFER]

Need to include a description of any interest, including conflicting ones, that is material to the issue/offer, detailing the persons involved and the nature of the interest. May be satisfied by the inclusion of the following statement:

"Save as discussed in ["*Subscription and Sale*"], so far as the Issuer is aware, no person involved in the offer of the Notes has an interest material to the offer."]

4 REASONS FOR THE OFFER, ESTIMATED NET PROCEEDS AND TOTAL EXPENSES

 [(i) Reasons for the offer
 [•]
 (See ["Use of Proceeds"] wording in Information Memorandum — if reasons for offer different, will need to include those reasons here.)]
 [(ii)] Estimated net proceeds:
 [•]
 (If proceeds are intended for more than one use will need to split out and present in order of priority. If proceeds sources of other funding.)

[(iii)] Estimated total expenses:

[Include breakdown of expenses]

(It is only necessary to include disclosure of net proceeds and total expenses at (ii) and (iii) above where disclosure is included at (i) above.)

5 [Fixed Rate Notes only — YIELD

Indication of yield:

[•]

[•]

Calculated as [include details of method of calculation in summary form] on the Issue Date.

As set out above, the yield is calculated at the Issue Date on the basis of the Issue Price. It is not an indication of future yield.]

6 [Floating Rate Notes only — HISTORIC INTEREST RATES

Details of historic [LIBOR/EURIBOR/other] rates can be obtained from [Reuters].]

7 [Index-linked or other variable-linked notes only — PERFORMANCE OF INDEX/FORMULA/OTHER VARIABLE, EXPLANATION OF EFFECT ON VALUE OF INVESTMENT AND ASSOCIATED RISKS AND OTHER INFORMATION CONCERNING THE UNDERLYING

Need to include details of where past and future performance and volatility of the index/formula/other variable can be obtained and a clear and comprehensive explanation of how the value of the investment is affected by the underlying and the circumstances when the risks are most evident. Where the underlying is an index need to include the name of the index and a description if composed by the Issuer and if the index is not composed by the Issuer need to include details of where the information about the index can be obtained. Where the underlying is not an index need to include equivalent information.]

8 [*Dual Currency Notes only* — PERFORMANCE OF RATE[S] OF EXCHANGE AND EXPLANATION OF EFFECT ON VALUE OF INVESTMENT

Need to include details of where past and future performance and volatility of the relevant rate[s] can be obtained and a clear and comprehensive explanation of how the value of the investment is affected by the underlying and the circumstances when the risks are most evident.]

[(When completing this paragraph, consideration should be given as to whether such matters described trigger the need for a supplement to the Information Memorandum in accordance with the terms of the Information Memorandum.)]

9 **OPERATIONAL INFORMATION**

(i) CUSIP: [•] [Not applicable]	(i)	CUSIP:	[●] [Not applicable
---------------------------------	-----	--------	---------------------

- (ii) ISIN Code: [•]
- (iii) Common Code: [•]
- (iv) Any clearing system(s) other
 (Not Applicable/give name(s) and number(s)]
 than DTC, Euroclear Bank
 SA/NV and Clearstream

Banking, société anonyme and the relevant identification number(s):

- (v) Delivery:
- (vi) Names and addresses of initial Paying Agent(s):
- (vii) Names and addresses of additional Paying Agent(s) (if any):
- (viii) Intended to be held in a manner which would allow Eurosystem eligibility:

Delivery [against/free of] payment

- [•]
- [•]

[Yes][No][Not Applicable]

[Yes. Note that the designation "yes" simply means that the Notes are intended upon issue to be deposited with one of the ICSDs as common safekeeper[[, and registered in the name of a nominee of one of the ICSDs acting as common safekeeper,] [*include this text for Registered Notes*] and does not necessarily mean that the Notes will be recognised as eligible collateral for Eurosystem monetary policy and intraday credit operations by the Eurosystem either upon issue or at any or all times during their life. Such recognition will depend upon the ECB being satisfied that Eurosystem eligibility criteria have been met.][*include this text if "yes" selected in which case the Bearer Notes must be issued in NGN form*]/

[No. Whilst the designation is specified as "**no**" at the date of these Final Terms, should the Eurosystem eligibility criteria be amended in the future such that the Notes are capable of meeting them the Notes may then be deposited with one of the ICSDs as common safekeeper. Note that this does not necessarily mean that the Notes will then be recognised as eligible collateral for Eurosystem monetary policy and intra day credit operations by the Eurosystem at any time during their life. Such recognition will depend upon the ECB being satisfied that Eurosystem eligibility criteria have been met.]

SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO THE NOTES WHILE IN GLOBAL FORM

Clearing System Accountholders

In relation to any Tranche of Notes represented by a Global Note, references in the Terms and Conditions of the Notes to "**Noteholder**" are references to the bearer of the relevant Global Note which, for so long as the Global Note is held by a depositary or a common depositary, in the case of a CGN, or a common safekeeper, in the case of an NGN for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system, will be that depositary or common depositary or, as the case may be, common safekeeper.

In relation to any Tranche of Notes represented by one or more Global Note Certificates, references in the Terms and Conditions of the Notes to "**Noteholder**" are references to the person in whose name the relevant Global Note Certificate is for the time being registered in the Register which (a) in the case of a Restricted Global Note Certificate held by or on behalf of DTC, will be Cede & Co. (or such other entity as is specified in the applicable Final Terms) as nominee for DTC; and (b) in the case of any Unrestricted Global Note Certificate which is held by or on behalf of a depositary or a common depositary or a common safekeeper for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system, will be that depositary or common safekeeper.

Each of the persons shown in the records of DTC, Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system as being entitled to an interest in a Global Note or a Global Note Certificate (each an "Accountholder") must look solely to DTC, Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or such other relevant clearing system (as the case may be) for such Accountholder's share of each payment made by the Issuer to the holder of such Global Note or Global Note Certificate. The extent to which, and the manner in which, Accountholders may exercise any rights arising under a Global Note or Global Note Certificate will be determined by the respective rules and procedures of DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg and any other relevant clearing system from time to time. For so long as the relevant Notes are represented by a Global Note or Global Note Certificate, Accountholders shall have no claim directly against the Issuer in respect of payments due under the Notes and such obligations of the Issuer will be discharged by payment to the holder of such Global Note Certificate.

Transfers of Interests in Global Notes and Global Note Certificates

Transfers of interests in Global Notes and Global Note Certificates within DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg or any other relevant clearing system will be in accordance with their respective rules and operating procedures. None of the Issuer, the Fiscal Agent, the Registrar, the Dealers or the Agents will have any responsibility or liability for any aspect of the records of any DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg or any other relevant clearing system or any of their respective participants relating to payments made on account of beneficial ownership interests in a Global Note or Global Note Certificate or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing any of the records of DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg or any other relevant clearing system or the records of beneficial ownership interests.

The laws of some states of the United States require that certain persons receive individual certificates in respect of their holdings of Notes. Consequently, the ability to transfer interests in a Global Note Certificate to such persons will be limited. Because clearing systems only act on behalf of participants, who in turn act on behalf of indirect participants, the ability of a person having an interest in a Global Note Certificate to pledge such interest to persons or entities which do not participate in the relevant clearing systems, or otherwise take actions in respect of such interest, may be affected by the lack of an Individual Note Certificate representing such interest.

Subject to compliance with the transfer restrictions applicable to the Registered Notes described under "*Transfer Restrictions*", transfers between DTC participants, on the one hand, and Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg accountholders, on the other will be effected by the relevant clearing systems in accordance with their respective rules and through action taken by the DTC Custodian, the Registrar and the Fiscal Agent.

On or after the issue date for any Series, transfers of Notes of such Series between accountholders in Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and transfers of Notes of such Series between participants in DTC will generally have a settlement date three business days after the trade date (T+3). The customary arrangements for delivery versus payment will apply to such transfers.

Transfers between DTC participants, on the one hand, and Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg accountholders, on the other will need to have an agreed settlement date between the parties to such transfer. Because there is no direct link between DTC, on the one hand, and Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg, on the other, transfers of interests in the relevant Global Note Certificates will be effected through the Fiscal Agent, the DTC Custodian, the Registrar and the Transfer Agent receiving instructions (and where appropriate certification) from the transferor and arranging for delivery of the interests being transferred to the credit of the designated account for the transferee. Transfers will be effected on the later of (i) three business days after the trade date for the disposal of the interest in the relevant Global Note Certificate resulting in such transfer and (ii) two business days after receipt by the Fiscal Agent or the Registrar, as the case may be, of the necessary certification or information to effect such transfer. In the case of cross-market transfers, settlement between Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg accountholders and DTC participants cannot be made on a delivery versus payment basis. The securities will be delivered on a free delivery basis and arrangements for payment must be made separately. The customary arrangements for delivery versus payment between Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg account holders or between DTC participants are not affected.

For a further description of restrictions on the transfer of Notes, see "Subscription and Sale" and "Transfer Restrictions".

Upon the issue of a Restricted Global Note Certificate to be held by or on behalf of DTC, DTC or the DTC Custodian will credit the respective principal amounts of the individual beneficial interests represented by such Global Note Certificate to the account of DTC participants. Ownership of beneficial interests in such Global Note Certificate will be held through participants of DTC, including the respective depositaries of Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg. Ownership of beneficial interests in such Global Note Certificate will be shown on, and the transfer of such ownership will be effected only through, records maintained by DTC or its nominee. DTC has advised the Issuer that it will take any action permitted to be taken by a holder of Registered Notes represented by a Global Note Certificates for exchange as described above) only at the direction of one or more participants in whose account with DTC interests in such Global Note Certificate are credited, and only in respect of such portion of the aggregate principal amount of such Global Note Certificate as to which such participant or participants has or have given such direction. However, in certain circumstances, DTC will exchange the relevant Global Note Certificate for Individual Note Certificates (which will bear the relevant legends set out in "*Transfer Restrictions*").

Although DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg have agreed to the foregoing procedures in order to facilitate transfers of interests in the Global Note Certificates among participants and account holders of DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg, they are under no obligation to perform or continue to perform such procedures, and such procedures may be discontinued at any time. None of the Issuer, the Fiscal Agent, the Registrar, the Dealers or the Agents will have any responsibility for the performance by DTC, Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg or their respective direct or indirect participants or account holders of their respective obligations under the rules and procedures governing their respective operations.

While a Global Note Certificate is lodged with DTC, Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg or any relevant clearing system, Individual Note Certificates for the relevant Series of Notes will not be eligible for clearing and settlement through such clearing systems.

Conditions applicable to Global Notes

Each Global Note and Global Note Certificate will contain provisions which modify the Terms and Conditions of the Notes as they apply to the Global Note or Global Note Certificate. The following is a summary of certain of those provisions:

Payments: All payments in respect of the Global Note or Global Note Certificate which, according to the Terms and Conditions of the Notes, require presentation and/or surrender of a Note, Note Certificate or Coupon will be made against presentation and (in the case of payment of principal in full with all interest accrued thereon) surrender of the Global Note or Global Note Certificate to or to the order of any Paying

Agent and will be effective to satisfy and discharge the corresponding liabilities of the Issuer in respect of the Notes. On each occasion on which a payment of principal or interest is made in respect of the Global Note, the Issuer shall procure that in respect of a CGN the payment is noted in a schedule thereto and in respect of an NGN the payment is entered *pro rata* in the records of Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg.

Payment Business Day: In the case of a Global Note or a Global Note Certificate, shall be: if the currency of payment is euro, any day which is a TARGET Settlement Day and a day on which dealings in foreign currencies may be carried on in each (if any) Additional Financial Centre; or, if the currency of payment is not euro, any day which is a day on which dealings in foreign currencies may be carried on in the Principal Financial Centre of the currency of payment and in each (if any) Additional Financial Centre.

Payment Record Date: Each payment in respect of a Global Note Certificate will be made to the person shown as the Holder in the Register at the close of business (in the relevant clearing system) on the Clearing System Business Day before the due date for such payment (the "**Payment Record Date**") where "**Clearing System Business Day**" means a day on which each clearing system for which the Global Note Certificate is being held is open for business.

Exercise of put option: In order to exercise the option contained in Condition 10(d) (*Redemption at the option of Noteholders*) the bearer of a Permanent Global Note or the holder of a Global Note Certificate must, within the period specified in the Conditions for the deposit of the relevant Note and put notice, give written notice of such exercise to the Fiscal Agent specifying the principal amount of Notes in respect of which such option is being exercised. Any such notice will be irrevocable and may not be withdrawn.

Partial exercise of call option: In connection with an exercise of the option contained in Condition 10(b) (*Redemption at the option of the Issuer*) in relation to some only of the Notes, the Permanent Global Note or Global Note Certificate may be redeemed in part in the principal amount specified by the Issuer in accordance with the Conditions and the Notes to be redeemed will not be selected as provided in the Conditions but in accordance with the rules and procedures of DTC, Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg (to be reflected in the records of DTC, Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg as either a pool factor or a reduction in principal amount, at their discretion).

Notices: Notwithstanding Condition 21 (*Notices*), while all the Notes are represented by a Permanent Global Note (or by a Permanent Global Note and/or a Temporary Global Note) or a Global Note Certificate and the Permanent Global Note is (or the Permanent Global Note and/or the Temporary Global Note are), or the Global Note Certificate is, registered in the name of DTC's nominee or deposited with a depositary or a common depositary for Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system or a common safekeeper, notices to Noteholders may be given by delivery of the relevant notice to DTC and/or Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system and, in any case, such notices shall be deemed to have been given to the Noteholders in accordance with Condition 21 (*Notices*) on the date of delivery to DTC and/or Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or any other relevant clearing system, except that, for so long as such Notes are admitted to trading on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange and it is a requirement of applicable law or regulations, such notices shall also be published in a leading newspaper having general circulation in Luxembourg (which is expected to be *Luxemburger Wort*) or published on the website of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange (*www.bourse.lu*).

DESCRIPTION OF ROMANIA

OVERVIEW

Territory and Population

Romania is located in Eastern Central Europe, north of the Balkan Peninsula. Its neighbouring countries are Hungary, Ukraine, the Republic of Moldova, Bulgaria and Serbia. On the southeast it borders the Black Sea. The country is one of the largest in the Central European region and covers an area of approximately 238,390 square kilometres.

According to the final data of the 2011 census, Romania has a population of approximately 20.12 million, compared to approximately 21.68 million registered during the 2002 census.

Political System

Political and economic uncertainty

Romania has undergone major changes during its recent history. Many political and economic reforms have taken place, but Romania's economy still faces a number of structural challenges. These include reliance on industrial sector exports and, historically, an imbalance of exports compared to imports, each of which may affect Romania's creditworthiness.

Romania faced a series of political conflicts during 2012. Following a change of political majority in the Parliament, governments supported by the Democrat-Liberal Party ("**PD-L**") were dismissed successively in early 2012 and replaced in May 2012 by governments supported by the Victor Ponta and Crin Antonescu co-led Social-Liberal Union ("**USL**") and led by Prime Minister Victor Ponta.

The political crisis continued in summer 2012 and culminated with the impeachment of former President Basescu by the Romanian Parliament in July 2012. This measure was reversed when the popular referendum called to confirm his dismissal was declared invalid due to a failure to attain a legal quorum.

The political turmoil calmed following the USL winning over 67 per cent. of the seats in the parliamentary elections that took place on 9 December 2012. Between December 2012 and February 2014, Romania was led by a USL-supported Government, with Victor Ponta as the Prime Minister.

Starting in September 2013, several street protests took place in the Romanian capital Bucharest and in other major cities against the contemplated cyanide-based gold mining at Rosia Montana in Romania. These protests continued until the draft law on the gold mining project was withdrawn from the Parliament. Protests also took place against the Government's plan to allow the extraction of shale gas in Romania until February 2015, when the main investor interested in the shale gas extraction announced that it will cease its shale gas operations in Romania. Street protests in 2014 were also aimed at certain legislative proposals of the Parliament meant to amend the Criminal Code and to implement amnesty for certain criminal activities. The latter was also criticised by representatives of several EU Member States as well as the United States and the EC and was finally ruled unconstitutional and thus invalid by the Romanian Constitutional Court on 15 January 2014.

Starting in February 2014, internal struggles within USL began to surface. On 10 February 2014, the Social Democratic Party and the two minority parties of USL, the Conservative Party and the National Union for the Progress of Romania (*Uniunea Națională pentru Progresul Romaniei*), agreed to participate together in the 2014 euro-parliamentary elections as the newly-established USD. After distancing itself from the ruling coalition, the National Liberal Party, the second leading party in the USL, left the coalition, withdrew its ministers from the government and went into the opposition on 25 February 2014. On 4 March 2014, a Government supported by the PSD and UDMR (the ethnic Hungarian party) and still led by Prime Minister Victor Ponta was approved by the Parliament with a majority of 346 votes out of a total of 575. In December 2014, UDMR withdrew from the government coalition and was soon replaced by the newly established Reforming Liberal Party led by former Prime Minister Calin Popescu Tariceanu. The new Government was endorsed by the Parliament in December 2014, with a majority of 377 votes out of a total of 511.

A presidential election, in two rounds, was held in November 2014. After coming in second place to Victor Ponta in the first round, and amid protests of alleged voting hurdles being put in place targeting Romanian citizens living abroad directed against Mr. Ponta's government, Klaus Iohannis of the National Liberal Party won the election with 54.4 per cent. of the vote in the runoff.

The victory by President Iohannis in the November 2014 presidential elections has raised a degree of nearterm political uncertainty as the opposition has attempted to form a new government by changing the parliamentary majority. This political uncertainty has affected the implementation of reforms, in particular with regard to state-owned enterprises and gas price deregulation.

Recent History and Constitution

Following the Second World War, Romania fell under the influence of the Soviet Union, and, from 1948 to 1989, had a communist government and a centrally-planned economy.

In December 1989, a popular revolt led to the downfall of the communist government. Non-communist political parties were then established and free elections were held.

On 21 November 1991, a new constitution establishing Romania as a parliamentary democracy was adopted by the Romanian Parliament and subsequently approved by popular referendum. The constitution formally separates the legislative, executive and judicial powers of the state. The constitution was amended in 2003, in anticipation of Romania's accession to the European Union, without altering the basic principles on which the political system of Romania was based.

Further amendments to the constitution are currently under debate in the parliamentary special committee appointed for this purpose, with the stated objective, among others, of lessening opportunities for conflict between the President and the Prime Minister by clarifying the responsibilities of each office and reducing the number of members of the Parliament. The proposals for amendment of the constitution were expected to be finalized and subjected to a popular referendum during 2015, however, the timetable was delayed due to political disagreements and since the Constitutional Court of Romania ruled that several of the draft amendments were not permissible amendments to the constitution, the exact timing of any future referendum is uncertain.

Parliament

Legislative power is vested in the Romanian Parliament. The Parliament of Romania has a bicameral structure consisting of the Senate and the Chamber of Deputies. Parliamentarians are directly elected for four-year terms.

Elections are county-constituency based with one deputy per 70,000 citizens and one senator per 160,000 citizens. In recent years, several attempts have been made, via bills and referendum, to modify the Parliament's structure or reduce the number of parliamentarians.

Since December 2012, the following main political parties have had parliamentary representation:

- Social Democratic Party (*Partidul Social Democrat*) ("**PSD**"): Social democratic, centre-left party led by Victor Ponta;
- Conservative Party (*Partidul Conservator*) ("**PC**"): Conservative party founded by the Romanian businessman Dan Voiculescu and currently led by Daniel Constantin;
- National Liberal Party (*Partidul National Liberal*) ("**PNL**"): Liberal, centre-right party led by Alina Gorghiu and Vasile Blaga (former leader of the Democratic Liberal Party (Partidul Democrat-Liberal) which merged by absorption with PNL in December 2014);
- People's Party Dan Diaconescu (*Partidul Poporului Dan Diaconescu*) ("**PP-DD**"): Populist party founded by media businessman Dan Diaconescu;
- Hungarian Democratic Union of Romania (*Uniunea Democrat Maghiara din Romania*) ("**UDMR**"): Centrist, Hungarian minority party, led by Kelemen Hunor; and
- National Union for the Progress of Romania (Uniunea Națională pentru Progresul Romaniei) ("UNPR").

A government led by Victor Ponta was approved by the Parliament on 4 March 2014 with support from the PSD-UNPR-PC alliance and UDMR, receiving 346 votes out of a total of 575.

President

The President of Romania is the head of the Romanian state and is elected by popular vote for a five-year term. Upon election, the President cannot be a member of a political party. The President nominates the Prime Minister and formally appoints the Government. The President is also the president of the Romanian Supreme Council of Defence and the commander-in-chief of the Romanian armed forces.

The most recent presidential election was held in November 2014 following several high-profile corruption scandals in October of that year with the incumbent, former President Basescu, unable to stand as he had already served two terms. In the runoff held on 16 November 2014, Klaus Werner Iohannis (former leader of PNL since June 2014 and mayor of the city of Sibiu since 2000) was elected as the President of Romania with 54.43% of the votes as the Christian Liberal Alliance candidate, defeated the PSD candidate, Prime Minister Victor Ponta. The results of the election were confirmed by the Constitutional Court on 21 November 2014. President Iohannis was formally vested in the office on 21 December 2014, after the term of Traian Basescu ended.

Local Government

Local government is conducted at the level of the 42 counties (*judete*), including Bucharest, and at the municipal level. County and municipal authorities are generally responsible for providing education, social services and basic utilities within their area. Proposals for establishing administrative regions to replace the 42 counties are currently being discussed, but such a change can be adopted only through an amendment to the Romanian constitution, and no such amendment has been formally proposed.

Government

The Government exercises the executive power of the state. It comprises the Prime Minister and his cabinet. In practice, the largest party or coalition of parties in the Parliament forms the Government. Each cabinet appointment is subject to the approval of the President.

Following the parliamentary elections on 9 December 2012, Victor Ponta was appointed Prime Minister and his government was approved by the Parliament on 21 December 2012 with a majority of 402 votes. The cabinet included certain new positions that reflected the priorities of the Government, such as the Minister of EU Funds, Minister Delegate for Infrastructure Projects of National Interest and Foreign Investments, Minister Delegate for Energy and Minister Delegate for Higher Education, Scientific Research and Technological Development.

After the resignation of all PNL-supported ministers during February 2014, a new Government led by Prime Minister Victor Ponta was approved and appointed by the Parliament on 4 March 2014 with a majority of 346 votes out of 575.

The Government was reshuffled in December 2014, after the resignation of all UDMR-supported ministers and the defeat in the presidential elections of the Prime Minister Victor Ponta. The Parliament validated the new Government, led by Prime Minister Victor Ponta, on 16 December 2014, with a majority of 377 votes out of a total of 511 votes. As a result of the governmental restructuring of December 2014, certain minister-delegated positions have been eliminated. Consequently, the Ministry for Higher Education, Scientific Research and Technological Development was absorbed into the Ministry-Delegate of Education, the Ministry-Delegate of Water and Forests was absorbed into the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry-Delegate of Budget was absorbed into the Ministry of Public Finance. A new ministry, the Ministry of Energy, Small and Medium Enterprises and the Business Environment, was also established in December 2014.

Judiciary and Constitutional Court

General Structure of the Judiciary and Constitutional Court

Judicial authority is vested with the High Court of Cassation and Justice (the "HCCJ") and a series of other courts established by law. The lower courts are comprised of regional courts of appeal (*curti de apel*);

tribunals (*tribunale*), specialised tribunals (*tribunale specializate*), military courts (*instante militare*) and courts of first instance (*judecatorii*).

According to the Constitution, the Constitutional Court is not deemed to be part of the judiciary. The Constitutional Court determines matters of constitutional significance.

The Constitution grants courts of law jurisdiction only to the extent established by law. Courts with specialised subject matter jurisdiction may also be established, although these must also be established by law.

Ensuring the Independence of the Judiciary and of the Constitutional Court

Judges must be independent and obey only the law. They are appointed by the President, at the proposal of the Superior Council of Magistracy ("**Superior Council**"), which acts as guarantor of the independence of the judiciary. The Superior Council has 19 members: nine judges, five prosecutors and two representatives of the civil society. The other three members of the Superior Council are the Minister of Justice, the president of the HCCJ and the general public prosecutor of the Public Prosecutor's Office attached to the HCCJ.

The nine judges of the Constitutional Court are appointed (for a nine-year term that cannot be extended or renewed) by the President, the Chamber of Deputies and the Senate, each of which is entitled to make three appointments.

Reform of the Judiciary

The Romanian judicial system has gone through several reforms aimed at modernising and strengthening the independence of the judiciary and further reforms are currently being planned. These reforms have been largely based on the Strategies for Reforming of the Judiciary, the National Anticorruption Strategies and the National Strategy for Fighting Against Organised Crime, which have been implemented so far.

The EC periodically evaluates the Romanian judicial system against four benchmarks (the "**Benchmarks**") under the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism (the "**CVM**"). These Benchmarks consist of: (i) ensuring a more transparent and efficient judicial process, notably by enhancing the capacity and accountability of the Superior Council and monitoring and reporting the impact of the new civil and criminal procedure codes; (ii) establishing an integrity agency with responsibilities for verifying assets, incompatibilities and potential conflicts of interest, and for issuing mandatory decisions on the basis of which deterrent sanctions can be taken; (iii) building on progress already made, continuing to conduct professional, non-partisan investigations into allegations of high-level corruption; and (iv) taking further measures to prevent and fight against corruption, in particular within local government.

In the 2014 CVM report dated 22 January 2014 (the "**2014 CVM Report**"), the EC recognised that Romania has made progress in many areas since the previous CVM reports but noted that the history of the CVM shows that progress is not straightforward, so that advances in one area can be constrained or negated by setbacks elsewhere. Nevertheless, it also noted the determination of many institutions and individuals in Romania to continue to consolidate progress in the area of judicial reform and the fight against corruption. According to the same report, the track record and integrity of the key judicial institutions has remained positive, while necessary and long awaited legislative changes have remained on track though are still outstanding. Furthermore, the EC welcomed the spirit of cooperation between judicial institutions and the Ministry of Justice, which is helping managerial issues to be tackled.

Moreover, the 2014 CVM Report underlined the resilience of key anti-corruption institutions in the face of sustained pressure and has shown that a supportive attitude to reform has taken root in important sections of Romanian society.

The EC also noted that a number of issues still need to be tackled. In this respect, the final section of the 2014 CVM Report contained 18 recommendations broken down into four themes: (i) judicial independence; (ii) judicial reform; (iii) integrity and (iv) the fight against corruption.

Several consecutive CVM reports have highlighted the track record of institutions responsible for tackling high-level corruption as one of the most important ways in which Romania is advancing the CVM objectives. The 2014 CVM report underlined the fact that both the National Direction for Anti-corruption ("**DNA**") at the prosecution level and the HCCJ at the trial stage have maintained positive track records in difficult circumstances. Furthermore, the same report notes that, both in terms of indictments and convictions, the

application of the justice system to powerful political figures has been an important demonstration of the reach of Romanian justice. There have been improvements in court practice, notably in terms of speed of the DNA investigation and of judgement.

The positive track record of the HCCJ in solving high-level corruption cases is acknowledged in the 2014 CVM Report as well. Furthermore, the HCCJ has continued to implement organisational measures to prevent delays in high-level corruption trials. Importantly, the HCCJ has also continued to propose solutions to procedural loopholes allowing for procrastination of trials.

The 2014 CVM Report also maintained a positive evaluation of DNA activity, noting that the DNA maintained its track record of conducting investigations of high-level corruption cases throughout 2013, with a significant increase in the number of indicted defendants and in the number of successful prosecutions.

The 2014 CVM Report stated that the new Criminal Code and the new Criminal Procedure Code entered into force on 1 February 2014. There have been no major disruptions following their becoming effective. Romania believes that this illustrates that the Romanian authorities are truly committed to continue reforms already achieved in the field of justice.

The Commission's 2015 CVM report dated 28 January 2015 (the "2015 CVM Report") report highlighted a number of areas of progress, noting in particular that some of the relevant progress areas were building upon the success of earlier periods and thus further embedding the necessary practices and attitudes into the Romanian judicial system, The actions taken by the key judicial institutions to address high-level corruption have built momentum, and is believed to have carried through into increased confidence amongst Romanians about the judiciary in general, and anti-corruption prosecutions in particular. The report also indicates that this trend has been supported by an increased level of professionalism in the judicial system as a whole, including a willingness to defend the independence of the judiciary in a more consistent way and a more proactive approach towards consistency of jurisprudence.

The 2015 CVM Report also states that progress needs to be consolidated and further secured. While the implementation of the Criminal Code and the Criminal Procedure Code has shown the Government and the judiciary working together in a productive and pragmatic way, many legislative issues remain outstanding. There continues to be inconsistency in some court decisions, which remains a concern. According to the 2015 CVM Report, decisions in Parliament on whether to allow the prosecution to treat parliamentarians like other citizens still seem to lack objective criteria and a reliable timetable. Parliament has also, on occasion, been reluctant to apply final court or Constitutional Court decisions. The 2015 CVM Report also notes that while recognition that general corruption needs to be tackled is certainly building inside government, the scale of the problem necessitates a more systematic approach.

First Benchmark

The implementation of the new Criminal and Criminal Procedures Codes in February 2014 was a major undertaking, and a test of the ability of the judicial system to adapt. The change was successfully achieved, with the key institutions working together to good effect: the Ministry of Justice, the HCCJ, the Superior Council of Magistracy, the prosecution and the NIM. The Romanian magistracy proved able to adapt to the new codes without an interruption in its work. Some innovatory measures, such as a possibility for plea bargains, are being utilised already.

The Strategy for the Development of the Judiciary for the years 2015-2020 proposed by the Ministry of Justice was approved by the government on 23 December 2014. This document draws heavily on CVM recommendations, as well as on studies developed by the World Bank, in particular the Functional Analysis of the Romanian judiciary. Drawing on a series of underlying principles based on the rule of law, the strategy defines objectives for further reform in the period 2015-2020 to make the judiciary more efficient and accountable and to increase its quality. The strategy is also based on, among other background elements, EC recommendations, the Study on Court Optimization and input from specialized departments within the ministry of Justice, the Superior Council of Magistracy, the Public Ministry, the HCCJ, the National Trade Office and the National Administration of Penitentiaries.

Despite the pressures on public finances, the Minister of Justice secured considerable increases in funding to facilitate reform: the final budget for 2014 was 3.7 per cent. higher than the final budget of 2013; and the initial budget for 2015 is 5.6 per cent. higher than the initial budget for 2014. This has helped to fund new

positions in courts and prosecution offices, including approximately 200 new auxiliary positions in courts and prosecutor offices.

Second Benchmark

Romania established the National Integrity Agency ("**ANI**"), an independent, operational institution to control and verify the wealth, conflicts of interest and incompatibilities within the judiciary, which has been operational since the end of 2007. Romania was the first EU country to create such a specialized institution.

Under the law, all state dignitaries, civil servants in public institutions at local or central level, persons having management positions in the public education system and in the public health system and other specific persons have an obligation to complete annual public declarations of revenues and interests. The ANI evaluates these declarations and refers matters regarding potential cases of conflicts of interests, incompatibilities and unjustified wealth to the competent authorities.

ANI's work has received positive feedback in the EC's reports since 2009. The 2014 CVM Report noted that the ANI has continued to process a substantial number of cases in 2014. A high percentage (70 per cent.) of ANI's decisions on incompatibilities and conflicts of interests are challenged in court, but in approximately 90 per cent. of these challenged cases, ANI's decision has been confirmed by the courts. ANI's interpretations of the law have been confirmed in both the Constitutional Court of Romania and the HCCJ.

From a staffing and budget point of view, the ANI was stable in 2014. ANI has secured the resources to undertake an important new project in 2015. The "Prevent" IT system for an ex-ante check of conflicts of interests in public procurement is expected to be completed by mid-2015 and has the potential to materially assist in avoiding conflicts of interest. The system will cover procurement both with EU and national funds.

Third Benchmark

The DNA is a specialised, independent structure, functioning within the General Prosecutors' Office, which investigates high level corruption cases.

Several procedural improvements have been made to increase the efficiency of trials in high-level corruption cases. These include the repeal of several provisions, such as the de jure suspension of trials when objections of unconstitutionality are raised and the possibility of suspending criminal trials by raising the illegality exception. As a consequence, none of the high level corruption cases pending before the Criminal Section of the HCCJ have been suspended on such grounds since the reforms became effective. Furthermore, in order to accelerate the high-level corruption cases, the new leadership of the HCCJ promoted best practices through more efficient and rigorous management of trials. The DNA's track record has been consistently positive since 2008 and this is acknowledged in the EC's CVM reports, including the latest report in January 2015.

DNA activity in 2014 and the beginning of 2015 covered a wide range of high-level cases, in all areas of public office and involving public figures in a variety of political parties. Indictments and ongoing investigations included serving and former Ministers, members of Parliament, mayors, judges and senior prosecutors (including the Chief Prosecutor of the Directorate for Investigating Organized Crime and Terrorism (DIICOT)). HCCJ cases included convictions at a final state of judgment of a former Prime Minister, former Ministers, members of Parliament, mayors and magistrates.

Fourth Benchmark

On 20 March 2012, the Government approved a new National Anticorruption Strategy ("NAS") covering the period up to 2015 and an action plan to implement it.

The strategy implements the relevant recommendations set out by the EC and includes the areas identified as EU level priorities in the EU's Anticorruption Communication (launched in June 2011), including: the recovery of crime-related proceeds; the protection of whistleblowers; public procurement; the prevention of corruption in the political sector; and the protection of EU financial interests. This new strategy also prepares for the fourth round of evaluations by the Council of Europe's Group of States against Corruption concerning the prevention of corruption amongst members of Parliament, judges and prosecutors.

The Government adopted the NAS on 23 May 2012 and on 12 June 2012 the Romanian Parliament unanimously endorsed the NAS by political declaration. This declaration included explicit support for

maintaining the stability of the legislative and institutional anticorruption framework and for the fulfilment of all CVM Benchmarks.

The 2014 CVM Report recognised that the NAS has evolved into an important framework for public administration. The second round evaluation of the NAS, based on peer review, took place in 2014 at the level of local public administrations. Institutions which are part of the NAS commit to observing a set of 13 legally binding measures designed to reduce corruption and their implementation of, and compliance with, these measures are peer reviewed. The anti-corruption work promoted by the NAS is also supported by preventive projects run by NGOs with the support of EU funds (notably in the Ministry of Health and in the Ministry of Regional Development). While anti-corruption efforts remains only partially adopted across Romania and the specific goals of the NAS are often difficult to implement, particularly in an environment where the public administration has only limited resources with which to implement these efforts, there have been a number of successes.

Disputes in front of ICSID

Currently, Romania is party to the following pending cases at the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes ("**ICSID**"): (i) Marco Gavazzi and Stefano Gavazzi v. Romania and AVAS (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/25); (ii) Alpiq AG v. Romania (ICSID Case No. ARB/14/28); and (iii) Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula and others v. Romania (ICSID Case No. ARB/14/29).

On 11 December 2013, the ICSID Tribunal decided in favour of the claimants in ICSID Case No. ARB/05/20 (Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula and others v. Romania), ruling that the Romanian State must pay damages of approximately RON 376.43 million plus interest. On 9 March 2015, Romania notified the five claimants that it had fulfilled all its financial obligations under the arbitral award and, as a result, the judgement has been fully satisfied.

At the beginning of March 2015, ICSID rendered a decision in the Case No. ARB/10/13 (Hassan Awdi, Enterprise Business Consultants, Inc. and Alfa El Corporation) whereby it rejected a large part of the applicants' claim amounting to over EUR 447 million plus interest.

International Relations

Romania maintains diplomatic relations with 185 UN member states, plus the Holy See and the Sovereign Military Order of Malta and a diplomatic office in Palestine. It has a broad foreign representation network – 142 diplomatic missions abroad (bilateral and multilateral), including 37 general consulates, 4 consulates, 1 vice-consulate, as well as 18 Romanian Cultural Institutes and a network of more than 180 honorary consulates.

Romania has been a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation ("**NATO**") since 2004 and of the EU since 2007. Another key component of Romania's foreign policy is its active contribution to the activities of the international fora, especially the UN, the Council of Europe, the OSCE and the World Trade Organisation. Romania is also seeking to develop and intensify its relations with the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (the "**OECD**") with a view to promoting its candidacy for membership.

At the EU level, Romania focuses on strengthening its status as an active and responsible Member State, as demonstrated in the European debates on anti-crisis measures (by promoting a balance between the consolidation of economic governance and the encouragement of economic growth, technological development and job creation as well as the newly announced Junckers Investment Plan) and the 2014-2020 Multiannual Financial Framework promoting safe nuclear energy, completing the EU's internal energy market and developing the external dimension of energy security policy. Romania plays a key role in advancing the EU Strategy for the Danube Region, in stimulating the potential for cooperation in the Black Sea region and in actively supporting the further advancement of the enlargement policy. (For details regarding relations with the EU, see "*—Membership of the European Union*").

Romania is interested in preserving NATO as a strong and relevant alliance and is determined to fulfil its commitments in this regard. The country will continue to provide relevant contributions to NATO's policies, operations and missions. As a frontier of NATO and the EU, Romania has a clear responsibility to protect common values and the security of allies and EU partners.

Strengthening collective defence and the transatlantic bond remain major priorities. In the context of a challenging regional security environment, Romania supports NATO's efforts towards consolidating collective defence.

Romania is actively engaged in promoting stronger NATO-EU cooperation and the consolidation of the Transatlantic partnership, by (a) supporting increased synergy between the two organizations; (b) proper attention to the close neighbourhood of the EU and NATO, in view of the decision taken at the NATO summit in Wales, to strengthen the Eastern flank of the Alliance; (c) engaging more with the Eastern Partnership members and placing a special emphasis in NATO and the EU on the wider Black Sea region; (d) supporting the reform processes and positive developments in the Western Balkans; (e) streamlining NATO's partnership policy and maintaining the credibility and the relevance of NATO's open door policy; (f) substantial contributions to ensure the success of Allied missions, as well as of CSDP missions and operations; (g) active involvement in the development of a NATO missile defence system; and (h) strengthening NATO's specific roles in combating new threats in areas like maritime, cyber and energy security.

The adoption in 2011 of the Joint Declaration on Strategic Partnership for the 21st Century between the United States of America and Romania together with the signature and entry into force of the Agreement between Romania and the United States of America on the Deployment of the U.S. Ballistic Missile Defense System in Romania (which is set to become operational in 2015) marked a new stage in the development of the bilateral partnership with the USA. The bilateral project on missile defence with the United States., as well as the contribution to the development of the NATO BMD capability has increased Romania's role within NATO. The Missile Defense Facility in Deveselu is scheduled to become operational in 2015. The Facility is defensive in nature and represents an important contribution by Romania, in partnership with the United States, to strengthening NATO's missile defence capability.

In addition to its strategic partnership with the United States, Romania cooperates similarly with France, Italy, the United Kingdom, Poland, Hungary, Turkey, Bulgaria, Spain, the Republic of Korea and Azerbaijan; it has strong relations with Germany and Austria (especially in the economic and financial field) and has also established special cooperation frameworks with countries such as China, Japan, and Israel. Romania's goal is to continuously substantiate and ensure the development of all these relationships. Moreover, Romania maintains and develops pragmatic cooperative relationships with all its, and the EU's, neighbouring states. For details regarding relations with the EU, see "*Membership of the European Union*". Romania also intends to expand its economic connections and trade and investment relations with partners from all over the world, such as Brazil, countries from North Africa, South-East Asia, Middle East, Gulf area and the South Caucasus. Along this line, Romania has established, since March 2013, a form of privileged cooperation with India. At the same time, the official visit of the Chinese Prime Minister to Bucharest in November 2013 signalled the intention of both sides to strengthen the bi-lateral political and economic relationships between Romania and China. While the Russian Federation represents an important economic partner - mainly as a raw materials provider – Romania has a firm stance on the recent events in Ukraine. In this respect, Romania fully endorsed and implemented all sanctions imposed by the EU against Russia.

Since 1990, Romania has steadily developed its relations with international financial organisations, including the IMF, the World Bank Group, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the "EBRD"), the European Investment Bank (the "EIB"), the Council of Europe Development Bank (the "CEB"), the Japan International Cooperation Agency (the "JICA") and the Black Sea Trade and Development Bank (the "BSTDB").

At the end of December 2014, the aggregate outstanding value of the public portfolios of the World Bank, EIB, EBRD, CEB and JBIC in Romania was EUR 8,190 million, consisting of sovereign loans and guarantees mainly for the financing of public projects in the infrastructure and social sectors. As at the end of December 2014, the EIB, the International Finance Corporation (the "**IFC**"), CEB and EBRD's aggregate contributions to private sector financing have amounted to approximately EUR 1,039 million, representing 30 new projects, according to the information provided by the four international financial organisations.

The EBRD is expected to continue its policy dialogue with the Romanian authorities and in cooperation with relevant institutions to further develop the Romanian capital markets under the Local Currency and Capital Market Development Initiative, a programme developed by the EBRD. The main initiatives are: (a) creating a more local currency-friendly regulatory and monetary policy environment; (b) improving the related implementing legislation; and (c) streamlining, but not diminishing, the private pension pillar. The EBRD also supports investments in private sector renewable generation to promote competition and to help Romania

meet its EU 2020 targets. Under these targets, Romania is required to increase its share of renewable energy to 38 per cent. of total electrical energy production, including from large hydro-electric plants, from the current level of 28 per cent. In 2014, the EBRD signed 13 projects in Romania worth over EUR 325 million, including municipal projects co-financing EU funds, renewable (wind farms) energy investment projects, subscription of shares in state owned enterprises (like Electrica S.A) in order to improve corporate governance, an equity stake in the Bucharest Stock Exchange to contribute to the development of local capital market, extension of the RoSEFF Framework, loans for municipalities (Galati, Pitesti) in order to support the transport sector.

The EIB signed 11 projects in Romania in 2014 worth over EUR 518 million, including, among others, financing investment programmes for thermal rehabilitation in several districts of Bucharest, dedicated credit lines for Small and Medium Enterprises (**"SMEs**"), mid-caps and public sector entities through local banks, which it financed through, among others, an issue of five-year floating rate notes denominated in Romanian RON off the Bank's EMTN Programme.

Romania is a founding member of the BSTDB, the financing arm of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation. Since it started operations in 1999, the BSTDB has financed approximately 30 projects in Romania (of which only one had a state guarantee), amounting to approximately EUR 271 million, the outstanding amount as of January 2015 being approximately EUR 119.7 million.

MEMBERSHIP IN THE EUROPEAN UNION

Overview

Romania has been an EU Member State since 1 January 2007. According to the EU Treaties, Romania holds 14 votes in the Council of the European Union, which ranks Romania amongst the Member States with medium voting power. The current Romanian member of the College of Commissioners in the EU holds the Regional Policy portfolio (for the period from 2014 to 2019). Romania is also involved in the activity of a number of European consultative bodies, with 15 representatives on the Economic and Social Committee and 15 representatives on the Committee of the Regions. Romania is also represented in the European External Action Service.

Following the European Parliament elections of 2014, Romania holds 32 out of the total of 751 parliamentary seats in the European Parliament. 13 Romanian Members of the European Parliament ("**MEPs**") are affiliated to the Popular Party, 16 MEPs are affiliated to the Social-Democrats Group and 3 MEPs to the Liberal Group. Romanian MEPs actively participate in the specialized Committees of the European Parliament, as well as in a wide range of other European Parliament's formal bodies. Romania currently holds two vice-presidents positions in the European Parliament.

Romania supports the advancement of the European project, centred on major priorities such as economic growth, jobs, stimulating investments, energy security and consolidation of the EU as a global actor, through its active involvement in, and contributions to, the debates at the level of the European Council, as well as within other European formats, where appropriate.

Romania also has a dynamic regional agenda. It plays a key role in advancing the EU strategy for the Danube Region (the "EUSDR"), as well as in stimulating the potential for cooperation in the Black Sea area, and actively supports the continuation of European integration of the Western Balkans and Eastern neighbourhood countries.

The EUSDR, launched by Romania jointly with Austria, supports several EU policies, in particular the Europe 2020 Strategy, contributes to economic, social and territorial cohesion and assists EU candidate and potential candidate countries on their path towards the EU. The second edition of the Annual Forum of EUSDR, hosted by Romania in October 2013, confirmed the key role of interaction between participants in and recipients of relevant EU programs and funds in order to fully utilise the EU multiannual funding framework 2014-2020.

Romania has completed the evaluation process of the Schengen *acquis communautaire*, as confirmed in the conclusions of the 2011 June Justice and Home Affairs Council (the "JHA Council") and by the positive vote of the European Parliament.

In its conclusions, the March 2012 European Council reiterated that all legal conditions have been met and called for a decision on Bulgaria and Romania's accession to the Schengen area to be taken. Upon the recommendation of the European Council, Romania and Bulgaria also implemented additional flanking measures. The measures were completed in October 2012 and confirmed in the Minutes of the European Council in December 2012. The March 2013 JHA Council decided to address the issue of Romania's Schengen accession by the end of 2013, with a view to considering the way forward on the basis of a two-step approach to be further developed. In December 2013, the JHA Council announced that the discussions on Romania's accession to Schengen will be addressed again at its earliest convenience and Romania submitted a joint political Declaration together with Bulgaria to the Minutes of the JHA Council. At its meeting of 26-27 June 2014, the European Council to address the accession of Romania and Bulgaria to Schengen at its earliest convenience, with a view to considering the way forward on the basis of a two-step approach. The subject is constantly included as a priority on the agenda of the political diplomatic dialogue with the EU partners. Romania will continue to work with its European partners in order to identify solutions to facilitate progress on this issue as soon as possible.

In 2011, Romania signed the Euro Plus Pact, a political commitment aiming to promote competitiveness and employment within the EU and to consolidate the public finance sustainability and the financial stability of Member States. In addition, in 2012 Romania ratified the Treaty on Stability, Convergence and Governance in the Economic and Monetary Union, aiming to foster budgetary discipline, strengthen the coordination of economic policies and improve the governance of the Eurozone. Romania has made a political commitment to apply the provisions of this treaty before joining the Eurozone.

Furthermore, Romania actively participated in the negotiations for the creation of the Banking Union, including the establishment of the necessary instruments for ensuring the supervision and the resolution of the European banking system. As of the date of this document, Romania is taking part in the discussions concerning the adoption of the legislative instruments and operational measures for implementing the Single Resolution Mechanism and the creation of the Single Resolution Fund. Along these lines, Romania signed in May 2014 the intergovernmental agreement on the transfer and mutualisation of contributions to the Single Resolution Fund.

Romania supports the ongoing processes of consolidating the Economic and Monetary Union ("EMU") as part of a policy aimed at stimulating economic growth through stronger governance of the eurozone, as well as maintaining transparency and openness towards non-euro states. Taking into account the country's goal of adopting the single currency, Romania supports an inclusive approach within the EMU consolidation process, which will not create new barriers to joining the euro area. This process should be designed within the existing framework of the EU institutions' competencies without creating new bodies or institutional structures which could render the European decision-making process more difficult.

Moreover, Romania supported the adoption of the EU's Strategic Agenda, endorsed by the European Council in June 2014 and is actively participating in the ongoing discussions at EU level for the implementation of the objectives set forth in the European strategic document, which it fully shares: a union of jobs, growth and competitiveness, a union that empowers and protects all citizens, an Energy Union with a forward-looking climate policy, a union of freedom, security and justice, as well as the EU as a strong participant in the global community.

On 25 February 2015, the European Commission released the communication "A Framework Strategy for a Resilient Energy Union with a Forward-Looking Climate Change Policy" which provides guidelines necessary for the long-term transformation of the European energy system and revision of EU energy policy. The release was preceded by an extensive consultation among the Member States.

As set out in a EU non-paper in early February, Romania is in favour of creating an energy union and is focused on the acceleration of infrastructure projects, including interconnections for electricity and gas and a well-functioning internal energy market, as well as the full implementation and rigorous enforcement of existing energy legislation.

Projects of common interest should be treated as priorities, with concrete steps to enable their timely implementation, including the provision of technical assistance to support such projects. In this respect, it is essential to use, in a flexible and complementary manner, all available European funds and financial instruments. More concretely, in the field of gas, in addition to offshore explorations in the Black Sea, Romania is closely following the opening of the Southern Gas Corridor, as it implies delineating gas transport

corridors in the EU involving the transit of Romania and the modernisation of Romania's national gas transport system.

EU Funding

Pre-accession Funds

After its accession to the EU, Romania continued to benefit from funding made available as part of the EU pre-accession funding programmes, including PHARE (Poland and Hungary: Assistance for Restructuring their Economies), ISPA (Instrument for Structural Policies for Pre-Accession) and SAPARD (Special Accession Programme for Agriculture and Rural Development). Closing procedures are on-going in respect of most projects funded using pre-accession funds.

Post-accession Funds

Since 2007, the year of Romania's EU accession, the EU has provided and will continue to provide financial assistance to Romania through various instruments, among the most important of which are the EU Structural and Cohesion Funds and the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development.

Structural and Cohesion Funds

Overview

The EU Structural and Cohesion Funds are financial tools set up to implement the cohesion policy of the EU, with the aim of reducing economic disparity among regions and Member States of the EU. The EU Structural and Cohesion Funds include the European Regional Development Fund (the "**ERDF**"), the European Social Fund (the "**ESF**") and the Cohesion Fund (the "**CF**"), each of which has different objectives and priorities. These three funds are intended to remain in place for the 2014 to 2020 programming period and have already began to allocate funds for that programming period. Generally, support from the EU Structural and Cohesion Funds is granted to Romania for the purpose of accelerating its economic development. To this end, the EC approved seven sectorial operational programmes ("**SOP**") under the Structural and Cohesion Funds under which Romania was entitled to funding during the 2007-2013 programming period (i.e. increasing economic competitiveness, transport, environment, regional operational programmes, technical assistance, human resources development and administrative capacity development). Smaller financial support was also granted for cross-border and transnational activities.

In 2004, Romania prepared an institutional framework for the coordination and implementation of structural instruments, setting up dedicated structures within the relevant ministries and other institutions. The institutional and procedural framework created for the Structural and Cohesion Funds underwent thorough scrutiny under a compliance assessment process. The management of EU Structural and Cohesion Funds allocated to Romania is coordinated (i) at an overall level by the Authority for Coordination of Structural Instruments whose role includes oversight of the legal, institutional, procedural and programmatic framework for the management of pre-accession funds and of structural and cohesion funds, as well as monitoring, programming and evaluating the use of non-reimbursable financial assistance provided by the EU and (ii) separately by seven management authorities established for each SOP who are charged with the management and implementation of the operational programmes. An audit authority originally set up in 2005 carried out a rigorous verification of the management and control systems for the implementation of EU funds, which were subsequently approved by the EU. Since September 2011, the Authority for Coordination of Structural Instruments has been part of the Ministry of European Affairs. Since December 2012, the work of the Ministry of European Affairs in respect of the coordination of European funds on behalf of Romania was subsumed into the Ministry of European Funds.

In 2013, the EC agreed in principle to the transfer the authority over the current managing authorities for the SOP Human Resources and the SOP Competitiveness to the Ministry of European Funds, with a view to meeting the requirements of the management and control system set up for the 2014 to 2020 programming period, while also attempting to solve the difficulties encountered by the two managing authorities during the 2007 to 2013 programming period. In December 2014, the Ministry of European Funds took over the management of the SOP Environment and Transport as well. The structure of the Ministry of European Funds is being revised for the 2014 to 2020 programming period to include managing authorities for the Large Infrastructure SOP, the Competitiveness SOP, the Human Capital SOP and the Technical Assistance SOP. The

Large Infrastructure SOP includes in one single programme three major sectors, namely transport, environment and energy.

Allocations and Absorption of the EU Structural and Cohesion Funds 2007-2013 as at 31 December 2014

The EC allocates specific amounts of funds to each of the seven operational programmes. The overall allocation for Romania under the EU Structural and Cohesion Funds in the 2007–2013 programming period was EUR 19.7 billion, of which EUR 12.7 billion represented structural funds (i.e. ERDF and ESF), EUR 6.6 billion was allocated under the Cohesion Fund and the balance of EUR 454.6 million was allocated under the European Territorial Cooperation Objective (financed by the ERDF, this objective supports cross-border, transnational and interregional co-operation programmes). National co-financing for the period 2007-2013, as required by the grants, was approximately EUR 5.6 billion. Romania will have access to the funds allocated for the 2007–2013 programming period until 2017, as the last date of eligibility for funds in this programming period is 31 December 2015.

The value of the projects for which application has been made for financial assistance under the EU Structural and Cohesion Funds was, as at 31 December 2014, EUR – 50.2 billion (more than 2.5 times the value of the EU funds budget allocated to Romania during the programming period). The number of contracts with beneficiaries signed as of 31 December 2014 was 15,221, totalling an eligible amount of EUR 25.98 billion (of which EUR 20.4 billion EU contribution), representing 106.0 per cent. of the EUR 19.2 billion allocation under EU Structural and Cohesion Funds (under the Convergence objective) for the 2007–2013 programming period.

The total payments to beneficiaries (including pre-financing and reimbursements) amounted to EUR 11.2 billion at 31 December 2014, consisting of the amounts actually paid to Romanian beneficiaries by the Romanian EU funds management authorities and including EU funding (EUR 10.0 billion) and national co-financing (EUR 1.2 billion). As at 31 December 2014, the total amount received from the EU by Romania under the 2007-2013 fund allocation was EUR 10.7 billion, of which EUR 2.1 billion represented pre-financing payments, while EUR 8.6 billion represented actual reimbursements made by the EU. This corresponds to an actual 44.9 per cent. absorption rate (computed using the level of actual reimbursements made by the EC as a percentage of the total amount of Structural and Cohesion Funds allocated for the 2007-2013 programming period). In 2014, reimbursements requested from the EC reached EUR 3.5 billion or a total amount requested from the EC of EUR 9.95 billion for the entire programming period 2007-2013. This corresponds to a 51.8 per cent. current absorption rate (computed using the level of actual amounts requested from the EC as a percentage of the total EU budget allocation for the 2007-2013 programming period.

In 2014, Romania absorbed EUR 3.5 billion under the seven operational programmes financed from Structural and Cohesion Funds, which represents 70 per cent. from the EUR 5.08 billion reimbursed in entire period 2007-2013 and represents an overall absorption rate of 18.4 per cent. achieved in 2014 only.

Allocations of the EU Structural and Cohesion Funds 2007-2013 as at 31 March 2015

As at 31 March 2015, the total amount received by Romania from Structural and Cohesion Funds for 2007-2013 is EUR 11.4 billion, which represents 60 per cent. of the EU allocation for 2007-2013. Of this amount, EUR 2.11 billion (11 per cent.) represents pre-financing, while EUR 9.32 billion (49 per cent.) represents amounts reimbursed by the EC.

Decommitment rule

The use of Structural and Cohesion Funds is subject to a decommitment rule, which provides that the EU shall automatically decommit any part of a budget commitment in an operational programme that has not been used for payment of pre-financing or interim payments or for which an application for payment has not been sent by the end of a certain period (which is two or three years after the year when the financial allocation is granted). Appropriations that are decommitted are permanently lost. This rule has been in force throughout the 2007-2013 programming period and will apply to the 2014-2020 programming period as well.

Romania may not claim reimbursement of the decommitted amounts; the decommitment of funds, in principle, does not refer to recovery by the EU of any amounts already paid to Romania. 2011 was the first year when the rule of automatic decommitment applied to Romania; however, no funds were decommitted in

2011. Romania lost in 2012, as a result of automatic decommitment, EUR 155 million from the funds allocated to the operational programmes for transport and competitiveness.

Based on the amounts requested from the EC up to 31 December 2014, which exceed the value of funds at risk of decommitment, no Structural and Cohesion funds were decommitted in 2013 and 2014.

In 2013, in order to reduce the risk of automatic decommitment of funds from the 2007-2013 programming period for Romania and Slovakia, the decommitment deadlines applicable in respect of the two Member States for funds allocated in 2011 and 2012 were extended by one year, until the end of 2014 and 2015, respectively. The end date for eligibility of expenditure for the programming period remained unchanged at 31 December 2015. The measure was approved by the European Parliament on 20 November 2013 and as a result of application of this rule, Romania absorbed in 2013 and 2014, all the allocated Structural and Cohesion Funds that had been at risk of decommitment.

The funds in respect of which Romania faces a risk of decommitment in 2015 amount to EUR 3.02 billion, while the amount in respect of which reimbursement must be requested from the EC until the closure of the 2007-2013 programming period, which will occur on March 2017 in order to reach a 100 per cent. absorption rate is EUR 9.95 billion.

Low absorption rates and ameliorative measures

When Romania first began accessing the Structural and Cohesion Funds, it struggled to fully absorb the amounts available and even the amounts allocated to it. The low level of payments made to beneficiaries by the national management authorities and the even lower level of the reimbursements made by the EC revealed problems and difficulties at both the beneficiary and the managing authority levels. Such difficulties affected the project preparation and submission stage, the appraisal, selection and contracting of projects and actual project implementation.

According to the "National Strategic Report for the Implementation of the Structural and Cohesion Funds", prepared by the Romanian authorities in 2009, the initial challenges to the absorption of EU funds in Romania started with the beneficiaries' difficulties in preparing projects (due mainly to a lack of experience in project elaboration, but also to heavy documentation requirements and limited technical assistance). Absorption of EU funds in Romania was also hampered by excessive time taken up by project appraisals; selection and contracting of and long-lasting administrative procedures; the limited administrative capacity and a lack of discipline on the part of the beneficiaries, resulting in poor observance of procedural deadlines or submissions of incomplete information and documentation to the managing authorities. Significant delays in project implementation result from difficulties in conducting public procurement procedures, owing to poor administrative resources and capacity and to repeated challenges to public procurement procedures. Also, along with the implementation of projects, various legal barriers to the implementation of projects have arisen and, in certain cases, impeded the completion of various stages of projects. A significant problem in the implementation or even to finance their own contribution to the project financial resources to start project implementation or even to finance their own contribution to the project financing, which has been exacerbated by the global financial crisis.

Funding under the Structural and Cohesion Funds for a number of operational programmes had been presuspended during the 2007 to 2013 programming period, and financial corrections were applied in respect of expenditures under certain operational programmes. 2013 and 2014 were difficult years for the Romanian authorities involved in the management of EU funds as they worked to overcome the issues identified in the 2009 report, but the absorption rate of EU structural and cohesion funds allocated for the 2007 to 2013 programming period significantly increased from 33.48 per cent. at the end of 2013 to approximately 52 per cent. at the end of 2014.

At the initiative of the European Commission, on 28 January 2015, the first technical meeting of the Task Force on Implementation was held. Following this meeting, the main actions to be implemented in order to accelerate the implementation of 2007 to 2013 programmes and to pave the ground for the implementation of the 2014 to 2020 programming exercise were agreed.

These actions are transposed in a PAP that has been officially agreed between the Romanian Minister of the European Funds and the EU Commissioner for Regional Policy.

The objectives of the PAP comprise avoiding a substantial de-commitment of funds on 31 December 2015, creating the pre-requisites for achieving at least 80% absorption, and ensuring the proper start of the 2014-2020 programming exercise.

In the context of the measures undertaken by the Romanian authorities to better use the allocated funds, the EC approved, in 2012, the reallocation of funds between key areas of intervention within the same operational programme. Examples include allocating additional funds to the nuclear physics research project on extreme light infrastructure, which is financed under the competitiveness programme, and to the energy efficiency scheme regarding the heating of existing blocks of flats, which is financed under the regional programme. These sorts of authorised re-allocations will be able to occur during the 2014-2020 programming period as well.

In order to assure the highest level of absorption, the Romanian authorities have implemented, and they intend to implement further, structural measures such as:

- Strengthening and improving the monitoring at the level of large infrastructure projects in order to speed up their implementation.
- Identification of potential reimbursement claims of alternative projects focusing on identifying similar national infrastructure programmes to projects initially identified as fund recipients. Active promotion of the alternative use of EU funds is to be ensured by the Government at the level of local authorities and county councils as a result of the introduction of an additional allocation to local budgets which is conditioned upon the use of EU funds.
- Extending the use of project savings from the allocation of funds towards private beneficiaries involved in the project.
- Further reallocation among the priority goals of various programmes, in order to accommodate new investments for projects which are already partially implemented and identified and can therefore ensure rapid reimbursement from the EC. Some of these investments are to be promoted as phased projects, especially for infrastructure. In this regard, SOP Environment is analysing the proposal to reallocate CF funds towards flood prevention/protection measures.
- Increase the administrative capacity of programming departments through the use of European Investment Bank expertise or other technical assistance expertise in order to accommodate both the pressure for assessing new projects to be promoted under the 2007-2013 programmes and the preparation of the new generation of projects under the 2014-2020 programming period. The programming directorates for SOP Transport and SOP Environment are to be reinforced in order to cover the assessment of the new projects proposed for financing under EU funds.
- Extending the categories of eligible expenditures, thus allowing the reimbursement for expenditure already realised under the approved projects (mainly on works, e.g. expenditure incurred by the beneficiaries on their own expenses, without works contracts).
- Phasing of projects identify under which programming period the expenditure could be declared as only certain projects are eligible for financing in both the 2007-2013 and the 2014-2020 programming periods

At the initiative of Commissioner for Regional Policy, Corina Cretu, a task force was created with the main objective of accelerating the absorption of 2007-2013 funds. This mechanism was proposed by the EC to eight member states, Romania included, which had an absorption rate of less than 60 per cent. at the end of 2014.

The EC approved the actions proposed by the Romanian authorities in March 2015. Both the EC and the Ministry of European Funds monitor, on a monthly basis, the implementation of the plan and supervise payment forecasts and flows.

Funds under the 2014-2020 programming period

The Romanian Partnership Agreement for the 2014-2020 programming period (the "**Partnership Agreement**") was adopted with EC Decision 5515/6.08.2014 (Romania being the 11th Member State for which this strategic document was adopted by the EC). The Partnership Agreement is the strategic document

that defines Romania's development vision including its use of European Union support, and it indicates how investments will be concentrated in order to promote competitiveness, convergence and cooperation and to encourage local development, based on economic growth and social inclusion.

Investments from the European Structural and Investment Funds 2014-2020 ("**ESIF**") will be one of the most important tools to reduce development disparities between different regions of Romania, but also between Romania and other Member States.

The ESIF regulatory framework for the programming period 2014-2020 reflects the EC's orientation towards performance. The EC put in place a performance monitoring framework, including milestones and specific targets corresponding to each operational programme, the completion of which will be verified in 2018 and 2022. The EC will put in place, in order to improve the efficiency of spending of EU funds, performance reserves in amounts ranging between 5 and 7 per cent. of the allocations under each priority within the operational programmes, with the exception of priorities dedicated to technical assistance and programmes dedicated to financial instruments. The performance reserve amounts will be released subject to the achievement of the milestones set for 2018.

Another important tool of the performance monitoring framework is the use of ex-ante conditionalities to ensure the conditions for effective cohesion policy investments at the start of operational programmes reflecting, at the same time, the predictability of national sectorial policies. Member States must fulfil the ex-ante conditionalities no later than 31 December 2016 and report on their fulfilment no later than in the annual implementation report or the progress report for 2017 in accordance with EC Regulation no 1303/2013. Failure to complete actions necessary in order to fulfil the ex-ante conditionalities constitutes a ground for suspending interim payments by the EC to the priorities of the programme or programmes that are affected by the unfulfilled ex-ante conditionalities. A number of the ex-ante conditionalities for the 2014 to 2020 programming period have been fulfilled already and, for those which remain unfulfilled, Romania has adopted action plans containing concrete measures and deadlines to satisfy them.

At the end of 2014, all operational programmes were officially submitted to the EC. Moreover, three operational programmes were adopted by the EC in 2014, namely "Competitiveness", with a 1.3 billion euro allocation from the ERDF; "Technical Assistance" with a 212 million euro allocation from the ERDF and "Aid for the Most Deprived" with a 442 million euro allocation from the FEAD. Another two operational programmes were adopted in February 2015: "Human Capital" with a financial allocation of 4.2 billion euro from the ESF and "Administrative Capacity" with a financial allocation of 0.553 billion euro from the ESF.

The Large Infrastructure Operational Programmes with a projected allocation of 2.483 billion euro from the ERDF, the Cohesion Fund with a projected allocation of 6.935 billion euro from the ERDF and the Regional Operational Programmes with a projected allocation of 6.7 billion euro from the ERDF are still in negotiations with the EC and will not be approved until modifications to the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) are in force.

In accordance with the Partnership Agreement, Romania's development in the 2014-2020 programming period will be sustained through ESIF support in intervention areas that have major impact, such as: sustainable transport and removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures (6.1 billion euro); competitiveness of SMEs (3.1 billion euro), access to, and use and quality of, Information and Communication Technology (0.5 billion euro) and technological development and innovation (1 billion euro). In addition, 0.8 billion euro will be spent on improving governance at the level of central and local public authorities. Drawdown of funds under these operational programmes as well as the specific assignment of funds to projects could commence as soon as mid-2015.

Agriculture related Financial Assistance

The European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (the "EAFRD") was created to support the implementation of the EU's Common Agricultural Policy by funding investments to increase the competitiveness of the agricultural sector, the improvement of environment and the improvement of rural areas including improving the quality of life in these areas.

The European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (the "EAGF") finances direct payments to farmers and implements measures to regulate the agricultural markets for example through intervention and export refunds. The purpose of the European Fisheries Fund (the "EFF") is to grant financial support to ensure the conservation and sustainable use of marine resources. For financial details regarding the use of these funds by

Romania please see the table titled "Evolution of financial flows between Romania and the European Union as of 31 March 2015" under "Membership of the European Union".

Funds under the 2007-2013 programming period

The 2007-2013 EU fund allocation for Romania for agriculture amounted to a total of EUR 14.94 billion, of which EUR 8.13 billion funds were allocated to Romania under the EAFRD, EUR 6.58 billion were allocated under the EAGF and EUR 230 million were allocated under the EFF.

As of 31 December 2014, EUR 7.36 billion was paid to the National Rural Development Programme beneficiaries (financed under the EAFRD funds allocated to Romania). Of this, EUR 957.23 million represents pre-financing amounts paid by the payment authority to the beneficiaries, EUR 5.42 billion corresponds to actual reimbursements made to the beneficiaries from EAFRD and the balance of EUR 985.02 million represents payments out of the national budget. The absorption rate, considering also pre-financing amounts granted to Romania, was 82 per cent. as of 31 December 2014; the absorption rate based on the actual amounts reimbursed by the payment authority to the beneficiaries amounted to 67 per cent. as of 31 December 2014. The absorption rate based on all the amounts paid by the payment authority (including pre-financing amounts granted to beneficiaries and amounts reimbursed to beneficiaries is 76 per cent. As at 31 December 2014, 96,679 projects had been approved, of which 88,518 financing contracts were concluded for a total eligible value of EUR 7.65 billion of which EUR 4.68 billion were financed by the EAFRD contribution.

By the end of December 2014, a total amount of EUR 120.6 million had been paid to beneficiaries from the EFF and the national budget, representing approximately 39.41 per cent. of the total allocation (306.7 million representing EFF and national budget financing). The value of financing contracts concluded is EUR 270.7 million, representing 88.26 per cent. of the total allocation.

Funds under the 2014-2020 programming period

The 2014-2020 EU fund allocation for the Romanian agricultural sector amounted to a total of EUR 20.66 billion, of which EUR 8.02 billion were allocated under EAFRD for rural development and EUR 12.39 billion were allocated under EAGF for direct payments, the national support programme in the wine sector (EUR 238.5 million) and the apiculture programme (EUR 10 million), while EUR 168.4 million was allocated under the European Maritime and Fishery Fund.

In 2014, Romania decided to transfer EUR 112.3 million from EAGF direct payments to rural development, resulting in a total amount of EUR 8.13 billion for the National Rural Development Programme in the 2014-2020 period.

Evolution of financial flows between Romania and the European Union as of 31 N NET FINANCIAL BALANCE MIL. EURO

Name	Total allocations 2007-2013	Programme for 2013	Realised 2007	Realised 2008	Realised 2009	Realised 2010	Realised 2011	Realised 2012	
A	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
I. AMOUNTS RECEIVED FROM THE EU BUDGET (A+B)	38,609.33	5,832.07	1,599.71	2,642.34	2,930.24	2,293.24	2,621.59	3,442.13	
A. Pre-accession funds	2,850.44	81.08	812.26	747.68	618.74	273.17	132.61	43.90	
B. Post-accession funds, of which:	35,758.89	5,750.99	787.45	1,894.67	2,311.50	2,020.46	2,488.98	3,398.24	
(i) Prepayments	3,689.29	106.74	773.82	1,408.37	1,009.06	351.63	105.83	107.01	
(ii) Reimbursements (including EAGF)	32,069.60	5,644.25	13.63	486.30	1,302.44	1,668.83	2,383.15	3,291.23	
B1. Structural and Cohesion Funds, of which:	19,687.58	2,869.00	421.38	648.45	917.84	505.54	708.36	1,170.92	
(a) Prepayments from Structural and Cohesion Funds	2,125.81	0.00	421.38	648.45	777.23	278.75	0.00	0.00	
(b) Reimbursements from Structural and Cohesion Funds	17,561.77	2,869.00	0.00	0.00	140.61	226.80	708.36	1,170.92	
B2. Agricultural, Rural Development and Fishering Funds, of which:	8,354.91	1,427.54	15.13	578.75	565.93	760.48	883.05	1,090.05	
(a) Prepayments/prefinancing from Agricultural, Rural Development and Fishering Funds	600.99	0.00	15.13	578.75	0.00	7.12	0.00	0.00	
(b) Reimbursements from Agricultural, Rural Development and Fishering Funds	7,753.92	1,427.54	0.00	0.00	565.93	753.36	883.05	1,090.05	
B3. The European Agricultural Guarantee									
Fund (EAGF)	6,580.25	1,323.59	6.89	461.87	575.93	663.76	768.95	991.27	
B4. Others (post-accession), from which:	1,136.15	130.86	344.05	205.60	251.80	90.65	128.62	146.00	
(a) Prepayments	962.49	106.74	337.31	181.17	231.82	65.77	105.83	107.01	
(b) Reimbursements	173.65	24.12	6.74	24.42	19.97	24.88	22.79	38.99	
II. AMOUNTS PAID TO THE EU (C+D)	10,603.23	1,581.39	1,150.89	1,268.93	1,364.43	1,158.91	1,296.24	1,427.77	
C. Romanian Contribution to the EU Budget	10,354.09	1,516.30	1,129.13	1,246.78	1,315.49	1,109.25	1,234.26	1,405.57	
D. Other contributions	249.14	65.09	21.77	22.15	48.94	49.66	61.98	22.20	
III. Balance of financial flows = I - II	28,006.11	4,250.68	448.82	1,373.41	1,565.81	1,134.72	1,325.35	2,014.36	

Notes: The net financial balance (the "NFB") is the instrument whereby the net national financial position is determined in relation to the budget of the European Union – by comparing the financial flows from and towa The NFB is drafted on a monthly basis by the Budget Department with the Ministry of Public Finance, based on the information provided by national authorities responsible for man aging various categories of fu the amounts actually collected from the European Union are compared with the amounts actually paid thereto during the analysed period. Also, in relation to the NFB, the amounts scheduled to be collected/paid ar The scheduling of amounts is done at the beginning of each year and is updated during the course of the year depending on estimates transmitted to the EC by the competent national authorities, at the dates provide basis.

Source: The Ministry of Finance of Romania

THE ROMANIAN ECONOMY

Overview

Real GDP maintained its historical growth trend in 2012, albeit at a smaller pace (0.6 per cent.) than in 2011, which was caused by, inter alia, the severe drought which had a negative impact on agricultural crops, the low absorption of EU funding, and by a lowering of demand from the Eurozone. In 2013, real GDP grew by 3.4 per cent. due to the positive contribution of net exports (which grew by 4.3 per cent. that year). In 2014, real GDP growth was 2.8 per cent. mainly due to an increase in household individual expenditures.

GDP per capita increased from EUR 6,675 in 2012 to EUR 7,220 in 2013 and increased to EUR 7,536 in 2014. GDP per capita in purchasing power standards improved to 49 per cent. of the EU average in 2012, and 54.5 per cent. in 2013.

The following table shows the main macroeconomic indicators for the years 2012 to 2014 and the first two months of 2015 (where available, and except where specified otherwise):

Main Macroeconomic Indicators

	2012	2013	2014	JanFeb. 2015
Gross domestic product – current prices				NI (111
(EUR billion) ⁽¹⁾	133.9	144.3	150.0	Not available
Real growth (percentage change) ⁽¹⁾	0.6	3.4	2.8	Not available
RON/per capita	29,745	31,905	33,493	Not available
Average exchange rate (EUR/RON)	4.4560	4.4190	4.4446	$4.4509^{(2)}$
Industrial production (percentage change)	2.4	7.8	6.1	2.4
Agricultural production (percentage change)	(21.9)	24.5	_	
Retail ⁽³⁾ (percentage change)	4.1	0.5	6.4	4.8
Current account balance (million EUR) ⁽⁴⁾	(6,053)	(1,168)	(649)	285
Real wage (percentage change)	1.0	0.8	4.1	6.4
Average inflation (percentage change)	3.33	3.98	1.07	0.53 ⁽²⁾
Employment (percentage change) (according to LFS – Labour Force Survey) ⁽⁵⁾	0.9	(0.6)	0.8	Not available

Notes:

(1) Data has been recalculated by the National Institute of Statistics according ESA 2010; final data for 2012, preliminary data for subsequent periods.

(2) Three months ended 31 March 2015

(4) Data has been recalculated by the National Bank of Romania according to BPM6

(5) Data according to the 2011 Population Census

In 2012, Romania's GDP registered a modest growth of 0.6 per cent, compared to 2011, in the context of a drought that had a severe impact on agricultural production, a relatively weaker domestic demand and tensions caused by the sovereign debt crisis. Net exports contributed positively to GDP growth by 1.1 per cent, due to increased exports of goods and services by 1.0 per cent, while imports of goods and services decreased by 1.8 per cent. Domestic demand recorded a contraction of 0.5 per cent, due to a reduction in gross fixed capital formation of 4.8 per cent, while final consumption increased by 1.1 per cent.

In 2013, GDP increased by 3.4 per cent. mainly due to the positive contribution of net exports of 4.3 per cent following the increased external demand which resulted in an increase in exports of goods and services in real terms by 16.2 per cent, while imports of goods and services increased of 4.2 per cent. Domestic demand fell by 0.9 per cent due to the reduction in gross fixed capital formation of 7.9 per cent. Final consumption remained at the level of 2012, in terms of an increase in private consumption by 1.2 per cent and a 4.8 per cent reduction of government consumption. Household consumption accelerated in the second half of 2013

⁽³⁾ Excluding sale, maintenance and repair of motors, vehicles and motorcycles.

amid low inflation and high agricultural production, which has boosted farmer's market and selfconsumption, an important component of household consumption in Romania.

In 2014, Romania's GDP increased by 2.8 per cent. compared to 2013, principally due to increased domestic demand (2.7 per cent.) resulting from an increase in consumption by 4.6 per cent., due to an increased propensity for consumption by the population and lower inflation.

The following table shows percentage changes to GDP use components from 2012 to 2014:

Gross Domestic	Product Use Component	S		
	2012	2013	2014	
—	(percentage change against the previous year)			
Domestic demand	(0.5)	(0.9)	2.6	
Final consumption	1.1	0.0	4.6	
Private consumption expenditures	1.2	1.2	4.5	
Government consumption expenditures	0.4	(4.8)	5.3	
Gross fixed capital formation	0.1	(7.9)	(3.5)	
Changes in inventories				
(Contribution to real GDP growth, percentage	(1.4)	1.2	0.0	
points)				
Net exports (Contribution to real GDP growth, percentage points)	1.1	4.3	0.1	
Gross domestic product	0.6	3.4	2.8	

Source: National Institute of Statistics

Recent Developments

On 11 May 2015 and 13 May 2015, the Romanian National Institute of Statistics published several press releases with updated statistics in relation to the Romanian economy.

According to flash estimates, GDP for the first three months of 2015 grew by 1.6 per cent. from the fourth quarter of 2014 and was 4.2 per cent. higher than the first three months of 2014.

In the first three months of 2015, exports grew by 4.9 per cent. and imports grew by 6.3 per cent. as compared to the first three months of 2014. In the first quarter of 2015, the EU trade represented 74.3 per cent. of total exports and 76.9 per cent. of total imports.

The Consumer Price Index was 0.1 per cent. higher in April 2015 than it was in March 2015 and 0.7 per cent. higher than it was in April 2014.

In the first quarter of 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, the volume of construction works increased by 13.4 per cent. (unadjusted series) and by 12.5 per cent. (adjusted series), respectively.

Structure of the Economy

Since 1990, the structure of the economy has changed significantly, with a shift from industry and agriculture to services. In its first phase, the restructuring of industry led to a reduction in its contribution to GDP growth from about 40 per cent. in 1990 to about 25 per cent. by 2000. After 2000, the structural decline halted and the contribution to GDP made by industrial growth stabilised. These changes involved substantial reductions in employment, particularly in traditional heavy industries, such as steel, chemicals and machine manufacture. The service sector increased its contribution to GDP growth from 26.5 per cent. in 1990 to 53.3 per cent. in 2014. Despite the increase, this share is below the level recorded in the developed economies of the EU, where the contribution of services to GDP exceeds 60 per cent.

The following table shows the structure of GDP by sector for the period since 2012 until 2014:

Structure of Gross Domestic Product by Sectors

	2012	2013	2014
-	(percentage chang	e against the previous y	vear)
Industry	24.4	23.8	24.1
Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	4.7	5.5	4.7
Construction	8.5	6.5	6.3
Services – Total	50.2	52.4	53.3
Trade, hotel and restaurants, transport and communications	21.8	21.0	21.7
Financial, real-estate, renting and business services	16.0	18.9	19.5
Other service activities	12.4	12.5	12.1
Net taxes	12.2	11.8	11.6
Gross domestic product	100	100	100
Source: National Institute of Statistics			

The following table shows percentage changes in sectoral components of GDP since 2012 until 2014:

Changes in Gross Domestic Product by Sectors

	2012	2013	2014
-	(percentage change against the previous year)		
Industry	(7.0)	3.8	3.6
Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	(26.1)	29.2	1.5
Construction	(1.1)	5.3	0.3
Services	9.2	1.4	2.6
Trade, hotel and restaurants, transport and communications	22.1	(3.2)	3.6
Financial, real-estate, renting and business services	5.1	8.4	2.7
Other service activities	(1.3)	0.6	0.5
Gross value added	0.4	3.9	2.6
Net taxes on goods	2.2	(0.4)	4.0
Gross domestic product	0.6	3.4	2.8

Source: National Institute of Statistics

Gross Value Added

Gross value added ("GVA") in industry decreased in 2012 by 7 per cent. as compared to 2011, followed by a 3.8 per cent increase in 2013 compared to 2012. In 2014, GVA in industry increased by 3.6 per cent., compared to 2013.

The agricultural sector in Romania is strongly influenced by climatic conditions, which is reflected in the annual GVA developments in agriculture. Thus, GVA in agriculture declined by 26.1 per cent in 2012, as a result of a severe drought affecting the country, followed by an increase of 29.2 per cent in 2013. In 2014, GVA in agriculture increased by 1.5 per cent. compared to 2013.

GVA in construction was slightly negative in 2012 (-1.1 per cent.) and increased by 5.3 per cent. in 2013. In 2014 GVA in construction increased by 0.3 per cent. compared to 2013.

GVA in the services sector grew by 9.2 per cent. in 2012 and by 1.4 per cent. in 2013. In 2014 GVA in the services sector increased by 2.6 per cent. compared to 2013.

Industrial Production

In 2012, industrial production increased by 2.4 per. cent, while manufacturing increased by 2.2 per cent.

The positive trend in turnover value in the industry continued throughout 2012, with a 2.9 per cent. increase over the period, due to a general improvement in industrial activity, and in particular due to the recovery of the manufacturing sector.

Compared with 2012, Romania's industrial production increased by 7.8 per cent. in 2013, primarily as a result of a 9.2 per cent. increase in production in the manufacturing sector.

In 2013, the turnover value of total industry recorded a 4.7 per cent. growth compared to 2012 and the manufacturing sector rose by 5.0 per cent.

During 2013, turnover on the external market increased by 15.1 per cent. due to an increase in manufacturing of food products of 58.9 per cent., manufacturing of machinery and equipment of 40.6 per cent., manufacturing of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers of 32.8 per cent., manufacturing of paper and paper products of 28.2 per cent. and manufacturing of other transport equipment of 28.0 per cent. compared with 2012.

In 2014 industrial production increased by 6.1 per cent. as compared to 2013, while manufacturing increased by 7.5 per cent.

In comparison to the previous year, in 2014, the turnover value of total industry increased by 7.8 per cent.; in the manufacturing sector, the growth had the same value.

In 2014, compared to 2013, the turnover on the external market increased by 11.0 per cent., due to the increase of repair, maintenance and installation of machinery and equipment by 43.7 per cent., manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products by 23.8 per cent. and manufacture of rubber and plastic products by 22.8 per cent.

In the first two months of 2015, compared to the same period of 2014, the industrial production increased by 2.4 per cent., while manufacturing increased by 3.6 per cent.

In comparison to the same period of 2014, in the first two months of 2015, the turnover value of total industry increased by 2.2 per cent., and the manufacturing sector experienced the same growth.

In 2012, labour productivity decreased by 0.4 per cent. compared to the previous year. This decrease was triggered by decreases of activities such as the manufacture of leather and related products, the manufacture of rubber and plastic products and the manufacture of basic pharmaceutical products and pharmaceutical preparations. However, labour productivity increased in respect of repair and installation of machinery and equipment; manufacture of other transport equipment; manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products; electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply; and the manufacture of beverages.

In 2013, labour productivity increased by 8.7 per cent. compared to 2012 (the manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products increased by 20.7 per cent., and manufacture of electrical equipment increased by 19.1 per cent, manufacture of machinery and equipment increased by 17.8 per cent. and manufacture of other transport equipment increased by 16.5 per cent.).

In 2014 labour productivity in increased by 4.7 per cent. compared with 2013. The industrial branches with the highest manufacturing labour productivity were manufacture of computers, electronic and optical products with 31.3 per cent., manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products with 24.8 per cent. and manufacture of paper product and paper products with 21.0 per cent.

Compared to the previous year, in the first two months of 2015, labour productivity in industry decreased by 0.8 per cent.

The following table shows percentage changes in industrial production by sector for the years 2012 to 2014 and first two months of 2015:

Industrial Productio	n by Sectors		
2012	2013	2014	JanFeb. 2015
	·		

(percentage change the previous year)

Industrial Production by Sectors						
	2012	2013	2014	JanFeb. 2015		
– Mining and quarrying	9.9	2.2	1.0	(4.8)		
Manufacturing	2.2	9.2	7.5	3.6		
Energy	1.6	(1.4)	(4.7)	(5.8)		
Total industry	2.4	7.8	6.1	2.4		

Source: National Institute of Statistics

Natural Gas

In 2012, Romania's total natural gas available resources were 15.68 billion cubic metres. Of the total natural gas available resources, 10.93 billion cubic metres were generated by domestic production (69.7 per cent.) and 2.88 billion cubic metres were imported (18.4 per cent.), mainly from Russia, and the remainder represented existing stock.

In 2013, the total amount of natural gas available in Romania amounted to 14.43 billion cubic metres, of which 10,85 billion cubic metres were generated by domestic production (75.2 per cent.) and 1.5 billion cubic metres were imported (10.1 per cent.), mainly from Russia, and the remainder represented existing stock.

In 2014, the total amount of natural gas available in Romania was 12.1 billion cubic metres, of which 11.2 billion cubic metres were generated by domestic production (92.53 per cent.) while 0.9 billion cubic metres (7.47 per cent.) represent total imported gas, of which 0.56 billion cubic metres (5.0 per cent.), were imported, mainly from Russia and the remainder represented existing stock.

In the first two months of 2015, the total amount of natural gas available in Romania was 2.7 billion cubic metres, of which 1.6 billion cubic metres was generated by domestic production (59.9 per cent.) while 0.1 billion cubic metres (2.3 per cent.) was imported, mainly from Russia and 1.0 billion cubic metres represented existing stock in underground storage (37.7 per cent.). In April, the Regulatory Authority for Energy announced that no natural gas would be imported in April as domestic production was anticipated to be sufficient to meet demand for natural gas in that month and there has been speculation that this situation could exist for several more months.

In order to reduce dependency on any single source of natural gas, Romania is actively promoting projects to diversify both the routes and sources of its natural gas, as evidenced by its interest in promoting the Azerbaijan - Georgia - Romania Interconnector project. Moreover, in order to further reduce import dependency, several projects relating to the development of unconventional resources are underway. These projects involve studies that will provide a clearer picture of Romania's potential in non-conventional resources. In conventional resources, there have been some encouraging developments in the exploratory work conducted in the Black Sea offshore. In July 2014, OMV Petrom and ExxonMobil Exploration and Production Romania Limited, an affiliate of Exxon Mobil Corporation, announced the start of drilling in the deepwater sector of the Neptun Block, offshore Romania in the Black Sea. Data collected during the drilling program will be used to assess the size and commercial viability of the gas field discovered in 2012.

In line with EU requirements, recent changes to the energy and natural gas legislation set forth, *inter alia*, a road map for the liberalisation of the prices of natural gas. The liberalisation for non-households started on 1 February 2013 after the approval of the Romanian Government of a decision on the prices of domestic natural gas. The prices for non-households have been fully liberalised in January 2015. For households, the regulated natural gas prices increased starting from July 2013, and will be fully liberalised no later than 30 June 2021. The timetable for such liberalisation takes into account concerns around protecting for vulnerable end-users and maintaining affordable price levels, but is becoming subject to more clearly defined deadlines.

From 1 January 2015 until 31 December 2018, Romanian natural gas producers and their affiliates must trade on the centralised markets, in a transparent and non-discriminating manner, a minimum quantity of gas destined for consumption within the Romanian market (at least 35 per cent. in 2015, at least 30 per cent. by 2016, at least 25 per cent. in 2017 and at least 20 per cent. in 2018). The same general obligation applies to traders and suppliers (except those that are also gas producers and oil agreement holders) starting on 1 March 2015 until 31 December 2018 (at least 30 per cent. in 2015, at least 25 per cent. by 2016, at least 20 per cent. by 2017 and at least 15 per cent. in 2018). There are currently two centralised markets available, one operated by OPCOM and the other operated by the Romanian Commodities Exchange.

Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries

Agriculture is an essential part of the national economy with significant economic and social importance. According to the 2014 Romanian Statistical Yearbook, Romania's aggregate agricultural area in 2013 represented approximately 14.6 million hectares or 61.3 per cent. of its total territory, of which arable land represented 64.3 per cent. of the total agricultural area. At the end of 2013, the total area covered by forests and other forest-like vegetation was 28.3 per cent. of the total land in Romania.

The oscillating performance of Romanian agriculture over the previous years is a direct result of the influence of weather conditions, in the context of the general absence of adequate technical facilities such as irrigation systems. Another factor contributing to poor results in agriculture is the fragmentation of agricultural lands, which makes irrigation or the use of large-scale mechanised equipment or the application of remedies more difficult. In 2010, according to the data of the general agriculture census, very small agricultural holdings (up to 2 hectares utilised agriculture land) represented 73.3 per cent. of all agricultural enterprises in Romania and utilised agriculture area representing 12.9 per cent. of the total agriculture land used at national level. Large agriculture holdings, using over 50 hectares of agricultural land, made up 0.6 per cent. of the total number of agricultural enterprises, while using 52.8 per cent. of the total utilised agricultural land.

According to the latest data released by National Institute of Statistics (Structural Survey in Agriculture 2013, final data), the number of very small agricultural holdings (less than 1 hectare of utilised agriculture land) diminished in 2013, as compared with 2010, with approximately 76 thousand agricultural holdings, representing 54.5 per cent. of the total number of agricultural holdings. These small holdings represent only 5.0 per cent. of total utilised agricultural land. In 2013, compared to 2010, large agricultural holdings (i.e., those over 50 hectares of agricultural land,) represented 0.6 per cent. of the total number of agricultural holdings, but represented 52.1 per cent. of the total utilised agricultural land.

The following table shows percentage changes in the agricultural production by type (excluding forestry) for 2011 to 2014.

Agricultura	al Production ⁽¹⁾			
	2011	2012	2013	2014
—	(percentage change against the previous year)			r)
Crop production	12.9	(30.6)	38.8	2.3
Livestock	0.8	(0.6)	(0.3)	1.1
Agricultural services	(4.6)	(4.8)	32.4	19.6
Total	8.9	(21.9)	24.5	2.1

Notes:

(1) According to the Eurostate methodology on "Economic Accounts for Agriculture"

Source: National Institute of Statistics; Eurostat

2012 was affected by a drought, which resulted in a poor harvest. By the end of 2012, according to data from the 2013 Romanian Statistical Yearbook, wheat production decreased by 25.7 per cent. and barley and two-row barley harvested production decreased by 25.8 per cent. compared to the previous year. A similar decrease occurred in respect of autumn cultures at the end of 2012. The production of maize grains decreased by 49.2 per cent.; sunflower production decreased by 21.8 per cent.; soya beans production decreased by 27.1 per cent.; potato production decreased by 39.5 per cent. and sugar beet production increased by 9.0 per cent., compared to 2011.

According to data from the 2014 Romanian Statistical Yearbook, in 2013 as compared with 2012, the production of cereals for grains recorded the following increases: the production of maize and sorghum increased by 89.9 per cent, the production of barley and two-row barley increased by 56.3 per cent. the production of wheat increased by 37.7 per cent. and the production of rye increased by 30.8 per cent. In 2013 the production of sun-flower and soya increased by 53.2 per cent. and 43.7 per cent., respectively, as

compared with 2012. In 2013, sugar beet production increased by 43.0 per cent. and potatoes production rose by 33.4 per cent., compared to 2012.

According to data from the National Institute of Statistics, the production of wheat in 2014 increased by 2.2 per cent. compared to 2013, while harvested production of barley and two-row barley increased by 8.0 per cent. Rapeseed oil production increased by 61.0 per cent. compared to 2013. The production of maize grains increased by 3.8 per cent.; soya production increased by 35.3 per cent.; sugar beet production increased by 31.9 per cent. and sun-flower production decreased by 0.6 per cent., compared to 2013.

Romania has enacted various laws supporting the concentration of agricultural enterprises; the establishment of commercial undertakings; and the promotion of access to financing secured by agricultural property. The law regarding registration of land was also amended to centralise land title registration. These actions were accompanied by measures to assist the goal of restoring properties appropriated by the State during the communist era. Such measures primarily involve accelerating property litigation through expedited procedures and allowing the voluntary merger of land holdings.

In 2012, the Romanian Government supported the agricultural sector through various measures, such as reducing the excise duty for fuel used in agriculture (amounting to a total of RON 226 million), providing complementary direct payments in the vegetal (amounting to RON 5.06 billion) and cattle (bovine, sheep, goats) sector (amounting to RON 521.2 million), supporting the payment of insurance premiums, providing guarantees for agricultural production loans and compensating for damage caused by pests and unfavourable weather conditions.

In 2013, the Romanian Government supported the agricultural sector through various measures, such as reducing the excise duty for fuel used in agriculture (amounting to RON 76.6 million), providing complementary direct payments in the livestock sector (amounting to RON 1.1 billion) and certain direct payment schemes (amounting to RON 5.92 billion), supporting the payment of insurance premiums and compensating for damage caused by pests or by unfavourable weather conditions.

In 2014, the Romanian Government supported the agricultural sector through various measures, such as providing complementary direct payments in the livestock sector (amounting to RON 927 million) and certain direct payment schemes (amounting to RON 6.47 billion), supporting the payment of insurance premiums and compensating for damage caused by plant disease or by unfavourable weather conditions.

Construction

In 2012, as compared to 2011, the volume of construction works increased by 1.4 per cent. (unadjusted series) and by 3.1 per cent. (adjusted series), respectively. New construction works increased by 8.1 per cent. Maintenance and current repair works registered a decreased of 10.4 per cent. and capital repair works registered a decrease of 9.3 per cent., compared to 2011. As regards constructions by type, in 2012, civil engineering and non-residential buildings grew by 3.5 per cent., and 2.7 per cent. respectively, while residential buildings decreased by 13.3 per cent.

In 2013, as compared to 2012, the volume of construction works (unadjusted series) dropped by 0.6 per cent. Capital repair works increased by 27.5 per cent. and maintenance and current repair works increased by 12.8 per cent., while new construction works declined by 9.4 per cent. compared to 2012. Residential buildings and civil engineering grew by 5.4 per cent. and 1.4 per cent., respectively, while new non-residential buildings reduced by 7.5 per cent. compared to 2012.

In 2014, as compared to 2013, the volume of construction works in Romania declined by 6.7 per cent. This reduction was caused by the reduction in capital repair works (17.9 per cent.), maintenance and current repairs works (9.0 per cent.) and new construction works (3.2 per cent.). The two primary types of construction had divergent developments in 2014. While volume of buildings under construction grew by 21.3 per cent., civil engineering reduced its volume by 21.8 per cent.

In the first two months of 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, the volume of construction works increased by 14.8 per cent. In terms of elements of structure, new construction works increased by 20.2 per cent. and maintenance and current repairs works increased by 8.3 per cent., while capital repair declined by 2 per cent. As regards constructions by type, there was an increase in all categories of construction: non - residential (31.2 per cent.), residential (12.3 per cent.) and civil engineering (6.3 per cent).

The following table shows the percentage change in the construction sector from 2012 to 2014 and for the first two months of 2015:

	2012	2013	2014	JanFeb. 2015
-	(percentag	e change against	the previous y	ear)
Construction works ⁽¹⁾	1.4	(0.6)	(6.7)	14.8
of total by structural elements:				
New construction works	8.1	(9.4)	(3.2)	20.2
Capital repair works	(9.3)	27.5	(17.9)	(2.0)
Maintenance and current repairs works	(10.4)	12.8	(9.0)	8.3
of total by type of construction:				
a) Buildings	(2.0)	(4.1)	21.3	24.5
Residential buildings	(13.3)	5.4	32.6	12.3
Non-residential buildings	2.7	(7.5)	16.6	31.2
b) Civil engineering	3.5	1.4	(21.8)	6.3

Construction Sector

Note:

(1) Data source is Chapter VAL (Value of construction works on contract, in the country, by structural element and by construction object) from the Monthly statistical survey on short-term indicators in constructions (CON TS), in compliance with Council Regulation No. 1165/1998, the Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Regulation no. 1158/2005 and European Commission Regulation no. 1503/2006 on short-term statistics.

Source: National Institute of Statistics

The number of residential buildings finalised in 2012 decreased by 3.1 per cent. compared to 2011, amounting to 44,016 units, of which 54.5 per cent. were erected in rural areas. In 2013, 43,587 new residential dwellings were constructed in Romania, of which 52.9 per cent. were erected in rural areas. In 2014, 42,589 dwellings were finalised, a decrease of 998 units as compared to the previous year.

The number of building permits issued for residential buildings in 2012 was of 37,863 units, a decrease of 4 per cent. compared to 2011; 66.1 per cent. of the building permits were issued for buildings in rural areas. In 2013 as compared to the previous year, the number of building permits issued for buildings decreased by 0.2 per cent, amounting to 37,776 units. In 2014 as compared to the previous year, the number of building permits issued for buildings decreased by 0.2 were issued for buildings decreased by 0.3 per cent., amounting to 37,672 units; 62 per cent. of the building permits were issued for buildings in rural areas. In the first two months of 2015, the number of building permits issued for buildings increased by 4.3 per cent.

Services

In 2012, GVA in the services sector increased by 9.2 per cent. Particularly substantial increases in the subsectors "Trade, hotels and restaurants, transport and communications" (22.1 per cent) and "Financial, realestate, renting and business services" (5.1 per cent) drove this increase which was partially offset by a 1.3 per cent reduction in the GVA of "Other service activities".

In 2013, GVA in the services sector grew by 1.4 per cent. By sub-sectors the developments were: a decrease of 3.2 per cent in "Trade, hotels and restaurants, transport and communications" and increases in both "Financial, real-estate, renting and business services" and "Other service activities" of 8.4 per cent and 0.6 per cent, respectively.

In 2014, GVA in the services sector increased by 2.6 per cent compared to 2013. The increases were recorded in all subs-sectors, including 3.6 per cent in "Trade, hotels and restaurants, transport and communications", 2.7 per cent in "Financial, real-estate, renting and business services" and 0.5 per cent in "Other service activities", respectively.

Energy

The Ministry of Energy, Small and Medium Sized Enterprises and Business Environment together with the Ministry of Economy, Commerce and Tourism has overall responsibility for securing the production and distribution of energy in Romania.

From 2012 to 2014 and for the first two months of 2015, the primary energy resources that together comprise Romania's domestic production were:

	2012	2013	2014 ⁽¹⁾	JanFeb. ₍₁₎ 2015
Net coal	23.4	18.0	20.3	21.8
Crude oil	14.4	15.6	17.3	16.3
Usable natural gas	32.3	33.6	39.6	38.5
Hydroelectric, wind and photovoltaic energy and nuclear electric energy	15.1	17.8	22.8	23.4
Others	14.8	15.0	_	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note:

(1) Data for 2014 and January-February 2015 is based on the share of main primary energy resources.

Source: National Institute of Statistics

In 2012, the primary available energy resources amounted to the equivalent of 41.7 million tons of oil equivalent, representing a 1.7 per cent. decreased compared to 2011. In this period, 65 per cent. of the total amount was generated by domestic production and 27.8 per cent. by imports and 7.2 per cent. was represented by stocks existing at the beginning of the year.

The domestic production of the primary energy resources decreased in 2012 with 1.3 per cent. compared to 2011, because of the decrease in certain main primary energy resources: domestic production of hydroelectric and nuclear energy (-4.3 per cent.), coal production (-4.7 per cent.) and crude oil production (- 5.8 per cent.). Natural gas production increased in this period by 0.5 per cent.

In 2012, the total imports of the energy resources increased compared to 2011 by 0.4 per cent. In this period, electricity imports increased by 35.3 per cent., coal imports increased by 28.4 per cent, and imports of petroleum products increased by 13.8 per cent. The imports of usable natural gas decreased in this period by 6.7 per cent. and imported crude oil decreased by 5.9 per cent. This decrease is due to the decline of domestic consumption and an increase in energy efficiency.

In 2013, the primary energy resources amounted to the equivalent of 39.2 million tons of oil equivalent, representing a 6.0 per cent. decrease compared to 2012. In this period, 65.9 per cent. of the total amount was generated by domestic production and 34.1 per cent. by imports.

The domestic production of primary energy resources decreased in 2013 by 4.6 per cent. compared to the previous year. Net coal production and natural gas production decreased by 26.6 per cent. and 0.9 per cent., respectively. Domestic production of hydroelectric, wind, photovoltaic and nuclear energy and domestic production of crude oil increased in this period by 11.9 per cent. and 3.5 per cent., respectively.

In 2013, the total imports of primary energy resources decreased compared to 2012 by 14.0 per cent. The reduction have been recorded in case of electricity imports (-67.8 per cent.), usable natural gas (-49.3 per cent.), coal (-22.4 per cent.) and petroleum products (-15.7 per cent.). The crude oil imports increased by 3.3 per cent.

In 2014, the main primary energy resources amounted to the equivalent of 32.2 million tons of oil equivalent, representing a 2.4 per cent. increase compared with 2013. Over this period, 68.1 per cent. of the total amount was generated by domestic production while 31.9 per cent. by imports.

In 2014, the domestic production of the main primary energy resources increased by 0.7 per cent. compared to the previous year. Domestic production of hydroelectric and nuclear energy and domestic production of

natural gas increased in this period by 9.2 per cent. and 0.9 per cent., respectively. Net coal production and crude oil production decreased by 5.7 per cent., respectively by 2.0 per cent.

The imports of the main primary energy resources increased by 6.1 per cent. Imports of electricity and crude oil increased by 55.8 per cent. and 27.0 per cent., respectively. The import of natural gas and net coal decreased by 61.5 per cent. and 15.6 per cent. respectively, while the import of petroleum products decreased by 3.0 per cent.

In the first two months of 2015, the main energy resources amounted to 5.3 million tons of oil equivalent, representing a 0.3 per. cent decrease, compared to the same period in 2014. Over this period, 70.3 per cent. of the total amount was generated by domestic production, while 29.7 per cent., by imports.

In the first two months of 2015, the domestic production of the main primary energy resources increased by 4.2 per cent. compared to the same period and the domestic production of coal, natural gas, hydroelectric and nuclear energy increased by 5.6, 0.7 and 14.0 per cent. respectively.

Electricity

The structure of the sources of electricity production from 2012 to 2014 and for the first two months of 2015 is as follows:

	2012	2013	2014	JanFeb. 2015
		(Structure	%)	
Electricity produced in thermo-power stations	55.2	45.9	42.0	42.9
Electricity produced in hydropower stations	20.9	26.0	30.0	27.9
Electricity produced in wind-power stations	4.5	7.7	7.5	10.5
Electricity produced in nuclear-electric stations	19.4	19.7	18.5	17.5
Electricity produced in photovoltaic sun stations	_	0.7	2.0	1.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100

Source: National Institute of Statistics

Electricity production in 2012 was 59.0 TWh, a decrease of 5.1 per cent. compared with the previous year. The production of electricity from hydroelectric power plants and nuclear-electric stations decreased in this period by 17.5 per cent. and 2.4 per cent., respectively. The electricity produced from wind-power stations increased by 90.3 per cent. while electricity produced from thermo-power stations decreased by 4.5 per cent. The thermo-power stations had the largest share of total electricity production (55.2 per cent.), followed by hydro-power and wind-power stations (25.4 per cent.) and nuclear-electric stations (19.4 per cent.). During this period, final electricity exports decreased by 1.7 per cent., while total electricity consumption decreased by 1.4 per cent. Electricity exports decreased in this period by 60.9 per cent. from 2,941.8 million kWh in 2011 to 1148.6 million kWh in the year of 2012. The decrease in exports of electricity in 2012 is explained by the perception of increased risks in connection with Romania as a result of Hidroelectrica, Romania's main energy producer, entering insolvency proceedings. Another factor contributing to the reduction of exports was the decrease in production due to severe drought in 2012 (Hidroelectrica activated, as a result, the force majeure clause in a significant number of contracts to suspend its deliveries in 2012).

In 2013, electricity production was 58.9 TWh, a decrease of 0.3 per cent. compared with 2012. The production of electricity from thermo-power stations decreased in this period by 17.1 per cent. The electricity produced by wind-power plants increased by 71.2 per cent., while electricity produced by hydro-power stations and nuclear power plants increased by 24.1 per cent. and 1.3 per cent., respectively. In this period classic thermo power stations had the largest share within the total electricity production (45.9 per cent.), followed by hydro-power, wind power stations and photovoltaic sun stations (34.4 per cent.) and nuclear-electric stations (19.7 per cent.). In 2013, final electricity consumption decreased by 6.0 per cent. and total electricity consumption decreased by 4.8 per cent. Electricity exports increased in this period by 114.7 per cent., from 1148.7 million KWh in 2012 to 2465.9 million KWh in 2013.

In 2014, electricity production was 63.3 TWh, representing an increase of 7.4 per cent. compared to 2013. The electricity produced in hydro-power stations and wind-power stations increased by 25.4 per cent., and 0.7 per cent. respectively. The production achieved by nuclear power plants increased by 0.5 per cent. The production of electricity from thermo-power stations decreased in this period by 1.6 per cent. The classic thermo power stations had the largest share of total electricity production (42.0 per cent.), followed by hydro-power stations, wind-power stations, photovoltaic sun stations (39.5 per cent.) and nuclear-electric stations (18.5 per cent.). Final electricity exports increased by 1.9 per cent. and total electricity consumption decreased by 1.9 per cent. Bectricity exports increased by 232.1 per cent., from 2465.9 million KWh in 2013 to 8189.6 million KWh in 2014.

In the first two months of 2015, electricity production was 11.4 TWh, representing an increase of 3.2 per cent., as compared to the same period of the previous year. The electricity generated in hydro-power stations rose by 33.4 per cent., while the production of wind-power stations and photovoltaic sun stations has picked up by 48.3 and 220.1 per cent. respectively. The production of thermo power stations and nuclear electric stations decreased by 15.7 and 0.5 per cent., respectively. Final electricity consumption decreased by 4.1 per cent. and total electricity consumption decreased by 3.6 per cent., respectively. The export of electricity rose by 90.9 per cent., from 885.6 million KWh in the first two months of 2014 to 1690.7 million KWh in the same period of 2015.

Over the period 2008 to February 2015, Romania was a net exporter of electricity, except in 2012 and, in light of the existing energy generation projects under development, including the expansion of renewable energy production, it expects to increase its energy production capacity in the near future. However, Romania must invest in the rehabilitation and development of the national energy grid in order to be able to manage such an expansion of its energy production capabilities.

In line with EU requirements, changes to energy legislation set out a calendar for the liberalisation of electricity prices. Liberalisation started on 1 September 2012 in respect of non-households and at 1 July 2013, respectively, in respect of household consumption (to be completed on 31 December 2017). As at 1 January 2014, the market for non-household energy consumption was fully liberalised. The timetable for such liberalisation has been created with the aim to ensure price protection for vulnerable end-users and affordable price levels.

The Government is currently considering potential measures for the reorganisation of Romanian electricity and heating companies with the goal of possible privatisation (see "*—The Romanian Economy—Overview*—*Privatisation*").

Electricity Market

Romania has a balanced portfolio of electricity generation capacities comprising hydro, nuclear, coal and natural gas-fired power plants, with renewable growing rapidly, being a net exporter of electricity.

The Romanian electricity market was fully liberalised on 1 July 2007. However, in practice, a large regulated electricity supply market continued to exist thereafter. Consequently, electricity is supplied under two systems: the regulated market, which covers households and part of the industrial sector and the competitive market, mainly represented by large industrial consumers. According to ANRE reports, in 2014 approximately 65 per cent. of the market was fully open to competition. Pursuant to the new Electricity and Natural Gas Law No. 123/2012, the electricity supply under the regulated market was extended until 31 December 2013 for non-households and will be extended until 31 December 2017 for households.

Starting with the date of entry into force of the new Electricity and Natural Gas Law No. 123/2012, the structure of the wholesale market has substantially changed due to the introduction of the obligation to sell electricity through public, centralized, non-discriminatory and transparent transactions. Thus, transactions between participants in the wholesale electricity market are concluded exclusively as a result of participation on one of OPCOM S.A.'s ("**OPCOM**") platforms, including the centralised bilateral contracts market (comprising two operational platforms - the centralised bilateral contracts market with continuous negotiation (PCCB-NC), and the centralised bilateral contracts market with extended auctions mechanism (PCCB-LE)), the Day-Ahead Market (PZU), the balancing market, the Intraday Market and the over-the-counter ("**OTC**") market.

The participants to the OTC market trade based on bilateral power contracts agreed before participating to the trading and based on the European Federation of Energy Traders' standard contract. Each participant has to

prepare its eligibility list including at least four participants on the market with whom it is willing to enter into transactions and conclude agreements. The eligibility lists are published with OPCOM and may be updated by the participants.

The Day-Ahead Market is a part of the electricity wholesale market which offers market participants the possibility to balance their own portfolio with their delivery obligations one day in advance than the respective delivery days. In 2014, approximately 41.3 per cent. of transactions for electricity consumption were made on the Day-Ahead Market.

As a consequence of the obligation to conclude the electricity sales on the OPCOM platforms, as well as the calendar for full liberalisation of the electricity market, the percentage of electricity traded on the centralised markets has been constantly growing: according to the OPCOM monthly report, in December 2014 the quota of the transactions made on the Day-Ahead Market from the estimated net consumption was 44.89 per cent., while the quota of deliveries on the centralised bilateral contracts market (PCCB) consumption was 64.98 per cent.

The Romanian electricity market is currently in the process of being integrated in the Single European Market, thus increasing the expectations of an improved liquidity in the wholesale electricity market in the near future. The "4M Market Coupling" (respectively the price coupling of the day–ahead markets from Romania, Hungary, Slovakia and Czech Republic) was launched in November 2014. A similar market coupling project is ongoing with Bulgaria.

Investments in the generation of electricity from renewable energy sources ("E-RES") are supported by a scheme for the promotion of electricity produced from renewable energy sources based on mandatory quotas and green certificates trading. The implementation of the green certificates scheme led to the commissioning of approximately 4,276 MWh of E-RES capacities (wind, solar, biomass and new micro-hydro) by December 2014 and 4,934 MW installed by February 2015, which triggered an increase of the obligation of electricity suppliers to purchase green certificates. The cost of the green certificates was transferred to the electricity bills of electricity consumers (either individual or industrial). In reaction to the resulting considerable increase of electricity prices and in line with developments in other EU countries, Romania analysed and implemented structures for decreasing the support granted to E-RES producers. Thus, the trading of a certain number of green certificates per MWh of electricity produced by micro- hydro, wind and solar capacities has been deferred until 2017 for producers licensed until 31 December 2013. In addition, the number of green certificates made available for generation facilities licensed as E-RES producers after 1 January 2014 was reduced (from 6 to 3 certificates per MWh for solar projects, from 2 to 1.5 certificates per MWh for wind projects (until 2017) and from 3 to 2.3 certificates per MWh for qualifying hydro-power projects). The likely effect of the revision of the legislation promoting renewable energy resources will be a decrease of the investments in renewable energy capacities.

Restructuring and Investment

The Government intends to restructure the energy sector with the aim of increasing production efficiency, in line with the common energy policy at EU level.

The main objectives of Government policy in respect of the energy sector are ensuring security of supply, the increase of energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy sources. Romania enjoys a greater degree of security of energy supply than other countries in the region as it is less reliant upon imports for its energy needs (due to its reserves of hard coal and lignite, oil and natural gas) and its integrated power infrastructure which allows domestic electricity production to be flexibly switched between sources. Romania is diversifying the routes and sources of natural gas supply and is looking to exploit domestic renewable energy sources and domestic coal in order to reduce its energy dependency on imports.

Romania has made progress with its reform agenda for state-owned energy companies, in line with the restructuring plans discussed with the IMF.

The most important investment projects being promoted by the Government in the energy sector include:

- The construction of two additional nuclear units (3 and 4) at the Cernavoda power plant, in a consortium with private investors (Romania already has two nuclear facilities with an aggregate capacity of 1,400 MW);
- The development of *greenfield* and *brownfield* projects by Romanian producers using fossil fuels;

- The development of 21 new hydroelectric power station projects with an aggregate capacity of approximately 900 MW;
- The Tarnita-Lapustesti pump storage hydroelectric power plant, with a capacity of 1,000 MW;
- The Azerbaijan-Georgia-Romania natural gas transport system known as the AGRI project.

The Romanian natural gas transportation network was connected to Hungary's transportation network and indirectly with the EU natural gas transportation network in 2010. The physical reverse flow capacity at Csanadpalota interconnection point, from 1 February 2014, is 50,000 cm/h. Romania plans to connect its natural gas transportation network with Bulgaria, Serbia and the Republic of Moldova. A first step towards interconnecting with Moldova was made in August 2014, through the inauguration of the Iasi-Ungheni 42 km long pipeline. Additionally, on 22 April 2015 Romania executed an agreement for the interconnection of their natural gas transportation networks with Bulgaria and Moldova, which is expected to be operational by 2018.

Projects for the construction of natural gas storage facilities are, at the date of this document, under development, aiming at storing natural gas in areas with large consumers or with large variations of natural gas consumption.

Transportation

The following table shows the percentage change in the transport of passengers as compared to the previous year from 2012 to the first nine months of 2014:

	2012	2013	2014
	(percentage change	e against the previo	us year)
1. Interurban and international transport ⁽¹⁾	5.2	3.6	4.6
(i) Railway	(5.6)	(0.2)	12.8
(ii) Road	8.2	4.6	(2.8)
(iii) Inland waterways	(4.9)	17.2	—
(iv) Air	(0.5)	(0.2)	8.3
(v) Maritime	13.9	61.2	27.8
2. Urban transport-total	(3.8)	0.7	12.2

Change in Passengers Transported According to Modes of Transport

Note:

(1) Cruise passengers on cruise passenger excursions – inwards included

Source: National Institute of Statistics

In 2012, inter-city and international transport of passengers increased by 5.2 per cent. as compared to the previous year, mainly due to an increase of 8.2 per cent. of road transport, which recorded a share of about 80.0 per cent. of total inter-city and international passengers transported, and also due to an increase of 13.9 per cent. in maritime transport which recorded a share of only 0.009 per cent. Inland waterways transport and railway transport decreased in 2012 by 4.9 per cent. and by 5.6 per cent. respectively, while air transport decreased in 2012 by 0.5 per cent. Urban transport registered a decrease of 3.8 per cent.

In 2013, inter-city and international transport of passengers increased by 3.6 per cent. as compared to the previous year, mainly due to an increase of maritime transport (61.2 per cent.), and inland waterways transport (17.2 per cent.) and road transport (4.6 per cent.). Air transport of passengers decreased in 2013 by 0.2 per cent. Urban transport increased by 0.7 per cent

In 2014, the inter-city and international transport of passengers increased by 4.6 per cent. as compared to the previous year, due to increases in maritime transport (27.8 per cent), railway transport (12.8 per cent.) and air transport (8.3 per cent.). Urban transport registered an increase of 12.2 per cent. compared to 2013.

The following table shows the percentage change in goods transported as compared to the previous year from 2012 to 2014:

	2012	2013	2014
	(percentage change	e against the previou	us year)
Goods transport – total	(0.4)	0.1	0.6
1. Railway	(8.2)	(9.7)	0.8
2. Road	2.6	1.6	(0.3)
3. Maritime	1.5	10.4	0.4
4. Inland waterways	(5.0)	(3.9)	3.6
5. Air	8.5	7.8	1.9
6. Transport via petroleum pipe-lines	(4.1)	(2.5)	13.2

Change in Goods Transported According to Modes of Transport

Source: National Institute of Statistics

In 2012, goods transportation decreased by 0.4 per cent. as compared to the previous year. Some means of goods transportation registered growth as compared to the previous year: air transport (8.5 per cent.), road transport (2.6 per cent.), maritime transport (1.5 per cent.), while the others registered decreases: railway transport (-8.2 per cent.), inland waterways transport (-5.0 per cent.) and transport via petroleum pipe lines (-4.1 per cent.).

Goods transportation increased in 2013 by 0.1 per cent. as compared to the previous year, the most significant increases were recorded in maritime transport (10.4 per cent.) and air transport (7.8 per cent.). The other means of goods transport registered decreases: railways transport (9.7 per cent.), inland waterways transport (-3.9 per cent.) and transport via petroleum pipe lines (-2.5 per cent.) or experienced little growth.

In 2014, goods transportation increased by 0.6 per cent. as compared to the same period of the previous year, due to increases of transport via petroleum pipe-lines (13.2 per cent.), inland waterways transport (3.6 per cent.) and air transport (1.9 per cent.). The only mean of goods transport to register a decrease as compared to the previous year was road transport (0.3 per cent.).

Infrastructure Development

The Romanian Government is dedicated to making significant investments in infrastructure-related projects in order to improve the country's poor infrastructure system. The main areas of infrastructure development to which the Romanian Government has committed funds (which include projects that have been or may be developed in partnership with the private sector) include: constructing and modernising roads and motorways, modernising national railways, constructing and/or rehabilitating navigation facilities, inland waterways, river navigation monitoring systems and modernising ports and airports.

Romania's main objectives in the transport infrastructure sector are to implement the Trans-European Transport Network ("**TEN-T**") policies with the support of EU financial instruments such as the SOP Transport 2007-2013 (under the Convergence objective), the TEN-T programme (the regulations laying down general rules for the granting of Community financial aid in the field of the trans-European transport and energy networks, as revised in 2012), the CF, the ERDF, the CEF (Connecting Europe Facility) and EIB's loans and credit guarantees. Romania's main objective is to develop a sustainable transport system by means of modernising and developing the road, rail, naval and air infrastructures, while also emphasizing environmental protection, public health and passenger safety.

The memorandum approving the General Transport Master Plan of Romania and mandating the Ministry of Transport to transmit it to the European Commission was approved on 25 February 2015. At the date of this document, the memorandum is in the final phase of negotiation with the European Commission. The General Master Plan of Transport is a strategic document that is intended to give a clear strategy for the development of Romania's transport sector over the long-term and to provide implementable solutions for its existing transport problems, therefore its creation, as well as the corresponding implementation strategy has been a complex process of defining, planning and prioritising infrastructure projects.

Another objective of Romania's transport policy is to ensure the efficient management of European funds that may be accessed in the transport sector. For the 2007-2015 implementation period 119 transport projects financed from EU Structural Funds were contracted by the end of December 2014 and most of them are expected to be fully implemented by the end of 2015.

Romania aims to renovate 230.25 km of railways (in 2015, 65 km of railway are expected to be finalised, with the remainder in different phases of construction), 14 rail stations (11 rail stations are finalised and 3 of them are in execution) and 14 rail bridges, tunnels and culverts (for 3 bridges, the procurement procedure are launched and for 11 bridges, the construction contracts are ongoing). Investments are also dedicated to continue the expansion of the Otopeni International Airport near Bucharest and plans exist to modernise five other airports by the end of 2015 (Suceava, Constanta, Iași, Oradea and Craiova). By December 2014, 22 contracts had been concluded in the maritime and inland transport sector, mainly related to locks modernisation of the Danube-Black Sea and Poarta Alba-Midia Navodari navigable canals, ports infrastructure, traffic management systems on the Danube and Danube-Black Sea Canal, modernisation of the navigable canals and systems for collecting the residues coming from ships along the Danube River. In three critical points, along 200 km the Romanian sector of the Danube, works are ongoing in order to ensure minimum conditions for navigation (minimum depth of 2.5 m).

In 2013, the European Commission approved the financing through SOP transport of the development of capacity of the Bucharest metro lines.

At the end of the 2014, the Government decided to transfer the National Company for Motorways and National Roads in Romania from the Department for Infrastructure Projects of National Interest and Foreign Investments to the Ministry of Transport, as a result of which the Ministry of Transport is under reorganization to efficiently reflect the shift.

Three motorway projects (the Comarnic-Brasov motorway, the South section of the Bucharest ring road and Craiova-Piteşti express road / motorway) have been launched under concession (the first two at the end of 2012 and the latter one in March 2013).

For the Comarnic-Brasov motorway project, following the submission of best and final offers, a consortium comprised of Vinci, Strabag and Aktor was declared to be the winning bidder. Given the difficulties of concluding the concession agreement, in the situation where the winning consortium will fail to provide adequate funding, in accordance with the requirements of a concession contract, the Ministry of Transport are considering other contractual forms, more favourable in financial terms, including the possibility of financing this project from public funds.

The procedures for awarding the public works concession contracts have reached the dialogue stage for the South section of the Bucharest ring road and Craiova-Piteşti motorway projects.

As of the date of this document, 231.5 km of motorways are in different stages of construction and construction contracts were signed. For the other 78.2 km, the relevant contracts are expected to be signed this year. As of the date of this document, tender procedures are ongoing at different stages for the construction of 95.0 km of motorway. Also, tenders have been launched for development/revision feasibility studies for a total of 996 km of motorway.

Rehabilitation, construction and modernisation of national roads were also carried out in 2014 (rehabilitation of 278.3 km of national roads was completed in 2014). In December 2014, 1,116.07 km of national roads were under construction, with financing from the EIB, EBRD, ERDF and the state budget.

Inflation

At the end of 2012, annual CPI inflation rate stood at 4.95 per cent., up from 3.14 per cent. at the end of 2011, after having reached a minimum of 1.8 per cent. in April and May and a peak of 5.33 per cent. in September. This uneven path was largely shaped by base effects, to which were added the transitory adverse supply shocks associated with unfavourable weather conditions. The persistently negative output gap continued to dampen inflationary pressures, whereas the higher expenses of agricultural inputs, the depreciation of the national currency and hikes in fuel prices acted in the opposite direction. Thus, the annual inflation rate exceeded the upper bound of the variation band of ± 1 percentage point from the 3 per cent. central target set for 2012.

Over the period, food prices saw notable pressures stemming from higher costs triggered by adverse weather conditions, namely heavy snowfall (causing supply disruptions), followed by the regional drought which impacted the prices of processed foods and, even more substantially, those of fruit and vegetables. The annual dynamics of the latter increased by some 30 percentage points from December 2011, owing to the current developments (in September 2012, the monthly increase was a record high for the last decade, but the trend was partly reversed in the subsequent months), as well as to a sizable unfavourable base effect associated with the drop in volatile food prices during the summer of 2011. Due to the fruit and vegetables price dynamics, the overall food component (the growth rate of which accelerated from 0.95 per cent. at the end of 2011 to 6.21 per cent. at the end of 2012) had the largest contribution to the 1.8 percentage point increase in headline inflation during 2012.

While still above headline inflation, the annual growth rate of fuel prices slowed by 3.2 percentage points from December 2011 to 5.2 per cent. at the end of 2012, following the easing pressures stemming from global crude oil prices during the last quarter of 2012.

Administered prices also recorded a lower annual growth rate (6.1 per cent. in December 2012), with the most notable adjustments occurring in the prices of electricity, natural gas and water, sewerage and sanitation services. Electricity prices rose by a cumulative 13 per cent. in July and December so as to ensure better cost recovery and to include the price of "green certificates" – a support scheme for producers of green energy – into the bills paid by households.

The annual adjusted CORE2 inflation increased by around 0.9 percentage points (to 3.3 per cent.) in December 2012 versus December 2011, following the hike in the annual growth rate of prices for market services, which are more sensitive to exchange rate developments. Moreover, in the second half of 2012, the above -mentioned adverse supply shock associated with the poor agricultural output contributed to the upward path of the annual dynamics of processed food items. The persistence of a considerable negative output gap continued to alleviate inflationary pressures, as illustrated by the relatively modest monthly increases in non-food prices.

After staying above 5 per cent. throughout the first half of 2013 (5.37 per cent. in June) following the food and energy price increases during September 2012-January 2013, annual CPI inflation fell markedly in the second part of 2013. Specifically it reached 1.6 per cent. in December 2013, thus entering the lower half of the ± 1 percentage point variation band around the 2.5 per cent. central target. The major drivers of disinflation were the low food prices following the good harvest and the cut in the VAT rate for flour, bread and other bakery products from 24 per cent. to 9 per cent. as of 1 September. Disinflation was also supported by the abating tensions on the global oil markets, the persistent negative output gap and the appreciation of the RON versus the major currencies. The latter two factors, along with the significantly higher food supply, lower inflation expectations and the VAT rate cut, explain the sharp reduction in core inflation. Adjusted CORE2 inflation rate dropped to 0.15 per cent. in December 2013 from 3.25 per cent. in December 2012, one third of this reduction being caused by the VAT rate cut.

The annual CPI inflation rate stayed on a general downward trend in 2014, falling to 0.83 per cent. in December and remained below the variation band of the inflation target in the first quarter of 2015, reaching 0.79 per cent. in March 2015. Apart from the persistence of the negative output gap and steadily improving inflation expectations, the continued disinflation was prompted by the sharp decline in the oil prices starting in the second half of 2014, the good harvest and the oversupply on the domestic market due to Russia's ban on fruit and vegetables from European countries. The negative annual rates of the adjusted CORE2 inflation up to August 2014 reversed in September to reach 1 per cent. (as a result of the VAT rate cut effect no longer having an impact) and hovered around this level until January 2015. As a result of a stronger RON, negative inflation readings in the eurozone and the effects of the oil price decline working through the economy, annual core inflation decreased further to 0.8 per cent. in February and March 2015.

The following table shows percentage changes in consumer prices for the period from 2012 to March 2015:

Increases in Consumer Prices

			January to
2012	2013	2014	March 2015

(percentage change against the previous year)

Average Increase

	2012	2013	2014	January to March 2015
—	(percent	age change against	the previous yea	ır)
Food goods	1.89	2.96	(1.63)	(0.41)
Non-food goods	3.77	5.19	2.45	0.80
Services	5.07	3.19	3.16	1.76
Total	3.33	3.98	1.07	0.53
End of Period Increase				
Food goods	6.21	(1.81)	(0.41)	(0.07)
Non-food goods	4.48	3.62	1.25	1.17
Services	3.61	3.43	2.25	1.55
Total	4.95	1.55	0.83	0.79

Increases in Consumer Prices

Source: National Institute of Statistics

The latest NBR projections, presented on 8 May 2015, estimate that the annual CPI inflation rate will be at 0.2 per cent. and 1.9 per cent. at the end of 2015 and 2016, respectively. The annual CPI inflation rate is projected to move into negative territory from the second quarter of 2015 until the first quarter of 2016 (except the end of 2015) given the impact on the adjusted CORE2 index components as well as on VFE (vegetables, fruit and eggs) prices of broadening the scope of the lower VAT rate starting on 1 June 2015. Once the initial effect of the fiscal measure has ended, which is currently expected to occur in the second quarter of 2016, the CPI inflation rate is expected to return to a positive figure and re-enter the variation band of the inflation target starting in the fourth quarter of 2016, following the economic agents' inflation expectation of a positive inflation rate and the gradual disappearance of the disinflationary pressures associated with the negative output gap.

The risks associated with the current projection have been assessed as being relatively balanced in the short run, while being tilted to the downside over the medium term. The risks specific to the current revised forecast can mostly be ascribed to the domestic environment, with external factors remaining as relevant as in the previous forecast rounds.

The external environment continues to pose significant risks, given the conflict in Ukraine and the situation in Greece as well as possibly diverging monetary policy stances of the world's major central banks, amid the ECB's implementation of its expanded asset purchase programme. In case any of these risks materialise, the Romanian economy could be hit by negative indirect effects as such developments would impact external demand from Romania's main trading partners in the EU. Moreover, adverse consequences on investor confidence across financial markets in the region might bring about heightened volatility of capital flows channelled to these economies, Romania included. The balance of risks associated with international commodity prices seems to be in equilibrium over the near-term; however, over the medium- and long-term, the risk remains that the EUR/USD exchange rate developments may effect the USD/RON exchange rate and, in turn, the oil price expressed in RON.

On the domestic side, the uncertainties surrounding the consistent implementation of an adequate policy mix and the speeding up of structural reforms, in accordance with a timetable agreed upon with international institutions, remain a matter of concern. A risk associated with inflation moving from the current forecast relates to the implementation of the fiscal measures in the legislative proposal amending the Fiscal Code submitted to Parliament for approval, which was not included in the baseline scenario due to uncertainties existing at the date of the projection. In case these fiscal measures are implemented, the annual CPI inflation rate may significantly deviate downwards in the medium term from the projected baseline scenario described above. As far as domestic prices are concerned, a risk specific to the most recent projections is the possible deviation from the initial assessment, included in the baseline scenario, of the magnitude that the impact of broadening the scope of the lower VAT rate is expected to have starting 1 June 2015. Additional uncertainty as to the impact of future weather conditions on the supply of agri-foodstuffs remains an impact.

Wages

The following table shows gross earnings for 2010 to 2014 and for the first two months of 2015.

	2012	2013	2014	JanFeb. 2015
Average gross nominal monthly earnings (value in RON)	2,063	2,163	2,360	2,402
(percentage change against the previous year)	4.2	4.8	5.3	6.8
Average net nominal monthly earnings (value in RON)	1,507	1,579	1,706	1,736
(percentage change against the previous year)	4.4	4.8	5.2	6.8
Real earnings (percentage change against the previous year)	1.0	0.8	4.1	6.4

Gross Earnings*

Note:

(1) Percentage changes are against the provisional data from the same period of the previous year.

Source: National Institute of Statistics

Note: the figures for 2012-2013 are final data. The figures for 2014 and two months of 2015 are provisional data estimated by National Commission for Prognosis based on monthly statistical data.

^{*}The dates in the table are provided by National Institute of Statistics and by National Commission for Prognosis

In 2012, the average gross earnings in the economy reached RON 2,063, 4.2 per cent. higher than in 2011. In real terms, in 2012 real earnings grew by 1.0 per cent. as compared to 2011.

In 2013, the average gross earnings in the economy increased by 4.8 per cent. as compared to 2012 to RON 2,163. The average net earning increased by 4.8 per cent. In real terms, the real wage increased by 0.8 per cent. in 2013 as compared to 2012.

The average gross earnings in the public sector was RON 2,342, 12.9 per cent. higher than in 2012, while the average gross earning in the private sector was RON 2,120, 2.9 per cent. higher than in 2012.

In 2014, the average gross earnings were at the level of RON 2,360, 5.3 per cent. higher than in 2013; the net average earnings have grown by 5.2 per cent., while real earnings went up by 4.1 per cent. as compared to 2013.

In the two months ended 28 February 2015, the average gross earning increased to RON 2,402, 6.8 per cent. higher than during the same period of 2014. The net average earning grew by 6.8 per cent. and the real wage increased by 6.4 per cent., compared to January 2014. In the public sector, the average gross earnings reached RON 2,433 and in the private sector up to RON 2,394.

The gross minimum wage in the whole economy was increased from 1 January 2014 to RON 850 and from 1 July 2014 to RON 900 (according to the Government's Decision No. 871/2013).

In 2015, the gross minimum wage will increase in two steps: to 975 RON which occurred on 1 January 2015 and to RON 1,050 starting from 1 July 2015.

The Government has implemented the unitary pay reform of the public sector wage framework legislation, laying out a uniform and comprehensive framework for pay scale, wage and bonus calculations. The main objective of the new pieces of legislation, the reduction of the public sector wage bill gradually to 7.2 per cent. of GDP by 2012 from over 9.2 per cent. of GDP in 2009 was met, as indicated by the 2012 year-end figures.

Under Emergency Government Ordinance no.83/2014, it was established that staff salaries paid from public funds in 2015 will remain at December 2014 levels, with the exception, among others of:

• salaries paid to personnel in the public health system and social assistance, which increased by a fixed amount of 100 RON;

• salaries paid to the personnel in the education system, who shall receive an increase in two steps of 5 per cent., the first of which occurred on 1 March 2015, and an additional 5 per cent. will start on 1 September 2015.

Employment

Romania's economy faced labour market adjustments as a result of the global financial and economic crisis. Romania's accession to the EU labour market led to increased labour mobility with free movement of Romanian workers to other EU Member States. Estimates indicate that approximately 2.5 million Romanian citizens work abroad, mostly in EU Member States; this labour force displacement has had a visible impact on the national labour market. The impact of this displacement has reversed in recent years as Romanian citizens have returned due to adverse economic conditions abroad (Spain and Italy, countries with significant Romanian communities).

The following table shows changes in labour force for the years 2012 to 2014:

La	bour Force ⁽¹⁾		
	2012	2013	2014
-	(percentage change	e against the previous y	vear)
Active population ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	0.6	(0.3)	0.7
Employment ⁽²⁾	1.1	(0.4)	0.9
Employees	—	—	1.9
		(%)	
Activity rate ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	64.8	64.9	65.7
Employment rate ⁽²⁾	60.2	60.2	61.0
International Labour Organisation (ILO) unemployment rate	6.8	7.1	6.8

Notes:

(1) Data according to the 2011 Population Census.

(2) Working age population (15 - 64 years old).

(3) Total number of individuals who are either employed or are actively seeking employment

Source: National Institute of Statistics

The employment rate for the working age population increased to 60.2 per cent. in 2012, remained at the same level in 2013 and further increased to 61.0 per cent. in 2014.

After reaching the highest post-crisis level of unemployment rate (according to the International Labour Organization (the "**ILO**") methodology) 7.2 per cent. at the end of 2011, the economic recovery determined a decrease of 0.4 percentage points decrease of unemployment in 2012 to a level of 6.8 per cent. In 2013, given the increased participation in the labour market, a small increase of unemployment was registered and the ILO unemployment rate was 7.1 per cent. The positive economic evolution in 2013 and 2014 was also translated in the labour market and the unemployment rate registered a decreasing trend reaching 6.8 per cent. by the end of 2014 The estimated monthly unemployment rate presented by the National Institute of Statistics registered a decreasing trend throughout 2014 and the beginning of 2015. The latest available data indicates a seasonally adjusted unemployment rate of 6.4 per cent. in February 2015.

As at 31 December 2012, the registered unemployment rate was 5.4 per cent. As at 31 December 2013 the registered unemployment rate was 5.7 per cent. and slightly decreased during 2014 to 5.3 at 31 December 2014 and the latest available data indicates a rate of 5.37 per cent. in March 2015.

As at	31 December		March
 2012	2013	2014	2015
 	(%)		

Registered Unemployment Rate	5.4	5.7	5.3	5.37

Source: National Institute of Statistics

The number of active individual employment contracts concluded for an unlimited duration registered with the relevant authorities at 31 December 2013 was of 5,178,501, increasing from 5,102,151 contracts at 31 December 2012. The number of active individual employment contracts concluded for an unlimited duration registered at 31 December 2014 was of 5,342,159.

The relaxation of the rules applicable to active individual employment contracts for limited duration led to the increase of the number of active individual employment contracts for limited duration from 306,262 at 1 May 2011 to 435,557 at 31 August 2013 and to 482,423 at 31 December 2014. A significant increase was also registered in the number of daily labourers (from 341,330 registered daily labourers at 31 December 2012 to 516,310 registered daily labourers at 31 December 2013 and to 664,857 daily labourers at 31 December 2014).

The authorities have also taken measures to fight undeclared work by carrying out 105,063 labour inspections between January-December 2012, further to which 12,562 fines were applied and 405 criminal prosecution procedures were initiated. Between January and December 2013, the authorities took measures to fight against undeclared work by carrying out 100,457 labour inspections, further to which 14,605 fines were applied and 438 criminal prosecution procedures were initiated. Between January and December 2014 the authorities carried out 130,720 inspections, further to which 13,589 fines were applied and 333 criminal prosecution procedures were initiated.

On the other hand, an amendment to the legislation on the unemployment insurance system was adopted in 2013 in order to address the challenges in the labour market. The changes aim at supporting the growth of the employment rate until 2020 and, consequently, the reduction of unemployment, as well as the social integration of unemployed persons. The amendments include measures such as the revision of the legal framework for the provision of services of free skills evaluation for registered unemployed persons; the extension of the target group for mobility bonuses measures by including long -term unemployed persons; the reduction of the duration of the obligation to maintain contractual relations for employers that hire young graduates, unemployed persons over 45 years, unemployed single parents and people with disabilities or the provision of employment, subsidies to employers that hire unemployed persons who, within five years from the date of employment, would meet the conditions for early retirement pension or for age limit retirement.

The amendments to the legal framework also aim at addressing the issue of professional integration of young people (i.e. between 15 and 24 years of age), where a 24.0 per cent. unemployment rate was registered in 2014. Such measures include, inter alia, the promotion of the participation to the labour market of young people at risk of social exclusion by providing incentives to employers to employ such workers. Additionally, in order to facilitate the integration of young workers in labour market, the provision of professional information and counselling services was strengthened and the legal framework for internships was adopted in December 2013. The legal framework for apprenticeship was amended and supplemented and education and vocational training has been promoted in order to reduce the number of youths who are unemployed. In 2014, further rules designed to support internship schemes and ensure that they provide desired benefits (such as evaluation of interns and financing of the internship process) were enacted.

Social Security System

Unemployment Benefits

The unemployment insurance system is mandatorily available to: persons engaged in individual labour contracts or temporary employment contracts, except pensioners; civil servants and other persons appointed to perform public functions; people working in elective office or certain other government positions; soldiers; and others who obtain income from certain additional activities covered by the law.

Unemployment benefits are paid out to insured persons out of an unemployment fund that is operated separately from the principal social security budget.

The main sources of revenue of the unemployment insurance budget are represented by contributions paid by employers and the individual contributions of insured persons.

The unemployment insurance budget mainly covers the following expenditures: payment of unemployment benefits, including in certain circumstances, unemployment benefits conferred by competent institutions of other EU Member States; the payment of contributions for state social insurance and for health insurance for the beneficiaries of unemployment benefits; certain compensatory payments granted by law; the financing of certain measures aimed at stimulating employment and at preventing unemployment; and the financing of vocational training services.

Unemployment benefits represent (i) partial compensation of income lost as a result of job loss or (ii) an income for graduates of educational institutions who did not find a job after graduation. Unemployment benefits are paid monthly to unemployed persons for six, nine or twelve months, depending on the length of contribution, and represents 75 per cent. of the social reference indicator, plus, for those with a contribution period exceeding three years, an additional amount based on length of contribution and average monthly base salary.

The following table shows the evolution of realised unemployment insurance budget as at 31 December, 2012 and 2013 and 2014 and the 2015 budget:

Unemployment Insurance Budget

	2012	2013	2014	2015 Budget
		(RO	N)	
Revenues	1,891,906,395	1,764,301,740	1,789,980,041	1,820,572,000
Expenditure	1,736,126,214	1,779,033,089	1,557,505,680	1,596,587,000
Surplus/Deficit	155,780,181	(14,731,349)	232,474,361	223,985,000

Source: National Employment Agency

The deficit of the unemployment insurance budget is explained by the high rise in expenses with unemployment benefits. The expenditures in 2012, 2013, 2014 and three months 2015 are presented in the following table:

Unemployment Benefits Expenditure

	1	As at 31 March		
	2012	2013	2014	2015
		(RO	N)	
Guarantee fund expenses	29,914,919	45,592,946	19,642,436	7,298,892
Education	33,092,187	33,793,948	34,521,945	8,147,088
Insurance and social assistance	1,416,396,529	1,440,364,894	1,274,902,502	273,869,500
General economic, commercial and employment activities	256,721,498	259,281,301	228,438,797	60,674,695
Total expenditure	1,736,125,133	1,779,033,089	1,557,505,680	349,990,175
Per cent. of GDP	0.29	0.27	0.23	0.05

Source: National Employment Agency

The annual evolution on registered unemployed persons as at 31 December 2012, 2013, 2014 and as at 31 March 2015 is presented in the following table:

Number of Registered Unemployed Persons

	As at 31 December			As at 31 March
-	2012	2013	2014	2015
Receiving Benefits	194,473	199,626	140,955	112,870
Not Receiving Benefits	299,302	312,707	337,383	373,008
Total	493,775	512,333	478,338	485,878

Source: National Employment Agency

The expenditures during 2012, 2013, 2014 and three months 2015 on active employment measures designed to reduce the level of unemployment and to foster employment is presented in the following table:

Expenditure on Active Employment Measures					
Active employment measure	2012	2013	2014	2015 March	
Vegetional training	31,970,624	22 504 710	33,759,301	8,048,508	
Vocational training	, ,	32,594,710	, ,	, ,	
Stimulating employment of graduates	36,931,286	36,854,313	37,109,978	10,132,753	
Stimulating employment of unemployed persons before the expiration of unemployment period	11,697,487	13,405,521	14,386,712	3,578,943	
Stimulation of labour mobility	5,438,910	5,355,561	4,168,723	798,695	
	5,450,710	5,555,501	4,100,725	770,075	
Stimulating the employment of unemployed from disadvantaged groups	85,184,262	86,005,695	84,210,560	22,560,682	
Payments to foster graduates employment	2,577,077	2,711,984	2,921,894	786,009	
Payments under Law 72/2007	473,556	476,801	630,564	17,692	
Payments for vocational training of graduates (Article 84 of Law 76/2002)	968,919	849,775	698,138	67,891	
Payments under Law L76/2002 modified L250/2013 (solidarity contracts)	22,175,345	23,119,084	14,852,514	2,322,892	
Programs for temporary employment	0	0	0		
Active measures to combat unemployment (Counselling and career assistance)	1,972,037	2,295,086	2,192,104	205,693	
Pre-dismissal services	886,304	875,508	952,926	25,298	
Low interest loans for SMEs	0	0	0	,_,	
	0	0	-	29 711	
Law No. 335/2013 on internships		÷	17,649	38,711	
Total	200,275,807	204,544,038	195,901,063	48,583,767	
Expenditure on active measures as a share of GDP (per cent.)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	

Expenditure on Active Employment Measures

Source: National Employment Agency

Health System in Romania

Romania relies on a social health insurance system to finance a large part of its health care. Introduced in 1998, this system has been beneficial as it has increased the amount of public spending available to the sector. Around 78 per cent. of total public expenditure on health (based on consolidated budget figures) comes from the National Health Insurance House (the "**NHIH**"), while just over 22 per cent. comes from other sources. NHIH is the institution that manages the National Health Insurance Fund. The Romanian health insurance system is based on the collection of payroll deductions from employers and employees. Self-employed and other citizens can also enrol in the system by paying voluntary contributions set at the same rate as the

employee contributions made by regular employees. The overall insurance contribution rate, taking employers' and employees' contributions together, is currently 10.7 per cent. of a contributor's salary.

About one-half of NHIH spending was on hospital care, with drugs and medical supplies representing the second highest expenditure comprising approximately one-third of the total, whereas primary health care only made up about 6.9 per cent. of NHIH spending. While almost the entire population of approximately 18 million individuals (as approximated after the 2011 census) are entitled to benefits, until 2010 only about five million paid direct contributions. The number of contributors increased by approximately 3.5 million individuals as an effect of the implementation of a law in 2011 extending the pool of payers by including all pensioners with incomes of over 740 RON a month.

In 2010 the ownership of the majority of hospitals was passed to local administrations. Hospitals are reimbursed through the NHIH and the Ministry of Health for approximately 95 per cent. of their operating costs, while local administrations are required to contribute 3 to 5 per cent. of such costs for the hospitals in their jurisdictions. Some local councils are making contributions of up to 20 per cent. of operating costs. The decentralisation of authority over hospitals has put a financial burden on local authorities, which are now liable for the maintenance and repair of hospital buildings and for the provision of services.

The following table shows public health expenses during 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014:

Public health expenses during 2011–2014

2014

	2011	2012	2013	2014 (semi-final data)
Per cent. of GDP	3.7	3.8	4.3	4.1
State budget (RON million)	2,435.1	2,224.6	2,725.3	3,143.7
Local administrations' budgets (RON million)	6,816.7	7,275.3	8,073.5	
National Health Insurance Fund budget (RON million)	16,785.7	18,337.0	21,870.6	21,487.3
Foreign credit inputs (RON million)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fully/partially own income financed institutions budget ⁽¹⁾ (<i>RON million</i>)	C 0 C 5 5	C 470 4	(245 5	6,459.3
U	6,065.5	6,470.4	6,245.5	•
EU funding (<i>RON million</i>)	4.0	0.0	0.0	3.8
Transfers between budgets ⁽²⁾ (RON million)	11,582.4	12,115.7	11,446.8	11,986.1
Financial operations (RON million)	4.3	7.7	41.1	16.1

Notes:

(1) Hospitals of the Ministry of Health

(2) Subject to deduction from the total amount

Source: Ministry of Public Finance

Romania has historically committed a lower share of its national wealth to healthcare than other EU Member States.

In line with the recommendations for the World Bank health system functional review and of the Presidential Commission on Public Health, Romania undertook in a letter of intent signed with the IMF to increase the budget allocation to the health system until a target of 6 per cent. of GDP until 2016.

In recent years there has been significant growth in the resources available to the health sector which exceeded the rate of growth in the general public sector. The most significant beneficiaries, in terms of share of total spending, have been the national health programmes which attempted to partially cover the financing deficits of the health insurance budget for certain types of services. National health programmes reached 15.6 per cent. of total healthcare spending in 2012, 13.33 per cent. in 2013 and 13.88 per cent. in 2014. These are

shared between relatively cost effective public health programs and relatively expensive hospital-based activities.

In 2012, RON 150 million was allotted to cover arrears through a budget rectification. By the end of 2012, NHIH had fully paid its arrears to suppliers of health services. At the same time, arrears for the hospitals under the authority of the Ministry of Health fell from RON 63.7 million at 31 December 2011 to RON 2.0 million at 31 December 2014.

Based on the budget law for 2015, the Ministry of Health's budget in 2015 is RON 6.8 billion, a decrease from last year, during which additional funds were allocated to ensure sufficient funds to cover the supplementary payment obligations resulting from the reduction of the payment period, in compliance with the provisions of Directive 2011/7/EU on combating late payment in commercial transactions, implemented in Romania as of April 2013.

The Ministry of Health implemented in 2013-2014 a number of reforms aiming to strengthen the control over expenses in the health system.

The Ministry of Health has implemented, in the course of 2013, a centralised system of acquisition of medicine, equipment and other materials in the healthcare sector (in respect of expenses financed by the Ministry of Health). In 2014, there were 15 centralised procurements for drugs, vaccines and other medical supplies, with savings of more than 47 million RON. At the date of this document, three centralised procurements are being carried out.

The Ministry of Health has shifted the focus of the healthcare system from hospital care to primary health care and ambulatory care, in order to address patients' medical conditions at lower levels of the system and reduce expensive hospital costs. This reform was reflected in the 2013 budget by an increase in the funds allocated to primary health care (including the primary health care physicians) and ambulatory care. In 2014 this trend continued as additional funds for primary care and ambulatory care were allocated, increasing the number of primary care services by two per cent., the number of ambulatory services by six per cent., and clinical laboratory services by 26 per cent., while continuous hospitalization services decreased by three per cent., in all cases as compared with 2013.

The Romanian state budget for 2015 provided for an increase of 6.2 per cent. in funds allocated to primary health care services, from 1,424.9 million RON in 2014 to 1,513.7 million RON in 2015, and an increase of 5.0 per cent. in funds allocated to specialised ambulatory care services, from 623.3 million RON in 2014 to 655.2 million RON in 2015.

To improve the quality of the healthcare system and to ensure efficient management of financial resources in hospitals, Romania is implementing, as at the date of this document, a project that aims to calculate the actual costs of hospital services and to develop benchmarks for the costs of hospital services.

The Ministry of Health also has continued to reduce the number of hospital beds by implementing the National Bed Plan for the period 2014 - 2016, according to which:

- for 2014, the total number of beds approved nationally is 121,579;
- for 2015, the total number of beds approved nationally is 120,579;
- for the year 2016, the total number of beds approved nationally is 119,579.

These measures aim to achieve 4.8 acute beds per 1,000 inhabitants, which is both the European average and a target assumed by Romania in certain international agreements. A reduction of 1,548 beds nationwide was achieved in 2014. In 2015, the number of beds are expected to be reduced by approximately 1,000.

The reform of the healthcare sector initiated in Romania in 2013 also aimed at separating the responsibilities of the relevant authorities to clear overlaps between authorities with respect to certain national health programmes. As a result of the reform, the Ministry of Health focuses mainly on preventive programs, on the control of major risk diseases and on emergency services, while the NHIH has taken charge of other programmes. The separation of responsibilities between the Ministry of Health and the NHIH allowed better control over the expenditure of healthcare programmes. Also starting in 2013, the Ministry of Health and the NHIH have limited the payments to private hospitals for in-patient care, with such payments being directed toward public hospitals.

As part of the reform of the Romanian health care system, the Ministry of Health has conducted public consultations with respect to establishing a basic health services package and a minimal health services package. In December 2013, the Ministry of Health finalised the package, which includes emergency services, preventive services, primary care, certain ambulatory and hospital services. Medical services which are not included in the basic services package would be covered with additional private health insurance. The project was submitted to the approval of the Romanian Government and it was approved in June 2014.

The basic package aimed to decrease admissions to hospitals, increase the number of cases resolved in daycare facilities and to establish the conditions for the development of primary health care and ambulatory services. Under the package, certain diagnoses (104 medical conditions), surgical procedures (96) and medical services (36) will be dealt with in day-care facilities. Admission to hospital is allowed, however, in cases of medical need.

The minimum package of health services was defined by Government Decision no. 400/2014, and by Order 388/186/2015, which approved the methodological norms for the application Government Decision no. 400/2014 in 2015. At the primary health care level, preventive consultations were introduced for people over the age of 18 to check for certain major diseases and conditions.

The development of private health insurance will help increase financial resources in the Romanian health system, as medical services not included in the basic package can be covered through supplemental private insurance. The Ministry of Health will review the financial and social impact of various private health insurance alternatives and help establish an appropriate legal framework for the industry.

In the area of prescription drugs, the National Agency for Medicines and Medical Devices is the competent national authority implementing the health technology assessment mechanism, in accordance with the order mentioned, and it proposes to the Ministry of Health the list of approved drugs. Order no. 861/2014 was published in an effort to update the list of approved drugs. Order no. 861/2014 more specifically approves the criteria and methodology for health assessment, the documentation to be submitted by applicants, methodological tools used in the evaluation process for including (or excluding) or expanding indications of drugs. In addition, GEO No. 69/2014 (which amended GEO 77/2014) created a legal basis for the introduction of cost-volume and cost-volume contracts. This created the possibility to ensure uninterrupted access to drugs for patients according to disease type and stage.

The Parliament is also currently considering clawback tax legislation in connection with the calculation of the clawback of tax-differentiated generic medicines. The motivation for implementing the proposal is the fact that the current tax calculation formula involves a 38 per cent. taxation at 38 per cent. on generic medicines, compared to 10 per cent. for non-generic medicines.

Regarding health information, following the introduction of electronic prescriptions in 2012, the development of the Romanian health information system continued with the completion of the health card information system. The Ministry of Health distributed more than 13 million health insurance cards, and health insurance card usage commenced in February 2014 and became mandatory on 1 May 2015. In 2014, the Ministry of Health also implemented the electronic patient file system replacing the prior hard-copy patient file system. The electronic file system is currently functional and accessible.

In December 2014, the Government approved the National Strategy for Health 2014-2020, representing the Romanian Government's commitment under its agreements with IMF, the World Bank and the EC to ensure and promote health as a key determinant of development from a social, territorial and economic point of view. The strategy covers the following areas: public health and health care (with a focus on improving the health of women and children, reducing morbidity and mortality of non-communicable diseases and ensuring equitable access - especially for vulnerable groups - to healthcare quality and efficient in terms of cost), health research, e-health technologies and health infrastructure (the national, regional and local).

In line with strategic directions of health strategy, an analysis on the resources needed to modernise the healthcare infrastructure was developed and set out in the World Bank project which was negotiated with representatives of the World Bank. A loan was also approved by the World Bank Board in March 2014 in this regard, and the project will become effective later in 2015. The main objectives of the project on health sector reform - improving the quality and efficiency of the health system are:

- Rationalise the hospital network by providing goods, works, services other than consulting, advisory services and training in emergency regional hospitals, district hospitals and regional hospitals selected;
- Strengthening secondary care outpatient specialist by providing goods, works, services other than consulting, consultancy and training;
- Improving the capacity of the Ministry of Health and other relevant government institutions for governance and management sector to reduce the gap between policy and practice and to reinforce the capacity and improve quality of care by providing goods, works, services other than advisory, consultancy and training; and
- Supporting the Ministry of Health and the Project Management Unit (PMU) in the management and implementation of the project, including fiduciary duties, monitoring, evaluation and reporting by providing goods, works, services other than consulting, consulting services, training, audit and operational costs.

Pension System Reform

The following table shows the average number of employed persons versus the number of pensioners and the dependency ratio throughout 2012, 2013 and 2014:

_	2012	2013	2014
Average no. of employees (<i>thousands</i>)	4,442.9	4,443.6	4,008.2 ⁽¹⁾
Average no. of pensioners based on state social security (<i>thousands</i>)	4,702.1	4,681	4,682
Dependency ratio	1.06	1.05	N/A

Note:

(1) Provisional data; represents the average number of employees in enterprises with at least 4 employees. The average number of employees permanently on 2014 will be available in August 2015, according to the National Annual Statistical Programme for 2015.

Source: National Institute of Statistics

The average number of pensioners in December 2014 was 4,692.7 (thousands).

Public Pension System

The Romanian public pensions system, which has been traditionally financed by the Romanian Government by means of subsidies, has seen its deficit increase since 2008 as a result of a number of factors in Romania that combined to put pressure on the pension system, including demographic factors (the ageing of population); increases in state expenditures per person on pensions and other pressures on the state social insurance budget; the emergence of special public pensions (which introduced a number of privileges and favourable treatment of certain professional categories, leading to a large gap between the highest and lowest paid state pension); and the existence of laws governing the organisation and functioning of different pension schemes for certain professions which was hampering the functioning of the pension system.

Since 2008, the public pensions system budget has registered deficit. After a minor decrease in 2012 to RON 13.15 billion (approximately EUR 2.95 billion at the 2012 year-average exchange rate), the 2013 deficit of the public pension system was RON 12.301 billion (approximately EUR 2.74 billion at the 31 December 2013 exchange rate). The deficits of the public pensions system budget were covered by amounts transferred from the state budget in the form of subsidies, with the observance of the existing legal limitations for such transfers.

Aiming to tackle the growth of the deficit of the public pension system under the impact of the global economic crisis, and in order to meet a condition of the financial assistance programmes with the IMF and the EU, the Romanian Government has reformed the Romanian public pension system for the purpose of

ensuring its financial stability, eliminating the inequities in the system and stimulating private savings through a voluntary private pension system.

The reforming measures under Law No. 263/2010 on the unitary system of public pensions (the "UPPL") include: annual indexation of the state pension to the forecast consumer price index instead of gross average wage, prohibiting discretionary pension increases, gradually increasing the retirement age, by January 2015, to 60 years for women and 65 years for men, introducing measures to reduce fraudulent claims for disability pensions, gradually increasing the retirement age for women from 60 years to 63 years by 2030, increasing by 2030 the contribution periods for old age pension, gradually equalising the contributions for women and men by 2030, introducing disincentives for early retirement and integrating the special pension systems (such as those for military and certain professions) into a unified public pension system.

The public pension system under the UPPL is contribution-based. Social insurance funds are derived from the contributions paid by natural and legal persons that are part of the public pension system and social insurance rights are granted on the basis of the contributions paid. For each employee, the employer allocates 31.3, 36.3 or 41.3 per cent. (depending on working conditions) of the gross salary to the pension fund, of which 10.5 per cent. (which includes the contribution to the second pillar pension system) is owed by the employees. Beginning in October 2014. the new contribution quotas became 26.3 per cent., 31.3 per cent. and 36.3 per cent.

The adoption of the UPPL improved the viability of the pension system and contributed to the sustainability of public finances. In part as a result of the passage of the UPPL the real average retirement age increased in the whole system (for men, from 57.8 years in 2010 to 58.0 years in 2014; for women, from 56.1 years in 2010 to 56.4 years in 2014). Also, given the tighter requirements for anticipated pensions, the number of persons who registered for pension decreased in the period from 2010 to 2013, from 307,282 in 2010 to 277,610 in 2014, as well as the number of people who registered for partial early retirement, which decreased from 42,340 in 2010 to 24,883 in 2014. The implementation of stringent criteria regarding access to disability pension retirement in order to discourage abusive disability pension retirement, unjustified by medical reasons, lead to a decrease of the number of persons who have signed up to invalidity pension, from 61,905 pensioners in 2010 to 57,043 pensioners in 2014.

Compared to 2013, the real retirement age decreased in 2014 as a result of changes to Law no. 263/2010 following the Decision of the Constitutional Court of Romania no. 680/2012 which provided for the provision of a disability pension in event of certain illnesses, even without meeting a minimum pension contributory period. The number of registrations for these disability pensions increased, and certain young people receiving such pensions subsequently retired from their jobs, leading to a decrease in the average real retirement age.

Subsidies

Subsidies are granted by the Romanian government primarily for the support of public railroad transportation, public subway transportation, exports, business environment and international trade, farmers, programmes for preservation and closing of mines. The following Government ministries offer subsidies out of the state budget in order to support projects in these areas: the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure, the Ministry of Regional Development and Tourism, the Ministry of Economy, the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development and the Ministry of National Defence.

In 2012, subsidies from the general consolidated budget accounted to 1.0 per cent. of GDP and were reduced to 0.8 per cent. of GDP in 2013. The same level of 0.8 per cent of GDP has been budgeted for 2014 and 2015.

Privatisation

Prior to the collapse of communism in 1989, Romania was a state-run economy, with many large state enterprises dependent on the state committee for planning and on the COMECON market. Since 1990, the Romanian economy has undergone several economic reform programmes and has become a market-oriented economy. Privatisation was one of the most important methods used by the Romanian Government to shift the balance of the economy from the public sector towards the private sector.

The Romanian privatisation laws provide the same rights for non-resident investors as for any resident investor. Romania has adopted the New York Convention on Recognition and Enforcement of Arbitral Awards

(1958) by State Council Decree nr. 186/1961 and has ratified the Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (ICSID) (1965) by State Council Decree nr. 62/1975.

Until 2006, the Authority for State Assets Recovery ("AVAS") was the main institution in charge of the privatisation process in Romania. AVAS was subject to reorganisation at the end of December 2012, becoming the Authority for State Assets Administration ("AAAS"), placed under the coordination of the Minister of Economy and subordinated to the Government. The reorganisation allowed AAAS to correlate its administration and privatisation policies with the national industrial strategy and with the policies for the recovery of State claims over privatised companies.

Following several departmental restructurings, the Ministry of Energy, Small and Medium Enterprises and the Business Environment is developing the privatisation, administration and restructuring of the companies in the energy sector.

Authority for State Assets Administration

As at 1 January 2015, AAAS's portfolio comprised stakes in 609 companies, amounting to a total nominal value of AAAS's participation of RON 1,142.10 million. Out of the total 609 companies, only 308 are currently operational and the nominal value of AAAS's participation in these companies amounts to RON 238.35 million. AAAS holds majority stakes only in 9 operational companies.

During the period from December 1992 until the end of December 2014, AAAS concluded a total of 11,662 share transactions for a total divested share capital exceeding RON 9,000 million (figures refer to share salepurchase contracts concluded, addenda to contracts for the sale of additional shares, contracts concluded during the merger with the Office for State Ownership and Privatisation in Industry ("**OPSPI**"), share salepurchase contracts which were subsequently written off, the share sale on stock exchange market).

Although no specific targets are set for AAAS within the agreements concluded by the Romanian Government with the IMF, the World Bank or the EU, as regards privatisation, it is intended that AAAS will restructure state-owned companies in order to increase their attractiveness and facilitate their divestiture (including both majority and minority owned companies), except for Research and Development institutes. At the end of December 2014, only 9 companies in which the state has a majority position remained in AAAS's portfolio, with a total share capital held by the state not exceeding RON 143 million. AAAS will continue to identify and apply administrative measures in order to support the privatization process of a significant number of minority-owned companies and continue the privatisation procedures, the procedures for claim recovery and post-privatization monitoring of the share sale-purchase contracts.

Office for State Ownership and Privatisation in Industry

OPSPI is a public institution, subordinated to the Ministry of Economy ("ME"). ME is the shareholder of a number of large state owned companies in strategic fields, in respect of which OPSPI has organised privatisation and investment raising projects. OPSPI was mainly involved in the privatisation of state -owned energy companies in Romania. In July 2013, OPSPI was restructured, part of its patrimony being transferred to the Department for Energy ("DE") which exercised the responsibilities of the Ministry of Economy as public institution involved in the privatisation of state-owned energy companies in Romania. In December 2014, DE merged with the Department of Small and Medium Enterprises, Business Environment and Tourism, resulting the Ministry of Energy, Small and Medium Enterprises and the Business Environment, which is now developing the privatisation, administration and restructuring of the companies in the energy sector.

In March 2012, the ME, through OPSPI, completed the secondary public offer of 15 per cent. in Transelectrica S.A.

In April 2012, OPSPI signed the sale-purchase agreement for the entire stake in ISCIR-CERT S.A.

The initial privatisation procedure of CupruMin S.A., finalised in early 2012, was subsequently revoked by the Romanian Government when the highest bidder, Roman Copper Corp Canada, refused to comply with certain terms requested by OPSPI. Subsequently, Roman Copper Corp Canada filed a claim in court against ME, requesting to be reimbursed for the amount of the letter of guarantee of participation. The litigation is currently pending.

During 2013, a new tender procedure was organised in view of attracting investments to CupruMin S.A. The procedure consisted of sealed bid auction preceded by negotiation based on preliminary non-binding offers, in accordance with a memorandum approved by the Government. None of the bidders submitted a final offer by the deadline set by the Government, i.e. 12 December 2013, and therefore the procedure was cancelled. In 2014, the government again considered strategies for attracting a commercial partner interested in investing in CupruMin S.A. However, CupruMin is not in the privatisation programme of OPSPI for 2015.

On 16 April 2013, the ME, through OPSPI, successfully completed the secondary public offer of 15 per cent. in Transgaz S.A., with proceeds amounting to RON 315 million.

Based on a memorandum approved by the government of Romania, on 25 January 2013 Oltchim S.A. filed for voluntary insolvency with the aim to restructure its business. The initiation of the insolvency proceedings was approved on 30 January 2013 and judicial administrators were appointed. On 14 March 2013 a special administrator was appointed and a reorganisation plan is currently under development, aiming to increase the production capacity of the company as well as attracting investors. The reorganization plan of Oltchim S.A. was voted and approved on 9 March 2015, following judicial approval on 22 April 2015.

Ministry of Energy, Small and Medium Enterprises and the Business Environment

The Ministry of Energy, Small and Medium Enterprises and the Business Environment ("**MEIMMMA**") took over the activities and structures of the former Department of Energy and of the Department of Small and Medium Enterprises, Business Environment and Tourism, except those in the tourism sector.

The Ministry of Energy, Small and Medium Enterprises and the Business Environment is involved in the privatization of state-owned energy companies in Romania and, to this end, implements the structural reform of the Romanian energy sector.

Currently, the portfolio managed by MEIMMMA includes companies in the energy sector and energetic mineral resources companies, such as Societatea Nationala de Gaze Naturale "Romgaz" S.A. Medias, Societatea Nationala "Nuclearelectrica" S.A., Societatea Comerciala de Producere a Energiei Electrice in Hidrocentrale "Hidroelectrica" S.A., Electrocentrale Bucuresti S.A., Electrocentrale Grup S.A. (resulting from the restructuring of Termoelectrica S.A.), Complexul Energetic "Oltenia" S.A. – the new energy producer created by the merger of S.N. a Lignitului Oltenia with the three energetic complexes of Rovinari, Turceni and Craiova, Complexul Energetic "Hunedoara" S.A. – the new energy producer created by merger of Electrocentrale Paroseni and the viable mines of S.N. a Huilei, Petrosani. In compliance with European requirements of production and transmission unbundling, Compania Nationala de Transport a Energiei Electrice "Transelectrica" S.A. and Societatea Nationala de Transport Gaze Naturale "Transgaz" S.A. were transferred to the Ministry of Public Finance in April and May 2013. Subsequently, they have been transferred to The Ministry of Economy, Commerce and Tourism.

In accordance with the precautionary Stand-by Agreement entered into with the IMF, the Romanian Government committed to restructure loss making companies and to privatise large state-owned companies (including both its majority and minority state shareholdings in such companies). As a result, MEIMMMA undertook a programme seeking to sell its shareholdings in several energy companies, continuing the privatisation program initiated by the Department of Energy (DE).

On 25 June 2014, as part of the Romanian government's privatisation program, the initial public offer of Electrica SA, the leading electricity distribution and supply company in Romania, completed. The total size of the offer was approximately RON 1.9 billion, comprising 177,188,744 new shares in the form of offered shares and GDRs. Admission to trading of the shares on the Bucharest Stock Exchange and of GDRs on the London Stock Exchange took place on 4 July 2014.

By December 2013, the privatisation process for Hidroelectrica S.A., Romania's largest power producer, which exited the insolvency procedure at the end of June 2013, restarted. Following the admission by the Bucharest Court of Appeal of the appeals formulated by energy traders, Hidroelectrica re-entered insolvency on the 25 February 2014. As of 28 February 2014, the shareholders have appointed a special administrator in insolvency to represent their interests. With the appointment of the special administrator in insolvency, the administrators' statutory mandate ceased. The privatisation process will be resumed after the declaration by the court of the closure of the insolvency procedure.

The measures applied since Hidroelectrica S.A. entered into insolvency are aimed at strengthening the company's management and business consolidation. Nine small hydro power plants ("MHC") were sold in

the first nine months of 2014 as part of the disposal of assets that do not contribute to Hidroelectrica S.A.'s revenue base. Another 20 MHCs and groups of MHCs were put on sale in February 2015 as the process of selling non-core assets is on-going.

Hidroelectrica registered in 2014 a profit of RON 1.2 billion, the highest profit it has ever registered, as well as the lowest production price of the last 5 years of RON 108 MWh. The main measures that contributed to this record financial result were the increase of energy production, higher volume of energy sales, significantly reducing operating costs as a result of cutting the operating costs and reducing the level of financial indebtedness.

The Ministry of Energy, Small and Medium Enterprises and the Business Environment plans to continue the privatisation efforts in respect of other companies in its portfolio.

Complexul Energetic Oltenia S.A. (created by the merger of Societatea Nationala a Lignitului with the three energetic complexes of Rovinari, Turceni and Craiova and registered in 2012 with the Romanian Trade Registry) is expected to be privatised by an initial public offer of 15 per cent. To this end, an underwriter, selected in April 2013, is currently developing the activities for preparing, running and launching the offer. Based on the underwriter recommendation, the Romanian government approved on 25 March 2015 that the launching of IPO to be postponed for the second half of 2015, subject to an analysis regarding the opportunity of initiation and to solving the issues that adversely affect the execution and successfully closing of the IPO.

By approving G.O. no. 14/2014, a legal framework to spin off the Berbesti mine and transfer it to another operator was created. On the basis of this framework, the transfer of UMC Berbeşti related assets of CE Oltenia to CET Govora completed at the end of March 2015.

Electrocentrale Bucuresti S.A., the combined heat and power producer in Bucharest has been spun-off. After the spin-off process's completion, Electrocentrale Bucuresti S.A. has continued its ordinary trading activity with the Romanian State acting as its primary shareholder holding through MEIMMMA with a share of 97.51 per cent.

Also, as result of a spin-off process, the following companies were established on 30 September 2014: Electrocentrale Constanta SA owned by the Romanian state through MEIMMMA and Electrocentrale Titan SA, where the Romanian state through MEIMMMA owns 28.8 per cent. of the share capital.

Complexul Energetic Hunedoara S.A. merged with the viable mines from Societatea Nationala a Huilei at the end of June 2013. Complexul Energetic Hunedoara S.A. is currently in the process of being privatised by a sale to a strategic investor and three potential international entities have indicated their interest in participating. The company is developing a proposal for a recovery program over the period 2015 – 2018. A request for individual state aid was sent to the Competition Council relating to a bail-out of Complexul Energetic Hunedoara S.A. On 20 March 2015, the Competition Council issued a favourable decision which was submitted to the European Commission for approval.

The company must submit a restructuring plan to ensure long-term sustainability, which has to be sent to the European Commission for authorisation. The restructuring plan is expected to be completed within 6 months and must provide for a turnaround that will enable the company, after completing its restructuring, to cover all its costs, including depreciation and taxes.

Furthermore, the Romanian Government and ME have included on a priority list various projects aiming to raise capital for the rehabilitation or enhancement of environmental compliance of energy facilities, as well as the resumption of independent power producer joint ventures between the Romanian government and private investors for setting up new power generation units. These projects, which are currently in various stages of implementation, involve companies such as UT Midia S.A., Complexul Energetic "Oltenia" S.A., Hidroelectrica S.A. – Tarnita Lapustesti, Nuclearelectrica S.A. (completing the nuclear units 3 and 4 of 720 MW each, from Cernavodă Nuclear Power Plant).

Romanian Ministry of Transport

The Romanian Ministry of Transport (the "**MT**") is responsible for the privatisation of Romania's main transport companies. From 2003 to 2010, the MT privatised 18 commercial entities in the road and maritime transport sectors by selling shareholdings on behalf of the Romanian state. 25 commercial entities in the rail transport sector were also divested from the State portfolio. As at 31 March 2015, the Romanian state, acting through the MT, is the majority shareholder in 22 transport companies.

The MT adopted a programme for 2011, 2012 and 2013, which included items for the restructuring, privatisation and, where appropriate, liquidation of several companies under MT's authority. This programme was designed to fulfil Romania's commitments under the memorandum it entered into with the IMF dated 3 March 2011 (see "— Public Finance—Public Debt—Public Debt Instruments and External Financing Programmes—Stand-by Agreements with the IMF" for further details).

The programme was also pursued in 2013 and in 2014, subject to the additional terms agreed with the IMF during January 2013, as amended in September 2013.

The 2011-2012 programme included the privatisation of Tarom S.A., the largest Romanian air transport company, by means of a public offering on the BVB market by the sale of 20 per cent. of the issued shares. Given the poor market conditions, the privatisation process, scheduled to be completed until the end of 2012, has been postponed several times and currently is inactive.

Another item included in the restructuring and privatization programme for 2011 and 2012, also agreed with the IMF, was the restructuring and privatisation of CFR Marfa S.A. In a first step, in early 2013, the MT appointed professional management to lead the company's privatisation was conducted in the first half of 2013 and the MT selected the winning bidder on 20 June 2013, pursuant to a competitive process. However, the process was not completed because of non-fulfilment of certain conditions stipulated in the privatization contract (including the clearance by the Romanian Competition Council) prior to the deadline set by the government. Under the new IMF agreement, the government approved the initiation of a new privatization process for CFR Marfa. The privatisation process, initially scheduled to be completed in May 2015, has a new deadline of June 2016.

In 2013, the MT pursued privatization plans in respect of seven other companies from its portfolio, including three companies managing the airports of Bucharest, Constanta and Timisoara and four companies active in navigation infrastructure management. As at the date of this document, MT has developed the tender dossiers for the selection of brokers for several companies. The auction announcements will be published after the privatization budget has been approved for the year 2015.

Shadow Economy

Romania has a significant shadow economy, around half of which is believed to be based on unregistered labour. Its size, although difficult to verify, is estimated by the authorities based on national statistics on tax evasion and the number of employees reported in the business and household surveys prepared by the National Institute of Statistics. It is estimated that the shadow economy's share of GDP increased from 20 per cent. in 2007 to 24.7 per cent. in 2012. However, no estimates are available for more recent periods.

FOREIGN TRADE² AND BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

In recent years Romania has recorded a strong improvement in its external balance, reducing its current account deficit from a peak of 13.4 per cent. of GDP in 2007 to 4.5 per cent. in 2009. Between 2010 and 2012, the current account deficit remained around 4.5 per cent. and narrowed to 0.8 per cent. of GDP in 2013 (semi-final data))and further to 0.4 per cent. of GDP in 2014 (provisional data). The current account deficit financed through non-residents' direct investment was 36.6 per cent. in 2012, while in 2013 and 2014, after the decrease in the absolute level of the current account deficit, non-residents' direct investment entirely covered the current account deficit financing, while in 2013 and 2014 the capital transfers were 2.5 times higher and 5.9 times higher, respectively, than the current account deficit. From 2012 to date, net external borrowings with medium- and long-term maturities turned into net outflows, after the redemption of the loans under the 2009 Stand-by Agreement commenced.

Trade in goods

The global financial and economic crisis led to a significant change in Romania's goods' balance, with the goods balance deficit shrinking to an average of 6.9 per cent. of GDP in 2009 to 2012, which was less than half of the average for the period from 2005 to 2008. This development was brought about by a steep deceleration in the average growth rate of imports, while the slowdown in exports was much more muted. The adjustment process continued in 2013, with the goods deficit narrowing to 3.8 per cent. of GDP as a result of rising exports and nearly flat imports. The current account deficit narrowed to 0.8 per cent. of GDP. In 2014, in spite of a slowdown in exports and acceleration of imports, the share of the goods' balance deficit in GDP slightly declined to 3.7 per cent. of GDP.

The following table shows changes in foreign trade for 2012-2014:

	2012	2013 ^(S)	2014 ^(P)	For the two ^(P) months ended 28 February 2015
Current account balance (EUR ⁽¹⁾ million)	(6,053)	(1,168)	(649)	285
- per cent. of GDP	4.5	0.8	0.4	
Trade balance FOB ⁽⁴⁾ (EUR million)	(8,931)	(5,443)	(5,387)	(542)
- per cent. of GDP	6.7	3.8	3.6	
Exports of goods (EUR million)	39,902	43,879	46,787	7,636
- annual percentage change	(0.5)	10.0	6.6	3.9
Imports of goods FOB ⁽⁴⁾ (EUR million)	48,833	49,322	52,174	8,178
- annual percentage change	(0.5)	1.0	5.8	3.5

Notes:

(1) The amounts in RON or other foreign currency have been converted into EUR at the exchange rate at the time of the relevant transactions. Ratios to GDP refers to January-September data.

(S) Semi-final data

(P) Provisional data.

² For the purposes of this document, trade in goods is compiled according to the International Monetary Fund's BPM6 Methodology. Thus the figures refer to the "Goods" balance in the current account and are different from the foreign trade data produced by the National Institute for Statistics in that the former exclude from the international trade in goods data, the value of goods processed in Romania and abroad and include the net exports of goods under merchanting – in order to comply with the BPM6 principle of change in ownership)

(2) Free on board ("FOB") means the seller's obligation to deliver is fulfilled when the goods have passed over the ship's rail at the named port of shipment. This means that the buyer has to bear all costs and risks of loss of or damage to the goods from that point. Imports FOB of goods are calculated based on the coefficient of transformation CIF/FOB= 1.0430, published by the National Institute of Statistics

Source: NBR

Main Trends in 2013 and 2014

In 2013 (semi-final data), the goods balance deficit was EUR 5,443 million, approximately 60 per cent of the level recorded in 2012, with exports growing by 10.0 per cent. and imports by 1.0 per cent. The goods deficit was fuelled by intra-EU trade, while the extra-EU trade recorded a surplus of EUR 2,141 million. The coverage of imports through exports went up by 7.3 percentage points to 89.0 per cent. Chemical products, mineral products and base metals posted the widest deficits, while the highest surpluses were recorded by wood, paper, machines and transport equipment, agrifood products, textiles, clothing and footwear.

In 2014 (provisional data), the goods deficit stood at EUR 5,387 million, 1.0 per cent under the level recorded in 2013, with exports growing by 6.6 per cent. and imports by 5.8 per cent. The trade deficit was fuelled by intra-EU trade, while the extra-EU trade recorded a surplus of EUR 1,047 million. The coverage of imports through exports went up by 0.7 percentage points to 89.7 per cent. The split between deficits and surpluses at the merchandise group level remained unchanged from a year ago.

In January and February of 2015 the goods deficit amounted to EUR 542 million as compared to EUR 552 million in the first two months of 2014.

Exports and Imports of goods included in the balance of payments

In 2013 (semi-final data) exports of goods totalled EUR 43,879 million, a 10.0 per cent. increase as compared to the prior year due to the positive performance of most commodity groups (Combined Nomenclature) other than base metals. Exports to other EU countries increased by 8.9 per cent., but their share of total exports decreased by 0.7 percentage points to 67.5 per cent., while exports to countries outside the EU rose by 12.2 per cent. Over the same period, imports of goods amounted to EUR 49,322 million, a 1.0 per cent increase from 2012, exclusively from goods purchased from EU countries, which increased by 4.0 percentage points (from 73.3 to 75.5 per cent. as a share of total imports), reflecting higher imports of all kinds except for mineral products.

In 2014 (provisional data) exports of goods totalled EUR 46,787 million, 6.6 per cent. above the prior year's level, on the back of positive performances of most commodity groups (Combined Nomenclature) except for a minor decrease in the share of wood and paper products. Exports to other EU countries increased by 9.3 per cent., with a corresponding increase in the share of total exports (by 1.7 percentage points to 69.2 per cent.), while exports to countries outside the EU rose by only 1.1 per cent. Over the same period, imports of goods amounted to EUR 52,174 million, 5.8 per cent up from 2013. Imports of goods purchased from EU countries increased by 10.4 per cent. (from 24.5 to 25.6 per cent. as a share in total imports), while imports from other EU countries increased by 4.3 per cent.

The shares of groups of goods (according to the Combined Nomenclature) in total exports and in total imports in 2012-2014 and for the first two months of 2015 are shown in the table below:

TRA	DE BALA	NCE – GR	OUPS OF	GOODS AN	ND SECTION	ONS		
	Export FOB				Import FOB			
	2012	2013 ^S	2014 ^P	JanFeb. 2015 ^P	2012	2013 ^S	2014 ^P	JanFeb. 2015 ^P
		(%)				(%)		
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1. Agri-food items	10.1	12.0	11.9	11.3	9.3	9.6	9.3	9.8
I. Live animals and animal products.	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.3	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.1
II. Vegetable origin products	4.9	6.8	6.7	6.4	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.4
III. Animal or vegetable oils and fats	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3

TRADE BALANCE - GROUPS OF GOODS AND SECTIONS

		Export	FOB		Import FOB			
-	2012	2013 ^S	2014 ^P	JanFeb. 2015 ^P	2012	2013 ^S	2014 ^P	JanFeb. 2015 ^P
-	(%)			(%)				
IV. Foodstuff, beverages, tobacco	2.8	2.9	3.2	3.1	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0
2. Mineral products (V)	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.6	13.8	11.2	10.8	9.2
3. Chemical and plastic products	12.2	11.2	10.6	10.9	18.4	18.8	18.5	18.9
VI. Chemical products	6.0	5.0	4.5	4.6	11.1	11.3	11.0	11.4
VII. Plastics, rubber	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.4	7.4	7.6	7.5	7.5
4. Wooden products, paper	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.5	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.4
IX. Wooden products	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.8	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7
X. Wood pulp, paper	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7
5. Textiles, clothing, footwear	5.8	5.6	5.5	5.7	5.1	5.5	5.8	5.9
XI. Textiles and articles thereof	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.9	5.0
XII. Footwear	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9
6. Common metals (XV)	12.3	10.3	9.7	9.8	10.9	10.7	11.0	11.2
7. Machinery, apparatus, electric equipment, transport means	41.1	42.1	42.5	43.2	34.3	35.8	35.7	36.6
XVI. Machinery, appliances and electric equipment	25.5	25.2	25.8	26.4	26.7	27.7	27.0	27.3
XVII. Transport means	15.6	17.0	16.8	16.8	7.7	8.0	8.7	9.3
8. Others	7.3	7.6	7.9	7.8	5.7	5.9	6.3	6.0
VIII. Undressed leather and dressed leather, furs and fur products	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0
XIII. Stone products, cement, ceramics, glass	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.1
Miscellaneous goods and products	6.3	6.6	7.0	7.0	3.6	3.8	4.1	3.9

TRADE BALANCE - GROUPS OF GOODS AND SECTIONS

Note:

s=semi-final data; p=provisional data

Some totals may differ from the sum of components due to rounding.

Source: National Institute of Statistics, National Bank of Romania calculations

The main markets for imports and exports for Romania in 2012 to 2014 and two months 2015 are presented in the table below:

	Export				Import				
_	2012	2013 ^S	2014 ^P	JanFeb. 2015 ^P	2012	2013 ⁸	2014 ^P	JanFeb. 2015 ^P	
		(%)				(%)			
Country Group									
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
- Intra EU Trade (EU-28), of which	68.2	67.5	69.1	72.6	73.3	75.5	75.0	76.8	
Germany	18.0	17.8	18.1	19.6	17.3	18.3	18.7	19.3	
Italy	8.8	8.6	9.2	9.8	9.0	9.1	9.1	9.5	

TRADE BALANCE - GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

France	7.1	7.0	7.0	7.4	5.6	5.9	5.8	6.6
Hungary	5.6	5.1	5.3	5.3	9.5	8.5	8.2	8.2
Bulgaria	4.3	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.7
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern								
Ireland	3.3	3.8	3.7	4.2	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.4
Spain	2.4	2.5	2.8	3.0	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.7
Netherlands	3.1	2.8	2.5	2.4	3.2	3.5	3.8	3.9
Poland	2.7	2.6	2.8	3.0	4.6	4.8	5.0	4.9
Austria	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.4	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.8
- Extra EU Trade (extra-								
- Extra EU Trade (extra- EU-27), of which	31.8	32.5	30.9	27.4	26.7	24.5	25.0	23.2
	31.8 6.2	32.5 5.8	30.9 5.0	27.4 4.8	26.7 3.5	24.5 3.5	25.0 3.4	23.2 3.2
EU-27), of which								
EU-27), of which Turkey	6.2	5.8	5.0	4.8	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.2
EU-27), of which Turkey Russian Federation	6.2 2.6	5.8 3.1	5.0 3.2	4.8 2.9	3.5 4.6	3.5 4.4	3.4 4.2	3.2 3.2
EU-27), of which Turkey Russian Federation USA	6.2 2.6 2.1	5.8 3.1 1.8	5.0 3.2 1.9	4.8 2.9 1.9	3.5 4.6 1.5	3.5 4.4 1.1	3.4 4.2 1.2	3.2 3.2 1.1
EU-27), of which Turkey Russian Federation USA Ukraine	6.2 2.6 2.1 1.9	5.8 3.1 1.8 2.1	5.0 3.2 1.9 1.3	4.8 2.9 1.9 1.4	3.5 4.6 1.5 0.8	3.5 4.4 1.1 0.7	3.4 4.2 1.2 0.6	3.2 3.2 1.1 0.6
EU-27), of which Turkey Russian Federation USA Ukraine Republic of Moldova	6.2 2.6 2.1 1.9 1.3	5.8 3.1 1.8 2.1 1.4	5.0 3.2 1.9 1.3 1.0	4.8 2.9 1.9 1.4 1.0	3.5 4.6 1.5 0.8 0.4	3.5 4.4 1.1 0.7 0.6	3.4 4.2 1.2 0.6 0.4	3.2 3.2 1.1 0.6 0.3
EU-27), of which Turkey Russian Federation USA Ukraine Republic of Moldova People's Republic of China .	6.2 2.6 2.1 1.9 1.3 0.9	5.8 3.1 1.8 2.1 1.4 0.9	5.0 3.2 1.9 1.3 1.0 1.2	4.8 2.9 1.9 1.4 1.0 1.1	3.5 4.6 1.5 0.8 0.4 4.0	3.5 4.4 1.1 0.7 0.6 3.7	3.4 4.2 1.2 0.6 0.4 4.1	3.2 3.2 1.1 0.6 0.3 4.5

Note:

s = semi final data; p = provisional data

Some totals may differ from the sum of components due to rounding.

Source: National Institute of Statistics, National Bank of Romania calculations.

Balance of Payments

Current Account

According to the International Monetary Fund's BPM6 Methodology, the "Current Account" consists of goods (calculated based on the international trade in goods data, from which the value of goods processed in Romania and abroad is eliminated and to which the net exports of goods by merchants is added; in order to comply with the BPM6 principle of change in ownership), services (which also include the value of the processing of goods owned by others and is not simply translated from Goods, but compiled based on alternate data sources, i.e. a quarterly survey on international trade in services), primary income (including compensation of employees, investment income and other primary income) and secondary income (purely current transfers). The methodological change has been coherently applied across EU countries starting with the reference month of July 2014, and, in order to ensure comparability, the 2013 data have also been compiled according to the new methodology. In addition, the data from 2005 through 2012 has been converted into the new format.

In 2013 (based on provisional data as of September 2014), the current account balance posted a deficit of EUR 1,168 million (0.8 per cent. of GDP, less than one fifth of the share held in 2012). This result was mainly attributable to the almost 40 per cent. decline in the trade deficit (due to the narrowing of the intra-EU trade deficit by about 12 per cent. year on year and the EUR 2,141 million surplus recorded under extra-EU transactions). Exports of goods recovered, with a 10 per cent. increase during the period under review owing to both sales on the EU market (an increase of 8.9 per cent., for a share of 68 per cent. of Romania's total exports) and a faster expansion in exports outside the EU (an increase of 12.2 per cent.). Over the same period, imports of goods increased by only 1.0 per cent. from 2012 against the background of a sizeable decline in crude oil and natural gas imports, driven by lower energy consumption (as a result of milder)

weather conditions, as well as declining activity of energy-intensive companies) and increased reliance on renewable sources. The improvement in the current account balance was also supported by the balance of services almost doubling its surplus against 2012 to EUR 4,700 million (prompted particularly by receipts from processing of goods owned by others, transport, construction, IT and other business services).

In 2014 (based on provisional data), the current account balance displayed a deficit of EUR 649 million, as compared with EUR 1,168 million in the previous year, amid the widening of the services surplus (by EUR 1,156 million) and the narrowing of the primary income balance deficit (by EUR 184 million). The services surplus rose further (to EUR 5,856 million in 2014), boosted by larger receipts from processing of goods owned by others, freight transport and IT services. The primary income deficit narrowed by 7.8 per cent. to EUR 2,870 million, mainly from other investment income interest). The secondary income surplus narrowed to EUR 1,821 million, down 32.2 per cent. from 2013, with lower inflows of EU funds. The goods deficit decreased by 1.0 per cent. to EUR 5,387 million. Exports of goods further expanded (by 6.6 per cent. amid the 9.3 per cent. growth in exports to EU Member States), at a faster pace than imports (up 5.8 per cent., with purchases from countries outside the EU increasing by 10.4 per cent.).

In the first two months of 2015 (provisional data), the current account balance had a EUR 285 million surplus as compared to a EUR 269 million deficit in the same period a year ago. All components contributed to the improvement of the current account. The goods balance was a EUR 542 million deficit, 1.6 per cent. below the same period in 2014. The services balance surplus increased by 13 per cent, to EUR 981 million. The most important contribution to the current account improvement was the reduction of the primary income deficit to EUR 251 million, less than half the level recorded in the prior period. The secondary income balance recorded a surplus of EUR 97 million, as compared to a deficit of EUR 39 million in the first two months of 2014.

Capital Account

In 2013, the capital account saw net inflows of EUR 3,038 million, an increase of more than 60 per cent. from a year earlier, driven by the doubling of the capital transfers surplus which in turn was primarily caused by a more than 75 per cent. rise in funds from the European Union (mainly from the Regional Development Fund, the Cohesion Fund, and the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development).

The same growth of those European funds continued the upward trend of the capital account in 2014 as net capital inflows rose to EUR 3,960 million,

Financial Account

In 2013 (based on semi-final data), the financial account witnessed net outflows of EUR 1,675 million, compared with net inflows of EUR 3,106 million in 2012, particularly due to other investments, which reflected primarily the scheduled repayments under the Stand-By Arrangement concluded with the IMF in 2009 and reductions in non-residents' deposits with resident deposit-taking corporations, adding to residents' repayments of trade credit. These developments were also ascribable to the increase in the NBR's reserve assets. Outflows were partly offset by inflows of direct investment and portfolio investment during 2013, the latter consisting of the international bond issues launched by the Ministry of Public Finance. Direct investment recorded net inflows of EUR 2,924 million, an increase of 22.9 per cent. from 2012 (EUR 2,379 million), amid an increase in equity (EUR 2,768 million versus EUR 2,676 million in 2012) and a reduction in losses incurred by direct investment enterprises in the real economy (EUR 344 million against EUR 1,266 million). Both these trends reflected a net incurrence of liabilities.

Behind the larger net inflows also stood the higher repayments by direct investment enterprises from abroad of loans taken from resident investors, from EUR 96 million to EUR 156 million (net disposal of assets). Net inflows were partly offset by net outflows stemming primarily from resident companies' equity in direct investment enterprises abroad (EUR 127 million). Net inflows of portfolio investment amounted to EUR 5,431 million in 2013, an increase from EUR 3,546 million a year earlier. This was primarily attributable to the Ministry of Public Finance tapping the international markets via a bond issue worth USD 1.5 billion in February 2013 and a Eurobond issue in the amount of EUR 2 billion issued in September 2013, both of which led to a higher net incurrence of liabilities (EUR 5,198 million, compared with EUR 4,422 million in 2012). Other investment recorded net outflows worth EUR 7,922 million, almost double the year-earlier figure, mainly reflecting the central bank's repayments scheduled under the Stand-By Arrangement concluded with the IMF in 2009 (EUR 3,665 million versus EUR 1,445 million in 2012) and the contraction in non-residents' deposits with resident deposit-taking corporations (by EUR 2,577 million against EUR 1,997 million in the

previous year), to which added resident companies' tendency to speed up repayments of trade credit from non-residents (EUR 694 million compared with EUR 331 million). As a result, liabilities shrank by EUR 7,795 million, after contracting by EUR 4,135 million in 2012. Reserve assets increased by EUR 2,143 million as compared to 31 December 2012.

In 2014 (based on provisional data), the financial account recorded net outflows of EUR 3,083 million, compared with EUR 1,673 million in 2013, particularly due to portfolio investment, which saw higher redemptions of government bonds, and other investment, which reflected primarily the scheduled repayments under the Stand-By Arrangement concluded with the IMF in 2009, as well as to some increase in residents' deposits with non-resident deposit-taking corporations. Direct investment recorded net inflows in amount of EUR 2,496 million, down 14.6 per cent. from 2013 (EUR 2,924 million), amid the decrease in credits received from foreign direct investors, which was reflected in a net decrease of liabilities.

Net inflows of portfolio investment amounted to EUR 2,794 million in 2014, compared to EUR 5,432 million a year earlier. This was primarily attributable to higher redemptions of government bonds as compared with the previous year level – according to the schedule. Other investment recorded net outflows worth EUR 9,624 million, 21.5 per cent above the level of 2013, mainly reflecting a smaller volume of new loans and the contraction in new non-residents' deposits with resident deposit-taking corporations. Reserve assets decreased by EUR 1,235 million as compared with 31 December 2013.

In January and February 2015 (provisional data) the financial account continued to record net outflows, totalling EUR 900 million, which was in line with the previous year level (EUR 954 million). The main factor behind this development consists of long term loan repayments, which resulted in net outflows of EUR 2,273 million, more than double net outflows in the first two months of 2014. Direct investment recorded net inflows of EUR 402 million, down 32.1 per cent. year on year, mainly reflecting equity capital and higher reinvestment of earnings. Portfolio investment recorded net outflows of EUR 72 million, versus net inflows worth EUR 986 million over the first two months in 2014, due to the base effect, i.e. government bonds issued at the beginning of 2014. Other investment ended the January-February 2015 period with higher net outflows higher year on year (EUR 3,730 million in the period under review, as compared with EUR 3,415 million in January-February 2014), on the back of higher long term loans repayments.

BALANCE OF PAYMENTS FOR THE YEARS 2012-2014 AND THE FIRST TWO

		2012 ⁽²⁾			2013 ⁽³⁾			2014*
Item	Credit	Debit	Balance	Credit	Debit	Balance	Credit	De
—	(E	EUR million)		(E	UR million)		(EU	R million)
1. Current Account	56,961	63,013	(6,052)	65,158	66,326	(1,168)	69,105	69,
A. Goods and services	49,774	56,232	(6,459)	57,306	58,059	(743)	61,878	61,4
a. Goods ⁽²⁾	39,901	48,832	(8,932)	43,879	49,322	(5,443)	46,787	52,
- General merchandise on a balance of payments basis	39,888	48,832	(8,944)	43,826	49,322	(5,496)	46,676	52,
- Merchanting - export net	12	0	12	53	0	53	111	
Merchanting - goods acquired	0	0	0	(236)	0	(236)	(178)	
Merchanting - goods sold	12	0	12	289	0	289	289	
b. Services	9,873	7,400	2,473	13,427	8,727	4,700	15,091	9,1
- Manufacturing services on physical inputs owned by others	1,483	127	1,356	2,275	142	2,134	2,525	
- Transportation	2,513	1,328	1,185	3,880	1,386	2,494	4,391	1,
- Travel	1,142	1,429	(287)	1,196	1,547	(351)	1,369	1,
- Other services	4,735	4,516	219	6,076	5,652	424	6,806	5,0
B. Primary income	2,372	4,676	(2,304)	2,505	5,617	(3,112)	2,552	5,4
- Compensation of employees	571	91	480	553	68	485	543	
-Investment income	809	4,314	(3,505)	775	5,424	(4,649)	683	5,1
Direct investment	46	1,312	(1,265)	69	2,874	(2,806)	288	3,
Portfolio investment income	670	647	23	49	835	(786)	25	
Other investment income	89	2,356	(2,267)	120	1,715	(1,595)	81	1,4
Reserve assets income	4	0	4	537	0	537	289	
- Other primary income	992	271	721	1,177	125	1,052	1,326	
C. Secondary income	4,815	2,105	2,711	5,347	2,660	2,687	4,675	2,8
- General government	835	1,274	(438)	1,544	1,579	(35)	792	1,
- Other sectors	3,980	831	3,149	3,803	1,081	2,722	3,883	1,0
A. Capital account	2,237	357	1,880	3,163	125	3,038	4,057	
a. Capital transfers	1,787	190	1,596	3,021	115	2,906	3,937	
- General government	1,685	126	1,559	3,018	11	3,007	3,922	
- Other sectors	102	65	37	3	104	(101)	15	
b. Gross acquisitions /disposals of nonproduced nonftnancial assets	451	167	284	142	10	132	120	

 $2012^{(2)}$

2013⁽³⁾

2014

Item	Net acquisition of assets ⁽³⁾	Net incurrence of liabilities ⁽³⁾	Net	Net acquisition of assets ⁽³⁾	Net incurrence of liabilities ⁽³⁾	Net	Net acquisition of assets ⁽³⁾	Net incurrence liabilities ⁽
		(EUR million)			(EUR million)			(EUR million)
B. Finanacial account	(1,508)	1,598	(3,106)	2,036	361	1,675	(545) (3,
a. Direct Investment	(183)	2,196	(2,379)	(27)	2,897	(2,924)	60	2,
-Equity	(88)	795	(883)	129	2,430	(2,302)	(36) 2,
Equity other than reinvestment of earnings	(65)	2,676	(2,741)	127	2,768	(2,641)	(37) 2,
Reinvestment of earnings	(24)	(1,881)	1,857	1	(338)	339	0	(4
- Debt instruments	(96)	1,401	(1,496)	(156)	466	(622)	96	:
b. Portfolio investment	468	4,013	(3,546)	225	5,656	(5,431)	114	2,
- Equity and investment fund shares/units	189	314	(125)	(47)	781	(828)	(16)
- Debt securities	279	3,700	(3,421)	272	4,875	(4,603)	130	2,
c. Financial derivatives	(300)	(477)	177	(432)	(397)	(35)	(614) (:
d. Other investment	(41)	(4,135)	4,094	127	(7,795)	7,922	1130	(8,
1. Other equity other direct investment and portfolio investment	0	0	0	57	0	57	5	
2. Currency and deposits	330	(2,036)	2,366	163	(2,625)	2,788	991	(2,
3. Loans	77	(1,984)	2,060	(152)	(4,490)	4,338	327	(5,
4.1nsurance, pension and standardized guarantee schemes	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	
5. Trade credits and advances	(447)	(331)	(116)	(34)	(694)	660	(222)) (1
6. Other accounts receivable/payable	(1)	216	(217)	90	14	76	27	
7SDRs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
e. Reserve Assets	(1,452)	0	(1,452)	2,143	0	2,143	(1,235)
3. Net errors and omissions	0	0	1,066	0	0	(197)	0	

Notes:

(*) Revised data

(**) Provisional data

(1) BPM6 methodology

(2) FOB imports are BNRs' figures calculated using INS's 1,0430 CIF/FOB coefficient

(3) "+" = Increases; "()" = Decreases

Differences between total and the sum of components are due to rounding.

Foreign Direct Investment ("FDI")

According to the results of the latest statistical survey regarding foreign direct investment ("**FDI**"), in 2013 FDI flows continued to increase, amounting to EUR 2.7 billion (an increase of 9 per cent. as against 2012). This led to new stock accumulation (an increase of 3.6 per cent.) of almost EUR 60 billion. FDI was channelled primarily to manufacturing (31.1 per cent. of the total), out of which the main recipients were: oil processing and chemicals (5.9 per cent. of the total), transport means (5.7 per cent.), metallurgy (4.1 per cent.) and food, beverages and tobacco (4 per cent.). Apart from manufacturing, other activities that also attracted significant FDI were financial intermediation and insurance (14.2 per cent. of total FDI), wholesale/retail trade and repair of motor vehicles (11.2 per cent.) and IT&C (6.9 per cent.). Out of the total FDI stock, about two thirds consisted of equity, including reinvested earnings (EUR 40.7 billion), while net credit from foreign investors amounted to EUR 19.3 billion. The four largest countries from which FDI originated as at 31 December 2013 were The Netherlands (24.4 per cent. of FDI at end-2013), Austria (19.1 per cent.), Germany (11.2 per cent.) and France (7.6 per cent.), which has been the same ranking since 2009.

In 2013 (final data) non residents' direct investment in Romania amounted to EUR 2.7 billion (2.3 times larger than the current account deficit) as compared to EUR 2.5 billion in 2012, attributable mainly to an increase in equity. Residents' investment abroad recorded net inflows amounting to EUR 211 million in 2013 as compared with EUR 89 million in 2012.

Preliminary data for 2014 indicates that non-residents' direct investment in Romania amounted to EUR 2.4 billion (a decrease of 11.3 per cent. as against 2013), leading to an FDI stock accumulation of about EUR 61,5 billion. Residents' investment abroad led to net inflows of EUR 58 million in 2014 as compared with EUR 211 million in the prior year. In the first two months of 2015, preliminary data shows that inward direct investments amounted to EUR 409 million.

The following table shows non-residents' net foreign direct investment in Romania from 2012 to the first two months of 2015.

Net Foreign	Direct Investment ⁽¹⁾	
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

	2012	2013	2014 ⁽²⁾	2015 ⁽²⁾
—		(EUR mill	ion)	
Net FDI	2,489	2,712	2,438	409
Equity capital and estimated net loss ⁽¹⁾	830	2,427	2,268	358
Other capital (intra-group loans)	1,659	285	170	51

Notes:

(2) Preliminary data

Source: National Bank of Romania

Various incentives are offered to investors (irrespective of local or foreign status), including governmental non-reimbursable grants for the acquisition of assets, governmental contributions when new workplaces are created, incentives in the form of interest reductions to investors when contracting loans for investments or state guarantees to investors. Investors are also entitled to apply for European Union funds for the reimbursement of eligible expenses incurred in connection with certain types of investments.

Governmental incentives are available for investments made in, among other sectors, agricultural and industrial processing activities, electric and thermal energy production and supply, environment protection, IT and communications and research and development. Upon completion of various conditions related to the investments and provided that the relevant State aid schemes are created by the competent authorities, investors are entitled to request and benefit from the incentives established under the relevant legislation.

⁽¹⁾ Non residents' investment in Romania

In 2014 the Romanian Government implemented a new package of EUR 1.2 billion state aid to support regional development with the aim of creating new jobs and encouraging large investment projects with major economic impact.

From November 2007 to April 2015, the Ministry of Public Finance, through the state aid schemes that it administered, granted aid amounting to EUR 773.9 million to support 70 large investment projects with a total value of EUR 3,051.2 million and creating at least 23,000 new jobs. Additionally, various state aid schemes are available for SME's and large enterprises at the level of other several public authorities, both from budgetary and EU funds.

MONETARY AND FINANCIAL SYSTEM

National Bank of Romania

The NBR is the central bank of Romania, organised as an independent public institution and has its headquarters in Bucharest. The main objective of the NBR, set forth in its statutory provisions, is to maintain overall price stability.

The NBR is empowered and authorised:

- to develop and apply monetary policies and foreign exchange policies;
- to authorise, regulate and supervise, from a prudential perspective, credit institutions;
- to promote and monitor the proper functioning of payment systems in order to ensure financial stability;
- to issue Romanian legal tender (banknotes and coins);
- to establish a foreign currency regime and to supervise its observance; and
- to manage the international reserves of the Romanian state.

The Government, acting through the Ministry of Public Finance, and the NBR maintain a close working relationship. Although the NBR is expected to support the Government's economic policy, the NBR's power to determine monetary policy or to generally perform its duties is not subject to any review or consent by the Government. The NBR does not receive instructions from any public authority, institution or any other authority.

The NBR is managed by a board of nine members and its executive functions within the Monetary Policy Committee and the Supervisory Committee are delegated to a governor, a first deputy governor and two deputy governors. The members of the board of the NBR are appointed by the Parliament for five-year terms and can be reappointed.

In its capacity as bank supervisor, the NBR has the legal power to require credit institutions to: (i) hold own funds over the minimum solvency ratio of 8 per cent.; (ii) reinforce internal governance arrangements, processes, mechanisms and strategies; (iii) apply a specific provisioning policy or treatment of assets in terms of own funds requirements; (iv) impose restrictions or limitations on business, operations or network; (v) reduce the risk inherent in their activities, products and systems; (vi) limit variable remuneration as a percentage of total net revenues when it is inconsistent with the maintenance of a sound capital base; and (vii) use net profits to strengthen their capital base.

The NBR is also empowered to: (i) withdraw the approval granted to the credit institution managers and/or board members; (ii) limit the qualifying holdings of the credit institution in financial or non-financial institutions, effectively forcing a sale of such holdings; (iii) require the credit institution to draw up and approve a recovery plan to improve the condition of its business; (iv) require shareholders with qualifying holdings to financially support the credit institution by increasing the share capital or by providing subordinated loans and/or by converting such loans into shares; and (v) prohibit or limit profit distribution for purposes other than those provided by law, until the NBR ascertains that the financial condition of the credit institution has been restored.

Monetary Policy

The chief monetary policy instruments used by the NBR are open market operations, standing facilities and reserve requirements. Open market operations are conducted at the central bank's initiative and play a role in steering interest rates, managing liquidity conditions in the money market and signalling the monetary policy. The NBR may not acquire from the primary market receivables against the state, central and local public authorities, autonomous administrations, national companies and other majority state owned companies or receivables against other bodies and public companies from EU Member States. The main categories of open market operations available to the NBR on the secondary market are: repo operations, deposit-taking operations, issuance of certificates of deposit, reverse repo operations, credit operations against eligible assets as collateral, outright sales/purchases of eligible assets and foreign exchange swaps. The NBR's standing facilities to credit institutions, consisting of a credit facility and a deposit facility, aim to absorb and provide

overnight liquidity, signal the general monetary policy and bind overnight interbank rates by the corridor defined by the interest rates on the lending and deposit facilities. The standing facilities are available to credit institutions upon request.

Recent Monetary Policy

2012

In January, February and March 2012 the board of the NBR continued the prudent rate cutting cycle, lowering the policy rate in three consecutive steps of 25 basis points each, from 6.0 per cent. to 5.25 per cent. The primary reason for these decreases was the substantial improvement in current inflation performance and in the inflation outlook, which envisaged that the annual inflation rate would remain within the variation band around the central targets set for 2012 and 2013. This improvement in the inflation outlook was primarily due to the nearly complete phase-out of the first round effect of the VAT rate hike in July 2010 and a significant correction in volatile food prices, which provided a context in which the disinflationary effects of the persistent negative output gap became more manifest.

In the first months of 2012, the NBR decided to further tailor the minimum reserve mechanism to current and prospective domestic macroeconomic and financial conditions, strongly influenced by external developments; hence the restrictiveness of this mechanism was slightly eased by removing the constraint imposed on extending the initially-set maturity of bank liabilities (the regulation on reserve requirements was amended by repealing the requirement to only take into account the initially set maturity and ignore its subsequent extensions/renewals, when calculating the residual maturity of liability items included in the reserve base. The ECB had no objections to the regulation, and the measure came into force on 24 February 2012.) The decision was also aimed at implementing a new stage of the harmonisation process of the NBR minimum reserve system with ECB standards.

At the same time, the NBR expanded the range of eligible assets accepted for its monetary policy operations to include the USD denominated sovereign bonds issued by Romania on international markets and registered in the SaFIR system through the direct link with the Euroclear system, under the ISIN code dedicated to US non-residents (which came into force on 1 March 2012). Also, following the implementation of the direct links between the SaFIR and RoClear systems, the list of eligible assets was expanded (with effect from 10 April 2012) to include RON-denominated bonds issued by international financial institutions, deposited with the RoClear system. Similar instruments deposited with the Euroclear system became eligible in October 2011, together with the euro- denominated bonds issued by Romania on international markets, deposited with the Euroclear system.

In May 2012, the NBR discontinued its policy of cutting interest rates initiated at the end of 2011, leaving the benchmark rate unchanged at 5.25 per cent. The key rate was further kept unchanged in the subsequent meeting held in June 2012. Both decisions were adopted as the outlook for inflation to remain within the variation band around the midpoint targets throughout the policy-relevant horizon (reconfirmed by the updated forecast of medium-term macroeconomic developments) was affected in the short run by significantly higher fluctuations in investors' risk perception. These correlated to changing expectations regarding both the economic growth in the Eurozone and at global level and the effects on the economies in Central and Eastern Europe induced by the beginning of a deleveraging process by some European Union credit institutions in the context of the ongoing sovereign debt crisis which, together with domestic developments in an election year, could have heightened capital flow volatility.

In its meeting on 2 August 2012, the NBR Board again decided to leave the monetary policy rate unchanged at 5.25 per cent. The maintenance of a prudent monetary policy was motivated by the increased asymmetric risks affecting the outlook for inflation in the short run, while the updated forecast reconfirmed that the annual inflation rate would stay within the variation bound around the central target. The increased risks were related to: (i) heightened global risk aversion and persistent domestic political tensions, which could have entailed additional net capital outflows, leading to an unfavourable impact on the RON exchange rate, and (ii) future dynamics of international prices of imported food commodities, as well as of domestic food prices, if the output for certain crops were lower than that projected in the baseline scenario.

This latter risk materialised, at least in part, in the following months, and, together with the accumulated depreciation of the RON against major currencies, and the manifestation of a statistical base effect, led to a significant acceleration of inflation (the annual inflation rate increased from 2.04 per cent. in June to 5.33 per cent. in September). At the same time, subsequent assessments showed a deterioration of the short-term

inflation outlook, under the impact of adverse supply-side shocks, as well as a persistence, over the very short term, of risks to the inflation outlook induced by the potential increase in the volatility of capital flows, and implicitly, of the exchange rate amid a potential worsening of the external environment, possibly overlapped with the domestic electoral context, but also the persistence of a high aggregate demand deficit and of the intensity of its disinflationary pressures. Against this background, it was expected that the inflation rate would return to within the variation band around the mid-point of the medium term inflation target in 2014. In this context, in its meetings of 27 September 2012 and 2 November 2012, the NBR board decided to extend the status quo of the monetary policy rate, and of the minimum reserve requirement ratios, on both RON and foreign currency denominated liabilities of credit institutions. At the same time, in November the NBR Board decided to focus on firm liquidity management within the banking system.

2013

The statistical data released prior to the meeting of the NBR Board held on 7 January 2013 indicated that in October and November 2012 the annual inflation rate declined notably, reaching 4.56 per cent., mainly as the result of the relative improvement, also vis-à-vis expectations, in volatile price developments and of the RON exchange rate behaviour. Also, against this background, strengthened by appreciation trend of the RON which manifested over the last weeks of 2012, as well as following the likely protraction of low economic activity, which implied a widening of the aggregate demand deficit, the short-term inflation outlook also registered a significant improvement, consolidating the forecast for the annual inflation target of 2.5 per cent., plus or minus one percentage point) by the end of 2013. However, given the persistence of risks and uncertainties surrounding this outlook, stemming from developments of the external environment and of capital flows, as well as from the behaviour of administered prices and of certain volatile prices, in its first meeting of 2013, the NBR Board decided to extend the status quo of the policy rate and of the minimum reserve requirements ratios applied to RON- and foreign currency-denominated liabilities of credit institutions, and to ensure adequate liquidity management in the banking system.

In December 2012, the annual inflation rate increased, reaching 4.95 per cent., a level above the upper bound of the target band, but nevertheless marginally below the forecast presented in the November 2012 Inflation Report. At the same time, the updated projection of medium-term macroeconomic developments (February 2013 Inflation Report) reconfirmed that the inflation rate would return to the upper bound of the target band towards the end of 2013, but also indicated that inflation would temporarily accelerate during the first half of 2013, mainly as a result of larger administered price adjustments. Under these circumstances, the monetary policy interest rate was kept unchanged at 5.25 per cent. at the NBR Board meeting of 5 February 2013. This level of the monetary policy interest rate was further maintained in March 2013 as well, given, on the one hand, the reconfirmed outlook for the annual inflation rate to resume its decline in the second part of 2013, given lessening effects of adverse supply-side shocks and the disinflationary effects of a sizable aggregate demand deficit, and, on the other hand, the risks to inflation expectations potentially caused by a temporary reacceleration of inflation in the second quarter of 2013, as well as the risks of increased volatility of capital flows, implicitly of the EUR/RON exchange rate, arising from tensions on the international financial markets under the impact of the Cyprus crisis.

The updated projection of medium-term macroeconomic developments (NBR's May 2013 Inflation Report) indicated a significant improvement of the short-term inflation outlook, mainly driven by the relatively more favourable influence of supply-side factors stemming from the downward revision of the projected annual dynamics of volatile and administered prices. The annual inflation rate was expected to decline to 3.2 per cent. by the end of 2013 (i.e. 0.3 percentage points lower than in the previous projection), after running above the target band until the second half of 2013. In this context, with a view to effectively anchoring inflation expectations and strengthening the prospects for the annual inflation rate to return inside the band around the medium-term target after the effects of adverse supply-side shocks have faded, the NBR Board decided in its meeting on 2 May 2013 to keep the monetary policy rate unchanged at 5.25 per cent. At the same time, in order to moderate interest rate volatility on the interbank money market and consolidate the transmission of the policy rate signal, the NBR Board had decided to narrow the corridor defined by interest rates on standing facilities to ±3 percentage points. In its meeting on 1 July 2013, the NBR Board decided to lower the monetary policy rate by 25 basis points, to 5.0 per cent. The decision was taken as the most-recent short-term projection reconfirmed the outlook for disinflation to resume starting July 2013, with the annual inflation rate expected to decelerate at a faster pace and re-enter in September/October 2013, the ±1 percentage point variation band around the 2.5 per cent. target. In addition, the adjusted CORE2 annual inflation rate was anticipated to stay on a downward trend, amid the persistence of the negative output gap.

In its meeting on 5 August 2013, the NBR Board decided to increase to 50 basis points the pace of its rate cuts. The reason for this decision was the major inflection in inflation expected in the period between July and September, resulting in the 12-month inflation rate re-entering within the variation band of the target (2.5 per cent. ± 1 percentage point). At the same time, the baseline scenario of the updated projection (August 2013 Inflation Report) revealed an improvement in the outlook for inflation throughout the policy-relevant horizon, as well as for the core inflation, supported by the relative steepening of the downward adjustment of inflation expectations and by the expected protraction of a significant aggregate demand deficit. In addition, disinflationary factors prevailed in the balance of risks to the inflation outlook in the near-term, given emerging premises of a significantly higher-than-expected increase in the year's crop, as well as the implementation of an announced cut of the VAT rate applied to bread and other bakery products from 1 September 2013.

The data released in the subsequent period confirmed the expected steep deceleration of inflation during the first two months of the third quarter of 2013, while also showing the prospects for disinflation to accelerate more than expected during the subsequent two quarters, under the transitory impact induced by the materialization of the above-mentioned risks. Moreover, benefiting from the favourable revision of some of its main assumptions, the updated projection of medium-term macroeconomic developments revealed the outlook for the annual inflation rate to remain below the mid-point of the flat target over the next four quarters, and even to fall below the lower bound of the interval in the first part of 2014. The projection also showed that, once the temporary action of the disinflationary factors faded, the annual inflation rate would follow an upward correction, but remain at levels marginally lower than previously projected, implicitly within the variation band of the flat target, throughout the policy-relevant horizon. In this context, the NBR Board decided to further lower the policy rate in two steps of 25 basis points each in its meetings of 30 September and 5 November 2013.

2014-2015

The policy rate-cutting cycle was extended in January 2014 with another reduction of 25 basis points, to 3.75 per cent, as new assessments reconfirmed the outlook for the annual inflation rate to decline further to historical lows during the first part of 2014. This outlook was due to the favourable base effect and the impact of the 2013 bumper crop, the development being in line with the previous forecasts of the annual inflation rate returning and subsequently remaining inside the variation band of the 2.5 per cent. target.

In February 2014, the NBR cut the policy rate by an additional 25 basis points, to 3.50 per cent. The decision was made because of a perceived likelihood of a decline in the annual inflation rate in the first months of 2014 at levels below the lower bound of the variation band of the 2.5 per cent. target, prompted by the favourable base effects associated with developments in administered prices and with food prices, and by the persistence of the impact of a reduction in the VAT rate on some bakery products overlapping the effects exerted by fundamentals. Equally, the decision was warranted by the confirmed outlook for the annual inflation rate to remain in the upper half of the variation band of the target in the medium term (after the fading of transitory disinflationary effects of the supply-side shocks which occurred in 2013), amid the consolidation of inflation expectations in line with the target and the persistence of a negative output gap.

The subsequent reconfirmation of the inflation projections, implying the return and the maintenance of the annual inflation rate in the upper half of the variation band of the target, prompted the NBR Board to keep the policy rate unchanged at 3.5 per cent. in its March and May 2014 meetings. That level was maintained also in July 2014, as incoming data and the updated projections of short-term developments revealed a significant downward deviation of the annual inflation rate from prior forecasts (under the impact of one-off factors), while the consolidation over a longer horizon of the 12-month inflation rate at levels below those forecasted previously was still uncertain.

The prudent policy rate-cutting cycle was resumed in August 2014 and extended through to March 2015, as the annual inflation rate continued to stay mostly below the lower bound of the variation band of the target, implicitly below the previously-projected levels, falling to 0.83 per cent. in December 2014 and to 0.40 per cent. in February 2015. Its projected trajectory saw repeated/significant downward revisions and remained, in the February 2015 update of the quarterly macroeconomic forecast, at levels significantly below the mid-point of the target, even at the longer horizon of the projection. In the recent period and in the short-run, the change in inflation behaviour was exclusively due to the temporary action of supply-side factors (the abrupt decline in the international oil price and the increased supply of agricultural products boosted also by imports), while in the medium-term it was mainly driven by the relatively more subdued expected developments of adjusted

CORE2 inflation as a result of the decline in inflation expectations in medium term, the downward revision of expected inflation in the euro area and other EU countries and the persistence of the negative output gap, albeit on a narrowing trend.

In this context, the NBR cut the policy rate in six consecutive steps of 25 basis points each, to 2.00 per cent in March 2015. In its meeting of 6 May 2015, the board of the NBR decided to lower the monetary policy rate by a further 25 basis points to 1.75 per cent. per annum. The decision was taken as a result of the perceived increased likelihood of the annual inflation rate slipping temporarily into negative territory (largely due the measure on lowering the VAT rate to 9 per cent., from 24 per cent., for all food items, non-alcoholic beverages and public food services as of 1 June 2015) and staying, once the influence of this fiscal measure has ended, below the midpoint of the inflation rate target, amid the gradual narrowing of the negative output gap, and further low inflation expectations and subdued euro area inflation. The reductions in the monetary policy rate were complemented by the progressive narrowing of the symmetrical corridor formed by the interest rates on NBR's standing facilities around the policy rate (in six consecutive steps implemented since September 2014) to plus or minus 1.75 percentage points in May 2015; the measure was aimed at reducing the volatility of the interbank money market rates and consolidating the transmission of the monetary policy rate signal.

During 2014 and 2015 to date, the NBR also lowered the minimum reserve requirement ratios on RONdenominated liabilities of credit institutions (by three percentage points in January 2014, two percentage points in September 2014 and by another two percentage points in May 2015, to 8 per cent.), and on their foreign currency-denominated liabilities (in three steps of two percentage points in January, July and November 2014, to 14 per cent); both measures were meant to continue the harmonisation of the reserve requirements mechanism with ECB standards in practice, while the first one was aimed primarily at supporting the sustainable recovery of lending activity.

Expected future developments in monetary policy

The NBR will further set its monetary policy with a view to meeting the medium-term inflation target through the solid anchoring of inflation expectations and in a manner supportive of economic growth, by restoring confidence and reinvigorating lending, while maintaining the cyclical consistency of monetary policy and synchronizing it with the monetary policy cycles of central banks in the region and in the euro area. The inflation outlook and the assessment of the balance of risks to the inflation forecast are the key factors behind future monetary policy decisions.

Recent Developments in the Lending Process

2012

The annual growth rate of lending to the private sector (expressed in real terms) accelerated strongly through April 2012, mostly due to statistical exchange rate effects, and then declined continuously until December 2012. Starting September 2012, it became negative, mostly due to the statistical effect of the increase in the CPI. The deceleration was more pronounced for foreign currency-denominated lending (expressed in Euro). However, given the depreciation of RON against the EUR, the share of foreign currency-denominated loans in total lending to the private sector stood at around 63 per cent. throughout the last quarter of 2012. As for the breakdown of loans by client, the growth rate of lending to both companies and households started to decline in the second quarter of 2012, although lending to companies continued to perform better than that to households. The above developments were likely triggered by a deterioration in the confidence and expectations regarding economic activity, banks' increased concerns regarding their own balance sheets adjustments, and the prospect of a potential continuation of deleveraging in the Eurozone.

Corporate loans in domestic currency maintained their growth rate (expressed in real terms) at, in the context of the last four years, high levels, while the growth rate of foreign currency-denominated loans (expressed in euro) declined strongly (by 3.6 per cent. at the end of 2012). The growth rate of housing loans denominated in RON (expressed in real terms) outpaced in November 2012, for the first time ever, the growth rate of housing loans in foreign currency (expressed in Euro), while the stock of consumer loans decreased throughout 2012 irrespective of currency. The latter were likely consequences of the latest NBR regulation aimed at discouraging lending in foreign currency to unhedged borrowers and the excessive growth in consumer credit (NBR Regulation No. 24/2011).

2013

The negative annual dynamics of lending to the private sector (expressed in real terms) continued until July 2013, when it reached a 12 year record low (partially attributable to the effects of RON appreciation). In the subsequent four months, the decline in lending to the private sector slowed down. The dynamics of loans made in foreign currency advanced in negative territory throughout 2013, while those of loans in RON improved somewhat between August and October 2013. Against this backdrop, the share of foreign currency-denominated loans in total lending to the private sector declined to a three and a half year low of 60.9 per cent.

The annual growth rate of lending to corporations and to households (expressed in real terms) remained negative. Loans to corporations were at historic lows, mostly on behalf of the foreign currency-denominated component. Consumer lending contracted further, although the pace of decline slowed starting in September 2013, while housing loans continued to grow, benefitting from the strong acceleration in the domestic currency-denominated component, reflecting the change in the "First Home" programme such that it has been conducted exclusively in domestic currency since August 2014.

2014

In 2014, the annual dynamics of lending to the private sector (in real terms) remained negative, but the decline was slower compared to the previous year, reflecting the positive development of RON-denominated loans, as well as the overall statistical effects of RON depreciation and a lower annual inflation rate respectively. Opposite effects were exerted by the steeper contraction of the foreign currency-denominated component (expressed in euros) and, starting in the second quarter of 2014, by an acceleration in loan sales and write-offs from banks' balance sheets. Against this backdrop, the share of the foreign currency-denominated loans in total lending to the private sector continued to decrease. A breakdown by client type shows that lending to households (expressed in real terms) was higher than in the previous year, while lending to corporations remained close to the levels of the last year. For households, this evolution reflected the continuing increase in the RON-denominated component, triggered in its turn by the strong acceleration in lending for house purchases (mainly on the back of the "First House" government programme), and by the improvement, although still modest, in the dynamics of consumer loans; instead the growth rate of the foreign currency-denominated loans (expressed in euro) contracted until reaching the historical minimum. For corporations, the dynamics of the RON-denominated credit stood solely in positive territory, while that of the foreign currency-denominated credit (expressed in euro) widened its negative value.

2015

In February 2015, the dynamics of lending to the private sector remained in negative territory, but decreased at a slower rate compared to the previous year, reflecting the positive development of RON-denominated loans, as well as the overall statistical effects of RON depreciation and a lower annual inflation rate respectively. In January-February 2015, the dynamics of lending to the private sector remained rather stable. In January, the relative stagnation reflected the slowdown in the RON-denominated component and the slowdown in the decline of the foreign currency-denominated loans, while in February it was a result of the acceleration in the growth of local currency-denominated lending and deterioration in foreign currency-denominated loans in domestic currency) while that of loans to corporations advanced in negative territory, but in February the evolution reversed, as the dynamics of lending to households decreased (on the back of loans in foreign currency), while that of loans to corporations advanced in negative territory, but in February the evolution reversed, as the dynamics of lending to households decreased (on the back of loans in foreign currency), while that of loans to corporations increased, mainly due to the performance of the local currency-denominated component. The share of foreign currency-denominated loans as a per cent. of total loans to the private sector in February 2015 was 56.1 per cent,, the lowest value recorded since November 2008.

Inflation Rates, Target Inflation Rates and Monetary Policy Rates

The following table sets out actual quarterly inflation rates, annual target inflation rates and monetary policy rates as at the end of each quarter of the years from 2012 to 2015:

End of Period	Inflation Rate	Target Inflation Rate	Monetary Policy Rate
		(%)	
March 2012	2.40	—	5.25
June 2012	2.04		5.25
September 2012	5.33		5.25
December 2012	4.95	3.0	5.25
March 2013	5.25	_	5.25
June 2013	5.37	_	5.25
September 2013	1.88		4.50
December 2013	1.55	2.5	4.00
March 2014	1.04	2.5	3.50
June 2014	0.66	2.5	3.50
September 2014	1.54	2.5	3.25
December 2014	0.83	2.5	2.75
March 2015	0.79	2.5	2.25

Note:

* February 2015

Sources: National Institute of Statistics, National Bank of Romania

Monetary Aggregates

The following table shows selected monetary aggregates as at 31 December 2012, 2013, 2014 and 31 March 2015:

	As	As at 31 March		
-	2012	2013	2014	2015
-		(RON mil	lion)	
M1 (narrow money) – Total	89,020.1	100,314.5	118,226.6	116,766.1
Currency in circulation	31,476.6	34,786.3	39,906.1	40,668.
Overnight deposits	57,543.4	65,528.1	78,320.5	76,097.8
M2 (intermediate money) - Total	221,829.5	241,254.0	261,124.6	255,275.1
M1	89,020.1	100,314.5	118,226.6	116,766.1
Deposits with agreed maturity of up to 2 years	132,809.4	140,939.5	142,898.0	138,509.0
M3 broad money	222,017.7	241,550.1	261,383.1	255,389.7
M2	221,829.5	241,254.0	261,124.6	255,275.1
Other financial instruments (repurchase agreements, MMFs shares/units, debt securities with maturity	188.1	296.1	258.5	114.6

of up to 2 years)

Note:

This table illustrates the monetary aggregates as (re)calculated according to the new methodology on statistical reporting by monetary financial institutions implemented by the NBR as from 1 January 2007, in order to harmonise its regulations with those of the ECB. Some totals may differ from the sum of components due to rounding.

Source: National Bank of Romania

Interest Rates

Between the end of December 2011 and mid-April 2012, money market rates fell significantly across the maturity spectrum, due to the combined influence of the monetary policy rate cuts implemented by the NBR and an easing of liquidity conditions. After a period of relative stability, in mid-May the entire money market yield curve shifted upwards, notably in its front end, under the combined influence of tighter liquidity conditions and the revision of banks' expectations regarding the policy rate and the liquidity situation. During the following three months, interest rates for all maturities were broadly static in the close proximity of the monetary policy rate. Very short-term interbank money market rates increased somewhat in August, following the NBR's decision to cap the amount of liquidity injected through its weekly repo tenders and declined to a certain extent in the subsequent months (amidst the temporary resumption of the full-allotment procedure at the NBR's weekly repo tenders). After rising again, notably in November, as the NBR tightened liquidity management in the banking system, these interest rates declined marginally in early December and tended to near the policy rate. ROBOR rates on longer maturities also posted an increase during the first half of August (by about 60 basis points across the maturity spectrum), which was partly reversed in the subsequent month. After a period of relative stability, these rates trended upwards during November, notably on shorter maturities, reflecting the impact of changes in current and expected money market liquidity conditions and adjusted at slightly lower levels in December.

In the first months of 2013, overnight money market rates began trending downwards, reinforced by the NBR's decisions to gradually increase the amount of liquidity injected through its weekly repo tenders in January and February and to resume the full-allotment procedure in March. After moving somewhat closer to the policy interest rate in July, these interest rates fell rapidly in August and, against the background of the persistent easing of liquidity conditions, remained in the lower part of the corridor defined by interest rates on NBR's standing facilities around the policy rate. ROBOR rates (3 to 12-months) also benefited from improved liquidity conditions and began 2013 by moving on a downward path which steepened in March and April especially at the short end of the maturity spectrum. Against this background, the 3-month ROBOR declined and then stabilized in May to approximately 100 basis points below the policy rate. The 6- and 12-month ROBOR continued their downward adjustment which slightly accelerated in the first part of May due to the impact of revised expectations regarding the future path of the monetary policy interest rate. In early June, the 3 to 12-month ROBOR rates saw an increase, which was partly reversed afterwards, such that they stood roughly 40 to 60 basis points below the policy rate during the rest of that month. Reflecting the monetary policy rate cuts and credit institutions' expectations on the outlook for liquidity conditions and the policy rates path, interest rates for longer maturities were on a prolonged downward trend from August through October. They stabilized afterwards, before adjusting further downward during the first half of December so that, by the end of 2013, the 3 to 12-month ROBOR rates stood approximately 80 to 150 basis points below the monetary policy rate.

After a further decline during the first weeks of 2014, three month to twelve month ROBOR rates rose swiftly near the monetary policy rate at the end of January 2014, as a result of a change in both liquidity conditions and banks' expectations on their outlook. Towards the end of February 2014, interbank money market interest rates began moving slightly downward, particularly in the case of shorter maturities. At the end of March 2014, three month ROBOR rates stood approximately 50 basis points below the monetary policy rate, while rates on longer maturities were roughly 15 basis points lower than the monetary interest rate. After having been broadly stable in April 2014, the three month to twelve month ROBOR rates resumed their downward movement in May 2014 as liquidity conditions on the money market improved. They stayed on a descending trajectory during subsequent months, before stabilising at levels between 65 and 115 basis points below the policy rate by early August 2014. Beginning with the second half of August 2014, longer-term money market rates followed an upward trend and neared the monetary policy rate in the first days of October 2014, as liquidity conditions tightened again. However, the liquidity surplus re-emerged and expanded until January 2015, driven by the resumption of, and subsequent increase in, the Treasury's liquidity injections and the fall in banks' demand for reserves as a result of the reduction of the minimum reserve requirement ratio on their RON-denominated liabilities, before declining, yet remaining at significant levels, during most of the remainder of the first quarter of 2015. Liquidity conditions tightened temporarily at the end of March and early April, under the impact of increased absorption of autonomous liquidity factors. Against this background, very short term rates neared the deposit facility rate in November 2014 and have since consolidated at those levels, rising only briefly to the proximity of the policy rate at the end of first quarter and the beginning of the second quarter 2015. The three month to twelve month ROBOR rates also were impacted by the change in current and expected liquidity conditions, as well as the NBR's decisions to extend the policy rate-cutting cycle and banks' expectations with respect to the future trajectory of the policy rate, resuming their downtrend in the second half of October, and continuing it through to April 2015.

During the first four months of 2012, the average interest rate on new time deposits of households fell by 0.64 percentage points (to stand at 5.94 per cent. in April 2012), while the average interest rate on new loans to households decreased by 1.08 percentage points (to 11.58 per cent.) due to a broad based decline in interest rates on all categories of loans. In the case of non-financial companies, the average interest rate on new time deposits shrank significantly (1.21 percentage points, to stand at an over six-year low of 4.57 per cent.). The average lending rate on new time deposits of non-financial corporations increased in the period of May to December 2012 to 5.15 per cent., while the average interest rate on new time deposits of households continued to fall, reaching 5.56 per cent. in September and increased to 5.64 per cent. in December; at the same time, the average interest rate on new loans to households decreased to a historical low of 11.09 per cent. in June and increased subsequently to 12.43 per cent. in December 2012.

The interest rates applied by credit institutions on new time deposits and new loans decreased throughout 2013 against the background of the downward trend in the monetary policy rate and ROBOR rates on the interbank money market, recording at the end of that interval historical lows on both categories of customers. Thus, the average interest rate on new loans to non-financial corporations decreased by 2.92 percentage points to 6.84 per cent., while the corresponding interest rate on new loans to households registered the largest decline (-3.36 percentage points to 9.05 per cent.). In turn, the average interest rate on new time deposits went down by 2.96 percentage points (to 2.19 per cent.) in the case of non-financial corporations and by 1.71 percentage points (to 3.92 per cent.) in the case of households.

The interest rates on new time deposits and new loans continued to decline in 2014, reaching successive historical lows against the background of the downward trend in monetary policy interest rate and ROBOR rates on the interbank money market; during this period, they posted nevertheless some minor fluctuations in both directions mainly due to changes in composition of flows. Thus, the average interest rate on new time deposits of households declined by 1.15 percentage points to 2.77 per cent., while that corresponding to non-financial corporations decreased by 1.10 percentage points to 1.09 per cent. in December 2014. The decline of the average interest rates on new loans was even more pronounced on both categories of customers over the whole period under review (-1.89 percentage points to 7.16 per cent. in the case of households and -1.36 percentage points to 5.48 per cent. in the case of non-financial corporations).

During the first two months of 2015, the average interest rate on new time deposits extended its decline in the non-financial corporations sector (-0.06 percentage points to 1.03 per cent.), as well as on that of the household sector (-0.37 percentage points, to 2.41 per cent.). At the same time, the average interest rate on new loans to non-financial corporations continued to decrease (-0.29 percentage points, to 5.19 per cent. in February 2015). The average interest rate for new loans to households increased in the period January-February 2015 (+0.84 percentage points to 8 per cent.) mainly due to structural changes (a diminishing of the housing new loans share of total new loans for households in the context of the guarantees ceilings allocation process related to the First House program).

The following table shows key financing interest rates as at 31 December 2012, 2013 and 2014 and 31 March 2015:

	As at	As at 31 March		
	2012	2013	2014	2015
Policy rate	5.25	4.00	2.75	2.25
Lending facility	9.25	7.00	5.25	4.25
Deposit facility	1.25	1.00	0.25	0.25

National Bank of Romania – Annual Interest Rate (Domestic Currency Operations)

Source: National Bank of Romania

The following table shows annual average interest rates for loans and term deposits as at 31 December 2012, 2013, 2014 and 31 March 2015:

	As at 31 December			As at 31 March
—	2012	2013	2014	2015
Individuals				
Loans	13.26	11.32	9.46	8.75
Term deposits	5.31	4.03	2.91	2.52
Non-financial corporation				
Loans	10.11	7.36	5.94	5.49
Term deposits	4.94	2.69	1.60	1.44
Total				
Loans	11.49	9.14	7.65	7.9
Term deposits	5.22	3.67	2.53	2.25

Annual Average Interest Rates for Loans and Term Deposits (for Domestic Currency Operations)

Note:

The table is compiled according to the new methodology implemented by the NBR as from 1 January 2007, in order to harmonise its regulations with applicable ECB Regulation (EU/1072/2013) and (ECB/2013/34) starting with 1 December 2014).

Source: National Bank of Romania

Foreign Exchange and Convertibility of the RON

The NBR issues and implements regulations governing foreign currency transactions in Romania and supervises their implementation under NBR Regulation No. 4/2005, as amended and republished (the "**FX Regulation**").

The FX Regulation sets out safeguard measures for foreign exchange transactions which the NBR may apply in the event of substantial changes in domestic liquidity or severe imbalances of payments.

In contemplation of the accession of Romania to the EU, all capital transactions had been liberalised by 1 September 2006 under the FX Regulation. As such, residents and non-residents currently engage freely in both current and capital transactions, in foreign and domestic currency.

The imported and exported cash amounts are regulated by Regulation (EC) No. 1889/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 October 2005 on controls of cash entering or leaving the Community, directly applicable in Romania and which is within the competence of the National Customs Authority of Romania.

Exchange Rate Policy

The exchange rate of the RON is determined in the interbank foreign exchange market.

2012

The decreasing trend of the EUR/RON exchange rate since mid-December 2011 reversed in the first part of January 2012 due to a temporary worsening of investor sentiment towards the region following the events in Hungary (which included delays in Hungary resuming negotiations with the IMF/EU and Fitch's decision to lower Hungary's sovereign rating); thus, similar to the regional trend, the EUR/RON exchange rate increased, reaching 4.3627 on 11 January 2012, its highest value since June 2010. Subsequently, as this unfavourable influence abated, the regional currencies, the RON included, strengthened against the Euro. The exchange rates of the currencies in the region extended their fast downward path until the end of February, thus totally/partially correcting the marked increase they had seen in the fourth quarter of 2011. Nonetheless, the

EUR/RON exchange rate fluctuated in a relatively narrow variation band between 20 January and 15 March with a slight upward trend, its divergent trajectory from the regional trend likely reflecting a relative decline in autonomous capital inflows amid the weakening of the Eurozone economy and, thus, investors' less favourable sentiment towards the short-term outlook for the domestic economy. To these added, in the second half of March, a temporary change in forex market operators' expectations on the immediate movements in the EUR/RON exchange rate and implicitly in the attractiveness of remuneration of investments in the local financial market.

In April 2012, currencies in the region, the RON included, re-entered a downward trend against the euro as temporary tensions resurfaced on the global financial market fuelled by uncertainties regarding the further implementation of austerity measures in some Eurozone countries in an electoral context (Greece and France) and by signals that the economies of some countries have deteriorated (Spain and Portugal). This trend accelerated in May amid a marked worsening of the global financial market sentiment induced by (i) the significantly higher uncertainty surrounding economic and political developments in Greece, including speculations on the country leaving the Eurozone; (ii) worsening of the problems faced by the Spanish banking system; and (iii) the deterioration of the economic growth outlook in the Eurozone, the EU and worldwide.

The subsequent weakening of the pressures on the exchange rates of the main currencies in the region caused a reversal in the trend displayed in June by some of these currencies. However, the EUR/RON exchange rate continued to increase slightly, amid persistent concerns related to the potentially stronger adverse impact exerted by the adjustment process of the European banking system and by the weakening of the European economy, thus affecting the investors' perception on the risk associated with the local economy and financial market (on 29 June 2012, Moody's Investors Service downgraded Romania's rating prospect from "stable" to "negative").

In July, the external environment continued to be dominated by elevated levels of global risk aversion, as economic prospects further deteriorated (the IMF revised downwards its global growth forecast for 2013 and warned that the outlook could deteriorate further) and uncertainties regarding the sovereign debt crises persisted (Moody's Investors Service downgraded Italy's sovereign rating as well as the rating of some major Italian banks and there was renewed speculation that Spain may need a full international bailout and that Greece might eventually exit the Eurozone). To this context added the uncertainties associated with the events on the local political scene. Against this background, in July the EUR/RON exchange rate accelerated its monthly increase to 1.9 per cent., posting several historical highs. The sizeable increase of the EUR/RON exchange rate was almost entirely corrected in August, due to the cumulative influence of external and local developments, including:

- (a) a general improvement in market sentiment on expectations of a further monetary stimulus by ECB and/or the United States Federal Reserve (the "Federal Reserve");
- (b) the positive sentiment induced by the joint IMF/EC/World Bank statement on the conclusion of the sixth review of Romania's economic program;
- (c) the favourable development (compared to analysts' expectations) in some of the economic indicators published during the period;
- (d) the preservation of a prudent monetary policy stance; and
- (e) the moderation of local political tensions in the last decade of August.

In September 2012, the EUR/RON exchange rate began trending upwards, reflecting the impact of the decrease in the autonomous capital inflows and the relative worsening of expectations on the short-term outlook of the RON exchange rate, fuelled, inter alia, by the unfavourable evolution compared to analysts' expectations of some economic indicators released during this period and by increased uncertainties associated with the nearing parliamentary elections. The EUR/RON exchange rate saw a reversal towards the end of October, benefitting by the subsequent slight improvement in the anticipations of some market operators, against the background of the tightening of liquidity control in the banking system by the NBR in October and the subsequent decision of the NBR Board to preserve the status-quo of the monetary policy interest rate.

Following the completion of the parliamentary elections, the EUR/RON exchange rate steepened its downward trend, mainly reflecting an improvement in investors' perceptions of the risks associated with the

Romanian financial market and, implicitly, the latter's increased relative attractiveness. The exchange rate movement was also boosted by the decline in the global risk aversion owing primarily to the ECB and Federal Reserve decisions and the announcement concerning the Spanish government's request to access European funds with a view to recapitalising the banking system.

Compared to December 2011, in December 2012, the RON depreciated against the euro by 3.6 per cent. in nominal terms (and appreciated by 1.1 per cent. in real terms), and against the U.S. dollar the RON depreciated by 4.0 per cent. in nominal terms and appreciated by 0.7 per cent. in real terms, as the latter slightly appreciated against the other major currencies.

2013

The downward movement of EUR/RON accelerated in the first part of January 2013, reflecting, among other factors, the improvement of global financial market sentiment and, especially, the increased interest of nonresident investors for local RON-denominated government securities, fuelled also by the JP Morgan announcement stating the eligibility of Romania for entry into its GBI-EM index series, based upon the stated rules. Consequently, in January 2013, the RON gained 2.5 per cent. against the euro and 3.9 per cent. against the U.S. dollar (compared to December 2012). After trading in a rather narrow range and displaying a shortlived depreciation episode related to the events in Cyprus, the RON appreciated in April against the euro as risk aversion declined following the new agreement between the Eurogroup and the Cyprus authorities backed also by the IMF, the decision of Bank of England to hold its key rate at a record low and maintain its bond purchases size, and that of Bank of Japan to double its monthly bond purchases. The EUR/RON downward trend was also supported by some better than expected domestic economic developments (fourth quarter and year 2012 GDP, current account and trade balance, retail sales and industrial output): thus, the RON strengthened on April 29 to 4.3224/EUR, a level last seen in October 2011. Similar to the behaviour of other currencies in the region, the EUR/RON trended upwards in May, as global financial market sentiment became very sensitive to any comments/news regarding an earlier than expected decision by the Federal Reserve to taper its monthly financial assets purchases, and reached an eight month high on 7 June (4.5535). However, the EUR/RON declined at lower levels in the last part of June and in July, against the background of a relative improvement in global risk appetite, while its daily volatility abated significantly. In August and early September, the EUR/RON exchange rate trended upwards, amid market participants' strengthening expectations regarding the Federal Reserve's decision to start tapering its monthly financial asset purchases in September. Nonetheless, as the deterioration of the global market sentiment was associated with the greater importance investors attached to local/regional fundamentals in their portfolio reallocation decisions, its adverse effects have been less intensely felt by the local financial market, most likely due to the consistently favourable evolution of some relevant economic indicators (GDP, current account and trade balance), to which added the EU Council decision to abrogate the excessive deficit procedure for Romania and the ongoing/completion of talks over a new arrangement with the IMF/EC/WB. In addition, following the adoption in September of the Federal Reserve's decision to keep the financial asset purchase programme in place in the forthcoming period, the EUR/RON exchange rate saw its upward movement come to a halt and then witnessed moderate fluctuations, trading in a narrow range during October through to the first half of December. However, after Federal Reserve's decision to trim the pace of its monthly asset purchases, the RON/EUR volatility slightly increased. Compared to December 2012, in December 2013 the RON appreciated by 0.6 per cent. in nominal terms (2.1 per cent. in real terms), while compared to US dollar it appreciated by 5.1 per cent. in nominal terms (6.7 per cent. in real terms), as the latter depreciated against other major currencies.

2014

Financial investors' sentiment towards emerging markets deteriorated markedly in early 2014, the mounting tensions in some of these markets (e.g. Turkey) having secondary effects to some extent in the regional and local financial markets. Thus, the EUR/RON exchange rate began an upward trend and peaked at a seven-month high on 10 January (EUR 1 = RON 4.5447). The upward movement came to a halt towards the end of January 2014, with the EUR/RON exchange rate displaying afterwards two-way fluctuations, which reflected the contagion effects, albeit limited, from the geopolitical crisis in Ukraine on Central and Eastern European markets, but also the favourable impact of better than expected domestic economic developments (the fourth quarter of 2013 GDP flash data, trade/current account balance and industrial output). The pressure on the exchange rates of the currencies in the region eased to some extent following the referendum in Crimea, and the EUR/RON exchange rate entered a downward path in the second half of March 2014, which continued until June 2014 as a result of higher global risk appetite and improved investor perception towards the

domestic economy and financial markets. The latter was fostered mainly by the further positive performance of domestic fundamentals and by Standard & Poor's decision to upgrade the country's sovereign rating to investment grade. Consequently, the average EUR/RON exchange rate hit a 13-month low in June 2014 and its volatility decreased.

The EUR/RON exchange rate interrupted its downward path in July 2014 and subsequently recorded sideways movements, reflecting the shifting influences generated by fluctuations in global risk aversion, stemming, on the one hand, from (i) the successive unfavourable news concerning some banks in the euro area, (ii) the escalation of geopolitical tensions and, against this background, the increasing concerns about the impact of new sanctions being possibly imposed on Russia and (iii) anticipation of an earlier monetary policy rate hike by the Federal Reserve, and on the other hand, from increased expectations that the ECB would start purchasing asset-backed securities in the coming period. The transitory impact exerted on the domestic market by JP Morgan's announcements in July and August regarding the inclusion of some Romanian government bonds in its indices recommended for investment also had an impact. The volatility of the EUR/RON exchange rate declined following the September ECB decisions, and for the following two months the EUR/RON followed a smooth upward path, with only short-lived fluctuations, mainly triggered by swings in international financial market sentiment. The exchange rate trend steepened in December, given the impact of increased global risk aversion, owing in part to heightened uncertainty surrounding the knockon effects of the abrupt decline in oil prices on the world economy, markedly higher risks to the Russian economy and the ensuing sharper depreciation of the rouble, and mounting concerns over the situation in Greece. A temporary influence that enhanced this sentiment was the higher uncertainty over the Federal Reserve's decision in December on the prospective adjustment of its monetary policy stance.

Thus, compared to December 2013, in December 2014 the RON appreciated against the euro by 0.1 per cent. in nominal terms (0.9 in real terms), while compared to the US dollar it depreciated by 10 per cent. in nominal terms (9.2 in real terms) as the latter appreciated significantly against the euro.

2015

The EUR/RON exchange rate extended its upward trend in the first part of January 2015, reflecting mainly the uncertainties regarding the political situation in Greece, but also the episodic impact of the Swiss National Bank's decision to remove its EUR/CHF-floor, but turned downward following the ECB's decision to expand its asset purchase program on 22 January 2015; the strengthening of the RON reflected also the impact of JP Morgan's decision to include a new series of Romanian securities in its GBI-EM index. During early February, the RON temporarily depreciated against the EUR and its volatility increased as the local financial market seemed more sensitive to the unfavourable impact of the ongoing negotiations on Greece and geopolitical tensions; however, similar to other regional currencies, the RON returned to its upwards trend towards the end of the month amid ameliorated financial market sentiment following the four-month extension of Greece's financial rescue and the prolonged favourable trend of domestic economic fundamentals. The RON/EUR exchange rate fluctuated in a narrow band in the first part of March and decreased again afterwards, as global risk aversion declined following the ECB's commencement of its quantitative easing programme and amid changing market expectations regarding the timing and pace of Federal Reserve rate hikes.

Thus, compared to December 2014, in March 2015, the RON appreciated against the euro by 0.6 per cent. in nominal terms (1.8 per cent in real terms), while compared to the US dollar it depreciated by 11.6 per cent. in nominal terms (10.6 in real terms), as the latter appreciated significantly against the euro.

EUR/RON and USD/RON Exchange Rates

The following table sets out the EUR/RON and USD/RON exchange rates as at 31 December 2012, 2013 and 2014 and the average EUR/RON and USD/RON exchange rates for the years ended 31 December 2012 through 2014:

EUR/RON and USD/RON Exchange Rate							
	2012		2013		2014		
-	31 Dec.	Average	31 Dec.	Average	31 Dec.	Average	
EUR	4.4287	4.4560	4.4847	4.4190	4.4821	4.4446	
USD	3.3575	3.4682	3.2551	3.3279	3.6868	3.3492	

Source: National Bank of Romania

Real Effective Exchange Rate

In the first seven months of 2012 the annual the annual change in CPI based real effective exchange rate (the "**REER**") was negative, reaching a depreciation of approximately 9 per cent. in July 2012, mainly as a result of the further weakening of the RON both against the U.S. dollar (by 19.7 per cent. in July 2012) and the euro (by 6.8 per cent. in July 2012). Subsequently, the REER depreciation lost momentum, reaching 2.81 per cent. in December 2012 as the RON started to gain ground against both U.S. dollar and euro, which triggered a nominal effective depreciation of 4.96 per cent. year-on-year at the end of 2012. After contributing to the REER depreciation in the first half of the year, the inflation differential turned positive from July 2012 and stood at around 2 percentage points at the end of 2012. However, in 2012, the share of Romanian exports on the EU market posted a level similar to that registered at the end of 2011. The annual dynamics of REER entered positive territory in 2013 and reached 5.50 per cent. in November. This trend reversal was attributable to the RON strengthening against both euro and US dollar, leading to a REER appreciation of 5.17 per cent. year-on-year (+10.14 percentage points against December 2012). The inflation differential remained positive at 0.33 percentage points (thus contributing marginally to REER appreciation), but narrowed 1.83 percentage points since December 2012. Nevertheless, the share of Romanian exports on the EU market was not affected.

After signalling year-on-year appreciation throughout 2013 (between a maximum of 8.3 per cent. in July and a year end of 3.6 per cent.), the annual change in the REER turned slightly negative in the first two months of 2014, on the back of a nominal depreciation against the euro, and, to a lesser extent, a contribution made by the inflation differential (in fact, throughout 2014 and the first two months of 2015, REER dynamics were mainly shaped by the performance of the Nominal Effective Exchange Rate as the inflation differential made only a modest contribution). Starting in March 2014, the weaker RON with respect to the euro in year-on-year terms was offset by a nominal appreciation against the US dollar, resulting in moderately positive REER dynamics. Mid-year readings reflected a stronger RON against both the euro and the US dollar, so that in June the annual change of the REER stood at 3 per cent. (the highest value for 2014). Towards the end of the third quarter of 2014 and in the fourth quarter of 2014, the influence of the stronger US dollar gradually gained momentum, which translated into a downward trend of the REER annual change, while the RON/euro pair remained on a steady path. In December 2014, REER annual dynamics turned negative (-0.2 per cent.), and were at -1.7 per cent. in February 2015, as the downward trend continued in 2015.

As compared to 2013, in 2014 the share of EU-directed exports widened by 1.5 percentage points, to around 71 per cent. Romania has yet to take advantage of the weaker RON against the US dollar from an exports perspective, as in the first two months of 2015 the share of non-EU exports decreased by almost 3 percentage points against the 2014 average (and 2.6 percentage points against the similar period of 2014), to around 26 per cent.

International Reserves

Romania's foreign exchange reserves reached EUR 31,206 million equivalent at 31 December 2012, EUR 1,987 million lower compared to 31 December 2011. The main inflows for the period, totalling EUR 22,441 million, were due to inflows into the Ministry of Public Finances' accounts (including the Ministry of Public Finances' bond issues equivalent to EUR 4,796 million), changes in credit institutions' foreign currency required reserves with the NBR, inflows into the EC's accounts and income from the management of foreign currency reserves. Main outflows for the period, totalling EUR 24,428 million, were due to interest payments and principal repayments on public and publicly guaranteed foreign currency debt (including the amount equivalent to EUR 1,707 million representing repayment of principal and interest payments on Romania's Stand-by Arrangement with the International Monetary Fund) and credit institutions' required reserves.

As of 31 December 2013, Romania's foreign exchange reserves amounted to EUR 32,525 million, an increase of EUR 1,319 million compared to 31 December 2012. The main inflows, totalling EUR 17,233 million, were due to inflows into the Ministry of Public Finances' accounts (including flows from the Ministry of Public Finances' local and external bond issue amounting to EUR 4,723 million, as well as from the first drawdown under the EUR 1 billion development policy loan provided by the World Bank, of EUR 700 million), increases in credit institutions' foreign currency required reserves with the NBR and inflows into the EC account. Main outflows for the period, totalling EUR 15,914 million, were due to repayment of principal and interest payments on public and publicly guaranteed foreign currency debt and decreases in credit institutions' required reserves.

As of 31 December 2014, Romania's foreign exchange reserves amounted to EUR 32,216 million, a decrease of EUR 309 million compared to 31 December 2013. The main inflows, totalling EUR 21,780 million, were due to inflows into the Ministry of Public Finances' accounts (including flows from the Ministry of Public Finances' local and external bond issuances amounting to EUR 5,128 million, as well as from the second drawdown under the EUR 1 billion Development Policy Loan provided by the World Bank, of EUR 300 million), increases in credit institutions' foreign currency required reserves with the NBR and inflows into the EC account. Main outflows for the period, totalling EUR 22,089 million, were due to repayment of principal and interest payments on public and publicly guaranteed foreign currency debt and decreases in credit institutions' required reserves.

As of 28 February 2015, Romania's foreign exchange reserves amounted to EUR 30,482 million, a decrease of EUR 1,734 million compared to 31 December 2014. The main inflows, totalling EUR 2,252 million, were due to inflows into the Ministry of Public Finances' accounts, increases in credit institutions' foreign currency required reserves with the NBR and inflows into the EC account. Main outflows for the period, totalling EUR 3,986 million, were due to interest and principal payments on foreign currency public debt (from which, EUR 1,547 million representing the payment of the first principal instalment and interest on Romania's 2009 loan agreement with the European Community) and decreases in credit institutions' required reserves.

Romania's gold reserve assets has remained at approximately 103.7 tonnes since the second half of 2007 and was valued at EUR 3,580 million on 28 February 2015, EUR 290 million higher than on 31 December 2014. The value of the gold reserves totalled EUR 4,207 million as of 31 December 2012. During 2013, the sharp drop in market prices has put the value of the gold reserves onto a downward trend, reaching a value of EUR 2,909 million as of 31 December 2013. The trend was reversed during 2014 and the value of gold reserves has reached EUR 3,290 million as of 31 December 2014.

The NBR's level of foreign exchange reserves has decreased between January 2012 to February 2015, mainly due to the repayments of principal amounts to the IMF and the EC, under the 2009 multilateral financial assistance agreement, and as a result of changes in credit institutions' required reserves. Under the IMF 2009 Stand-by arrangement, the principal repayments begun in August 2012 and, as of February 2015, they had reached a level equal to 92 per cent. of the original withdrawn amount. Under the EC 2009 financial assistance, Romania has repaid 30 per cent. of the original loan, as of February 2015. The decrease in the credit institutions' required reserves followed the NBR board's decisions to lower the minimum reserve ratio on foreign currency denominated liabilities of credit institutions with a residual maturity of up to two years from 20 per cent. at the end of 2013 to 14 per cent. starting on 24 November 2014. The main inflows during the same period were inflows in the EC account and inflows in the Ministry of Public Finances' accounts (from local and external bond issues and development policy loans concluded with international institutions).

The amount of total reserves was EUR 35,413 million as at the end of 2012, EUR 35,434 million as at the end of 2013 and EUR 35,506 million as at the end of 2014. As regards the extent to which the reserves are encumbered by liens, the central bank has opened custody accounts with international financial institutions in order to manage the foreign reserves. The technical procedures of the custodians, which are commonly used for custody and settlement systems, may entitle the custodians to exercise certain remedies and to benefit from certain guarantees in relation to the participants, in case of non-compliance with the custodians' general terms and conditions, which are intended to ensure the fulfilment of such participants' obligations. The NBR is not engaged in any type of currency derivative transactions.

The following table shows Romania's international reserves as at 31 December 2012, 2013 and 2014 and as at 28 February 2014:

	Romania's international reserves					
-	As at 31 December 2012	As at 31 December 2013	As at 31 December 2014	As at 28 February 2015		
-		(EUR mill	lions)			
Foreign exchange reserves	31,206	32,525	32,216	30,482		
Gold reserves	4,207	2,909	3,290	3,580		
Total reserves	35, 413	35,434	35,506	34,062		

Source: National Bank of Romania

Some totals may differ from the sum of components due to rounding.

The main drivers of future changes in the NBR's reserve assets position are expected to be the payments scheduled for the March 2015 - January 2016 period, arising from the loans disbursed between 2009 and 2011, under the Stand-by Agreement concluded with the International Monetary Fund in May 2009, as part of the multilateral financial assistance package negotiated in cooperation with the EU and the World Bank. Other changes to NBR's reserve assets could be triggered by monetary policy decisions impacting the international reserves size and currency composition as well as inflows/outflows triggered by foreign currency public debt management. Possible disbursements, if any, can be made to Romania during 2013-2015 under the new precautionary Stand-by Arrangement, approved by the IMF in September 2013, for an amount equivalent to EUR 2 billion and from the financial assistance of up to EUR 2 billion, under the EU's balance of payments facility provided in conjunction with the aforementioned assistance from the IMF, also on a precautionary basis. Romania completed in June 2013 a 27-month precautionary Stand-By Arrangement, equivalent to special drawing rights ("SDRs") of 3,090.6 million. Romania has not used any of the funds from this agreement, although all were made available after the scheduled review missions.

Banking System

General

A variety of structural changes by way of privatisation or the granting of banking licenses, including to domestic branches of foreign based banks, occurred over the years. However, the share of domestic majority owned private banks, foreign majority owned private banks and state majority owned banks has remained largely consistent over the past three years.

At the end of February 2015, the Romanian banking system had 40 registered credit institutions, which consisted of one majority state owned institution (Eximbank), one fully state owned institution (CEC Bank), nine branches of foreign banks (ING Bank N.V., Veneto Banca Scpa Italia Montebelluna, BLOM Bank France, Bank of Cyprus, BNP Paribas Fortis SA/NV Bruxelles Bucharest Branch, Montepio Credito - Instituicao Financeira de Credito SA, CITIBANK EUROPE PLC, DUBLIN TBI Bank EAD Sofia, Bucharest Branch, and The Royal Bank of Scotland plc) and 29 credit institutions with private capital (including Central Cooperatist Bank CREDITCOOP – the network of credit cooperatives), of which 25 had majority foreign ownership.

The share of assets held by banks with total or majority private capital in total assets of the Romanian banking system was 91.4 per cent., while the share of assets held by banks with total or majority foreign capital, including foreign bank branches, was 90.0 per cent. Banks with total or majority state-owned capital held only 8.6 per cent., while the private domestic capital held 1.4 per cent. As of 28 February 2015, the total net balance sheet assets of the Romanian banking system amounted to EUR 81.4 billion and the share capital was approximately EUR 6.2 billion.

	Asa	As at 28 February		
-	2012	2013	2014	2015
-		(% market sh	are)	
Banks with majority state capital	8.4	8.5	8.8	8.6
Banks with majority domestic private capital	1.8	1.51	1.3	1.4
Banks with majority foreign capital	89.8	90.0	89.9	90.0
Total banking system	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Market share of credit institutions in terms of assets

Source: National Bank of Romania

In terms of net assets, the market share of banks with majority foreign capital was 89.9 per cent. in December 2014 and 90.0 per cent. in February 2015. The largest share of this majority foreign ownership was Austrian

(36.0 per cent. February 2015). The market share of banks with majority Greek capital has been relatively steady over the last three years (12.2 per cent. in December 2014, while decreasing slightly in February 2015).

	2012	2013	2014*	February 2015 [*]
Romania	10.25	10.04	10.11	9.98
Austria	37.71	37.04	36.31	36.03
Cyprus	1.37	1.47	1.55	1.55
France	13.65	13.52	12.90	13.04
Greece	12.22	12.28	12.17	11.81
Hungary	1.56	1.52	1.31	1.97
Italy	2.61	2.69	2.48	2.47
Netherlands	9.02	8.50	8.93	9.45
Portugal	0.70	0.79	0.67	0.0001
Other	10.91	12.16	13.56	13.71

Banking System Ownership (per cent. of total net assets)

* Provisional data.

Source: National Bank of Romania

The share in total equity capital held by banks with majority foreign capital was 86.3 per cent. in December 2014 (86.4 per cent. in February 2015), up by 0.7 percentage points from December 2013. Banks with majority Austrian capital further hold the largest share (30.5 per cent. in February 2015). The share of banks with majority Greek capital has been in steady decline, reaching 15.3 per cent. at the end of February 2015.

Banking System Ownership (per cent. of total equity capital)

	2012	2013	2014*	February 2015 [*]
Romania	15.03	14.41	13.70	13.61
Austria	25.84	27.77	30.66	30.45
Cyprus	0.92	2.26	2.14	2.12
France	11.53	11.43	10.39	10.31
Greece	19.00	16.72	15.36	15.25
Hungary	3.77	3.37	2.84	4.55
Italy	3.70	3.67	3.37	3.34
Netherlands	8.58	7.51	8.12	8.06
Portugal	1.24	1.22	1.12	0.02
Other	10.40	11.64	12.31	12.27

* Provisional data.

Source: National Bank of Romania

Structure of the Banking Sector

EU accession also opened up the Romanian banking market to other EU banking institutions. At the end of February 2015, 270 banks from EU Member States had filed a notice of their intention to provide services within Romania on a cross border basis without establishing Romanian branches.

The following table shows the composition of the Romanian banking sector as at 28 February 2015:

Type of Capital	Number of banks	Total loans	Total deposits	Total balance sheet
	(% market share)			
State-owned	1	5.6	8.0	7.5
Majority state-owned	1	0.8	0.3	1.1
Majority privately-owned banks, of which	29	84.0	81.3	81.3
- majority domestic capital	4	1.0	1.4	1.4
- majority foreign capital	25	83.0	79.9	79.9
Branches of foreign banks	9	9.6	10.4	10.1

Source: National Bank of Romania

Current Condition of the Banking Sector

Romania has continued to enjoy financial stability, despite the difficult global and domestic economic conditions that occurred following the onset of the international financial crisis. Risks to the banking sector were countered by efforts of credit institutions such as consolidation of solvency, provisioning and liquidity levels amid the NBR's measures addressing prudential regulation, supervision and adequate management of risks faced by the banking system.

Since October 2008 (when the global financial crisis impacted Romania) there have been no bank failures in Romania and no need for support or bail-out measures from the central Government. Factors that aided the stability of the Romanian banking system include: (i) the measures taken by the NBR with a view to enhancing credit institutions' capacity to withstand economic shocks; (ii) the fulfilment of commitments taken by the parent banks of the nine leading subsidiaries in Romania aimed at providing ex ante additional capital under the Vienna Initiative, which reaffirmed their long-term commitment to the country; and (iii) increased caution with respect to lending. The banking sector's capitalisation should remain adequate over the medium-term, and no significant support from either public or private sources is required in order to safeguard financial stability, despite some idiosyncratic vulnerabilities.

A beneficial contribution to safeguarding financial stability was the European Bank Coordination Initiative, under which the nine participating banks have fulfilled their aggregate commitments to maintain their exposure and ensure a capital adequacy ratio above 10 per cent. for every subsidiary in Romania. In 2012, the new European Bank Coordination "Vienna Initiative 2.0" was launched with the purpose of preventing disorderly or overly fast financial deleveraging, as well as strengthening cooperation between home and host supervisory authorities. The successful completion in 2013 of the precautionary Stand-by Agreement signed in 2011 with the EU, the IMF and the World Bank and the signing of a similar agreement, together with the commitments undertaken by the Romanian authorities under the national programmes, are seen as anchors for maintaining financial stability and furthering structural reforms in order to boost the economic growth potential.

As of February 2015, exposure to Romania of the foreign banks participating in the "Vienna Initiative 1.0" has decreased by 29.0 per cent. since the end of March 2009. Around 74 per cent. of credit lines from parent banks have maturities of over one year and do not have any early repayment clauses; some part of the credit lines were converted into subordinated lending (tier II capital items), thus consolidating headline solvency numbers.

Reflecting both the intensification of disposal operations of non-performing loans from banks' balance sheets in the second part of 2014 and the contraction of lending activity, aggregate gross assets saw negative real values in this period (-1.6 per cent. in December 2014) and in February 2015 (-0.6 per cent.), before recording mixed developments. Claims on the government sector saw a trend reversal starting in December 2013 (5.4 per cent. in December 2014 and 7.8 per cent. in February 2015, real annual change), after contracting for ten successive months. These developments reflected the slight increase of the share of securities held by residents and increasing public debt.

Private sector deposits continued to grow in real terms (7.1 per cent. at the end of December 2014 and 5.2 per cent. in February 2015), while credit to the private sector declined in real terms by 4.2 per cent. and 4.1 per cent. in the periods mentioned. Consequently, the loan-to-deposit ratio continued to decrease (from 101.3 per

cent. in December 2013 to 90.6 per cent. in December 2014 and 91.9 per cent. in February 2015), suggesting an orderly deleveraging.

In nominal terms, financial intermediation calculated as the share-to-GDP of gross loans to private sector declined from 34.3 per cent. in December 2013 to 31.5 per cent. in December 2014. The share of banking sector gross assets to GDP was 64.1 per cent. in December 2013 and 60.6 per cent. in December 2014.

Since the start of the financial crisis, the NBR has continued to pursue a prudent monetary policy stance (see "-Monetary and Financial System-Monetary Policy-Recent Monetary Policy"), in an attempt to ensure inflation converges with medium term targets, and to bring money market rates, bank lending and deposit rates to normal levels, with a view to consolidating favourable conditions for the sustainable resumption of lending to the private sector and the subsequent economic rebound. Credit risk has remained the major vulnerability of the banking sector. However, the sales of loans and write-off activity determined the reduction in NPLs and the NPLs ratio (13.9 per cent. in December 2014, 14.2 per cent. in February 2015). Credit risk continued to be adequately covered as a result of a prudent approach of credit institutions, encouraged by the proactive prudential actions of the central bank. Firstly, the degree of NPL coverage with IFRS provisions remained at a comfortable level of 71.1 per cent. at the end of February 2015 (69.8 per cent. at the end of December 2014). Secondly, both the level and the quality of own funds have remained satisfactory: (i) the total capital ratio (former solvency ratio) stood at 17.3 per cent. in December 2014, considerably above the minimum regulated level of 8 per cent., (ii) own funds consist overwhelmingly of high loss-absorbency capacity components (with a Tier 1 capital ratio of 14.3 per cent. in December 2014). This was mainly due to significant capital increases made by shareholders in terms of cash contribution (i.e. EUR 111 million in 2012, EUR 190 million in 2013 and an additional contribution of EUR 394 million in 2014), as well as new provisioning rules under IFRS from January 2012. The capital base of Romanian banks proved its resilience, as Tier 1 capital accounts for 83 per cent. of total own funds at end-December 2014.

The deepening negative dynamics of foreign exchange loans registered in 2014 (-10.7 per cent. expressed in RON and -10.6 per cent. expressed in euro) and February 2015 (-10.8 per cent. and -9.6 per cent, respectively) compared to 2013 (-5.7 per cent. and -6.8 per cent, respectively) contributed to the significant decrease in the share of this component in private sector loans (to 56.1 per cent. in February 2015).

The main challenges lying ahead are: (i) managing the risk that could occur in the event of adverse developments in international markets as a result of the sovereign debt crisis or the considerably slower growth in developed economies; (ii) improving bank asset quality; (iii) achieving a more balanced currency breakdown of flows of new loans. These challenges call for further efforts to maintain adequate solvency, provisions and liquidity, as well as for additional prudential measures.

For non-financial corporations, the volume of non-performing loans decreased by 25.1 per cent. from December 2013 to December 2014, after rising by 14.7 per cent. between December 2012 and December 2013. The non-performing bank loan ratio decreased to 18.7 per cent. in December 2014 from 23.6 per cent. in December 2013. The descendent trend in non-performing loans came after banks intensified their efforts to improve the quality of their balance sheets. In the first two months of 2015, the volume of non-performing loans decreased by 2.4 per cent. compared with December 2014, leading to a contraction of 25.1 per cent. in annual terms. The NPL ratio increased to 19.4 per cent. in February 2015 due to an increase in non-performing loans (2.4 per cent. compared to December 2014) as well as a decrease in loans granted to non-financial companies of 1.3 per cent. in the same period.

In the household sector, the non-performing loan ratio decreased to 7.9 per cent in February 2015, down 2.3 percentage points as compared to December 2013. The volume of non-performing loans diminished to 23.5 per cent during the same period (December 2013 - February 2015), compared to an increase of 7.8 per cent between December 2012 and December 2013. The dynamics observed in 2014 and the first two months of 2015 are mainly due to the removal of non-performing loans from banks' balance sheets, as well as to loan sales. The rate of off-balance sheet past due exposures increased from 0.2 per cent in 2013 to 10.2 per cent in 2014 and 29.1 per cent. in the first two months of 2015. Households' debt servicing capacity has improved in the context of a rise in households' disposable income and a relatively steady employment rate in 2014. As a result, the recovery rate for overdue loans (between 1 to 90 days past due) increased by 2.6 percentage points between 2013 and 2014, and continued to improve in the first two months of 2015, and the number of debtors who recorded for the first time 90 days overdue payments decreased by 24 per cent. during the same period. Nevertheless, the elements that characterize the persistence of nonperforming conditions, such as the low efficiency of debt rescheduling measures and the long process of foreclosure procedures (24 months for non-

mortgage consumer loans and approximately 18 months for mortgage-backed consumer loans) remained unchanged in the period under analysis.

Throughout 2013, banks continued to tighten credit standards applicable to household loans, especially for mortgage loans, and to corporate loans, with the exception of the last quarter, when standards remained largely unchanged.

In 2014, banks maintained credit standards unchanged for household loans. In the case of loans to nonfinancial companies, credit standards remained unchanged in 2014, with the exception of one quarter of easing.

From a credit risk perspective, the Romanian banking sector is not directly exposed to developments in Italy, Portugal, Ireland or Greece, given that for the banking system as a whole the share of external assets is low (5.4 per cent. of gross assets at February 2015). Italian and Portuguese subsidiaries had a paid-in capital market share of 3.3 per cent. and 0.02 per cent. respectively at February 2015, while Ireland plays a marginal role mainly because banks incorporated in Ireland have chosen to establish branches rather than subsidiaries in Romania.

Greek banking capital is present in Romania through four banks incorporated as Romanian legal entities (Alpha Bank, BANCA ROMANEASCA SA, a member of the NBG Group, Bancpost, Piraeus Bank). These banks continue to hold together an important position both in terms of net assets (11.8 per cent. in February 2015, 12.2 per cent. in December 2014 and 12.3 per cent. in December 2013) and share capital (15.3 per cent in February 2015, 15.3 per cent. in December 2014 and 16.7per cent. in December 2013 of total capital of the banking system). In terms of deposits of non-government resident clients, market share remained relatively unchanged in December 2014 (10.3 per cent.) compared with December 2013 (10.5 per cent.), decreasing slightly to 9.8 per cent. in February 2015. According to the latest data reported to the NBR, each of these banks meets the prudential requirements in terms of capitalisation and liquidity. Moreover, the overall solvency ratio for these banks remained at a comfortable level (16.7 per cent. in December 2014), above the 8 per cent. regulatory level and close to the banking system average (17.3 per cent.). Also, subsidiaries of Greek banks have an adequate level of IFRS provisioning.

The direct transmission of risks from European public sectors to the local banking sector appears to be remote. In October 2013, domestic banks' holdings of euro area government securities accounted for a meagre 0.07 per cent. in total balance sheet assets of the Romanian banking system. Moreover, the events in Cyprus have not exerted a significant impact on domestic financial markets, nor on the Romanian banking sector, as the crisis had repercussions only on banks with Cypriot capital (whose share in total assets of the domestic banking sector was 1.4 per cent. in October 2013), while household and corporate deposits witnessed normal fluctuations.

The contagion risk from the European banking sector is important, but manageable. Stress test exercises carried out by the NBR revealed an adequate resilience of the domestic banking sector in the event of a massive foreign financing withdrawal, with challenges relating to currency mismatch of on- and off- balance sheet exposures, certain asset sales and safeguarding lending to the real sector. Credit institutions with Greek or Cypriot capital could weather a liquidity shock relatively well, due to prudential indicators suited to the existing risks. However, the specific challenges faced by parent banks in their home country call for more effort in assessing risks. The NBR closely monitors domestic and international developments, while also adequately managing and maintaining system-wide liquidity and pursuing comfortable levels of provisioning and solvency ratios.

The liquidity ratio (effective liquidity/required liquidity) was 1.42 as at 31 December 2012, 1.53 as at 31 December 2013 and 1.62 at December 2014.

	As at 31 December		
—	2012	2013	2014 ⁽²⁾
—		(%)	
Solvency ratio	14.94	15.46	17.28
Tier 1 capital per total capital requirements	13.79	14.09	14.34
NPL/Total classified loans	18.24	21.87	13.93
Provisioning of NPLs ⁽¹⁾	86.3	89.8	69.80

	As at 51 December		
	2012	2013	2014 ⁽²⁾
Liquidity ratio	1.42	1.53	1.62

Ac at 21 December

Notes:

(1) Coverage ratio using the IFRS provisions for NPLs and the additional prudential filters. Since 2014 coverage ratio using the IFRS provisions for NPLs.

(2) 2014 data is provisional.

Source: National Bank of Romania

The banking sector recorded a net loss at the end of 2014 (RON -4.3 billion) due to the banks' efforts to reduce their NPLs. Thus, since August 2014, the sector showed losses, due to the adverse impact of growth of net expenses on IFRS loan losses. At the end of February 2015, the banking sector recorded a net profit of RON 0.6 billion.

The NBR took several supervisory measures to reduce the NPL level, such as i) a recommendation addressed to credit institutions to remove from balance sheets of all the exposures representing non-performing loans fully covered by provisions; ii) a recommendation to fully cover with provisions the exposure from debt service over 360 days without initiation of legal proceedings to recover debts, followed by their removal once provisioned for; iii) a recommendation to establish provisions in order to cover exposures against borrowers in insolvency and followed by their removal from the balance sheet; iv) carrying out of an external audit on the IFRS provisions established by banks to cover losses for the existing loans at the end of June 2014, and also on the banks' collateral.

Despite gaining speed in 2013, the deleveraging process in Romania has continued to develop in an orderly manner: (i) the loan-to-deposit ratio decreased from 114.5 per cent. in December 2012 to 101.3 per cent. at 31 December 2013, underpinned by credit to the private sector declining by 4.7 per cent. in real terms and private sector deposits increasing by 7.6 per cent. in real terms; (ii) the exposures of European banks participating in the "Vienna Initiative 1.0" declined by 17.9 per cent. between March 2009 and 31 December 2013; (iii) the reliance of banks on wholesale funding (other than funding provided by parent banks) is rather limited; and (iv) the magnitude of net sales of loans is not high and the volume is not materially different compared to the pre-crisis period; moreover, this process seems to be targeted to the improvement of the banks' portfolio quality since a significant part of asset sales consist of non-performing loans.

In 2014, the deleveraging process continued at a relatively similar rate, reflecting adjustments to the banks' business model in the context of the new European prudential framework that set stricter capital and liquidity requirements. The loan-to-deposits ratio fell further to 91.3 per cent. in December 2014 (credit to the private sector declined by 3.9 per cent in real terms, while private sector deposits increased by 6.6 per cent in real terms in 2014) and exposures of European banks participating in the "Vienna Initiative 1.0" decreased by 29 per cent. between March 2009 and 31 December 2014.

The adverse effects of the deleveraging process announced by large European banking groups have not significantly impacted Romania so far, due to the balanced macroeconomic policies under the EU-IMF-World Bank arrangements and the lending strategies of the leading banking groups operating in Romania, which contemplate preserving their local capital outlays. Parent banks have, to date, continued to provide capital to support their subsidiaries in the local market, and capital contributions have been made by shareholders without any recourse to public funds (i.e. EUR 111 million in 2012, EUR 190 million in 2013 and EUR 394 million in 2014). Furthermore, the European Bank Coordination "Vienna Initiative 2.0" launched in January 2012 and being coordinated by the EBRD, the EIB, the EC, the IMF, and the World Bank, aims also at avoiding disorderly deleveraging through coordinated action by home and host-country regulators and supervisors and the banks themselves.

The NBR conducts stress tests of credit institutions' capital adequacy on a regular basis, consistent with a methodology developed in cooperation with the IMF. In 2014, a significant change in the manifestation of the impact of certain macroeconomic shocks on the capital of credit institutions derives from credit institutions' obligation to maintain minimum levels of Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio, Tier 1 capital ratio and total

capital ratio of 4.5 per cent., 6 per cent. and 8 per cent., respectively, on an ongoing basis. The stress tests imply both estimates of credit institutions' operating results and charges related to valuation adjustments for impairment according to the analysed scenarios; concurrently, the unrealised gains and losses generated by the assets available for sale, which have an impact on own funds and, implicitly, on solvency indicators, are estimated. The scenarios envisage changes in risk parameters (probability of default, loss in case of default, increase in the uncollateralised part of exposures) following adverse macroeconomic developments, as well as highly severe assumptions regarding the evolution of market risk determinants (exchange rate depreciation, upward shifts of the yield curve with an impact on fixed-income assets, the sharp rise of funding costs owing to investors' worsening perception of sovereign risk, a fall in real-estate market prices, the impossibility of transferring additional costs into the margins on new loans).

The latest solvency stress test covers a three year horizon (from the first quarter of 2014 to the fourth quarter of 2016) and it is based on the macroeconomic and macro-financial scenarios applied by the European Banking Authority. The adverse scenario foresees renewed persistent recession (economic growth of -1.4 per cent. in 2014, -1.8 per cent. in 2015 and -0.7 per cent. in 2016, the cumulative deviation from the baseline scenario standing at 10 percentage points at the end of the three year period), a strong depreciation of the domestic currency versus the euro (15 per cent.), a rise in long-term yields requested by investors on the government securities market (initial shock of 160 basis points, with a slight gradual fading of the shock to 120 basis points at the end of the third year), a decline in the market value of shares (around 15 per cent. compared with the baseline scenario), a 10 per cent. drop in the market value of houses versus the baseline scenario, an increase in unemployment rate by roughly 2 percentage points. The scenario is based on the assumption of a static balance sheet, an approach deemed conservative from the standpoint of the credit institutions undergoing restructuring (particularly the capital requirement determined based on the riskweighted assets of the institution cannot be reduced during the period under review). Despite the severity of the scenario that leads to the strong erosion of the credit institutions' own funds, the banking system has further witnessed overall a comfortable solvency level above the minimum requirements. At the end of the period under review, total capital ratio would drop by around 5 percentage points, to 14.65 per cent. and Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio would stand at about 12 per cent. The total volume of valuation adjustments for impairment of financial assets would go up for exposures to households and companies by around 68 per cent. and 53 per cent., respectively. A small number of small-sized credit institutions would face problems, requiring additional capital contributions to maintain the capital adequacy indicators at the minimum required levels, especially as a result of the insufficient share of high-yielding financial assets in total assets (loans, shares, corporate bonds). Moreover, the stress tests have shown that the stronger impact repeatedly seen in the case of these particular credit institutions derives from their incapacity to generate economies of scale, as well as from their excessive concentration on certain types of products and/or currencies, in the context of an insufficiently adapted funding strategy (difficulties in containing the loss associated with some financing shocks by way of transferring the associated costs onto lending rates).

The NBR also periodically conducts macro-prudential liquidity stress tests in order to assess the ability of the banking sector to withstand major withdrawals of foreign funding and/or deposits. The latest results of the liquidity stress test indicate an adequate resilience of the domestic banking sector. However, issues still exist from currency mismatches, certain asset sales and the need to safeguard lending to the real sector. The NBR will continue to monitor domestic and international developments while managing and maintaining system-wide liquidity and pursuing comfortable levels of prudential indicators.

The main amendments to the regulatory framework are not expected to bring about notable changes in capitalisation, provisioning or liquidity levels of credit institutions in Romania, considering that the current prudential requirements implemented by the NBR cover risks to an adequate extent. Implementation of Basel III will, most likely, not lead to requirements of additional, large capital increases by credit institutions in Romania. The high share of Tier 1 capital in total capital and the lack of hybrid capital instruments cushion the impact of Basel III on capital requirements for local entities. The introduction of IFRS starting with the financial year 2012 is in line with the global trend of harmonising national financial reporting and accounting standards with international ones, given the ongoing economic developments towards globalisation of banking operations and, implicitly, the acute need for an international harmonisation of accounting rules underlying the financial information disclosed to the public. In 2011, the NBR focused on preparing the necessary measures for IFRS implementation in order to preserve prudent levels of provisions, solvency and capital buffers of credit institutions even after 1 January 2012.

To date, the Romanian Government has not used public funds to support the stability of the financial system. The recapitalisation of the banking sector was entirely supported by bank shareholders. The backstop

measures available in Romania mainly consist of private sector solutions and only a few public sector solutions. The authorities adopted further amendments to the legal framework in order to improve the bank recovery and resolution mechanisms so as to preserve stability and to avoid systemic contamination. The private sector solutions of the backstop toolkit consist of supervisory and resolution measures, available to the NBR in accordance with its statutory powers.

The existing legal framework provides the NBR with the tools to manage financial stability threats posed by the deterioration of the financial situation of the banking system. There was no situation that required using these tools to date. The set of stabilization measures for the credit institutions in distress is additional to the special administration procedure, and consist of: (i) the total or partial transfer of assets and liabilities of a credit institution to one or more eligible institutions; (ii) the appointment of the Bank Deposit Guarantee Fund as a delegated administrator and as a shareholder of the credit institution; and (iii) the transfer of assets and liabilities of a credit institution to a bridge bank, established for this purpose. The stabilisation measures are based on the financial resources accumulated in the Bank Deposit Guarantee Fund, without considering the principle of minimum cost. Where the resources of the Bank Deposit Guarantee Fund are insufficient, they may be supplemented by loans granted to it by the Ministry of Public Finance, in which case the general lending conditions are to be set by Government decision.

Implementation of CRD IV in Romania

Directive 2013/36/EU was transposed into domestic legislation through Government Emergency Ordinance No. 113/2013 amending and supplementing Government Emergency Ordinance No 99/2006 on credit institutions and capital adequacy, as well as through secondary regulations.

The NBR assessed the impact of implementation of CRD IV/CRR package on the Romanian banking system. The outcome of the assessments points to the compliance of credit institutions in Romania both with the new capital requirements and with the liquidity requirements.

The NBR assessed the impact of introducing the new capital requirements set forth in the Capital Requirements Directive IV ("**CRD IV**") on the banking system via a questionnaire developed in line with the European Banking Authority's ("**EBA**") recommendations. The 31 respondents, credit institutions Romanian legal entities, were classified into 8 large credit institutions and 23 smaller- size banks based on the distribution of total assets held. The figures reported by the respondent banks are presented in the table below.

Equity ratios of credit institutions in Romania, according to the new capital requirements set forth in CRD IV (data as of 31 December 2014, arithmetic mean)

	Number of credit institutions	Common Equity Tier (CET 1)	Tier 1 capital	Total equity (Tier 1 + Tier 2)
			(%)	
Large credit institutions	6	14.3	14.3	16.8
Small credit institutions	25	14.5	14.5	18.2
Total credit institutions	31	14.3	14.3	17.3

Source: National Bank of Romania (Provisional data)

Based on reported data, all credit institutions in Romania with the exception of two small banks will fulfil the CRD IV capital requirements. Both countercyclical capital buffer and systemic risk buffer are considered zero because, on the one hand, lending is not on the excessive growth side, and on the other hand, the NBR considers necessary to assess opportunity for the implementation of systemic risk buffer only after phasing out the national prudential filters.

In order to assess the impact of the new liquidity requirements on the Romanian banking sector, the NBR launched, in 2013, a data collection exercise in line with European legislation.

According to the analyses conducted and based on data as of March 2014, the introduction of minimum requirements on the Liquidity Coverage Ratio ("LCR") indicator will not have a material impact on the Romanian banking sector, as most domestic credit institutions comply with the 100 per cent. threshold laid down in the Regulation. Smaller, non-systemically important credit institutions have reported LCR values below the threshold.

A caveat is warranted in relation to the outcome of the liquidity test since changes will be made to the existing LCR reporting templates and instructions by the EBA's Implementing Technical Standards (ITS) that amend Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014 (ITS on supervisory reporting) with regard to the LCR following the EC's Delegated Act specifying the LCR. The new ITS are under development at the date of this document.

Over the period ahead, the NBR will continue to conduct assessments in order to quantify the impact, the limits and the manner of using national options in line with the new European legislative framework vis-à-vis the domestic banking sector.

Romanian Banking Regulation and Business Standards

Banking Regulation and Supervision

Credit institutions are mainly regulated by the Government Emergency Ordinance No. 99/2006 on credit institutions and capital adequacy (the "**Banking Law**"), as subsequently amended and supplemented and by Regulation No. 575 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No. 648/2012 ("**CRR**") and, among others, by the Law No. 85/2014 regarding the procedures for the prevention of insolvency and the insolvency procedures. Notable amendments to the main national legal framework include:

- amendments to the Banking Law adopted by Government Emergency Ordinance No. 26/2010 as amended and supplemented in order to, among others, strengthen the special administrator's capability to deal with the banks in a weak financial position and to be able to respond in a timely and effective fashion to the supervisory authorities and crisis management of EU Directive 2009/111/EC;
- amendments to the Banking Law introduced by Law No. 231/2010 aimed at transposing the provisions of EU Directive 2010/76/EU regarding capital requirements for the trading book and for the resecuritisation and the supervisory review of remuneration policies of credit institutions and of EU Directive 2010/16/EU with respect to the exclusion of a certain institution from its application domain;
- amendments to the Banking Law and to a series of other main pieces of legislation introduced by Government Ordinance No. 13/2011 concerning legal remunerative and penalty interest for monetary obligations, as well as for regulating certain financial-fiscal measures in the banking field, as amended and supplemented aimed at inter alia, (i) providing the legal framework for the application in Romania of EP/EC Regulation No. 1093/2010 and of EP/EC Regulation No. 1092/2010, (ii) amending the legislation applicable to the Deposit Guarantee Fund (the "DGF") in order to allow for the use of resources administered by the DGF (including through guarantees) to facilitate restructuring measures authorised by the NBR regarding the transfer of deposits, including purchase and assumption operations, if such use of DGF resources would be less costly than the direct payment of compensations and (iii) ensuring the appropriate correlation of the legislation applicable to special administration of credit institutions;
- amendments to the Banking Law and to the DGF brought by Government Ordinance No. 1/2012 aimed at providing the legal framework for a set of stabilisation measures, including bridge-bank powers, as tools at the NBR's disposal for dealing with credit institutions in distress, when they pose a threat to financial stability;
- amendments to the Banking Law were introduced by Government Emergency Ordinance No. 43/2012, as amended and approved by Law No. 271/2013 in the context of regulating adequate procedures in case of the stabilisation measure regarding the involvement of the DGF as a shareholder in such credit institutions;
- amendments to the Banking Law were introduced by Law No. 272/2013, in order to make clear that implementing the stabilisation measures in case of a threat to financial stability also includes protecting the depositors and maintaining public confidence in the banking system, with a view to applying the stabilisation measures even in the case of small-sized credit institutions; also, the amendments are intended to more clearly specify the general objectives pursued by the National Bank of Romania when applying any of the stabilisation measures (to ensure business continuity for critical activities in case of disruptions that could materially impair the functioning of the economy or of the

financial market; to preserve financial stability and market discipline; and to protect covered deposits); and

- as regards the transposition of CRD IV (Directive 2013/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on access to the activity of credit institutions and the prudential supervision of credit institutions and investment firms, amending Directive 2002/87/EC and repealing Directives 2006/48/EC and 2006/49/EC) provisions into the national legal framework, Romania observed the implementation timetable set at EU level by adopting Government Emergency Ordinance No. 113/2013 on some budgetary measures and on amending and supplementing Government Emergency Ordinance No. 99/2006, as amended and supplemented, which contains provisions envisaging the strengthening of the legal framework on credit institutions and investment firms in areas such as corporate governance, prudential supervision and sanctions. The Government Emergency Ordinance No. 113/2013 was approved by Law No. 29/2015 and published in the Official Journal Part I no.171/12.03.2015.
- Following the approval of Directive 2014/59/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 establishing a framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms and amending Council Directive 82/891/EEC, Directives 2001/24/EC, 2002/47/EC, 2004/25/EC, 2005/56/EC, 2007/36/EC, 2011/35/EU, 2012/30/EU and 2013/36/EU, and Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No 648/2012, of the European Parliament and of the Council ("**BRRD**"), the national legal framework will be updated in order to ensure the transposition of this directive in the national legislation. The legislative proposal has been drafted and is under discussion at the authorities level.
- Given that the future law transposing Directive 2014/59/EU will establish the grounds for the recovery and resolution framework of credit institutions, the existing provisions of GEO No. 99/2006 on credit institutions and capital adequacy, subsequently amended and supplemented, regarding the stabilisation measures will be repealed (by the time of entering into force of the resulting law).

The current prudential regulatory framework ensures:

 (i) harmonisation with CRD IV and adequate measures to facilitate the implementation of CRR (Regulation No. 575 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012);

In the above-mentioned context, the following were issued:

- NBR Regulation No. 5/2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions, as amended and supplemented, which ensures the transposition of the provisions of Directive 2013/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on access to the activity of credit institutions and the prudential supervision of credit institutions and investment firms, amending Directive 2002/87/EC and repealing Directives 2006/48/EC and 2006/49/EC and specifies the manner in which the national options included in the CRD IV package have been exercised;
- NBR-FSA Regulation No. 7/8/2013 repealing certain regulatory acts. The said Regulation concerns acts that were jointly issued by the National Bank of Romania and the National Securities Commission to implement Directives 2006/48/EC and 2006/49/EC, whose application ceases with the entry into force of the CRD IV package.
- (ii) harmonisation with guidelines issued by the Committee of European Banking Supervisors (the "CEBS"). As of 1 January 2011, CEBS' responsibilities have been taken over by the European Banking Authority (the "EBA"), established by Regulation (EC) No. 1093/2010). Other areas in which the CEBS/EBA guidelines were transposed into Romanian regulations refer to governance arrangements, remuneration policies, internal capital adequacy assessment process and management of significant risks, liquidity cost benefit allocation, conditions for outsourcing of activities, assessment and validation of using advanced approaches for calculating capital requirements for credit and operational risks, retention requirements in securitisation transactions, clarifications regarding the revised large exposures regime and clarifications regarding the exemption of some short-term exposures from the application of the large exposures regime, the eligibility criteria for capital instruments to be recognised as original own funds.

Moreover, starting with the CRD IV/CRR implementation, credit institutions are required to observe the EC's implementing regulations laying down technical standards, directly applicable in all Member States, including also those related to the reporting field.

One of the key objectives of the regulations is to continue the harmonization process of national regulations with the guidelines and standards issued by CEBS/EBA.

In November 2011, the NBR issued Regulation No. 25/2011 on credit institutions' liquidity, which was subsequently amended and supplemented by Order No. 26/2011, aimed at improving the methodology of computing the liquidity ratio and being in line with the developments in the accounting field related to IFRS introduction. Accordingly, credit institutions are required to permanently maintain the liquidity ratio for all the operations in RON equivalent at least at the level of 1 (one) for the maturity bands of up to one year. At the same time, credit institutions shall distinctly calculate the liquidity ratios for operations in euro and for operations in RON for all the maturity bands, as well as for all the operations in RON equivalent for the maturity band of over one year. As concerns liquidity, NBR Regulation No. 5/2013 ensures the transposition of relevant provisions of Directive 2013/36/EU and of some provisions from Principles for sound liquidity risk management and supervision issued by the Basel Committee in 2008 into national legislation, as well as the compliance with European Systematic Risk Board ("ESRB") recommendations. In this respect, NBR Regulation No. 5/2013 maintains the provisions which are in line with those of ESRB Recommendation of 22 December 2011 on US dollar denominated funding of credit institutions (ESRB/2011/2). In December 2014, the NBR issued Regulation No. 5/2014 on supplementing the NBR Regulation No. 5/2013, aimed to supplement the regulatory framework on liquidity risk in order to be fully compliant with the Recommendation B – Risk management of asset encumbrance by institutions of ESRB Recommendation on funding of credit institutions (ESRB/2012/2). The regulation also transposes the liquidity risk provisions of CEBS guidelines on the management of concentration risk under the supervisory review process.

At the beginning of 2012, the Romanian banking system implemented IFRS as its accounting basis and for the drawing up of individual financial statements. As a preparatory step for implementing IFRS as the statutory accounting standard, the NBR issued Order No. 15/2009, as subsequently amended and supplemented, requiring credit institutions to prepare two sets of financial statements for the financial years 2009, 2010 and 2011: (i) one according to the Romanian Accounting Standards ("RAS"), which served as the statutory financial statements, and (ii) another according to IFRS, which served for informational purposes only, that also included a note disclosing the differences between RAS and IFRS, for comparison, and to further prepare credit institutions for the application of IFRS. IFRS financial statements were obtained by restating the RAS information. The NBR has also issued the necessary notification of the change to banks along with a timetable (NBR Order No. 9/2010) and ensured the compliance with the regulation on bookkeeping rules in accordance with IFRS (NBR Order No. 27/2010, as amended and supplemented), in accordance with the objective set out in the letter of intent signed in Bucharest on 5 February 2010 and approved by the decision of the International Monetary Fund's Executive Board on 19 February 2010 regarding the implementation of the IFRS by the Romanian banking sector starting with financial year 2012. NBR Order No. 9/2010 includes the main objectives regarding IFRS implementation to be observed by credit institutions and which stipulates credit institutions' obligation to: (i) shift to accounting record-keeping and to the preparation of individual financial statements in compliance with the IFRS, starting with financial year 2012; (ii) develop their own action plans regarding IFRS implementation; and (iii) inform the NBR periodically on the implementation of the measures set out under their action plans.

NBR Order No. 27/2010 includes the accounting rules for banks' operations (chart of accounts and its content) in accordance with the treatments set out by IFRS and provisions regarding the drawing up of individual financial statements (approval, auditing and publication) along with the shift to the effective enforcement of IFRS. At the end of 2011, NBR Order No. 27/2010 was amended by NBR Order No. 29/2011 to introduce certain new accounts in the chart of accounts so as to ensure they contained the accounting records necessary for tax authorities to determine tax obligations.

The financial reporting framework for prudential supervision purposes ("**FINREP**"), as well as the reporting framework applicable to Romanian branches of credit institutions having their head offices in other Member States, were updated considering both the effective implementation of IFRS starting with financial year 2012.

In the context of IFRS implementation as an accounting basis at individual level, the prudential regulatory framework establishing the treatment of prudential filters that would preserve prudent bank solvency, provisions and reserves was finalised.

At the date of this document, the FINREP, approved by the European Banking Authority, is governed by the (EU) Regulation no.680/2014, being directly applicable to the EU credit institutions. In order to ensure the optimal conditions for the unitary application of the FINREP individual reporting framework by the Romanian credit institutions, as well as the correlation thereof with the new FINREP consolidated reporting framework, this framework was adapted for solo reporting purposes and NBR Order no.6/2014 was issued. For ensuring the continuity of the financial and accounting statistical information, reported by the Romanian branches of credit institutions having their head offices in other Member States, needed for performing analyses and studies at the NBR level, NBR also issued the Order no.5/2014.

The prudential supervision system of the NBR consists of both off-site and on-site inspections.

Off-site supervision consists mainly of regularly monitoring the activities of individual credit institutions, groups of credit institutions and the banking sector as a whole, reviewing compliance with the prudential rules (relating to matters such as solvency, large exposures, related party lending, credit classification and provisioning, foreign exchange positions, own funds and liquidity) and limits, and taking remedial measures when problems are identified. It is based on the continuous appraisal of all available information on credit institutions' activities taken from various sources, in particular the statements and reports regularly submitted by credit institutions on an individual and consolidated basis, credit institutions' financial statements and annual reports, auditors' reports, credit institutions' presentations, the results of on-site inspections and information-gathering visits and other sources, including publicly available reports. Meetings with credit institutions' representatives also help supervisors obtain additional information on credit institutions' activities and performance. Conclusions and findings arising from off-site supervision assist in the selection of credit institutions to be supervised through on-site inspections and the related activities.

In addition to regular assessment of credit institutions' financial condition, off-site supervision also focuses on some other aspects of credit institutions' activities relating to the assessment of the suitability of persons intending to acquire qualifying share holdings and the approval of persons nominated for members of the board and executive managerial positions based on factors such as their reputation, moral integrity and experience and the approval of external auditors.

Regulatory framework developments have changed the supervisory methodology substantially, from a compliance-based approach to a risk-based assessment. The NBR focuses on enhancing the quality of supervision through a greater involvement of credit institutions' management in risk management, credit institutions' assessment focusing on their risk profile and consolidated supervision based on close cross-border cooperation, both between consolidating credit institutions and their corresponding supervisory authorities.

On-site inspections are carried out according to the annual programme of inspections approved by the NBR's Supervisory Committee, focusing on areas such as credit, market, operational and reputational risk management, management of IT systems, money laundering prevention and overall assessment of internal control systems. During on-site inspections, assessments are made of the methods used by credit institutions to identify, measure, evaluate, monitor and limit the risks that they face. Attention is given to the methods applied by credit institutions to measure, evaluate and monitor risks, to whether the information used in risk management is complete, reliable and up-to-date, and also to whether there is a clear definition and assignment of responsibilities to competent departments and staff members. On this basis, relevant conclusions are drawn regarding the overall performance of credit institutions and their risk profiles.

Minimum Capital Requirements

The minimum capital requirements of credit institutions are regulated by the CRR and the NBR Regulation No. 5/2013 on the prudential requirements for credit institutions, in accordance with which:

- the minimum initial capital of a bank is RON 37 million;
- the minimum initial capital of a mortgage bank or a building society is RON 25 million;
- the minimum amount of the initial capital and of the own funds of a central body of credit cooperatives is the equivalent in RON of EUR 5 million;
- the minimum amount for the own funds of a credit cooperative is RON 300,000; and

• the minimum amount of the total capital and of the own funds of a cooperative network is set at the equivalent in RON of EUR 10 million.

The subscribed minimum capital of a credit institution has to be paid up, in full and in cash, on incorporation. Similarly, in capital increase operations, the subscribed capital has to be paid-up, in full and in cash, at subscription.

Minimum Reserve Requirements

The main function of RON denominated reserve requirements is the monetary control and stabilisation of interbank money market rates while that of foreign currency denominated reserve requirements is to moderate the expansion of foreign exchange loans. During 2014 and 2015 to date, the NBR reduced the minimum reserve requirement ratios on RON-denominated liabilities of credit institutions to 8 per cent from 15 per cent., and on their foreign currency-denominated liabilities to 14 per cent. from 20 per cent.; both measures were aimed at supporting a sustainable rebound in lending and continuing to bring the minimum reserve requirements mechanism into line with ECB standards.

Category of minimum mandatory reserves ("MMR")	RON	Foreign Currency
	(%)	
MMR for liabilities with a residual maturity of less than 2 years	8	14
MMR for liabilities with a residual maturity of more than 2 years with prepayment clause	8	14
without prepayment clause	0	0

Source: National Bank of Romania

Provisioning and Loans/Investments Classification

Credit Institutions

Since 2012, all provisions are determined according to IFRS as adjustments for impairment losses.

From a prudential perspective, with a view to avoid any disruptions in terms of prudential ratios, the adopted approach for switching to IFRS was to preserve and adapt the requirements of the former prudential framework (NBR Regulation 3/2009 on the classification of loans and investments, and the establishment, adjustment and use of specific provisions for credit risk, as subsequently amended and supplemented).

As a consequence, since 2012 the classification of loans and investments based on the NBR methodology has continued to be performed monthly, but only for the purpose of establishing if there is a need for additional prudential value adjustments beyond those required by IFRS adjustments. Such prudential value adjustments are used in conjunction with IFRS adjustments in calculating prudential indicators such as own funds, solvency, large exposures, foreign exchange position. In the context of applying the CRD IV package, as of 1 January 2014, some new provisions and amendments needed to be implemented, mainly with a view to reconciling the national legal framework with the CRD IV requirements, e.g. (i) the total of the additional prudential value adjustments is now subject to a 4-year phasing out process complying with the CRD IV provisions and (ii) a new allocation method is in place establishing in a manner compatible with CRD IV the value to be assigned to the exposures representing loans/investments when computing the prudential ratios in the calculation of which the net value of these assets is needed.

Loan Classification for Creditors other than Credit Institutions

Pursuant to the NBR Regulation 5/2012, loans granted by creditors other than credit institutions to their nonbank debtors are classified from an exposure perspective into five categories: standard, watch, sub-standard, doubtful and loss. For each of these categories the provisioning coefficients are stipulated as follows: zero (0) for "standard", 0.05 for "watch", 0.2 for "sub-standard", 0.5 for "doubtful" and 1 for "loss" in the case of loans other than those booked in foreign currency or indexed to a foreign currency, granted to individual borrowers exposed to foreign exchange risk; and 0.07 for "standard", 0.08 for "watch", 0.23 for "sub-standard", 0.53 for "doubtful" and 1 for "loss" in case of loans booked in foreign currency or indexed to a foreign currency, granted to individual borrowers exposed to foreign exchange risk. Credit exposures are classified by the reporting institutions according to debt service history (the number of past-due days from the maturity date) and the commencement of legal proceedings against the debtor.

Credit exposures against which specific provisions are to be set up are those remaining after deducting any security interests securing the loan that are eligible for risk mitigation. The institution can decide whether to deduct such security interests or not. Security interests eligible for deduction range from any type of collateral (provided that the collateral is specified in the internal norms of the institution and provided that the institution has in place a methodology for determining the fair value of the collateral, which should be in line with applicable IFRS) to a set of personal guarantees stipulated in the legal framework along with their coefficients used to adjust the value of the guarantee to be deducted. Security interests securing the principal of credits classified as "loss" and in respect of which legal proceedings have been started and/or in respect of which at least 90 days elapsed since the credit became overdue are eligible to be taken into account (up to 25 per cent. as a general rule, and in case of certain types of collateral of a better quality up to 50 per cent.) as credit risk mitigation factors, while the security interests securing the payment of interest on these credits are not eligible to be taken into account.

Institutions must send a report on the classification of credits and investments to the NBR, along with the specific provisions for credit risk, no later than twenty-five days after the end of the quarter for which the report is made.

Capital Adequacy

The own funds requirements for credit institutions are regulated by the CRR. Hence, credit institutions shall maintain own funds which are at all times at least equal to the sum of the following capital requirements:

- for credit risk and dilution risk in respect of all of their business activities (other than trading book business), 8 per cent. of the total of their risk weighted exposure amounts calculated, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the CRR;
- for credit valuation adjustment risk, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the CRR;
- in respect of their trading-book business, for position risk, settlement and counter party risk, the capital requirements determined in accordance with the relevant provisions of the CRR;
- in respect of all of their business activities, for foreign exchange risk, settlement and commodities risk, the capital requirements determined in accordance with the relevant provisions of the CRR; and
- in respect of all of their business activities, for operational risk, the capital requirements determined in accordance with the relevant provisions of the CRR.

Capital Buffers

According to NBR Order No. 7/2013 regarding capital buffers, issued on the basis of Recommendation of the National Committee for Financial Stability No.1/2013 regarding capital buffers, starting with 1 January 2014, the capital conservation buffer and the countercyclical capital buffer shall not apply to credit institutions and the level of the systemic risk buffer is 0 per cent.

Participation in Other Enterprises

According to the NBR Regulation no.5/2013 and the CRR, for qualifying holdings (direct or indirect holdings in an undertaking which represents 10 per cent. or more of the capital or of the voting rights or which makes it possible to exercise a significant influence over the management of that undertaking) in an undertaking (other than a credit institution, financial institution, insurance/reinsurance undertaking or an undertaking carrying on activities which are a direct extension of banking services or concern services ancillary to banking, such as leasing, factoring, management of investment funds, data processing services or any other similar activity) for the purpose of calculating the capital requirement, Romanian credit institutions shall apply a risk weight of 1,250 per cent. to the greater of the following:

- the amount of qualifying holdings in excess of 15 per cent. of the eligible capital;
- the total amount of qualifying holdings that exceed 60 per cent. of the eligible capital of the institution.

According to the Banking Law, Romanian credit institutions may not acquire qualifying holdings in an undertaking if in this manner they may exercise control over the undertaking in question.

In order to establish a prudential treatment for temporary holdings of capital during a financial reconstruction or rescue operation of an undertaking operating outside the financial sector (debt-to-equity-swap operations), in accordance with the agreement concluded by Romania with the IMF and EU, the NBR published Regulation No. 26/2011, as further amended, which aims to ensure that a credit institution's involvement in such operations will be based on a prudent decision-making process.

Lending Limits

With respect to lending limits, credit institutions shall observe the rules established by EU Regulation No. 575/2013 regarding the large exposures of a credit institution to a connected client or a group of connected clients.

In order to prevent abuses arising from exposures to related parties, as provided by the Basel Core Principle No. 11, the NBR set out provisions to limit such exposures and to prevent banks from performing non-arm's length transactions with related parties. The limits on exposures to related parties are harmonised with those imposed for large exposures:

• an institution shall not incur an exposure to a related party or group of related parties, with a value in excess of 25 per cent. of its own funds;

where that client is an institution or where a group of related parties includes one or more institutions, the exposure shall not exceed 25 per cent. of the institution's own funds or EUR

• 150 million (in the case of credit institutions), whichever the higher.

In line with the previous regulatory framework on responsible and sustainable lending and borrowing, in December 2012 the NBR implemented the recommendations of the European Systemic Risk Board on lending in foreign currency, aiming at strengthening the currency and interest rate risk awareness mechanism for unhedged borrowers and introducing the creditors' obligation to evaluate, apart from households, the companies' creditworthiness, in order to create prerequisites for forex loans to be granted only to debtors that are able to cope with the increase in loan instalments resulting from a severe depreciation of the RON and an increase in the loan's currency interest rate. The NBR has also adopted regulations requiring credit institutions to incorporate in their internal risk management systems the risks incurred by foreign currency lending and to account for these risks in their internal pricing and internal capital allocation.

Deposit Guarantee Scheme

In 2010 the legal framework was amended in order to set the level of coverage at EUR 100,000. Pursuant to the stand-by agreement concluded between the IMF and Romania at the end of 2010, the legal framework was amended in order to:

- consolidate the Deposit Guarantee Fund ("DGF") funding regime, with a view to enhancing confidence in the banking system, by eliminating contingent credit lines by banks as a financial resource to be used if necessary by the DGF;
- improve the governance arrangements of the DGF in order to ensure that neither members of the board nor employees of credit institutions are allowed to participate in the DGF Board; and
- establish the mechanism that ensures the applicability of the provisions on granting compensation for the persons negatively affected by the measures provided for and implemented during special administration of credit institutions, in accordance with the provisions of the Banking Law (according to the Banking Law, compensations shall be supported from a special fund administered by the DGF).

Government Ordinance No. 13/2011, as amended and supplemented, amended the banking and DGF legislation to allow for the use of resources administered by the DGF (including through guarantees) to facilitate restructuring measures authorised by the NBR regarding the transfer of deposits, including purchase and assumption operations, if such use of DGF resources would be less costly than the direct payment of compensation. The DGF can borrow from the Government in the event of insufficient resources for deposit compensation payments. Also, the DGF legislation was modified with a view to aligning it with Romanian bridge bank powers.

Following the approval of Directive 2014/49/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on deposit guarantee schemes (recast), the national legal framework will be updated in order to ensure the transposition of this directive into national legislation, and will include provisions regarding the role of DGF in the resolution framework of the credit institutions that is to be implemented starting with the transposition of the recovery and resolution EU directive. The legislative proposal has been drafted and is under discussion.

Non-Bank Financial Institutions Sector

Non-bank financial institutions are entities performing lending activities as their core business; the main difference from credit institutions is that the former are not entitled to accept cash deposits or other repayable funds from the public. Non-bank financial institutions are supervised/monitored and regulated by the NBR in order to ensure financial stability based on a healthy and sustainable development of the market, as well as the removal of regulatory discrimination between these entities and credit institutions.

The legal framework for non-bank financial institutions applies to financial institutions which are not organised as credit institutions and which engage in lending activities in the broad sense, that is: (i) lending, including: consumer credits, mortgage credits, real-estate credits, micro-credits, financing of commercial transactions, factoring, discounting and forfeiting operations; (ii) financial leasing; (iii) guarantees and commitments; (iv) granting of credits in exchange of goods for safekeeping (such as pledging via pawnshops); (v) granting of credits to members of mutual benefit organisations; and (vi) other credit-like financing methods. The non-bank financial institutions must maintain a share capital of at least EUR 200,000 or EUR 3,000,000 in the case of non-bank financial institutions that include the granting of mortgage credits in the scope of their activity.

As of 31 December 2014, the financial aggregate indicators of non bank financial institutions were as follows: a total share capital of RON 3.1 billion; total assets of RON 24.6 billion; loans granted of RON 20.4 billion; and non performing loans of RON 5.7 billion.

As of 31 December 2014, there were 170 non-bank financial institutions registered in the General Register, under the NBR oversight, of which 44 are listed in the Special Register, being under NBR prudential supervision. In 2014, there were 15 deletions from the General Register following either own requests of the non-bank institutions themselves or as a result of a process of merger, division or other causes provided by law.

In the non-bank financial institutions sector, the prudential supervision system of the NBR consists of both off-site and on-site supervision.

Off-site supervision consists mainly of regularly monitoring the activities of individual non-bank financial institutions, reviewing compliance with the prudential rules (relating to matters such as large exposures, related party lending, credit classification and provisioning and own funds) and limits, and taking remedial measures whenever problems are identified. It is based on the continuous appraisal of all available information on non-bank financial institutions' activities taken from various sources, in particular the statements and reports regularly submitted by non-bank financial institutions, non-bank financial institutions' financial institutions of on-site inspections and other sources. Conclusions and findings arising from off-site supervision assist in the selection of non-bank financial institutions to be supervised through on-site inspections and the related activities.

On-site inspections are carried out according to the annual programme of inspections approved by the NBR's Supervisory Committee, focusing mainly on areas such as the management of granting loans to individuals and companies, credit, market, operational and reputational risk management, money laundering prevention and overall assessment of internal control and audit systems.

Payment Services Sector

On 1 November 2009, Government Emergency Ordinance No. 113/2009 on payment services (the "**Payment Services Ordinance**"), which implemented Directive 2007/64/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 November 2007 on payment services in the internal market, came into force. The legislation applies to credit institutions, electronic money institutions, post office giro institutions which are entitled

under national law to provide payment services and to payment institutions, which is a newly established category of payment services provider.

The NBR is the sole authority entitled to authorise and perform prudential supervision of payment institutions, according to the existing regulatory framework.

As of 31 December 2014, the NBR had issued 8 payment institutions licences and 48 payment services agents were registered in the Payment Institutions Register kept by the NBR.

Electronic Money Institutions Sector

Law No. 127/2011 on the issuance of electronic money came into force on 25 June 2011 and applies to credit institutions and electronic money institutions, entitled under national law to issue electronic money. Law No. 127/2011 implements Directive 2009/110/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 on the taking up, pursuit and prudential supervision of the business of electronic money institutions.

The NBR is the sole authority entitled to authorise and perform prudential supervision of electronic money institutions, according to the existing regulatory framework.

As of 31 December 2014, the NBR had issued 2 licences for electronic money payment institutions; these institutions were consequently registered in the electronic money institutions register kept by the NBR.

Financial System Supervision

In December 2012, the Romanian Government approved, by way of emergency ordinance, the establishment of the Financial Supervisory Authority (the "FSA"). From 30 April 2013, the FSA took over the responsibilities of the National Securities Commission (the "CNVM"), the Insurance Supervisory Commission (the "CSA") and the Private Pension System Supervisory Commission (the "CSSPP"), thus overseeing the capital markets and the insurance and private pension markets.

The FSA is a specialized autonomous administrative institution, independent and self-financed, led by a council of nine members, including a president, a first vice-president and three vice-presidents with executive functions, each with specific tasks corresponding to one of the three sectors of financial supervision.

Private Pension System

Pension reform in Romania has included the introduction and implementation, within the pension system, of two private pension pillars, one mandatory (second pillar) and the other voluntary (third pillar), both managed by private companies.

Second Pillar

Participation in the second pillar is mandatory for employees and certain other persons up to 35 years old and voluntary for those between 35 and 45 years old.

The contribution to a private pension fund represents a part of an individual's social insurance contribution payable to the public pension system. The contribution to a pension fund is deducted from the monthly gross salary of the participant, the level of which is determined by the amount of salary an employee receives. The contribution to a pension fund does not impose supplementary financial obligations on the state. In 2008, the privately administered pension funds received the first contributions, namely 2 per cent. of each participant's gross salary. In 2014, the contributions represented 4.5 per cent. of a participant's gross salary, which increased to 5.0 per cent. starting on 1 January 2015. It will be increased gradually until it reaches 6 per cent.

Contributions were first paid to privately managed pension funds in 2008. The table below shows the number of pension funds (second pillar) and the total value of their net assets as at 31 December 2012, 2013 and 2014:

As at	Net assets	No. of pension funds
	(RON r	nillion)
31 December 2012	9,637.3	9 pension funds

As at	Net assets	No. of pension funds
	(RON)	million)
31 December 2013	13,939.14	8 pension funds
31 December 2014	19,117.60	7 pension funds

Note:

(1) First contributions to the second pillar pension funds were collected in May 2008.

Source: Financial Supervision Authority

The decrease in the number of pension funds from 14 in 2008 to 7 in 2014 was due to certain mergers of funds.

The table below shows the evolution of the number of participants to the second pillar pension system as at 31 December 2012, 2013 and 2014:

As at	No. of participants 2 nd pillar
31 December 2012	5.77 million
31 December 2013	6.04 million
31 December 2014	6.29 million

Source: Financial Supervision Authority

Third Pillar

The voluntary pension scheme (third pillar) became operational in June 2007 and is based on individual accounts and voluntary participation. The activity of the funds pursuing business within this system is regulated by Law 204/2006 on voluntary pensions.

Contributions to a voluntary pension fund are established according to the rules of the various voluntary pension schemes, are charged and transferred by the employer together with the mandatory social security contributions or, as the case may be, paid by the participant, monthly, into a pension fund account.

The amount representing the contributions to voluntary pension fund is tax deductible for both participant and employer up to the RON equivalent of EUR 400 per fiscal year.

The table below shows the number of pension funds (third pillar) and the total value of their net assets at 31 December 2012, 2013 and 2014:

As at	l Net assets	No. of pension funds
	(RON mill	lion)
31 December 2012	598.92	11
31 December 2013	811.61	10
31 December 2014	1,039.79	10

Source: Financial Supervision Authority

The decrease in the number of pension funds from 13 in 2010 to 11 in 2012 was due to certain mergers and dissolutions of funds.

The table below shows an increase in the number of participants to the third pillar pension system as at 31 December 2012, 2013 and 2014:

As at	No. of participants 3 rd pillar
31 December 2012	292,146
31 December 2013	313,348
31 December 2014	346,452

Source: Financial Supervision Authority

Insurance Market

The FSA is a full member of the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA), an institution which has replaced the Committee of European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Supervisors (CEIOPS) since January 1, 2011. FSA is also a member of the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Committee (EIOPC), as well as of the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS).

Insurance activity in Romania may be pursued only subject to an authorization granted by the FSA or due to an authorisation in another European Union Member State.

Data regarding the insurance undertakings and intermediaries

Number of insurance undertakings	2012	2013	2014
Insurers (total), of which	39	37	37
Non-life	20	20	20
Life	11	9	9
Composite	8	8	8
Insurance intermediaries	585	610	597

Foreign ownership in the Romanian insurance sector accounted for 78.08 per cent. of the sector, or RON 2.33 billion in 2012, and in 2013 it increased to 83.21 per cent., or RON 2,88 billion. For the fourth quarter of the year 2014, foreign ownership in the Romanian insurance sector was 83,65 per cent., or RON 2,95 billion. As of the fourth quarter of 2014, Austrian investors held 33,52 per cent. of the foreign capital of Romanian insurers, while French investors held 12,55 per cent. and Dutch investors held 12.43 per cent.

Financial data regarding the insurance market

	2012	2013	2014
	(1	RON billions)	
Gross written premium (total), of which	8.272	8.125	8.094
Non-Life	6.469	6.490	6.452
Life	1.803	1.634	1.642
Gross indemnities paid (total), of which	4.761	4.545	4.468
Non-life	4.454	4.217	4.028
Life	0.300	0.328	0.440
Assets	18.960	18.358*	18.963*
Capital	3.749	2.246	2.587
Insurance reserves (total), of which	12.449	13.320	13.695
Non-Life	6.972	7.451	7.544
Life	5.477	5.869	6.151

* Data available at 31 December 2014.

Details on the insurance activity in Romania

	2012	2013	2014
		(no. of policies)	
Concluded Insurance Agreements (total), of which: (no.)	15,379,627	16,167,723	15,264,373
Non-Life	11,827,799	11,628,069	11,572,537
Life	3,551,828	4,539,654	3,691,836

Data regarding the Motor Third Party Liability Insurance

	2012	2013	2014
		(RON billions)	
Insurers providing motor third party liability insurance	11	11	11
Gross written premium	2.137	2.367	2.672
Gross indemnities paid	1.863	1.873	1.894

Capital Markets

Law no. 297/2004, as amended and supplemented (the "**Capital Market Law**"), Government Ordinance no. 32/2012, as amended and supplemented, (the "**GO 32/2012**") and the Regulation no. 1/2006, as amended and supplemented (the "**Regulation 1/2006**"), represent the main legal framework for capital markets in Romania. In particular, the Capital Market Law regulates the operation of regulated markets and alternative trading systems, the activity of investment firms, the market abuse regime, as well as the activity of issuers, while GO 32/2012 regulates the activity of asset management companies and collective investment undertakings and Regulation 1/2006 contains detailed provisions regarding the implementation of certain provisions of the Capital Market Law. These three pieces of legislation implement the provisions of the main European directives in the field of capital markets. On 27 March 2015, the Regulation 1/2006 was amended in order to adapt its provisions to the primary legislative changes made by the GEO no.90/2014 and by the Law no.10/2015.

The GEO no.90/2014 for the amendment and completion of the Law no. 297/2014 was published on December 30, 2014. The act:

- reduced the time period necessary for admission to trading;
- aligned certain provisions on public offers to the Prospectus Directive, removing requirements on public offer notice and clarifying the publicity regime for public offers;
- clarified the power of attorney regime by introducing the possibility for shareholders to be represented in the general meeting of shareholders by general authorization, if the power of attorney is granted by the shareholder, in his capacity as a customer, to an intermediary or a lawyer, subject to certain exceptions;
- simplified procedures for submission of documents for participation of shareholders in general meetings;
- modified and simplifying the voting by correspondence procedure;
- reduced the time limit for the payment of dividends;
- provided for payment of dividends by issuers through the central depository and participants to the clearing-settlement and registry system;
- introduced the obligation to submit copies of identity documents of shareholders to the central depository;

- relaxed requirements related to quorum and decision making procedures for extraordinary general meetings of shareholders that annul shareholders' pre-emptive rights in case of capital increases in cash or by contribution in kind;
- increased to 20 per cent. the limit of voting rights in regulated market operators;
- brought the requirements of quorum and majority vote in general meetings of market operators in line with the provisions of the Company Law no. 31/1990; and
- brought the capital requirements for investment firms in line with the provisions of the Directive 2013/36/EU on access to the activity of credit institutions and the prudential supervision of credit institutions and investment firms, without imposing additional capital requirements to those currently in place.

The Law no.10/2015 approving Government Emergency Ordinance No. 32/2012 on undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities and investment management companies and amending, and supplementing Capital Market Law no. 297/2004 was published on January 12, 2015 and:

- introduced provisions imposing that the evaluation of the creditworthiness of the assets of UCITS shall not solely or mechanistically rely on credit ratings issued by credit rating agencies;
- strengthened the FSA's surveillance prerogatives related to capital market operations in accordance with the European Union law;
- designated the FSA as the competent authority responsible for the fulfilment of duties under Regulation (EU) No. 648/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories;
- allowed market operators to choose between the unitary administration or a two-tier system;
- set the irrevocability of transfer orders entered into the clearing and settlement system;
- amended the provisions regarding central counterparties and central clearing houses, as well as clarifying the FSA/NBR roles and powers in what concerns the central counterparty;
- introduced special provisions on the theft of the financial instruments of clients and/or money funds that shall be deemed as a crime;
- introduced amendments in order to create the legal premises for amending the articles of association for a financial investment companies in accordance with Law no. 31/1990 regarding the conditions of quorum and majority vote required for the general meetings of shareholders; and
- introduced amendments regarding the sanctions regime.

Also, in October 2014, the Law No. 151/2014 (the "Law 151/2014"), regarding the legal status of shares traded on the RASDAQ market or the unlisted securities market was adopted, in order to ensure compliance of the Capital Market Law with the Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on markets in financial instruments, amending Council Directives 85/611/EEC and 93/6/EEC and Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council Directive 93/22/EEC (MiFID). The law provides a term and a procedure which must be observed in order to clarify the legal status of the shares traded on the RASDAQ market or the unlisted securities market. To this end, within a period of 120 days of the entry into force of the Law 151/2014, each extraordinary general meeting of the shareholders of the companies listed on the RASDAQ market or an alternative trading system or it shall withdraw from the market. The Law 151/2014 also established that the activity of the RASDAQ Market and of the unlisted securities market shall terminate by operation of law at the expiry of a period of 12 months after the date of entry into force of the law, which will occur at the end of October 2015.

With a view to aligning the Romanian capital markets legislation and practice to European and international standards, while ensuring their adjustment to local particularities, the Romanian competent authority constantly follows and captures the recent developments in the international and European capital markets. The FSA is a full member of the European Securities and Markets Authority and of the International Organisation of Securities Commission.

In terms of supervisory activity, the FSA will focus on strengthening its monitoring capacity over regulated entities. One of the FSA's priorities will be to ensure the implementation of the reporting requirements both for issuers and other entities and to ensure a fair treatment for investors. The FSA monitors the completeness of the periodic reports as well as the observance by issuers of the deadline for the submission of these reports. Significant achievements in this respect were made by the implementation by the Romanian competent authority of an application for the electronic supervision of issuers and other regulated entities through reports, easing the FSA's monitoring mission. Issuers failing to comply with the legal requirements are notified accordingly by the FSA.

The FSA also undertakes real-time monitoring of transactions performed on the Romanian regulated markets and analyses any abnormal movements of the prices and the quantity of the transactions carried out during trading sessions for the purposes of detecting possible cases of market abuse. During 2011 and 2014, the Romanian competent authority conducted several market abuse investigations, which were further continued by the relevant prosecutors' offices, on grounds of insider trading or market manipulation.

The FSA exercises its monitoring prerogatives also by performing regular and transparent controls of the activity of regulated and supervised entities. The control activity performed by the Romanian competent authority in recent years was finalised with sanctions, notifications of the companies under investigation with regard to the obligation to remedy the deficiencies ascertained by the control teams and notification of other state institutions (e.g. the National Office for Prevention and Control of Money Laundering, the Ministry of Internal Affairs, the General Inspectorate of Romanian Police, the Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice and the National Agency for Fiscal Administration).

Capital Market Intermediaries

Investment services and activities are performed by intermediaries such as investment firms authorised by the FSA, credit institutions authorised by the NBR, as well as by foreign regulated entities authorised in an EU Member State or in a non-EU State by the relevant home State authorities.

Intermediaries on the local capital market

The following table shows the number of intermediaries on the local market.

	As a	As at 31 December		
	2012	2013	2014	
Investment firms authorised by the FSA	46	39	31	
Credit institutions authorised by the NBR	12	10	10	
Investments firms authorised by the home competent authority in a Member State	1,362	1,436	1467	
Credit institutions authorised by the relevant authority in a Member State	144	147	148	
Branches of investment firms from other EU Member States	6	7	6	
Branches of credit institutions from other EU Member States	3	4	4	
Total	1,573	1,641	1,666	

Source: Financial Supervision Authority

Investment firms and credit institutions from other EU Member States are registered with the FSA in order to perform financial services in Romania further to notifications addressed to the FSA by the relevant competent authorities of the relevant Member States. Most of the notified EU intermediaries, including credit institutions, are from the United Kingdom. Intermediaries, both Romanian and foreign, must be registered as participants on the Romanian stock exchanges in order to perform transactions on those markets. Among the intermediaries registered with the Bucharest Stock Exchange (the "**BVB**"), six intermediaries are foreign entities originating from Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Poland, Greece and the Czech Republic. Their

accumulated traded value in 2014 on the BVB regulated markets accounted for approximately 17.04 per cent. of the total traded values.

Also, there is one foreign intermediary originating from Bulgaria that is registered with the SIBEX - SIBIU Stock Exchange, which in 2014 was the largest intermediary trading in the derivatives markets.

The total value traded on the Bucharest Stock Exchange by the investment firms authorised by the FSA is RON 11.690.221.168, approximately EUR 2.608.201.773, which represents 43 per cent. of the total traded value on the BVB by the intermediaries in 2014. The remainder of the total value traded is the activity of credit institutions authorized by the NBR as global activity, by branches of foreign credit institutions and by branches of investment firms authorized in their home country but operating in Romania.

Collective Investment Undertakings (*Organism de Plasament Colectiv***)**

Over recent years, collective investment undertakings ("CIUs") have strengthened their role as alternative savings vehicles, collecting significant financial resources from individuals and legal persons.

The table below shows statistical data on active CIUs (undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities ("UCITS") and collective investment undertakings, other than UCITS ("non-UCITS")), as well as on other entities active in Romania:

Collective Investment Undertakings

	As at 31 December		
	2012	2013	2014
Undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities ("UCITS"):			
Open-end investment funds (performing activities in practice)	63	64	70
Collective investment undertakings, other than UCITS (non-UCITS):			
Closed-end investment funds (active)	24	31	32
Investment companies	6	6	6
Total no. of CIUs	93	101	108
Management companies	21	22	21
Depositories	10	7	8
Entities from Member States			
UCITS authorised in a Member State and whose units have been distributed in Romania	26	26	29
Commentation for the second states and second st			

Source: Financial Supervision Authority

On a year-on-year basis since 2009, in the context of an increased aversion to risk caused by the global financial crisis, Romanian investment funds registered a growth in the ratio of bank deposits and bonds in the investment funds' overall level of assets.

	As at 31 December			
Development of mutual funds	2012	2013	2014	
Number of management companies	22	22	21	
Total assets under management (million EUR)	7.660	7,992	8,788	
Equity funds and investment companies (excluding ETFs)	4.947	5,054	4,628	

	As a	t 31 December	
Development of mutual funds	2012	2013	2014
Bond funds	2.637	2,793	3,871
Hybrid funds	72	128	261
Money market funds	4	17	28
ETFs	0.15	0.18	0.54
Number of CIUs	93	101	108
Equity funds and investment companies (excluding ETFs)			
	32	33	34
Bond funds	23	24	27
Hybrid funds	36	42	45
Money market funds	1	1	1
ETFs	1	1	1

Source: Management companies reports submitted by FSA. Reports total assets can be found on the official websites of investment funds managed.

Regulated Markets and Alternative Trading Systems

Two market operators are registered on the Romanian capital market, the BVB and the Sibiu Stock Exchange ("Sibex"). Both market operators are also registered as system operators. Each of BVB and Sibex operates two MiFID-compliant regulated markets (a spot market and a derivatives market) and an alternative trading system.

The BVB

The BVB was set up on 21 April 1995 as an institution of public interest effectively managed by its members through the Stock Exchange Association. The BVB Association decided on 10 January 2005 to change the BVB's legal status from an institution of public interest into a joint stock company in accordance with the Romanian Companies Law No. 31/1990 and the Capital Markets Law. A key result of this transformation process, finalised on 13 July 2005, was the merger between BVB and the Rasdaq Electronic Exchange, a process further continued when on 30 November 2005 the BVB Extraordinary General Assembly approved the merger plan by which BVB absorbed Rasdaq. Five years later, in 2010, the BVB S.A. became a listed company and its shares are admitted to trading on the regulated market.

The BVB is authorised by the FSA as a market operator and, as such, it manages the Spot Regulated Market and the Derivatives Regulated Market. Companies listed on the BVB Spot Regulated Market are classified in two tiers ("Premium" and "Standard"), according to their compliance with several qualitative and quantitative criteria. The Spot Regulated Market also has an International Tier and Other International Financial Investments Sector. The BVB is also authorised by the FSA as a system operator. In this quality, BVB operates an Alternative Trading System (Multilateral Trading Facility) with two main sections: one dedicated to the listing of small and medium size enterprises (AeRO) and one dedicated to trading of foreign shares already listed on a regulated market in EU or on another exchange in a non - EU country, using market-maker services.

As at 31 December 2014, there were 83 companies listed on BVB's Spot Regulated Market, of which 81 are domestic companies and two are foreign companies. At the same date, there were 42 issues of bonds listed on BVB, of which 35 issues were municipal bonds, one foreign corporate bonds and six domestic corporate bonds. The first exchange-traded fund was admitted to trading on the BVB market in August 2012; as at 31 December 2014, three mutual funds and one exchange traded fund were listed on the BVB market.

The BVB also operates the Rasdaq Market. As at 31 December 2014, 911 companies were listed on the Rasdaq Market. On 27 October 2014, the Law 151/2014 was adopted in order to clarify the legal status of the shares traded on the RASDAQ market or the unlisted securities market and to ensure compliance of the Capital Market Law with MiFID. To this end, within a period of 120 days as of the entry into force of the Law

151/2014, each extraordinary general meeting of the shareholders of the companies listed on the RASDAQ market or the unlisted securities market must decide whether the company shall transfer on a regulated market or an alternative trading system, or it shall withdraw from the market. The Law 151/2014 also establishes that the activity of the RASDAQ Market and of the unlisted securities market shall terminate by after the expiry of a period of 12 months after the date of entry into force of the Law 151/2014.

As at 31 December 2014, there were 35 companies admitted to trading on the Alternative Trading System operated by the BVB, of which five companies were listed in the section dedicated to small and medium enterprises and 30 companies were traded in the section dedicated to trading of foreign shares already listed on a regulated market in EU or on another exchange in a non-EU country.

Capitalisation of the BVB Regulated Markets

As at	Market Capitalisation	Year Change
	(EUR billion)	(%)
31 December 2012	22.06	34.6
31 December 2013	29.98	35.9
31 December 2014	28.99	(3.3)

Source: BVB

Trading Values on the BVB Regulated Markets

	As at 31 December			31 March
Trading Volumes	2012	2013	2014	2015
Total trading volumes (EUR)	2,011,971,123	2,028,446,245	2,277,882,633	515,932,578
Stock (EUR)	1,581,108,803	1,803,965,503	2,189,085,151	465,958,647
Bonds (EUR)	266,304,164	95,210,737	34,986,357	27,313,432
Rights (EUR)	1	6,459,754	0	0
Fund Units (EUR)	1,111,709	1,703,194	2,523,996	255,028
Structured Products (EUR)	154,366,409	120,425,947	51,287,129	22,405,470
Futures (EUR)	9,080,038	681,111	0	0
Number of trading days	250	251	250	62

Source: BVB

Note: The table does not include the values of the public offers conducted by BVB and of the transactions on the Unlisted Market

Daily Average Turnover of the BVB Regulated Markets

Year	Daily Average Turnover	Year Change
	(EUR million)	(%)
2012	8.054	(20.45)
2013	8.08	0.37
2014	9.11	12.75
Source: BVB		

BVB Indices	Value as at 31 December 2014	Change in 2014 (compared to 31 December 2013)
	(points)	(%)
BET	7,083	9.07
BET-XT	638.26	6.33
BET Plus	1,047.81	
BET-TR	7,734.89	—
BET-FI	30,283.31	(3.22)
BET-NG	705	5.74
BET-BK	1,308.27	3.69

Source: BVB

The BET index, reflecting the performance of the securities of the ten most liquid companies admitted to trading on the BVB regulated market, has increased in 2014, as at 31 December 2014, by 9.07 per cent. compared to end-year 2013. BET-FI, which represents the index of the investment companies admitted to trading on the BVB regulated market, has decreased in 2014, as at 31 December 2014, by 3.22 per cent. compared to end-year 2013. The BET-XT index, which reflects the performance of the securities of the twenty-five most liquid companies admitted to trading on the BVB regulated market, increased in 2014 by 6.33 per cent. compared to end-year 2013 and the BET-NG, which represents the index of the energy and utilities companies admitted to trading on the BVB regulated market, increased in 2014, as it was 5.74 per cent. higher at 31 December 2014 than it was at 31 December 2013.

Sibex

In 2003, Sibex was authorized as an exchange by the CNVM and in 2004 the CNVM authorized Sibex's derivatives market. In late 2009, CNVM authorized the regulated spot market operated by Sibex for equity, bonds and other financial instruments. Sibex's spot market has been operational since 22 January 2010. Also in 2009, the alternative trading system (the "**ATS**") operated by Sibex was approved by the CNVM.

In 2013, Sibex signed a partnership agreement with OPCOM for the development and launching of power derivatives on Sibex's derivatives regulated market.

In February 2014, Sibex signed a cooperation agreement with HELEX GROUP and in December 2014, Sibex finalized the connection of the derivatives market to a central counterparty, ATHEXClear, as well as the migration of the derivatives market to a new trading system.

Trading Volumes at SIBEX

Trading Volumes	Values at 31 December 2012	Values at 31 December 2013	Values at 31 December 2014
		(Trades)	
Total trading volumes	6,984,149	3,387,600	2,066,515
Futures	1,472,260	419,949	354,153
Options	1,096	15	1
Spot Market	4,732,266	2,155,871	561,148
ATS	778,527	811,765	1,151,213

Source: SIBEX

Money Laundering

First legislation on anti-money laundering was enacted in Romania in 1999 (Law No. 21/1999), abrogated by the Law No. 656/2002 on the prevention and sanctioning of money laundering, to establish measures for preventing and combating terrorism financing. In 2008, significant progress was made in the field of money laundering by the adoption of important AML/CTF legal acts needed to fully transpose the provisions of Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and Council of 26 October 2005 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing and of EC Directive 2006/70/EC as regards politically exposed persons, and to implement the recommendations in the Assessment Report on Romania adopted by the Moneyval Committee of the Council of Europe.

New money laundering monitoring authorities were created, such as the Division for "Monitoring of International Sanctions Enforcement, Prevention of Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing", which supervises compliance with NBR requirements in matters of money laundering and financing of terrorist activities. Since its establishment, the new division has conducted 189 examinations of credit institutions, which have resulted in 104 letters of recommendation or mandated courses of action that imposed immediate remediation of deficiencies within certain deadlines and 34 contravention fines for non-compliance with legal provisions amounting RON 540,000, in order to minimise the risk of money laundering and terrorist financing. The supervision of payment institutions and electronic money institutions from a AML/CTF perspective is entrusted to the NBR.

In December 2011, the Romanian Parliament adopted the Law no.238/2011 for the approval of the Governmental Emergency Ordinance no. 53/2008 for amending and completing the Law no. 656/2002 on prevention and sanctioning of money laundering, as well as for setting up some measures for prevention and combating terrorism financing. This act includes new legislative measures, adopted in order to comply with the recommendations of the Council of Europe of the Third Round detailed Assessment on Romania in the field of combating money laundering and terrorism financing. In addition, regulations were adopted implementing the international sanctions regime.

Moreover, in 2013, Romania was subject to the evaluation process conducted by Moneyval and the mutual evaluation report on the 4th assessment visit of Romania was adopted at its 44th Plenary (Strasbourg, 31 March – 4 April 2014).

From 2008 to 2012, the National Office for Prevention and Control of Money Laundering ("NOPCML"), the leading Romanian authority responsible for investigating money laundering cases, submitted to the General Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice 1260 cases of money laundering (in 2012, NOPCML submitted 346 such notifications), with a total value in excess of EUR 2,890 million (the value of money laundering cases notified by NOPCML in 2012 exceeded EUR 1,600 million). According to NOPCML records, tax evasion comprised over 70 per cent. of the cases notified to the General Prosecutor Office.

In 2013, NOPCML submitted to the General Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice (the "**GPO**") 443 cases of money laundering; 6 notifications were sent to the GPO and Romanian Intelligence Service for suspicions pf terrorism financing; and 297 notifications were sent to competent bodies for other offences. During 2013, the board of the NOPCML decided to suspend several financial operations with a total value of EUR 28.8 million, of which the prosecutors applied seizure for EUR 27.7 million.

In 2014, NOPCML submitted to the General Prosecutor's Office attached to the GPO 334 cases of money laundering; 5 notifications were sent to the GPO and Romanian Intelligence Service for suspicions of terrorism financing; and 377 notifications were sent to competent bodies for other offences. During 2014, the board of the NOPCML decided to suspend financial operations with a total value of EUR 9.3 million.

In the performance of its activity, NOPCML cooperates closely with the Ministry of Justice, the General Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice and the Court of Accounts. NOPCML is also part of the newly founded Inter-ministerial Committee against corruption, transnational organised criminality and of serious forms of financial and economic criminality.

PUBLIC FINANCE

The Budgetary System

The Budgetary Process

The Romanian Ministry of Public Finance prepares two main budget laws each year (the central state budget law and the state social security budget law). The central state budget is prepared by the Romanian Government, acting through the Ministry of Public Finance, and is based on draft budgets (proposals) from the direct recipients of budgetary allocations (line ministries).

The budget proposals submitted to the Ministry of Public Finance include programmes for investment by public institutions and other capital expenditures. These investment and capital expenditure programmes are based on a listing of certain projects approved in accordance with the legal provisions in force, as well as global amounts for other expenditures for investments.

The budgets are approved by Parliament in their entirety. If the central state budget and the state social security budget have not been approved by the end of the year preceding the period budgeted, the Romanian Government applies, with certain limitations, the provisions of the previous year's budget until the budget for the current year is adopted.

The consolidated general budget is prepared by the Ministry of Public Finance and includes among others: the central state budget plus the state social security budget; the special funds; the autonomous and self-financed institutions' budgets; and the local government budgets

The state social security system and local governments are each responsible for the management of their own revenues and expenditure.

• State Social Security Budget

The state social security budget is independent of the central state budget, although it is subject to approval by Parliament each year. The public pension system is based on a "pay as you go" principle, with the contributions paid by employers representing the main source of income for the system. The majority of expenditure from the state social security budget is in the form of pension benefits including old age pensions, early retirement pensions, partial early retirement pensions, disability pensions, survivor pensions and death benefits.

• Special Funds

Special Funds are the national health insurance funds, the budget of which is approved as an annex to the central state budget law, and the budget of the unemployed insurances which is approved along with the state social security budget law.

• Local Budgets

Local budgets are prepared, approved and executed according to the law on local public finances and the law on local public administration. These laws authorise local authorities to develop and approve their own budgets and assign to them certain sources of revenue, including a share of personal income tax and certain other taxes collected at the level of the central state budget. Additional local budget revenues come from property taxes. Local authorities are required to balance their current spending and they are able to contract loans for investment purposes and for refinancing local public debt.

Since 2011 when the Government Emergency Ordinance no. 63/2010 amending the Law on local public finances no.273/2006 came into force, the budgets of administrative territorial units are prepared and approved in two sections, operational and development.

Each of the two sections has its own revenue and expenditure. Thus, operational expenditure includes current expenses and repayment of loans, while development expenditure includes capital expenditures and post-accession EU co-financed projects.

Amounts can be transferred from the operational section to the development section only after payments for operational costs have been completely secured.

Local budgets of communes, towns, cities, Bucharest districts, counties and the Bucharest municipality, as well as the budgets of public institutions financed from their own revenues and subsidies from local budgets and external grant budgets are approved, on the "balanced budget" condition, while the development section deficit can be covered by the previous year operational section's surplus.

The annual budget will include distinct appropriations for payment of the previous year's arrears.

Since 2011, revenues and expenditures presented off budget have been included in the local budget.

Since 1 January 2011, the permitted level of indebtedness of each administrative territorial subdivision (such as a city or a county) has been limited to 30 per cent. of their total revenues (consisting of taxes, fees, contributions, other income and allocated shares from income tax, less revenues raised from some sale of asset) as averaged across the last three years preceding the year in which approval is requested. Loans contracted and/or guaranteed by the administrative territorial subdivision relating to financing of projects which benefit from pre and post-accession non-reimbursable external funds from the European Union are not included in the calculation.

Medium Term Budgetary Planning Process

Although medium term budgetary planning has been utilised in Romania since 2003, the Medium Term Budgetary Framework (the "**MTBF**") became mandatory only when Law no. 69/2010 on fiscal responsibility (the "**Fiscal Responsibility Law**") entered into force in 2010 resulting in medium term budget ceilings requiring approval by Parliament.

The most important reforms regarding the fiscal framework (as provided under the Fiscal Responsibility Law) include the following:

- *Mandatory Medium-term Planning*: A new stage has been introduced in the budgetary calendar. By 15 July of each year the Ministry of Public Finances shall submit to the Government the Fiscal Budgetary Strategy ("**FBS**") (covering the following 3 years), which shall be further presented to the Parliament by 15 August each year;
- Parliament Approval on Key Medium Term Budgetary Targets: Together with the FBS, the cabinet submits a draft law to be debated and voted on by the Parliament, which includes a maximum threshold for the structural deficit (as a percentage of GDP), the cash budget balance (as a percentage of GDP), personnel expenditures (as a percentage of GDP), guarantees issued by the government and local authorities, loans contracted by local authorities, total expenditure excluding financial assistance from EU, public debt (as a percentage of GDP), etc.;
- *Binding Medium-term Targets*: When preparing the annual budget the maximum thresholds referred to above must be observed;
- *Escape Clauses*: The FBS may be revised if: (i) there is a change in the scope of the general consolidated budget; (ii) there is a significant worsening of the macroeconomic indicators used in preparing the FBS; or (iii) there is a change in government. In the latter case the new government will make public whether its programme is consistent with the FBS and if not the Ministry of Public Finance will prepare a new draft FBS. This revision must be approved by Parliament and is subject to the review and opinion of the Fiscal Council;
- *New Fiscal Rules*: (i) The structural deficit can not be higher than 0.5% of GDP (or 1.0% of GDP if the public debt is comfortably below 60% of GDP); (ii) public debt should not be higher than 60% of GDP); (iii) intermediate prudential Public Debt thresholds have been set at 45%, 50% and 55% of GDP; (iv) an automatic correction mechanism has been put in place if a deviation of the above rules occurs; (v) annual increase of public sector expenditure has to be in line with the rules set by the EC Regulation no.1466/1997; (vi) the macroeconomic forecast utilised for budget planning has to be compared with the EC official forecast and any difference clearly presented; (vii) during the budget year funds approved for public investment cannot be transferred to current expenditure; (viii) and there cannot be more than two budget rectifications during one year; and (ix) a budget rectification may take place only in the second half of the year; and

- *The New Fiscal Institution*: The Fiscal Responsibility Law introduces the Fiscal Council, an independent body made up of five members, one from each of the Romanian Academy, Romanian National Bank, the Academy of Economic Studies, the Romanian Banking Institute and the Romanian Banking Association, who are appointed by the Parliament for a period of nine years.
- The Fiscal Council issues opinions and recommendations on official macroeconomic and budgetary forecasts; and on the FBS, Annual Budget Law, Budget execution and major legislative initiatives which can have an impact on budget expenditures. The Fiscal Council also monitors the observance of the fiscal rules.

Taxation system

The following table presents the share of the main sources of revenue in the general consolidated budget as at 31 December 2012, 2013 and 2014:

	As at 31 December		
	2012	2013	2014
		(%)	
Social security contributions	26.7	27.1	26,9
VAT	26.2	25.9	23,8
Non-fiscal revenues	9.5	8.6	8,0
Income tax	10.9	11.3	11,1
Excises	10.5	10.5	11,3
Corporate tax	5.6	5.5	5,7
Other	10.6	11.1	13,2
Total	100	100	100

Source: Ministry of Public Finance

Value Added Tax

The Romanian legislation on VAT is in accordance with the *acquis communautaire* (Council Directive 2006/112/EC on the common system of value added tax, with subsequent modifications and completions).

Since 1 July 2010, the standard rate of VAT has been 24 per cent.

Romania applies a reduced rate of 9 per cent. VAT for a limited range of services and/or deliveries of goods, including medicine and books. Romania also applies a reduced rate of 5 per cent. VAT for the supply of social housing and the supply of the land upon which social housing is constructed. The Romanian Government has also implemented a decreased VAT rate for deliveries of bread, wheat and flour.

Starting on 1 June 2015, a reduced VAT rate of 9 per cent. will be applied for the delivery of food products and restaurant and catering services, excluding alcoholic beverages, according to Government Emergency Ordinance no. 6/2015 amending and supplementing the Fiscal Code.

On 1 July 2012 the VAT registration threshold was increased from RON 119,000 to RON 220,000, (approximately EUR 65,000, calculated using the exchange rate from the date when Romania joined the EU).

Romania currently applies an optional VAT cash accounting system which is available to companies with a turnover of less than EUR 500,000 and was adopted in order to assist SMEs.

Income Tax

The Fiscal Code establishes a personal tax on salaries, income from independent activities, lease operations, dividends and interest each of which are currently levied at a rate of 16 per cent.

The 16 per cent. tax rate applies to the gains of individuals from: transfer of securities, currency sale purchase operations; income from the liquidation of a legal person; pension income; income from agriculture, forestry and pisciculture; income from prizes; and income from other sources.

In case of transfer of immovable property different tax rates apply depending on the period for which the property has been held and on the value of the immovable property (either 1 per cent., 2 per cent. or 3 per cent.).

Under the Romanian Fiscal Code, for individuals, the incomes from holding and dealing of state bonds and/or debentures issued by the administrative territorial units (local authorities) are not taxable.

In June 2014, legislation was introduced allowing specific deductions for individuals who had taken out loans and derive their income from salaries or pensions. The deduction exists for the period starting January 2016 and ending December 2017. This deduction cannot exceed RON 900 per month and is only available to individuals with qualifying loans.

In September 2014, a five percentage point reduction in the mandatory social contribution paid by employers to pension funds on behalf of their employees was approved and came into force as of October 2014.

Excises

Romania applies excise duties to goods which are mandatorily subject to excise tax at the EU level (ethyl alcohol, alcoholic beverages, manufactured tobacco, energy products and electricity), but also for other products such as coffee.

As an EU Member State, Romania has an obligation to reach the minimum levels of excise duties required by EU directives. In 2007, Romania reached the minimum levels for almost all goods subject to excise duties, with the exception of unleaded petroleum, gas oil and cigarettes, for which a transitional period was obtained.

Between 2009 and 2015, Romania gradually increased the excise duty level for these products. Also, taking into account budgetary needs and the tax evasion in this area, the excise duty level was also increased for other products such as intermediate products and other fermented beverages.

As of 1 January 2015, the level of the excise duties is expressed in RON. The level of the harmonised excise duties is updated on an annual basis, by reference to the growth in consumer prices, calculated over a period of 12 months ending in September of the year that precedes the period for which the new level of excise duties shall apply, by comparison to the level of the consumer prices for the period October 2013 – September 2014 which was officially announced by the National Institute for Statistics.

This reduction it was implemented by way of reimbursement of an amount equal to the difference between the standard duty rate and the reduced duty rate to the economic operators of those vehicles who are licensed within the EU.

Starting 1 January 2016, in the field of excises duty, the draft new Fiscal Code includes the following fiscal measures:

- Realignment of the levels of excise duties on alcohol and alcoholic beverages, as follows:
 - increase of excise duty on still fermented beverages from 47.38 euro / hl / product to 396.84 RON / hl / product;
 - decrease of excise duty on beer from 3.9 RON / hl / 1 Plato degree to 3.3 RON / hl / 1 Plato degree and, on beer produced by independent producers whose annually production does not exceed 200,000 hl, from 2.24 RON / hl / 1 degree Plato to 1.82 RON / hl / 1 Plato degree;
 - decrease of excise duty on intermediate products from 781.77 RON / hl / product to 396.84 RON / hl / product;
 - decrease of excise duty on sparkling wines from 161.33 RON / hl /product to 47.38 RON / hl/ product and, on sparkling fermented beverages from 213.21 RON / hl/ product to 47.38 RON / hl / product; and
 - decrease of excise duty on alcohol from 4,738.01RON / hl of pure alcohol to 3,306.98 RON / hl of pure alcohol and, on ethyl alcohol produced by small distilleries from 2,250.56 RON / hl of pure alcohol to 1,653.49 RON / hl of pure alcohol.
- Removal of taxation for crude oil from domestic production.

- Removal from the sphere of non harmonised excise duty of the following products: coffee, jewellery, furs, cars with a capacity greater than 3000 cm³, yachts, weapons, ammunition and marine engines with power over 100 hp.
- Introducing in the sphere of non harmonised excise duty on following products:
 - the liquids containing nicotine which are designed for inhalation, using an electronic device as e-cigarette 0.5 RON/ml; and
 - the tobacco contained in the heated tobacco products 384 RON/kg.

Corporate Tax

Corporate tax is calculated at a rate of 16 per cent. on taxable profits and is required to be calculated and paid quarterly. The tax rate on dividends paid by a Romanian legal person to another Romanian legal person is 16 per cent.

As of 1 January 2011 a simplified system of taxation was introduced for "micro-enterprises" by applying a flat 3 per cent. tax rate on their income.

According to the provisions of the Emergency Government Ordinance no. 102/2013 amending the Fiscal Code, certain fiscal measures have been implemented in order to (i) stimulate the incorporation of holding companies, (ii) attract foreign capital and (iii) create incentives for the repatriation/investment of domestic capital.

These fiscal measures consist mainly of:

- the inclusion in the category of non-taxable income of the income from sales/assignments of the equity securities held in a Romanian legal person or a foreign legal person located in a country which has concluded a double tax treaty with Romania, provided the taxpayer has held for an uninterrupted period of one year at least 10 per cent. of the share capital of the relevant legal person;
- the inclusion in the category of non-taxable income of the dividends received from a foreign legal person located in a third country which has concluded a double tax treaty with Romania, provided that the Romanian legal person receiving the dividends has held for an uninterrupted period of one year at least 10 per cent. of the share capital of the legal person that distributes the dividends; and
- the tax exemption for the income obtained by a Romanian legal person from the liquidation of another Romanian legal person or a foreign legal person located in a third country which has concluded a double tax treaty with Romania, provided that, at the moment the liquidation procedures commence, the Romanian legal person receiving the income has held for an uninterrupted period of one year at least 10 per cent. of the share capital of the legal person under liquidation.

The Emergency Ordinance No. 19 / 2014 on the amendment and supplementation of Law 571/2003 regarding the Fiscal Code reintroduced the tax exemption scheme for profit invested in the production and/or acquisitions of technological equipment as provided in subgroup 2.1 of the catalogue regarding the classification and the normal life of use of fixed assets. This tax exemption is applicable for technological equipment produced after 1 July 2014 and put into use until 31 December 2016.

Construction Tax

As of 1 January 2014, a 1.5 per cent tax on the value of the construction project has been assessed on construction projects (other than construction projects already subject to the buildings tax such as hydroelectric dams, electricity transmission towers and oil wells, construction projects and works for the reconstruction, modernization, consolidation, modification or expansion of constructions which are or shall be transferred, in accordance with the applicable laws, under the ownership of the state or the administrative-territorial units, and constructions of "arable terraces, fruit plantations and vineyards".

According to the provisions of the Law no.11/2015 amending the Fiscal Code, starting on 1 January 2015 the construction tax has been modified as follows:

• the tax rate was reduced from 1.5 per cent to 1 per cent;

- elimination from the taxable base of reconstruction works, modernization, consolidation, modification or expansion for leased buildings, taken in concession, under a management agreement or for being used;
- elimination from the taxable base of construction located outside Romanian borders, as well as those located in the contiguous zone and the exclusive economic zone of Romania;
- elimination from the taxable base of the value of buildings located in industrial. scientific and technological parks, which according to the law, do not benefit from the exemption for tax on buildings; and
- elimination from the taxable base of construction held by sports structures defined according to the law.

Taxation of non-residents

Non-residents are taxed at 16 per cent. of their gross taxable income obtained from Romania. However, the following types of income, when derived from Romanian sources by non-residents are not included within the calculation of gross taxable income:

- interest paid on public debt instruments;
- income obtained from transactions involving the use of derivative financial instruments for risk management purposes in relation to holding public debt instruments;
- income from the trading of state securities and bonds issued by administrative-territorial units domestically or on foreign markets; and
- interest related to instruments issued by the NBR for the achievement of monetary policy targets and income from the trading of transferable securities issued by the NBR.

Local Taxes and Fees

Every 3 years, the local taxes and fees paid to local budgets are adjusted to the aggregate inflation index. The last adjustment was enacted in 2013, generating an increase in local taxes of approximately 16 per cent.

Budgetary Income Collection

In order to enhance budgetary income collection, as well as to increase the efficiency of the fiscal administration authorities, certain measures have been implemented such as:

- implementation of a new categorisation system whereby taxpayers are divided into large, medium or small taxpayers;
- creation of special fiscal bodies responsible for supervising the decrease in budgetary arrears for large and medium taxpayers;
- a new IT system has been implemented, offering the possibility of identifying in real time taxpayers who have not complied with their declaration/payment requirements; and
- enforcement activities for the recovery of overdue budgetary obligations have been intensified. In addition, filings of insolvency proceedings (judicial reorganisation or bankruptcy) against taxpayers who have still not paid amounts owing following enforcement proceedings have been increased (such insolvency proceedings are a last resort and are strategically monitored).

In order to mitigate the effects of the economic crisis on companies' liquidity, and to prevent the accumulation of budgetary arrears, the National Agency for Fiscal Administration (the "**NAFA**") has implemented certain special measures including:

- the acceleration of the VAT refund process by new refund procedures, a special regime for exporters and the possibility to follow up online the settlement stage of a VAT refund claim; and
- the reinstatement of lost payment facilities as a result of the economic crisis effects (regulated by Government Emergency Ordinance No. 46/2009).

The General Consolidated Budget

The following table shows income and revenues relating to the general consolidated budget for the years ended 31 December 2012, 2013 and 2014:

	2012	2013	2014
		(RON million)	
A. REVENUE	193,148.2	200,374.3	213,833.6
Current incomes	184,030.7	190,704.8	199,774.4
Taxes	114,044.6	119,109.9	124,973.9
Income fee, profit and capital gain from legal entities	11,814.1	12,179.8	13,163.2
Income fee, profit and capital gain from individuals	20,968.8	22,746.9	24,213.0
Property fees and taxes	4,060.4	4,403.2	6,185.1
Fees and taxes on goods and services	76,127.4	78,775.0	80,352.2
Fee on the external trade and international transactions	707.3	620.0	643,0
Other fiscal fees and taxes	366.7	385.0	417,5
Contributions to social securities	51,658.3	54,383.2	57,612.1
Non-fiscal incomes	18,327.8	17,211.7	17,188.4
Incomes from capital	652.7	654.7	1,072.6
Donations	442.8	207.0	89,2
Amounts in distribution	42.9	-365.2	272,7
Amounts received from EU	7,979.1	9,173.0	11,099.7
Financial operations	_	—	-
B. TOTAL EXPENSES	207,922.1	216,168.3	226,326.8
Current expenses	189,274.3	199,117.3	210,135.9
Personnel related expenses	40,798.8	46,241.0	50,246.9
Goods and services	34,443.9	38,538.6	39,582.2
Interest	10,710.8	10,755.5	10,199.2
State aid	6,121.7	5,154.3	6,094.2
Transfers between the units of the public administration	1,357.9	1,012.5	1,459.5
Other transfers	10,993.1	10,692.9	11,810.7
Projects financed from non-reimbursable EU Funds post- accession (including national co-financing)	13,217.9	14,210.3	14,671.5
Social assistance	67,048.5	68,388.5	71,201.9
Other expenses	2,967.5	3,213.3	4,278.2
Programmes financed from reimbursable funds (including	2,907.5	910.6	4,278.2
local funds)	1,614.0	910.0	571,7
Capital expenses	19,304.9	17,975.1	17,140.1
Loans granted	—	—	—
Payments for previous years	(657.1)	(924.1)	(949.1)
Eximbank ⁽¹⁾		_	_
C. SURPLUS/DEFICIT	(14,773.9)	(15,794.0)	(12,493.2)
			673,575,0
Deficit a %. of GDP	(2.5)	(2.5)	(1.9)
Deficit as % of GDP (according to ESA 2010)	(2.9)	(2.2)	(2.1)
Gross domestic product	587,499.4	639,272.0	673,575,0

Note:

(1) Data regarding general consolidated budget were compiled by including budgets of local public institutions financed partially or totally from own revenues, internal and external local loans, revenue and expenditure outside the local budget, budget of public institutions subordinated to ministries, budget of Property Fund and issuance of compensation titles. Data has been prepared on a cash basis

Source: Ministry of Public Finance

The following table shows revenues, expenditure and deficit as a percentage of GDP figures relating to the general consolidated budget for the years 2012 to 2014 according to EU methodology (European System of Accounts 1995):

	2012	2013	2014
		(EUR billions) ⁽¹⁾	
Revenues (EUR billions)	43.8	47.5	50.0
Public expenditure (EUR billions)	47.6	50.7	53.2
Deficit as % of GDP	(2.9)	(2.2)	(2.1)

Note:

(1) EUR/RON exchange rates used are based on the NBR average exchange rate for the year.

Source: Ministry of Public Finance

Fiscal and Budgetary Developments - 2012

The state budget for 2012 was approved by the State Budget Law no. 293/2011, published in the Official Gazette of Romania no. 914, dated 22 December 2011 and was amended in August and October 2012.

The basis of the first budgetary revision in August 2012 included a slower growth of GDP of approximately 1.2 per cent. compared to 1.8 - 2.3 per cent. as estimated at the date of drafting the State Budget Law; deviations of the 2012 budget results after the first half of 2012 compared to initial estimations and the need to ensure compliance with the requirements of the precautionary Stand -by Agreement with the IMF of March 2011. After consultations with the IMF and EC, the budget was amended to result in a slight relaxation of fiscal consolidation from a cash deficit of 1.9 per cent. of GDP to a target of 2.2 per cent. of GDP.

The second budgetary revision in October 2012 was determined by a series of factors including: the analysis of the budgetary results during January-August 2012; the need to fund the operations of the main credit coordinators (e.g. ministries) until the end of 2012, the need to provide the funds necessary for the payment of arrears in certain activity areas; and the need to maintain the deficit of the general consolidated budged at the level of RON 13,660 million, respectively 2.2 per cent. of GDP.

Fiscal consolidation continued in 2012, and the budget deficit declined by over 40 per cent. compared to 2011, from 4.2 per cent. of GDP to 2.5 per cent. More negative influences on the budget deficit were recorded due to temporary blocking of EU funds reimbursements, to deficiencies in previous years (0.2 per cent. of GDP) and to the downward revision of nominal GDP (0.1 per cent. of GDP).

Revenues of the general consolidated budget amounted to RON 193.1 billion, 6.2 per cent. higher year on year in nominal terms and by 0.2 percentage points in GDP. Tax revenues recorded in nominal terms an increase by 8.9 per cent. over the previous year, registering positive developments in income tax (+9.9 per cent.), VAT (+5.4 per cent.), excises (+6.0 per cent.), corporate tax (+5.3 per cent.). Revenues from other taxes on goods and services have also increased significantly due to collection, since 2012, of the contribution to the financing of health expenditures, according to Government Emergency Ordinance no. 77/2011 regarding claw back. Social security contributions amounted to RON 51.7 billion, an increase of 2.0 per cent. over the previous year.

Expenses of general government amounted to RON 207.9 billion, representing 34.8 per cent. of GDP. This represents an increase in nominal terms by 1.0 per cent. over the previous year, but as a share of GDP, expenses decreased by 1.6 percentage points. The increase is due to a corresponding increase in EU-funded projects related expenses by 22.5 per cent., interest related expenses by 20.6 per cent., goods and services

related expenses by 8.4 per cent. Spending on goods and services increased at the level of local governments and national health fund as a result of payments made for medical services and medicines. Personnel expenditure increased by 6.2 per cent. as compared to the previous year, due to the reinstatement, to the benefit of the budgetary personnel, of the previously eliminated 8 per cent. of their wages and other salary related rights awarded by writs of execution. Investment expenditure including capital expenditures and the related development programs financed from internal and external sources, amounted to RON 35.5 billion (6.0 per cent. of GDP).

Fiscal and Budgetary Developments - 2013

2013 Initial Budget

The budget law for 2013 was adopted by the Parliament and published in the Official Journal on 22 February 2013.

Total expenditure in the 2013 general consolidated budget showed an increase of RON 14.8 billion as compared to 2012. This was due to:

• personnel expenditure, which showed an increase of RON 5.4 billion as compared with 2012 triggered by the reinstatement of the wages previously reduced by 25 per cent. and the payment of the second tranche of the amount awarded to the budgetary personnel by writs of execution representing salary related rights.

The 2013 budget was drafted with a view to maintain the salary rights at the level in December 2012, which triggered the full reversal of the 2010 wage cuts. As far as the vacant positions in public institutions and authorities are concerned, the policy remained the same, i.e. only one out of seven vacant positions could be occupied. The 2013 budget envisaged financing a maximum number of 1,187,000 employees in the budgetary sector. In the personnel related expenses in the general consolidated budget for 2013 an amount of RON 0.9 billion was allocated for the payment of the 10 per cent. tranche of amount awarded to the budgetary personnel by writs of execution representing salary related rights.

- goods and services, which registered an increase of RON 2.8 billion year on year. This increase was due to amounts designated for the payment of arrears in the health sector.
- social insurance posting an increase of RON 2.9 billion mainly due to the increase of the pension point by 4 per cent.
- national co-financing, which registered an increase of RON 4 billion due to support of the projects that can benefit from EU funds.

The 2013 budget was prepared with a view to ensure the adequate financial, procedural and contractual measures for commencing and finalising the infrastructure investments that have an immediate economic and social impact. The investment expenses for 2013 amount to RON 36.9 billion (5.9 per cent. of GDP). Investment expenses represented 16.6 per cent. of the total budgetary expenses.

The 2013 budget included certain measures for stimulating economic growth such as:

- Increase of available income of population by: indexation of the pensions with 4 per cent., increase of the minimum wage from RON 700 to RON 750 as of 1 February 2013 and to RON 800 as of 1 July 2013;
- Increase efficiency of investments by targeting those projects that can be financed from EU funds;
- Increase number of new jobs: net increase of 55,000 jobs as provided under the draft 2013 budget;
- Payment of arrears: releases resources in the private sector and contributes to the increase of liquidity in economy;
- Grant of state aids for investments: (i) state aid scheme for stimulating economic growth trough support of investments; (ii) state aid scheme regarding durable economic development; (iii) state aid scheme for supporting investments that promote regional development through the use of new technologies and creation of new jobs.

The 2013 budget envisaged the continuation of the fiscal consolidation process by increasing revenue faster than expenditure further to the implementation of a certain fiscal measures aimed at expanding the taxable base and applying the solidarity principle.

The target deficit for 2013 amounted to 2.4 per cent. of GDP in ESA terms and 2.1 per cent. in cash terms. The fiscal policy was targeting a structural deficit of 1.5 per cent. of GDP in 2013, compared to 4.2 per cent. of GDP in 2011 and 2.4 per cent. of GDP in 2012.

The fiscal consolidation in 2013 was based on a new budgetary strategy where revenue increase rate was higher than the expenditure increase rate. In this context, the Government intended to achieve the fiscal consolidation package mainly through an increase in budgetary revenue, which was at the lowest level in EU as per cent. in GDP.

The First 2013 Budget Revision

In July 2013, the Romanian Government approved the revision of the 2013 State budget, which was determined mainly by the revision of the Romanian macroeconomic indicators, the analysis of the budget execution results during the first six months of 2013, the necessity to supplement the funds allocated for the payment of arrears and to prevent the recurrence of such arrears (especially in the health system and at local government level).

The following table shows the macroeconomic indicators used for the initial 2013 Budget and for the first revision in July 2013:

Macroeconomic indicator	2013	
	Initial budget	Revised budget
GDP (RON million)	623,314	626,200
Real growth rate (%)	1.6	1.9
Average exchange rate RON/EUR	4.5	4.45
Inflation		
annual average (%)	4.3	4.5
end of year (%)	3.5	3.5
Average number of employees (thousand persons)	4,485	4,485
No. of unemployed persons registered as at the end of year (thousands)	465	465
Rate of registered unemployment (%)	5.2	5.2
Gross average salary (RON/month)	2,223	2,212
Goods exports – growth rate (%)	3.3	6.5
Goods imports – growth rate (%)	4.5	2.4
Current account balance (% of GDP)	(4.2)	(2.0)

Despite the positive revision of expected GDP in the revised budget, the modifications in its structure were not favourable to budgetary revenues, as the new economic growth estimate relied mainly on higher external demand compared with the initial estimate, rather than on consumption and investments. Thus, total revenues of the general consolidated budget were revised in decline of RON 2.3 billion, which triggered a decrease by RON 1 billion of total expenditures and a consequent increase in the cash deficit of RON 1.3 billion.

The revised budget included a new target of a cash budgetary deficit amounting to 2.3 per cent. of GDP (compared to 2.1 per cent. of GDP in the initial 2013 Budget), while the ESA budgetary deficit target was maintained at 2.4 per cent. of GDP. The increase in cash budgetary deficit target is due mainly to payments under the National Infrastructure Development Programme. The new target of cash budgetary deficit was agreed with the IMF and EC during the negotiations for that took place during July 2013 in order to enter into a new preventive stand-by agreement.

In 2013 the Romanian Government adopted new fiscal measures, including the reduction of VAT from 24 per cent. to 9 per cent. for bread and for the entire production and distribution chain for bread (including the production of wheat and flour). In compensation for the negative budgetary impact of this measure, the Government increased the excise duty for certain luxury products (such as gold or platinum jewels, natural fur clothing, yachts and other ships, with or without engines, for leisure activities).

The Second 2013 Budget Revision

In October 2013, a second revision was made to the 2013 Budget. This revision was mainly due to a prognosis revealing that the income target for the period August – December, was going to be RON 3.7 billion less than expected. This decrease of income was partially offset by a decrease of RON 2.5 billion in budgetary expenses. The rest of RON 1.2 billion represented an increase in the budgetary deficit.

The new budgetary deficit target in 2013 was set at 2.5 per cent. of GDP (for cash) and 2.6 per cent. of GDP (ESA terms).

2013 Budget Execution

The execution of the budget remained within the limits of the targets established by Romania and the IMF. The deficit recorded at 31 December 2013 was 15.8 billion, representing 2.47 per cent. of GDP, a slight decrease compared with the deficit recorded in the prior year. Deficit levels were maintained within the limits of the budget policy targets set for this year.

Revenues in 2013 amounted to RON 200.4 billion (representing 31.3 per cent. of GDP) – an increase of 3.7 per cent. compared to the same period in 2012. Tax revenues registered an overall increase of 4.4 per cent. compared to 2012, of which income tax revenue was increased by 8.5 per cent, social contributions by 5.3 per cent, profit tax collections by 0.7 per cent, excise collections by 4.2 per cent. VAT collections increased by 2.6 per cent. as at 31 December 2013 compared with 2012.

The expenses of the general consolidated budget amounted to RON 216.2 billion (representing 33.8 per cent. of GDP), an increase of 4.0 per cent. compared with 2012. This was due to an increase in staff expenditures of 13.3 per cent. as a result of restoring the wages in the public sector in December 2012. Compared to 2012, expenditures on goods and services increased by 11.9 per cent. (as a result of the payment of arrears by the local authorities and of payments made from the national health system), interest increased by 0.4 per cent. and expenditures on subsidies decrease by 0.2 per cent. Also, investment expenditures amounted to RON 31.6 billion (representing 4.9 per cent. of GDP) in 2013.

Closing of excessive deficit procedure

Based on the 2012 budget outcome and given that the EC services forecast deficits below 3 per cent. in 2013-2014, following an overall assessment on 21 June 2013, the EU Council abrogated its Decision 2009/590/EC on the existence of an excessive deficit in Romania.

Fiscal and Budgetary Developments - 2014

2014 Budget

The budgetary policy reflected in the 2014 budget was based on a slight reduction of the adjustment trajectory of the budget deficit with a view to facilitating greater absorption of EU funds in 2014 in order to further develop the potential for economic growth.

The following table shows the macroeconomic indicators used for the 2014 Budget:

Macroeconomic indicator	2014
GDP (RON million)	658,615
Real growth rate (per cent.)	2.2
Average exchange rate RON/EUR	4.45
Inflation	
annual average (per cent.)	2.4
end of year (per cent.)	3.0

Macroeconomic indicator	2014
Average number of employees (thousand persons)	4,570
No. of unemployed persons registered as at the end of year (thousands)	435
Rate of registered unemployment (per cent.)	4.8
Gross average salary (RON/month)	2,298
Goods & Services exports- growth rate (per cent.)	5.5
Goods & Services imports- growth rate (per cent.)	5.4
Current account balance (per cent. of GDP)	(1.3)

Thus, fiscal consolidation was continued by a reduction of the deficit based on an ESA of 2.2 per cent. of GDP in 2013 to 2.1 per cent. of GDP in 2014, respectively a reduction of the deficit based on cash from 2.5 per cent. of GDP in 2013 to 2.2 per cent. of GDP in 2014. These targets included an adjustment for investments of 0.2 per cent. of GDP compared to the Fiscal Budgetary Strategy 2013-2015, in order to create a fiscal buffer for attracting investments.

As far as budgetary income is concerned, the fiscal consolidation process was supported primarily by the following measures:

- Tax on microenterprises' income: corporate tax payers deriving up to 20 per cent. of their income from consultancy and management services were included in the category of microenterprises; therefore foreign currency differences and commercial discounts were eliminated from the taxable base.
- Excise and other taxes: the practices regarding the sale with premiums will be forbidden and promotional sales will be allowed only in certain conditions for products subject to excises such as processed tobacco, alcohol and alcoholic beverages; establishment of a computation method for excises in RON for the situation where the foreign exchange rate set on the first business day of October in the previous year and published in the EU Official Journal is lower than the foreign exchange rate set on the first business day of October in the previous year; increase of the excise level for unleaded gasoline, diesel fuel, kerosene.
- Mandatory social contributions: the computation base for health insurance is extended for persons deriving income from rental operations, irrespective of whether they derive income from other sources in relation to which they owe such contribution.
- Tax on construction, other than of buildings.
- An increase of the minimum wage from RON 800/month to RON 850/month starting January 2014 and to RON 900/month starting July 2014.

As far as budgetary expenses are concerned, the 2014 Budget provided for an increase of RON 9.4 billion in nominal terms as compared to 2013. The main measures included the following:

- Personnel expenses: an increase in wages for teachers at university and pre-university level; increase of the minimum wage from RON 800/month to RON 850/month starting January 2014 and to RON 900/month starting July 2014; occupation of the vacant positions in the budgetary sector on the "one to one" principle (a maximum number of 1,185,000 positions are estimated for 2014); ensuring the payment for the 25 per cent. tranche of the amount awarded to the budgetary personnel by writs of execution representing salary-related rights; no awards, holiday premiums or tickets will be granted (except for personnel from public institutions that are financed through their own sources).
- Social insurance: increase of pensions point by 3.75 per cent.;
- National contribution for the financing of projects that benefit from EU financing: the increase in the national contribution is due to the support granted to the projects that benefit from EU financing, which would have otherwise been supported from Romania's own budgetary funds.

The first amending budget in 2014

July budget revision was determined by:

- The need to budget for the financial influences of the measures and actions adopted in regulations which came into force after the state budget law for 2014 was adopted;
- The need to shift funds between line ministries;
- Increase in budget revenues due to additional sources of income (contribution due to drugs financed by the National Health Insurance Fund and the Ministry of Health Budget, the sale of greenhouse gas emissions certificates, income from capitalization of state reserve stocks);
- Implementation of compensation schemes to settle debts to the general consolidated budget by the simultaneous increase of both income and expenditure of general government.

The amending budget had a neutral effect on the cash budget deficit, which is maintained at the same level as the initial planning at 2.2 per cent. of GDP.

The second amending budget in 2014

The second budget revision was triggered by the need to:

- accommodate changes in tax laws (5pp reduction of social security contributions);
- correlate budget planning with the latest available data on budget execution;
- reallocate funds between ministries.

After the second amending budget, the deficit target (cash) was maintained at 2.2 per cent. of GDP (unchanged compared to initial planning).

The third amending budget in 2014

The reason for making the third budget revision in 2014 was the level of the budget balance recorded at the end of October, showing a positive result of 0.29 per cent. of GDP compared to a deficit of 2.2 per cent. of GDP programmed for the entire year. Therefore it was considered appropriate to use the fiscal space for the redistribution of budget appropriations in order to:

- pay earlier the writs of execution allocated funds to pay the 2015 instalment in 2014;
- pay the arrears of the local authorities.

This redistribution of funds had the direct effect of reducing the fiscal consolidation effort for the 2015 budget, and providing an additional boost to stimulate aggregate demand, while maintaining the budget deficit target at the initial level of 2.2 per cent. of GDP.

2014 Budget execution

According to operational data, the consolidated general budget for the year 2014 ended with a deficit of 1.85 per cent. of GDP, The previous year deficit was reduced from 2.47 per cent. to 1.85 per cent. of GDP, a reduction in nominal terms by RON 3.3 billion.

Consolidated general government revenues, amounting to RON 213.8 billion, representing 31.7 per cent. of GDP was 6.7 per cent. higher in nominal terms over the previous year. There were increases in revenues from: social insurance contributions (RON 3,229 million), excises (RON 2,989 million), property taxes (RON 1,782 million), income taxes (RON 1,312 million), income tax and payroll (RON 956 million). VAT revenues declined over the same period of the previous year by 1.8 per cent., while the VAT receipts increased by 3.6 per cent. and tax refunds were 19.7 per cent. higher. Revenue from tax on use of goods decreased by 35.4 per cent. due to the fact that in the previous year license fees for rights of use of radio frequencies in the amount of RON 2.0 billion were charged. At the local government level, there were also increases over the previous year in property taxes by 3.4 per cent., in use tax on goods by 2.8 per cent. and 2.4 per cent. higher than the same period last year.

Consolidated general government expenditures, amounting to RON 226.3 billion, increased in nominal terms by 4.7 per cent. over the same period in the previous year, but decreased by 0.2 percentage points to GDP. Staff costs increased by 8.7 per cent. over the same period last year, due to the increase in gross minimum wage to RON 850 from 1 January 2014 and to RON 900 from 1 July 2014 and of duty established by judgment. Compared to the previous year, there was a reduction of interest expense by 5.2 per cent. due to lower yields at bond auctions benchmark programs. Expenditures for projects financed from external funds increased over the same period of the previous year by 3.2 per cent., or RON 461 million. The costs of investment, including capital expenditures and the related development programs financed from internal and external sources were RON 32.4 billion, or 4.8 per cent. of GDP, as compared to the prior year.

2015 – Initial Budget

The budget law for 2015 was adopted by the Parliament and published in the Official Journal on 30 December, 2014. The cash budget deficit target has been set at 1.8% of GDP, which corresponds to an ESA deficit of 1.2% of GDP (to which 0.25 percentage points are added – representing an adjustor for EU funds co-financing) and a structural deficit of 1.0% of GDP.

The main objectives of the 2015 budget are (i) retaining the five percentage points reduction to social security contributions paid by the employers (which was effective as of October 2014); reducing the tax on special construction from 1.5% to 1.0%; (iii) increasing national co-financing to support EU-funded projects; (iv) increased the minimum wage to RON 975 as of 1 January 2015 and to RON 1,050 as of 1 July; (v) setting a five per cent. indexation of public pensions; (vi) increase social allowances for most poor families, pensioners and disability people; (vii) increase the salaries of teachers and teaching support staff by five per cent. as of 1 March 2015 and by a further five per cent. as of 1 September 2015; and (viii) increase the salaries of health and social care staff, by an additional RON 100 as of 1 January 2015.

The following table shows the macroeconomic indicators used for the 2015 budget:

GDP (RON million)	709,681
Real growth rate (%)	2.5
Average exchange rate RON/EUR	4.42
Inflation	
– annual average (%)	2.2
Average number of employees (thousand persons)	4,582
No. of unemployed persons registered as at the end of year (thousands)	465
- Rate of registered unemployment (%)	5.1
Gross average salary (RON/month)	1,758
Goods & Services exports – growth (%)	5.9
Goods & Services imports – growth rate (%)	6.9
Current account balance (% of GDP)	-1.5

2015 Budget Execution

For the first two months of 2015, the budget recorded a surplus of RON 2.3 billion or 0.33 per cent. of GDP while the first two months of 2014 recorded a deficit of RON 3.1 billion or 0.46 per cent. of GDP.

Revenues amounted to RON 33.8 billion, or 4.8 per cent. of GDP, which was 10.9 per cent. higher, on a nominal basis, than revenues in the prior period. Tax revenues were generally higher than the prior period, with VAT tax revenue being 19.5 per cent. higher, excise taxes being 14.1 per cent. higher, income taxes being 11.4 per cent. higher and profit tax contributions were 7.9 per cent. higher. Non-tax revenues increased by 23.9 per cent. over the first two months of 2014. Social security contributions were noticeably reduced (4.5 per cent. as compared to the prior period) as a result of a reduction in the level of required employer contributions. Local government revenues increased slightly with revenue from property tax increasing by 3.4 per cent. while non-tax revenues declined by 2.1 per cent. in the same period.

Expenses incurred under the general consolidated budget amounted to RON 31.5 billion in the two months to 28 February 2015, which represents a 6.2 per cent increase, in nominal terms, as compared to the two months

to February 2014. The most significant reductions in expenditures were subventions (39.9 per cent. decrease), interest (16.2 per cent.) and goods and services (10.6 per cent decrease). Additionally, investment expenditure, for both capital expenditures and related development programs were RON 2.0 billion, or 0.3 per cent of GDP, which was equivalent to the investment expenditure of the prior period.

In view of the consolidation of fiscal and budgetary policy and the continuation of the measures for enhancing the efficiency of the fiscal system, the government promulgated a draft new Fiscal Code, which is also a priority objective of the budgetary-fiscal strategy for the period 2013-2015 and the legislative programme of the government for the period 2013-2016.

The draft new Fiscal Code includes a series of fiscal measures to gradually reduce VAT levels during the next four years, along with the improvement of the collection of budgetary revenues. In this context, the government proposes to reduce the standard VAT rate from 24 per cent. to 20 per cent. starting on 1 January 2016, as well as the reduction of the standard VAT rate to 18 per cent., as of 1 January 2018. The government expects this to have a substantial contribution to the reduction of tax evasion, the growth of internal consumption and the stimulation of economic growth. The draft new Fiscal Code also includes several cuts in excise duties, along with fiscal relaxation measures in respect of direct taxes (i.e., profit tax, income tax, local taxes and duties) and social contributions (i.e. mandatory social contributions and health contributions).

The draft new Fiscal Code was approved by the government and is currently under legislative procedure in the Parliament, being further subject to debates and, potentially, amendments by the Parliament. The fiscal measures shall be adopted by observing budgetary neutrality principles.

Budget Execution in the First Quarter of 2015

The execution of the consolidated general budget for the first quarter of 2015 recorded a surplus of RON 4.9 billion, or 0.7 per cent. of GDP, while the same period of 2014 recorded a deficit of RON 0.9 billion or 0.14 per cent. of GDP.

Revenues amounted to RON 54.96 billion, or 7.8 per cent. of GDP, which was 12.6 per cent. higher, on a nominal basis, than revenues in the same period of 2014. Tax revenues were generally higher than in the same period of 2014, with VAT tax revenue being 20.8 per cent. higher, excise taxes being 11.4 per cent. higher, income taxes being 14.6 per cent. higher and non-tax revenues increased by 21.2 per cent. as compared to the same period of 2014. Social security contributions were marginally higher (0.5 per cent. as compared to the previous year) as a result of a reduction in the level of required employer contributions. Local government revenues increased with revenue from property tax increasing by 6.6 per cent., while non-tax revenues declined slightly (by 0.4 per cent. in the same period).

Expenses incurred under the budget amounted to RON 50.1 billion, which represents a 0.6 per cent decrease, in nominal terms, as compared to the same period of the previous year, or 0.3 per cent. of GDP. The most significant reductions in expenditures were subventions (3.4 per cent. decrease), goods and services (3.1 per cent decrease) with the highest decrease recoded on interests (34.1 per cent.). The latter was a result of the seasonality or payments and historic maximum decrease of government bods yields. Additionally, investment expenditure, for both capital expenditures and related development programs (financed from both internal and external sources) were RON 3.42 billion, or 0.5 per cent of GDP, a slight increase (by 2.5 percent.) to the investment expenditure of the same period of 2014.

Arrears of the General Consolidated Budget

The following table shows the breakdown of government arrears (outstanding for more than 90 days in accordance with the definition in the stand-by agreement 2009-2011 concluded with the IMF) by sectors as at 31 December 2012, 2013, 2014 and as at 31 March 2015:

		31 December		31 March
	2012	2013	2014	2015
		(RON m	illion)	
State Budget ⁽¹⁾	27.7	20.0	5.6	67.3
Local Budget	840.2	196.2	120.7	255.3
Social Security Budget	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Total	867.9	216.3	126.3	322.6

Source: Ministry of Public Finance

Certain measures have been taken to reduce arrears such as:

- in order to address the issue of receivables outstanding against local public administration authorities as a result of certain economic activities, the Government adopted Government Emergency Ordinance No. 51/2010 enacting certain measures to reduce arrears in the economy, as well as other financial measures. As a result, the Ministry of Public Finance can grant to administrative-territorial units loans from the proceeds obtained through privatisation of up to RON 1.5 billion at an interest rate of 6.25 per cent. for the purpose of paying outstanding debts (recorded as at 31 December 2009) to suppliers of goods, services and works, including towards entities providing the public services of generation, transmission and distribution of thermal energy in a centralised system. The amounts obtained from such loans are to be used exclusively for settling: (i) outstanding fiscal obligations to suppliers of goods, services and works; and (ii) outstanding fiscal obligations to entities which have outstanding claims to recover from economic operators providing the public services of generation, transmission and distribution of thermal energy in a centralised system;
- certain financial measures have been implemented through amendments to the Local Public Finance Law No. 273/2006: the annual budget includes, separately, budgetary credits for the payment of arrears from the previous year; no new budgetary commitments can be made unless the arrears are paid.

In addition, the Government took measures for the purpose of reducing the arrears of companies that are majority state-owned, such as an approval by Government Ordinance No. 30/2011 of an aid scheme for all companies which pay the basic debts to the consolidated state budget by exempting the payment of the related accessories.

Six different mechanisms will also be used in relation to reducing the arrears of state owned enterprises: (i) non-viable companies will be placed in bankruptcy allowing legal procedures for settling claims of creditors to commence; (ii) companies that have sufficient liquidity will be required to pay their arrears according to an agreed timetable; (iii) the government has developed agreements with a neutral budgetary impact that will cancel or clear the arrears to the State; (iv) where applicable, Romania will seek to obtain the acceptance of the EU competition authorities to develop plans to increase capital and/or financial support to pay arrears; (v) mechanisms will be developed to facilitate restructuring and securitising state owned enterprises' arrears; and (vi) where possible, Romania will consider the use of debt-to-equity swaps or privatisations to cancel arrears. Companies participating in these schemes will be strictly held to the success of the action plans they agreed in order to provide insurance that they will not accumulate further arrears.

The clawback tax generated RON 1,811.4 million in 2012 to pay outstanding invoices. All revenues derived from the clawback tax will be used to reduce payment periods and ensure that no arrears will accrue in the pharmaceutical sector. The clawback tax generated RON 1,064.0 million in 2013, RON 1,521.7 million in 2014, and RON 484.8 million for the first three months of 2015.

The arrears in respect of the state owned companies that are monitored in accordance with the IMF agreement have been kept at a level of 2.0 per cent. of GDP since the end of 2012. Outstanding payments by active state companies (which are not in various insolvency stages as stipulated by the legislation) monitored by the International Financial Institutions ("IFIs") recorded a level of 6.8 billion RON (1.1 per cent. of GDP) at the end of 2013 and a level of 3.5 billion RON (0.519 per cent. of GDP) at the end of 2014 which fell close to the target set by the IFIs for this year of 3.4 billion RON.

Public Debt

Overview

The public debt of Romania is subject to Government Emergency Ordinance No. 64/2007 (the "Debt Ordinance"), which came into force in June 2007. For the purposes of reporting governmental public indebtedness, Romania distinguishes between governmental public indebtedness incurred directly and

Note:

⁽¹⁾ Includes State budget and self financed.

governmental public indebtedness guaranteed by the Romanian Government through the Ministry of Public Finance, and includes amounts advanced temporarily from the credit of the treasury account and debt contracted directly by line ministries. For the purposes of reporting local public indebtedness, Romania includes indebtedness incurred directly or guaranteed by local public administration authorities in accordance with the Debt Ordinance and Law No. 273/2006 on local public finance.

The level of the total public indebtedness calculated on the basis of the national legislation decreased to 40.1 per cent. of GDP at the end of January 2015 compared to 40.4 per cent. at the end of 2012, and in nominal terms increased by 42.1 billion RON due to operations for financing the budget deficit, refinancing the public debt and the guarantees issued under the governmental programs, especially the "First House" Programme (see also "*Foreign Trade and Balance of Payments—Foreign Direct* Investment").

With respect to the debt structure an decrease of the government public indebtedness has been registered from 42.1 per cent. of GDP at the end of 2014 to 38.1 per cent. of GDP as at the end of January 2015 and the level of local public indebtedness of 2.2 per cent. of GDP recorded at the end of 2014 slightly decreased to 2.1 per cent. at the end of January 2015.

The following table shows public indebtedness indicators according to national legislation as at 31 December 2012, 2013, 2014 and January 2015:

	31 Janua (prelimina		31 December 2014 (preliminary data)				31 December 2012	
	(RON m)	(EUR m)	(RON m)	(EUR m)	(RON m)	(EUR m)	(RON m)	(EUR m)
1. Public government debt of:	268,239.8	60,381.7	280,930.5	62,678.3	252,179.8	56,231.1	226,841.9	51,220.9
a) direct public debt	253,083.6	56,970.0	265,593.2	59,256.4	237,972.1	53,063.1	213,731.0	48,260.4
b) guaranteed debt	15,156.2	3,411.7	15,337.3	3,421.9	14,207.7	3,168.0	13,110.9	2,960.4
- weight in GDP (%)	38.1%	38.1%	42.1%	42.1%	39.6%	39.6%	38.0%	38.0%
- direct debt, weight in GDP (%)	35.9%	35.9%	39.8%	39.8%	37.4%	37.4%	35.8%	35.8%
- guaranteed debt, weight in GDP (%)	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%	2.3%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%
2. Local debt of:	14,677.6	3,304.0	14,648.2	3,268.2	14,971.1	3,338.3	14,000.7	3,161.4
a) contracted directly	14,141.5	3,183.3	14,119.7	3,150.3	14,376.2	3,205.6	13,302.8	3,003.8
b) guaranteed by local public authorities	536.1	120.7	528.5	117.9	594.9	132.7	697.9	157.6
- weight in GDP (%).	2.0%	2.0%	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%
- direct debt, weight in GDP (%)	1.9%	1.9%	2.1%	2.1%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%
-guaranteed debt, weight in GDP (%)	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
3. Public debt	282,917.4	63,685.7	295,578.7	65,946.5	267,150.9	59,569.4	240,842.6	54,382.2
Total public debt, weight in GDP (%)		40.1%		44.3%		41.9%		40.4%
GDP (mln. RON)		704,950.0		666,637.0		637,583.1		596,682.0
Exchange rate at the end of reporting period (EUR/RON)		4.4424		4.4921		4.4947		4.4287

Source: Ministry of Public Finance

Governmental Public Indebtedness

The level of external governmental public indebtedness (representing direct external liabilities of Romania or liabilities guaranteed by Romania) according to national legislation increased by RON 21.8 billion from December 2012 to January 2015, mainly due to loans contracted from external markets in order to support the budget deficit financing and refinancing of public debt in the period from the end of 2012 to January 2015. (see – *Government Bonds – Public Debt Instruments and External Financing Programmes*).

According to national legislation, the level of external governmental public indebtedness which was 17.8 per cent. of GDP as at the end of January 2015, increased from the level recorded at the end of 2012 (17.3 per cent. of GDP). (*Source: Ministry of Public Finance*)

According to EU methodology, the external general government debt (including debt of companies reclassified in central government sub-sector) represented 19.5 per cent. of GDP at end January 2015, registering an increase as compared with the level at the end of 2012 (19.0 per cent. of GDP).

Domestic public government debt according to national legislation increased during the period from December 2012 to January 2015 by RON 19.6 billion, while as a percentage of GDP decreased by 0.4 per cent. due to the financing of budget deficits and refinancing of public government debt mainly from domestic sources. Since 2007 government securities have been issued regularly in accordance with the Ministry of Public Finance's objectives of developing the government securities markets, building the yield curve for these instruments, increasing the transparency and predictability of the issuance process and limiting the currency risk for the government debt portfolio. At the end of January 2015, outstanding government securities issued on the domestic market in RON and EUR amounted to RON 116.2 billion, of which treasury bills represented RON 11.1 billion and bonds RON 105.1 billion. (*Source: Ministry of Public Finance*)

According to EU methodology the level of general government debt increased to 38.8 per cent. of GDP as at the end of January 2015 compared to 38.0 per cent. as at the end of 2013.

As an EU member state, Romania has to observe the ceiling for general government debt of 60 per cent. of GDP (according to EU methodology).

The governmental public indebtedness of the State according to national legislation during the years ended 31 December 2013, 2014 and 31 January 2015 developed as follows:

	31 December 2013	31 December 2013	31 December 2014 (preliminary data)	31 December 2014	31 January (preliminary data)	31 January 2015
-		(%)		(%)		(%)
Public Government Debt	252,179.8	100%	280,930.5	100%	268,239.7	100%
- direct debt	237,972.1	94.4%	265,593.2	94.5%	253,083.5	94.3%
- guaranteed debt	14,207.7	5.6%	15,337.3	5.5%	15,156.2	5.7%
- multilateral	65,415.4	25.9%	60,728.4	21.6%	53,950.7	20.1%
- bilateral	195.1	0.1%	181.1	0.1%	184.4	0.1%
- private banks and others	186,569.3	74.0%	220,021.0	78.3%	214,104.6	79.8%
- T-bills	10,298.1	4.1%	10,793.5	3.8%	11,092.3	4.1%
- cash management instruments	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
- Bonds (ROL & Forex)	98,137.6	38.9%	102,920.5	36.6%	105,131.0	39.2%
- Eurobonds	45,841.9	18.2%	67,141.0	24.0%	68,050.0	25.4%
- loans	78,746.4	31.2%	74,835.0	26.6%	67,694.7	25.2%
- loans from surplus of State Treasury account	19,155.8	7.6%	25,240.5	9.0%	16,271.7	6.1%
- short-term	29,453.9	11.7%	36,034.0	12.8%	27,364.0	10.2%
- medium-term (1-5 years)	94,759.7	37.65%	84,023.0	29.9%	85,977.2	32.1%
- long-term	127,966.2	50.7%	160,873.5	57.3%	154,898.5	57.7%
- fixed	193,674.7	76.8%	216,098.1	76.9%	212,318.6	79.2%
- variable	58,505.1	23.2%	64,832.4	23.1%	55,921.1	20.8%
- RON	111,762.1	44.3%	126,561.8	45.1%	120,235.2	44.8%
- USD	16,269.2	6.5%	24,734.0	8.8%	26,294.8	9.8%
- EURO	116,459.1	46.2%	126,425.5	45.0%	118,330.4	44.1%
- SDR	5,047.7	2.0%	726.2	0.3%	750.8	0.3%
- CHF	82.3	0.0%	64.5	0.0%	73.7	0.0%

	31 December 2013	31 December 2013	31 December 2014 (preliminary data)	31 December 2014	31 January (preliminary data)	31 January 2015
-		(%)		(%)		(%)
- CAD	567.9	0.2%	521.7	0.2%	506.9	0.2%
- JPY	1991.5	0.8%	1,896.8	0.7%	2,047.9	0.8%

Source: Ministry of Public Finance

This breakdown of public government debt at the end of January 2015 shows that debt contracted directly by the state represented 94.3 per cent. of total public government debt and that 5.7 per cent. was guaranteed debt. At the same date, the share of government securities issued on domestic and external markets was 68.7 per cent. of total public government debt, as the difference was covered by loans, including loans from funds available in the State Treasury general current account. Out of the government debt 10.2 per cent. was short term debt and 89.8 per cent. was medium and long term debt while the breakdown by interest rate type indicates that 20.8 per cent. of total debt is floating interest rate debt 44.8 per cent. of public government debt (44.1 per cent.).

In accordance with the Debt Ordinance, the Romanian government, acting through the Ministry of Public Finance can issue state guarantees or on-lend only for major investment programmes/projects of companies and local government debt, and only after parliamentary approval under a special law authorising the guarantee or on-lending. On-lending to other ministries (project financing) was also curtailed and has been replaced by deficit financing. All debt management operations are operated by the Ministry of Public Finance.

At the end of January 2015, the outstanding amount of state guarantees was RON 15.2 billion (2.1 per cent. of GDP), of which RON 4.8 billion were guarantees granted for companies, RON 10.3 billion were granted under the "First House" Programme and other governmental programmes and RON 0.1 billion were for the banking sector under special laws. According to the precautionary stand-by agreement concluded with the IMF, the EU and the World Bank in the spring of 2011, the ceiling for guarantees issued by the Romanian government was set at RON 18 billion (covering the period 2009-2014) (see "*—Public Finance—Public Debt—Public Debt Instruments and External Financing Programmes— External Financing Programmes*" and "*—The Romanian Economy—Restructuring and Investment*") and the Fiscal Budgetary Strategy 2015 – 2017 sets the ceiling for 2015 at RON 8 billion and at the same level for 2016-2017.

Total public government debt service according to national legislation in 2014 was RON 57.5 billion, of which RON 56.4 billion is for direct debt and RON 1.1 billion is for guaranteed debt. At the end of January 2015, the total public government debt service was RON 8.7 billion, of which RON 8.6 billion was for direct debt and RON 0.1 billion was for guaranteed debt.

The following table sets out the repayment schedule for Romania's public government debt service:

			2014 (preliminary	January 2015 (preliminary
	2012	2013	data)	data)
		(RON mil	lions)	
Direct debt service:				
- principal*	52,727.9	54,803.9	46,885.8	7,897.7
- interest and commission	10,395.0	10,193.1	9,527.2	800.2
Total government direct public debt service	63,122.9	64,997.0	56,413.0	8,697.9
Guaranteed debt service:				
- principal	990.9	1,061.7	1,023.3	45.3
- interest and commission	160.6	111.8	97.0	1.8
Total government guaranteed public debt service	1,151.5	1,173.5	1,120.3	47.1
Public government debt service				
- principal	53,718.8	55,865.6	47,909.1	7,943.0

_	2012	2013	2014 (preliminary data)	January 2015 (preliminary data)
		(RON mil	lions)	
Direct debt service:				
- principal [*]	52,727.9	54,803.9	46,885.8	7,897.7
- interest and commission	10,395.0	10,193.1	9,527.2	800.2
- interest and commission	10,555.6	10,304.9	9,624.2	802.0
Total government public debt service	64,274.4	66,170.4	57,533.3	8,745.0

Notes:

* Includes refinancing of government securities issued on the domestic market.

Note: Debt service in foreign currency was converted in RON using the annual average exchange rates.

Source: Ministry of Public Finance.

As at 31 January 2015, the debt service of public government debt outstanding is scheduled to decrease between 2015 and 2020. The following table sets out the debt service schedule for Romania's public government indebtedness according to national legislation based on outstanding debt as at the end of January 2015.

	Feb – Dec 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Thereafter
				(RON millions)			
Direct debt service:							
Principal	39,309.9	39,642.4	24,526.8	26,500.7	24,153.7	17,408.1	81,542.0
Interest and Commission	9,959.1	9,450.1	7,586.1	6,447.0	5,099.5	4,129.8	16,106.0
Total government direct public debt service	49,269.0	49,092.5	32,112.9	32,947.7	29,253.2	21,537.9	97,648.0
Public government debt service:							
Principal	42,136.6	40,953.1	25,858.1	27,171.6	25,210.7	18,407.8	88,501.9
Interest and Commission	10,019.6	9,490.6	7,631.3	27,171.6	25,210.7	18,407.8	88,501.9
Total government public debt service	52,156.2	50,443.7	33,489.4	33,642.1	30,328.4	22.551.8	104,655.9

Note:

* Includes refinancing of government securities issued on domestic market.

Note: The payments in foreign currency are converted in RON using the exchange rate at the end of January 2015

Source: Ministry of Public Finance.

Public Debt Instruments and External Financing Programmes

Government Bonds

In the recent years, despite periods of market volatility, the general decrease of the yield on government securities enabled the Ministry of Public Finance to shift its domestic market financing strategy towards medium and long term maturities, thus meeting the demand of investors for a broader range of maturities up to 15 years.

Romanian government securities issued on domestic market consist of the following:

- short-term treasury bills or treasury certificates, which may be issued as interest bearing or discounted instruments, and which mature no more than one year after the date of issue;
- medium- or long-term benchmark bonds with maturities of 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 years (in RON) and of 3, 4 and 5 years (in EUR) issued as interest bearing.

During this period, the descending trend of domestic government securities yields was supported by NBRs actions, which consisted of injecting liquidity into the money market and pursuing monetary easing policy actions (the key rate was cut from 6.25 per cent. in November 2011 to 1.75 per cent. in May 2015). At the end of December 2012 the average yields for government securities maturing in one year and in three years were 6.24 per cent. and 6.14 per cent. respectively. After the inclusion in 2013 of the Romanian bonds in Barclays Emerging Markets Local Currency Government Index and in JP Morgan Emerging Markets (GBI-EM) Index, by the end of 2013 yields decreased considerably to 2.9 per cent. for notes with a one year maturity, 3.97 per cent. for three years maturity, 4.9 per cent. for notes with a five years maturity and 4.52 per cent. for ten years maturity.

During 2014 the Ministry of Public Finance was able to fully meet its debt issuance targets at costs below the levels of yields prevailing in the secondary market after May 2014, when Standard & Poor's upgraded Romania to investment grade. Overall, yields on RON securities are lower across all tenors thus at January 23, 2015, the average yields for government securities maturing in one year was 1.45 per cent, 2.22 per cent. for notes with a five years maturity and 3.26 per cent. for ten years maturity.

According to the latest JPM release, Romania's estimated weight in the GBI-EM Global Diversified Investment Grade (IG) for March 2015 is 2.52 per cent., with 10 benchmark bonds included. The presence of Romanian bonds in JP Morgan and Barclays emerging market indices continues to have a positive influence to the development of the domestic bond market, increase liquidity and broaden the investor base.

Under the provisions of Romanian law, the Government is also empowered to negotiate loans and issue securities in international markets. Thus, Romania, acting through the Ministry of Public Finance, has issued the following debt instruments on the international capital markets (which are still outstanding):

- Notes in the amount of EUR 1,500,000,000 with 6.50 per cent. interest rate, initially issued in June 2008 and increased in September 2012, due 2018;
- Notes in the amount of EUR 1,500,000,000 with 5.25 per cent. interest rate, issued in June 2011, due 2016;
- Notes in the amount of USD 2,250,000,000 with 6.75 per cent. interest rate due 2022, initially issued in January and increased in February 2012;
- Notes in the amount of EUR 1,500,000,000 with 4.875 per cent. interest rate, issued in November 2012, due in 2019;
- Notes in the amount of USD 1,500,000,000 with 4.375 per cent. interest rate, issued in February 2013, due in August 2023;
- Notes in the amount of EUR 2,000,000,000 with 4.625 per cent. interest rate, issued in September 2013 and increased in October 2013, due in 2020;
- Notes in the amount of USD 1,000,000,000 with 6.125 per cent. interest rate, issued in January 2014, due in 2044;
- Notes in the amount of USD 1,000,000,000 with 4.875 per cent. interest rate, issued in January 2014, due in 2024;
- Notes in the amount of EUR 1.250,000,000 with 3.625 per cent. interest rate, issued in April 2014, due in 2024; and
- Notes in the amount of EUR 1.150,000,000 with 2.875 per cent. interest rate, issued in October 2014, due in 2024.

External Financing Programmes

On 25 March 2009, Romania agreed the terms of a multi-lateral external financing programme (the "**Financing Programme**") of EUR 19.95 billion. The purpose of the Financing Programme was to assist Romania in managing its balance of payments, financing its budget deficit and refinancing some of its existing debt. Furthermore, the Financing Programme played a crucial role in returning financial conditions in Romania to normal and promoting the economic recovery of the country.

Under the 2009-2011 Financing Programme the financing sources from each financier were:

	(EUR billions)
International Monetary Fund Letter of Intent of 24 April 2009 ⁽¹⁾	12.95
EC Memorandum of Understanding of 26 June 2009 ⁽²⁾	5.00
World Bank Development Policy Loans ⁽²⁾	1.00
EBRD/EIB-Loans to the private sector	1.00
	19.95

Notes:

(1) The entire amount was drawn by Ministry of Public Finance.

Simultaneously with the completion of the 2009-2011 multi-lateral external financing programme, Romania requested the approval of a new precautionary package. On 25 March 2011, the IMF's executive board approved a new 24-month precautionary stand-by agreement in the amount equivalent to SDR 3,090.6 million (approximately EUR 3.5 billion, 300 per cent. of quota) in conjunction with World Bank commitments of EUR 400 mill., and precautionary support of EUR 1.4 billion under EU's balance of payments financing facility. This arrangement signalled the international community's continued support for Government policies. The new precautionary package was completed in 30 June 2013.

After the successful completion of the second multi-lateral external financing programme, in July 2013 Romania negotiated a follow-up precautionary arrangement with the IMF and the EU. The new 24 month stand-by agreement in the amount of SDR 1751.34 million (approximately EUR 2 billion, 170 per cent. of Romania, IMF quota) was approved by the IMFs Executive Board on 27 September 2013 and is in conjunction with the support provided to Romania by the EU, of up to EUR 2 billion, approved on 22 October 2013. The new program is intended to ensure the continuation of fiscal consolidation and tax administration reform and financial market reform, as well as structural reforms aimed at improving the functioning of product markets and increasing the resilience and the growth potential of the Romanian economy.

Stand-by Agreements with IMF

The financial terms of the 2009-2011 stand-by agreement, ratified by the Government on 22 September 2009, were based on IMF regulations. The loans had grace periods of three years and were repayable in two years in eight equal instalments.

During 22 October -5 November 2013 and 21 January -4 February 2014 teams from the IMF and the European Commission visited Bucharest to conduct discussions on the first and second review of the economic program supported by an IMF stand-by agreement and a status update of the precautionary balance of payments program with the European Union. According to the press release issued by IMF following the last visit, Romania's performance under the current program continues to be strong. The third review and article IV consultation is currently taking place.

Memorandum of Understanding

The Memorandum of Understanding ("**MoU**") and the financial terms of the loan agreement (the "**Loan Agreement**") between the EC and Romania under the 2009-2011 multi-lateral external financing programme,

⁽¹⁾ The last tranche amounting EUR 1.01 billion equivalent (SDR 0.874 billion) was not drawn as the authorities decided to treat it as precautionary; the total amount withdrawn by the NBR was EUR 9.79 billion equivalent (SDR 8.623 billion) and by Ministry of Public Finance of EUR 2.15 billion (SDR 1.947 billion).

were approved by the government on 30 June 2009. The Loan Agreement provided for a series of five bullet loans with an average maturity of seven years. Under the MoU and its subsequent amendments (three addendums, approved by government), the tranches were disbursed to the Ministry of Public Finance (for budget deficit purposes) as follows:

- on 11 March 2010: EUR 1 billion, repayable in April 2019, with an interest rate of 3.375 per cent. p.a.;
- on 22 September 2010: EUR 1.15 billion, repayable in September 2017, with an interest rate of 2.375 per cent. p.a.;
- on 24 March 2011: EUR 1.2 billion, repayable in April 2018, with an interest rate of 3.25 per cent. p.a.; and
- on 22 June 2011: EUR 0.15 billion, repayable in October 2018, with an interest rate of 3.125 per cent. p.a.

On 12 May 2011, the Council of the European Union adopted a decision to make available precautionary medium-term financial assistance of up to EUR 1.4 billion for Romania. The EU assistance for Romania under the Balance of Payments facility came in conjunction with IMF support through the stand-by agreement in the amount of SDR 3,090.6 billion (see "Stand-by Agreements with IMF").

After the successful completion of the 2011-2013 multi-lateral precautionary package, Romania negotiated the current 24 month follow-up precautionary stand-by arrangement with the IMF and the EU and based on the Council Decision no. 2013/531/EU a new medium-term financial assistance of up to EUR 2 billion was made available for Romania. The Commission joined the three IMF review missions that took place during 22 October – 5 November 2013, 21 January – 4 February 2014, 2-12 June 2014 and 27 January – 10 February 2015, the ones in June 2014 and January 2015 representing the first review of Romania's precautionary balance of payments programme with the European Union.

World Bank

According to the Country Partnership Strategy, the World Bank made available three development policy loans ("**DPLs**"). They were disbursed to the Ministry of Public Finance on 21 October 2009 (DPL1 – EUR 300 mill.), 30 June 2011 (DPL2 – EUR 300 mill.) and 28 December 2011 (DPL3 – EUR 400 mill.) respectively. They are bullet loans repayable in 2022 (DPL1 and DPL2) and 2023 (DPL3).

The disbursements under the DPLs were made based upon implementation of legislation aimed at strengthening public sector finances, social protection and the financial sector.

In the health sector, conditions were aimed at improving health services and related to updating the hospital rationalisation strategy and the introduction of a co-payment concept in health benefits, while a public awareness campaign was scheduled nationwide.

In the field of education, the first DPL programme included elements for improving access and quality of education, enhancing fiscal savings and improving financial management.

In the financial sector, corporate and mortgage debt restructuring guidelines were published, which aimed to provide businesses and individuals with a roadmap for out of court restructuring and thereby avoiding recourse to court insolvency proceedings. Amendments to legislation relating to financial sector supervisors and regulators have aligned this legislation to best international practices in terms of financial and political independence.

The World Bank is continuing to provide financial support of EUR 500 million under result based financing for social assistance which aims to support the improvement of the overall performance of the social assistance system by strengthening performance management, improving equity, improving administrative efficiency and reducing error and fraud.

On 12 June 2012, the World Bank's Board of Executive Directors also approved a World Bank loan of EUR 1 billion for Romania (Development Policy Loan with a Deferred Drawdown Option, or "**DPL- DDO**"), the Loan Agreement for which was approved by Emergency Government Ordinance no. 51 dated 19 September 2012. This loan supported the Government of Romania's commitments under the EU Fiscal Compact.

The DPL-DDO has supported reforms in several sectors aiming to:

- enhance the efficiency of public spending and the Government's revenue raising capacity through better compliance with tax laws;
- improve governance of state owned enterprises in the energy and transport sectors to reduce the drain on the budget, generate savings and attract the private capital needed to modernise plants and increase their competitiveness, and pursue the liberalization of energy markets; and
- enhance the quality of public health care by reducing unjustified outlays and reallocating resources to high return preventive care and health promotion programs.

Another World Bank loan in the amount of approximately EUR 70 million with the goal of supporting the tax modernisation process was signed with the World Bank in May 2013 and became effective in August 2013. The loan is implemented by NAFA and the drawn amounts are used for budgetary purposes in accordance with the provisions of the public debt legislation.

The Romanian authorities intend to contract with the World Bank, over the period 2014 to 2017, up to EUR 4 billion (at a rate of approximately EUR 1 billion per year) of which 70 per cent. will finance for two new DPLs series and 30 per cent. will be for loan operations in order to sustain sectorial reforms (i.e. in the health, education, energy and social inclusion areas (see "*Description of Romania—Public Finance—Public Debt—Public Debt Instruments and External Financing Programmes—World Bank*"). In this respect in June 2014 Romania has concluded with the World Bank two loan agreements amounting to EUR 1 billion (of which EUR 750 million represents the first development policy loan within the first DPL series, and EUR 250 million will assist in financing the Health Sector Reform - Improving Health System Quality and Efficiency Project. Both new loans are currently effective. Three other loans in a total amount of around EUR 1 billion (including the loan in the amount of EUR 200 million for secondary education recently approved by the World Bank Board) are expected to be concluded with the World Bank by the end of 2015 or early 2016.

Other external financing programmes

Other external financing programmes include, inter alia, an EIB loan in amount of EUR 1 billion that was concluded in November 2008 for co-financing the Facility National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) as supports for the absorption of European funds by covering the state budget contribution for the projects included in the Sectorial Operational Programs (SOP) of Environment, Transport and Increasing Economic Competitiveness, and with the possibility to finance up to 50 per cent. of funding for similar projects included in SOP Transport. The amount left to be disbursed is EUR 326.4 million. In December 2013 and May 2014 Romania concluded with the EIB a new financing facility in a total amount of EUR 300 million for Rural Development EU Co financing, and currently the Romania Government has started preliminary discussion with the Council of Europe Development Bank for a new loan in an amount of EUR 175 million to continue the social housing program.

Expected Strategies and Trends for Public Debt

At the end of December 2015 public debt according to national legislation is expected to decrease to 42.9 per cent. compared with 44.3 per cent. of GDP as at the end of 2014, which in nominal terms would be an increase of around RON 7 billion due to financing of the budget deficit, refinancing of the public debt and the guarantees issued under governmental programs.

The budgetary deficit in 2015 (estimated at 1.8 per cent. of GDP) is expected to be financed mainly from domestic sources and in addition from external sources using the following instruments:

- issuances of treasury bills and benchmark bonds on the domestic market;
- disbursements under loans contracted for project financing;
- new external loans;
- loans contracted by the local public administration authorities;
- Eurobond issuances on the international capital markets; and
- privatization receipts.

The current agreements with the IMF and EC are treated as precautionary.

For the period 2015 to 2017, as a result of anticipated economic growth, the public debt according to national legislation is estimated to be below 43.0 per cent. of GDP. In 2015, the level of public debt according to national legislation is estimated to reach about 42.9 per cent. of GDP, while the general government debt according to EU methodology is estimated at 39.8 per cent. of GDP.

In terms of government public debt management, the Government approved in March 2015 its medium term strategy for the period 2015 to 2017. The main objectives of the approved strategy are: (i) covering the government financing needs and payment obligations, while minimizing medium and long term costs; (ii) limiting the financial risks of the government public debt portfolio and (iii) developing a domestic market for government securities. Over the 2015 to 2017 reference period, the Government plans to finance the budget deficit mainly from domestic sources and in addition from external sources.

Starting with 2010, in order to improve public debt management and to avoid the seasonal pressure to finance the budget deficit and refinance debt, the Ministry of Public Finance established a financial reserve (buffer) in foreign currency, which will be consolidated to an amount equivalent to the needs of financing the budget deficit and refinancing the public debt over a period of approximately four months. Furthermore, Romania benefited from the technical assistance of the World Bank treasury, which was financed through the Programme Administrative Capacity Development ("**PODCA**"). Its components consist of cash and debt management, transactions on secondary markets and the simplification of the public debt payment process. Romania is to develop legal and technical framework for the use of financial derivatives also with the technical assistance from the World Bank. In the near future, Romania anticipates limiting its usage of financial derivatives for the pupposes of the administration of its public indebtedness.

Source: Ministry of Public Finance

Credit Ratings

In April 2014, Moody's Investor Service upgraded the outlook on Romania's Baa3 government bond rating to "stable" from "negative" reflecting the improvement in Romania's macro-economic indicators, mainly referring to improved fiscal and a current account metrics, with a sustainable growth path. Moody's also reaffirmed Romania's Baa3 government bond rating based on lower fiscal deficits and on the precautionary stand-by agreement with the IMF ensuring access to financing.

In May 2014, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services upgraded Romania to investment grade by raising its longand short-term foreign and local currency sovereign credit ratings on Romania to 'BBB-/A-3' from 'BB+/B', This upgrade reflected Romania's rapid progress in improving its external balances and in consolidating the fiscal accounts and bolstering financial sector stability . In October 2014, Standard & Poor's re-affirmed its ratings on Romania of 'BBB-/A-3', with stable outlook, based on growth sustainability and consolidation of government finances. In April 2015, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services affirmed its 'BBB-/A-3' long and short-term foreign and local currency sovereign credit ratings on Romania, outlook Stable. the reaffirmation was supported by Romania's moderate external and fiscal indebtedness amid relatively firm growth prospects.

In March and September 2014, Fitch Ratings has affirmed Romania's long-term foreign currency Issuer Default Rating ("**IDR**") at 'BBB-' and local currency IDR at 'BBB', with stable outlook. The issue ratings on Romania's senior unsecured foreign and local currency bonds have also been affirmed at 'BBB-' and 'BBB', respectively. The re-affirmation is proof of progress of public finances consolidation throughout the year, positive developments in Romanian economy and meeting the targets for general government deficit. Also, in February 2015, Fitch Ratings affirmed its 'BBB-'and 'BBB' credit ratings on Romania.

The Japan Credit Rating Agency, in March 2014, reaffirmed Romania's rating of "BBB-"/"BBB" (FC/LC) for long- and short-term foreign and local currency primarily reflecting Romania's reduction of its fiscal deficit through progress made on structural reforms and government's continued commitment to the financial assistance programs with IMF and EU.

USE OF PROCEEDS

The net proceeds from each issue of Notes will be used for budget deficit financing, redemption of public debt and liability management operations (buy-backs or exchanges).

TAXATION

Romanian Taxation

The following is a general description of certain Romanian tax considerations relating to the Notes. It does not purport to be a complete analysis of all tax considerations relating to the Notes. Prospective purchasers of the Notes should consult their own tax advisers as to the consequences under the tax laws of the country of which they are resident for tax purposes and the tax laws of Romania of acquiring, holding and disposing of Notes and receiving payments of interest, principal and/or other amounts under the Notes. This summary is based upon the law as in effect on the date of this Information Memorandum and is subject to any change in law that may take effect after such date.

Under Law No. 571/2003 on the fiscal code as subsequently amended and supplemented (the "**Romanian Fiscal Code**"), certain types of income received by non-residents from Romanian sources are subject to Romanian tax at the rates prescribed by the Romanian Fiscal Code, irrespective of whether the income is received in Romania or abroad. For the purposes of the Romanian Fiscal Code:

- a "non-resident" is defined as any foreign legal person, any non-resident natural person, and any other foreign entities, including undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities, without legal personality, which are not registered in Romania according to the law;
- (ii) a "**foreign legal person**" is defined as any legal person which is not a Romanian legal person and any legal person established pursuant to European law which is not headquartered in Romania;
- (iii) a "**Romanian legal person**" is defined as any legal person established in accordance with Romanian law;
- (iv) a "legal person established pursuant to European law" is defined as any legal person established in accordance with and by the mechanics contemplated by European regulations;
- (v) a "**non-resident natural person**" is defined as any natural person which is not a resident natural person; and
- (vi) a "resident natural person" is defined as any natural person that meets at least one of the following conditions: (a) is domiciled in Romania, or (b) has the centre of his vital interests (Romanian language: "centrul intereselor vitale") located in Romania, or (c) is present in Romania for a period or several periods exceeding in aggregate 183 days during any twelve consecutive months, and that period(s) end(s) in the relevant calendar year, or (d) is a Romanian citizen that works abroad as an officer or an employee of the Romanian state.

By way of exception to (a) to (d) above, neither a foreign citizen enjoying diplomatic or consular regime within Romania, nor a foreign citizen who is an employee or officer of an international or intergovernmental organisation registered in Romania, nor a foreign citizen who is an officer or an employee of a foreign state in Romania, nor their family members will be deemed to be resident natural persons in Romania.

Taxation of non-resident Noteholders

Under the Romanian Fiscal Code interest payable to non-resident Noteholders on the Notes is exempted from taxation. Also, for capital gains (determined as the difference between the sale price and the acquisition price and in some cases, the transaction costs) arising on the transfer outside the territory of Romania of any Notes between non-residents, such non-resident Noteholders are not taxed. Therefore no tax on interest or capital gains will be levied on such income. Additionally, the income obtained by non-residents from financial derivative transactions utilised for the hedging of Romanian governmental public debt is exempted from Romanian withholding tax.

Other information

Romania is a party to over 80 double tax treaties with other jurisdictions, most of which are based on the OECD Model Convention.

EU Savings Tax Directive

Under EC Council Directive 2003/48/EC on the taxation of savings income (the "**Savings Directive**"), each Member State is required to provide to the tax authorities of another Member State details of payments of interest or other similar income paid by a person within its jurisdiction to, or collected by such a person for, an individual resident or certain limited types of entity established in that other Member State; however, for a transitional period, Austria instead imposes a withholding system in relation to such payments, deducting tax at rates rising over time to 35 per cent. The transitional period is to terminate at the end of the first full fiscal year following agreement by certain non-EU countries to the exchange of information relating to such payments.

A number of non-EU countries, and certain dependent or associated territories of certain Member States, have adopted similar measures (either provision of information or transitional withholding) in relation to payments made by a person within their jurisdiction to, or collected by such a person for, an individual resident or certain limited types of entities established in a Member State. In addition, the Member States have entered into provision of information or transitional withholding arrangements with certain of those dependent or associated territories in relation to payments made by a person in a Member State to, or collected by such a person for, an individual resident or certain limited types of entities established in one of those territories.

The Council of the European Union formally adopted a Directive amending the Savings Directive on 24 March 2014 (the "**Amending Directive**"). The Amending Directive broadens the scope of the requirements and the range of payments described above. Member States have until 1 January 2016 to adopt the national legislation necessary to comply with the Amending Directive, which legislation must apply from 1 January 2017. The changes made under the Amending Directive include extending the scope of the Directive to payments made to, or collected for, certain other entities and legal arrangements.

However, the European Commission has proposed the repeal of the Savings Directive from 1 January 2017 in the case of Austria and from 1 January 2016 in the case of all other Member States (subject to on-going requirements to fulfil administrative obligations such as the reporting and exchange of information relating to, and accounting for withholding taxes on, payments made before those dates) to prevent overlap between the Directive and a new automatic exchange of information regime to be implemented under Council Directive 2011/16/EU on Administrative Cooperation in the field of Taxation (as amended by Council Directive 2014/107/EU). The proposal also provides that, if it proceeds, Member States will not be required to apply the new requirements of the Amending Directive.

If a payment were to be made or collected through a Member State which has opted for a withholding system and an amount of, or in respect of, tax were to be withheld from that payment pursuant to the Savings Directive, neither the Issuer nor any Paying Agent nor any other person would be obliged to pay additional amounts with respect to any Note as a result of the imposition of such withholding tax. The Issuer is required to maintain a Paying Agent in a Member State that is not obliged to withhold or deduct tax pursuant to any law implementing the Savings Directive.

Investors who are in any doubt as to their position should consult their professional advisers.

Luxembourg Taxation

The following is a general description of certain Luxembourg tax considerations relating to Notes which are admitted to the Official List and to trading on the regulated market of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. It specifically contains information on taxes on the income from the Notes withheld at source and provides an indication as to whether the Issuer assumes responsibility for the withholding of taxes at the source. It does not purport to be a complete analysis of all tax considerations relating to the Notes, whether in Luxembourg or elsewhere.

This summary is based upon the law as in effect on the date of this Information Memorandum. The information contained within this section is limited to withholding taxation issues, and prospective investors should not apply any information set out below to other areas, including (but not limited to) the legality of transactions involving the Notes.

Prospective holders of Notes are advised to consult their own tax advisors as to the tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of the Notes on the basis of this Information Memorandum, including the

effect of any state or local taxes, under the tax laws of Luxembourg and each country of which they are residents.

All payments of interest and principal by the Paying Agent under the Notes can be made free and clear of any withholding or deduction for or on account of any taxes of whatsoever nature imposed, levied, withheld, or assessed by Luxembourg or any political subdivision or taxing authority thereof or therein, in accordance with the applicable Luxembourg law, subject however to the application as regards Luxembourg resident individuals of the Luxembourg law of 23 December 2005, as amended, which has introduced a 10 per cent. withholding tax (which is final when Luxembourg resident individuals are acting in the context of the management of their private wealth) on savings income (i.e. with certain exemptions, savings income within the meaning of Savings Directive) paid by a paying agent within the meaning of the Savings Directive. Responsibility for the withholding of such 10 per cent. tax is assumed by the paying agent within the meaning of this law and not by the Issuer.

In addition, pursuant to the law of 23 December 2005, as amended, Luxembourg resident individuals who are the beneficial owners of savings income paid by a paying agent within the meaning of the Savings Directive established outside Luxembourg, in a Member State of either the European Union or the European Economic Area, or in a jurisdiction having concluded an agreement with Luxembourg in connection with the Savings Directive, can opt to self declare and pay a 10 per cent. tax on this savings income. This 10 per cent. tax is final when Luxembourg resident individuals are acting in the context of the management of their private wealth.

In accordance with the law of 25 November 2014, Luxembourg elected out of the withholding tax system in favour of an automatic exchange of information under the Savings Directive as from 1 January 2015. Payments of interest by Luxembourg paying agents to non resident individual Noteholders and to certain residual entities are thus no longer subject to any Luxembourg withholding tax.

The Proposed Financial Transaction Tax

The European Commission has published a proposal for a Directive for a common financial transactions tax ("FTT") in Belgium, Germany, Estonia, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, Austria, Portugal, Slovenia and Slovakia (the "participating Member States").

The proposed FTT has very broad scope and could, if introduced in its current form, apply to certain dealings in Notes (including secondary market transactions). Primary market transactions referred to in Article 5(c) of Regulation (EC) No 1287/2006 are exempt.

Under current proposals, the FTT could apply in certain circumstances to persons both within and outside of the participating Member States. Generally, it would apply to certain dealings in Notes where at least one party is a financial institution, and at least one party is established in a participating Member State. A financial institution may be, or be deemed to be, "established" in a participating Member State in a broad range of circumstances, including (a) by transacting with a person established in a participating Member State or (b) where the financial instrument which is subject to the dealings is issued in a participating Member State. As a result, the FTT could impose an additional cost on secondary market transactions.

Joint statements issued by participating Member States indicate an intention to implement the FTT by 1 January 2016.

The FTT proposal remains subject to negotiation between the participating Member States and the scope of any such tax is uncertain. Additional EU Member States may decide to participate. Prospective holders of Notes are advised to seek their own professional advice in relation to the FTT.

CERTAIN U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following summary discusses certain U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of the Notes. Except as specifically noted below, this discussion applies only to:

- Notes purchased on original issuance at their "issue price" (as defined below);
- Notes held as capital assets;

- U.S. Holders (as defined below);
- Notes with an original maturity of 30 years or less; and
- Notes treated as debt for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

This discussion does not cover all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation that may be relevant to, or the actual tax effect that any of the matters described herein will have on, the acquisition, ownership or disposition of Notes by particular investors, and does not address state, foreign, or other tax laws. This discussion also does not describe all of the tax consequences that may be relevant in light of a Holder's particular circumstances or to Holders subject to special rules, such as:

- financial institutions;
- insurance companies;
- dealers in securities or foreign currencies;
- investors liable for the alternative minimum tax or the Medicare tax on net investment income;
- individual retirement accounts and other tax deferred accounts;
- tax-exempt organizations;
- persons holding Notes as part of a hedging transaction, "straddle," conversion transaction or other integrated transaction;
- U.S. Holders whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar;
- partnerships or other entities classified as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes and their partners; or
- former citizens or residents of the United States.

This summary is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "**Code**"), administrative pronouncements, judicial decisions and final, temporary and proposed U.S. Treasury Regulations, all as of the date of this Information Memorandum and any of which may at any time be repeated, revised or subject to differing interpretation, possibly retroactively so as to result in U.S. federal income tax consequences different from those described below. Persons considering the purchase of the Notes should consult the relevant Final Terms of the Drawdown Information Memorandum for any additional discussion regarding U.S. federal income taxation.

This summary does not discuss Notes that by their terms may be retired for an amount less than their principal amount and Notes subject to special rules. The tax treatment of certain Notes, such as Index- Linked Notes, Dual Currency Notes, other variable-linked Notes and Notes that are not principal protected, may be specified in the relevant Final Terms of the Drawdown Information Memorandum. Moreover, this summary does not discuss Bearer Notes. In general, U.S. federal income tax law imposes significant limitations on U.S. Holders of Bearer Notes, which are not being marketed to U.S. persons. U.S. Holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal income and other tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of Bearer Notes.

As used herein, the term "**U.S. Holder**" means a beneficial owner of a Note that is for U.S. federal income tax purposes:

- an individual that is a citizen or resident of the United States;
- a corporation created or organised in or under the laws of the United States or of any state thereof or the District of Columbia;
- an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source ;or
- a trust if a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust, or the trust has validly elected to be treated as a domestic trust for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

If an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds Notes, the U.S. federal income tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partner and upon the activities of the partnership. Entities classified as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes holding Notes should consult with their tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences to them and their partners of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of Notes.

THE SUMMARY OF U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES SET OUT BELOW IS FOR GENERAL INFORMATION ONLY. IT IS NOT INTENDED TO BE RELIED UPON BY PURCHASERS FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED UNDER THE U.S. INTERNAL REVENUE CODE. ALL PROSPECTIVE PURCHASERS SHOULD CONSULT THEIR TAX ADVISERS AS TO THE PARTICULAR TAX CONSEQUENCES TO THEM OF OWNING THE NOTES, INCLUDING THEIR ELIGIBILITY FOR THE BENEFITS OF THE U.S.-ROMANIAN TREATY (THE **"TREATY"**), THE APPLICABILITY AND EFFECT OF STATE, LOCAL, FOREIGN AND OTHER TAX LAWS AND POSSIBLE CHANGES IN TAX LAW.

Payments of Stated Interest

Interest paid on a Note will be taxable to a U.S. Holder as ordinary interest income at the time it accrues or is received in accordance with the Holder's method of accounting for U.S. federal income tax purposes, **provided that** the interest is "qualified stated interest" (as defined below). Interest income earned by a U.S. Holder with respect to a Note and original issue discount ("**OID**"), if any, accrued with respect to the Notes generally will constitute foreign source income for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Special rules governing the treatment of interest paid with respect to OID Notes and foreign currency Notes are described under "— *Original Issue Discount,*" "— *Contingent Payment Debt Instruments,*" and "— *Foreign Currency Notes.*"

Original Issue Discount

A Note, other than a Note with a term of one year or less (a "short-term note"), that has an "issue price" that is less than its "stated redemption price at maturity" will be considered to have been issued at an original discount for U.S. federal income tax purposes (and will be referred to as an "OID Note") unless the Note satisfies a de minimis threshold (as described below). The "issue price" of a Note generally will be the first price at which a substantial amount of the Notes are sold to the public (which does not include sales to bond houses, brokers or similar persons or organisations acting in the capacity of underwriters, placement agents or wholesalers). The "stated redemption price at maturity" of a Note generally will equal the sum of all payments required to be made under the Note other than payments of "qualified stated interest." "Qualified stated interest" is stated interest unconditionally payable (other than in Notes of the Issuer) at least annually during the entire term of the Note at a single fixed rate of interest, at a single qualified floating rate of interest or at a rate that is determined at a single fixed formula that is based on objective financial or economic information. A rate is a qualified floating rate if variations in the rate can reasonably be expected to measure contemporaneous fluctuations in the cost of newly borrowed funds in the currency in which the Note is denominated. Solely for the purposes of determining whether a Note has OID, the Issuer will be deemed to exercise any call option in a manner that minimizes the yield on the Note, and the U.S. Holder will be deemed to exercise any put option in a manner that maximizes the yield on the Note.

If the difference between a Note's stated redemption price at maturity and its issue price is less than a *de minimis* amount, i.e., 1/4 of 1 per cent. of the stated redemption price at maturity multiplied by the number of complete years to maturity, the Note will not be considered to have OID. U.S. Holders of Notes with a *de minimis* amount of OID will include this OID in income, as capital gain, on a *pro rata* basis as principal payments are made on the Note.

A U.S. Holder of OID Notes that mature more than one year from their date of issuance will be required to include OID in income for U.S. federal income tax purposes as it accrues in accordance with a constant yield method based on a compounding of interest, regardless of whether cash attributable to this income is received. Under these rules, U.S. Holders generally will have to include in taxable income, increasingly greater amounts of OID in successive accrual periods.

A U.S. Holder may make an election to include in gross income all interest that accrues on any particular Note (including stated interest, acquisition discount, OID, *de minimis* OID, market discount, *de minimis* market discount and unstated interest, as adjusted by any amortisable bond premium or acquisition premium)

in accordance with a constant yield method based on the compounding of interest, and generally may revoke such election (a "**constant yield election**") only with the permission of the IRS. If a U.S. Holder makes a constant yield election with respect to a Note with market discount (discussed below), the U.S. Holder will be treated as having made an election to include market discount in income currently over the life of all debt instruments with market discount acquired by the electing U.S. Holder on or after the first day of the first taxable year to which such election applies. U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisors about making this election in light of their particular circumstances.

Market Discount

If a U.S. Holder purchases a Note (other than a short-term Note) for an amount that is less than its stated redemption price at maturity or, in the case of an OID Note, its adjusted issue price, the amount of the difference will be treated as market discount for U.S. federal income tax purposes, unless this difference is less than a specified *de minimis* amount.

A U.S. Holder will be required to treat any principal payment (or, in the case of an OID Note, any payment that does not constitute qualified stated interest) on, or any gain on the sale, exchange, retirement or other disposition of a Note, including disposition in certain non-recognition transactions, as ordinary income to the extent of the market discount accrued on the Note at the time of the payment or disposition unless this market discount has been previously included in income by the U.S. Holder pursuant to an election by the Holder to include market discount in income as it accrues. An election to include market discount in income as it accrues applies to all debt instruments with market discount acquired by the electing U.S. Holder on or after the first day of the first taxable year to which such election applies and may not be revoked without the consent of the IRS. In addition, a U.S. Holder that does not elect to include market discount in income currently may be required to defer, until the maturity of the Note or its earlier disposition (including certain non-taxable transactions), the deduction of all or a portion of the interest expense on any indebtedness incurred or maintained to purchase or carry such Note.

Market discount will accrue on a straight line basis unless a U.S. Holder makes an election on a Note to accrue on the basis of a constant interest rate. This election applies only to the market discount Note with respect to which it is made and is irrevocable.

Variable Interest Rate Notes

Notes that provide for interest at variable rates ("**Variable Interest Rate Notes**") generally will bear interest at a "qualified floating rate" and thus will be treated as "variable rate debt instruments" under Treasury regulations governing accrual of OID. A Variable Interest Rate Note will qualify as a "variable rate debt instrument" if (a) its issue price does not exceed the total non-contingent principal payments due under the Variable Interest Rate Note by an amount equal to or more than a specified de minimis amount, (b) it provides for stated interest, paid or compounded at least annually, at (i) one or more qualified floating rates, (ii) a single fixed rate and one or more qualified floating rates, (iii) a single objective rate, or (iv) a single fixed rate and a single objective rate that is a qualified inverse floating rate, and (c) it does not provide for any principal payments that are contingent (other than as described in (a) above).

A "qualified floating rate" is any variable rate where variations in the value of the rate can reasonably be expected to measure contemporaneous variations in the cost of newly borrowed funds in the currency in which the Variable Interest Rate Note is denominated. A fixed multiple of a qualified floating rate will constitute a qualified floating rate only if the multiple is greater than 0.65 but not more than 1.35. A variable rate equal to the product of a qualified floating rate and a fixed multiple that is greater than 0.65 but not more than 1.35, increased or decreased by a fixed rate, will also constitute a qualified floating rate. In addition, two or more qualified floating rates that can reasonably be expected to have approximately the same values throughout the term of the Variable Interest Rate Note (e.g., two or more qualified floating rates with values within 25 basis points of each other as determined on the Variable Interest Rate Note's issue date) will be treated as a single qualified floating rate. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a variable rate that would otherwise constitute a qualified floating rate but which is subject to one or more restrictions such as a maximum numerical limitation (i.e., a cap) or a minimum numerical limitation (i.e., a floor) may, under certain circumstances, fail to be treated as a qualified floating rate.

An "objective rate" is a rate that is not itself a qualified floating rate but which is determined using a single fixed formula and which is based on objective financial or economic information (e.g., one or more qualified floating rates or the yield of actively traded personal property). A rate will not qualify as an objective rate if it is based on information that is within the control of the Issuer (or a related party) or that is unique to the circumstances of the Issuer (or a related party), such as dividends, profits or the value of the Issuer's stock (although a rate does not fail to be an objective rate merely because it is based on the credit quality of the Issuer). Other variable interest rates may be treated as objective rates if so designated by the IRS in the future. Despite the foregoing, a variable rate of interest on a Variable Interest Rate Note will not constitute an objective rate if it is reasonably expected that the average value of the rate during the first half of the Variable Interest Rate Note's term will be either significantly less than or significantly greater than the average value of the rate during the final half of the Variable Interest Rate Note's term. A "qualified inverse floating rate" is any objective rate where the rate is equal to a fixed rate minus a qualified floating rate, as long as variations in the rate can reasonably be expected to inversely reflect contemporaneous variations in the qualified floating rate. If a Variable Interest Rate Note provides for stated interest at a fixed rate for an initial period of one year or less followed by a variable rate that is either a qualified floating rate or an objective rate for a subsequent period and if the variable rate on the Variable Interest Rate Note's issue date is intended to approximate the fixed rate (e.g., the value of the variable rate on the issue date does not differ from the value of the fixed rate by more than 25 basis points), then the fixed rate and the variable rate together will constitute either a single qualified floating rate or objective rate, as the case may be.

A qualified floating rate or objective rate in effect at any time during the term of the instrument must be set at a "current value" of that rate. A "current value" of a rate is the value of the rate on any day that is no earlier than 3 months prior to the first day on which that value is in effect and no later than 1 year following that first day.

If a Variable Interest Rate Note that provides for stated interest at either a single qualified floating rate or a single objective rate throughout the term thereof qualifies as a "variable rate debt instrument", then any stated interest on the Note which is unconditionally payable in cash or property (other than debt instruments of the Issuer) at least annually will constitute qualified stated interest and will be taxed accordingly. Thus, a Variable Interest Rate Note that provides for stated interest at either a single qualified floating rate or a single objective rate throughout the term thereof and that qualifies as a "variable rate debt instrument" will generally not be treated as having been issued with OID unless the Variable Interest Rate Note is issued at a "true" discount (i.e., at a price below the Note's stated principal amount) equal to or in excess of a specified de minimis amount. OID on a Variable Interest Rate Note arising from "true" discount is allocated to an accrual period using the constant yield method described above by assuming that the variable rate is a fixed rate equal to (i) in the case of a qualified floating rate or qualified inverse floating rate, the value, as of the issue date, of the qualified floating rate or qualified inverse floating rate, or (ii) in the case of an objective rate (other than a qualified inverse floating rate), a fixed rate that reflects the yield that is reasonably expected for the Variable Interest Rate Note.

In general, any other Variable Interest Rate Note that qualifies as a "variable rate debt instrument" will be converted into an "equivalent" fixed rate debt instrument for purposes of determining the amount and accrual of OID and qualified stated interest on the Variable Interest Rate Note. Such a Variable Interest Rate Note must be converted into an "equivalent" fixed rate debt instrument by substituting any qualified floating rate or qualified inverse floating rate provided for under the terms of the Variable Interest Rate Note with a fixed rate equal to the value of the qualified floating rate or qualified inverse floating rate, as the case may be, as of the Variable Interest Rate Note's issue date. Any objective rate (other than a qualified inverse floating rate) provided for under the terms of the Variable Interest Rate Note is converted into a fixed rate that reflects the yield that is reasonably expected for the Variable Interest Rate Note. In the case of a Variable Interest Rate Note that qualifies as a "variable rate debt instrument" and provides for stated interest at a fixed rate in addition to either one or more qualified floating rates or a qualified inverse floating rate, the fixed rate is initially converted into a qualified floating rate (or a qualified inverse floating rate, if the Variable Interest Rate Note provides for a qualified inverse floating rate). Under these circumstances, the qualified floating rate or qualified inverse floating rate that replaces the fixed rate must be such that the fair market value of the Variable Interest Rate Note as of the Variable Interest Rate Note's issue date is approximately the same as the fair market value of an otherwise identical debt instrument that provides for either the qualified floating rate or qualified inverse floating rate rather than the fixed rate. Subsequent to converting the fixed rate into either a qualified floating rate or a qualified inverse floating rate, the Variable Interest Rate Note is converted into an "equivalent" fixed rate debt instrument in the manner described above.

Once the Variable Interest Rate Note is converted into an "equivalent" fixed rate debt instrument pursuant to the foregoing rules, the amount of OID and qualified stated interest, if any, are determined for the "equivalent" fixed rate debt instrument by applying the general OID rules to the "equivalent" fixed rate debt instrument by applying the general OID rules to the "OID and qualified stated interest as if the U.S. Holder of the Variable Interest Rate Note will account for the OID and qualified stated interest as if the U.S. Holder held the "equivalent" fixed rate debt instrument. In each accrual period, appropriate adjustments will be made to the amount of qualified stated interest or OID assumed to have been accrued or paid with respect to the "equivalent" fixed rate debt instrument in the event that these amounts differ from the actual amount of interest accrued or paid on the Variable Interest Rate Note during the accrual period.

If a Variable Interest Rate Note, such as a Note the payments on which are determined by reference to an index, does not qualify as a "variable rate debt instrument", then the Variable Interest Rate Note will be treated as a contingent payment debt obligation. See "*—Contingent Payment Debt Instruments*" for a discussion of the U.S. federal income tax treatment of such Notes.

Acquisition Premium and Amortisable Bond Premium

A U.S. Holder who purchases a Note for an amount that is greater than the Note's adjusted issue price but less than or equal to the sum of all amounts payable on the Note after the purchase date other than payments of qualified stated interest will be considered to have purchased the Note at an acquisition premium. Under the acquisition premium rules, the amount of OID that the U.S. Holder must include in its gross income with respect to the Note for any taxable year will be reduced by the portion of acquisition premium properly allocable to that year.

If a U.S. Holder purchases a Note for an amount that is greater than the stated redemption price at maturity, the Holder will be considered to have purchased the Note with amortisable bond premium equal in amount to the excess of the purchase price over the amount payable at maturity. The Holder may elect to amortise this premium, using a constant yield method, over the remaining term of the Note. A Holder who elects to amortise bond premium must reduce its tax basis in the Note by the amount of the premium amortised in any year. An election to amortise bond premium applies to all taxable debt obligations then owned and thereafter acquired by the Holder and may be revoked only with the consent of the IRS.

If a U.S. Holder makes a constant yield election (as described under "*—Original Issue Discount*") for a Note with amortisable bond premium, such election will result in a deemed election to amortise bond premium for all of the Holder's debt Notes with amortisable bond premium.

Short-Term Notes

In general, an individual or other cash basis U.S. Holder of a short-term Note is not required to accrue OID (as specially defined below for the purposes of this paragraph) for U.S. federal income tax purposes unless it elects to do so (but may be required to include any stated interest in income as the interest is received). Accrual basis U.S. Holders and certain other U.S. Holders are required to accrue OID on short-term Notes on a straight-line basis or, if the U.S. Holder so elects, under the constant-yield method (based on daily compounding). In the case of a U.S. Holder not required and not electing to include OID in income currently, any gain realised on the sale or retirement of the short-term Note will be ordinary income to the extent of the OID accrued on a straight-line basis (unless an election is made to accrue the OID under the constant-yield method) through the date of sale or retirement. U.S. Holders who are not required and do not elect to accrue OID on short-term Notes will be required to defer deductions for interest on borrowings allocable to short-term Notes in an amount not exceeding the deferred income until the deferred income is realised.

For purposes of determining the amount of OID subject to these rules, all interest payments on a short- term Note are included in the short-term Note's stated redemption price at maturity. A U.S. Holder may elect to determine OID on a short-term Note as if the short-term Note had been originally issued to the U.S. Holder at the U.S. Holder's purchase price for the short-term Note. This election will apply to all obligations with a maturity of one year or less acquired by the U.S. Holder on or after the first day of the first taxable year to which the election applies, and may not be revoked without the consent of the IRS.

Sale, Exchange or Retirement of the Notes

Upon the sale, exchange or retirement of a Note, a U.S. Holder generally will recognise taxable gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realised on the sale, exchange or retirement and the Holder's adjusted tax basis in the Note. A U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in a Note generally will equal the acquisition cost of the Note increased by the amount of OID and market discount included in the Holder's gross income with respect to the Note and the amount, if any, of income attributable to *de minimis* OID and *de minimis* market discount included in the U.S. Holder's income with respect to the Note, and decreased by any bond premium or acquisition premium previously amortised and by the amount of any payment received from the Issuer other than a payment of qualified stated interest. Gain or loss, if any, will generally be U.S. source income. For these purposes, the amount realised does not include any amount attributable to accrued but unpaid qualified stated interest on the Note. Amounts attributable to accrued but unpaid qualified stated interest as described under "— *Payments of Stated Interest*."

Except as described below, gain or loss realised on the sale, exchange or retirement of a Note will generally be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if at the time of sale, exchange or retirement the U.S. Holder has held the Note for more than one year. Exceptions to this general rule apply to the extent of any accrued market discount or, in the case of a short-term Note, to the extent of any accrued discount not previously included in the Holder's taxable income. See "— Original Issue Discount" and "—Market Discount." In addition, other exceptions to this general rule apply in the case of Foreign Currency Notes, and contingent payment debt instruments. See "—Foreign Currency Notes" and "— Contingent Payment Debt Instruments." The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations.

Contingent Payment Debt Instruments

If the terms of the Notes provide for certain contingencies that affect the timing and amount of payments (including Notes with a variable rate that do not qualify as "variable rate debt instruments" for purposes of the OID rules) they generally will be "contingent payment debt instruments" for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Under the rules that govern the treatment of contingent payment debt instruments, no payment on such Notes qualifies as qualified stated interest. Rather, a U.S. Holder must account for interest for U.S. federal income tax purposes based on a "comparable yield" and the differences between actual payments on the Note and the Note's "projected payment schedule" as described below. The comparable yield is determined by the Issuer at the time of issuance of the Notes. The comparable yield may be greater than or less than the stated interest, if any, with respect to the Notes. Solely for the purpose of determining the amount of interest income that a U.S. Holder will be required to accrue on a contingent payment debt instrument, the Issuer will be required to construct a "projected payment schedule" that represents a series of payments the amount and timing of which would produce a yield to maturity on the contingent payment debt instrument equal to the comparable yield.

Neither the comparable yield nor the projected payment schedule constitutes a representation by the Issuer regarding the actual amount, if any, that the contingent payment debt instrument will pay.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, a U.S. Holder will be required to use the comparable yield and the projected payment schedule established by the Issuer in determining interest accruals and adjustments, unless the Holder timely discloses and justifies the use of a different comparable yield and projected payment schedule to the IRS.

A U.S. Holder, regardless of the Holder's method of accounting for U.S. federal income tax purposes, will be required to accrue interest income on a contingent payment debt instrument at the comparable yield, adjusted upward or downward to reflect the difference, if any, between the actual and the projected amount of any contingent payments on the contingent payment debt instrument (as set forth below).

A U.S. Holder will be required to recognise interest income equal to the amount of any net positive adjustment, i.e., the excess of actual payments over projected payments, in respect of a contingent payment debt instrument for a taxable year. A net negative adjustment, i.e., the excess of projected payments over actual payments, in respect of a contingent payment debt instrument for a taxable year

- will first reduce the amount of interest in respect of the contingent payment debt instrument that a Holder would otherwise be required to include in income in the taxable year; and
- to the extent of any excess, will give rise to an ordinary loss equal to so much of this excess as does not exceed the excess of:
 - the amount of all previous interest inclusions under the contingent payment debt instrument over
 - the total amount of the U.S. Holder's net negative adjustments treated as ordinary loss on the contingent payment debt instrument in prior taxable years.

A net negative adjustment is not subject to the two per cent. floor limitation imposed on miscellaneous deductions. Any net negative adjustment in excess of the amounts described above will be carried forward to offset future interest income in respect of the contingent payment debt instrument or to reduce the amount realised on a sale, exchange or retirement of the contingent payment debt instrument. Where a U.S. Holder purchases a contingent payment debt instrument for a price other than its adjusted issue price, the difference between the purchase price and the adjusted issue price must be reasonably allocated to the daily portions of interest or projected payments with respect to the contingent payment debt instrument over its remaining term and treated as a positive or negative adjustment, as the case may be, with respect to each period to which it is allocated.

Upon a sale, exchange or retirement of a contingent payment debt instrument, a U.S. Holder generally will recognise taxable gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realised on the sale, exchange or retirement and the Holder's adjusted basis in the contingent payment debt instrument. A U.S. Holder's adjusted basis in a Note that is a contingent payment debt instrument generally will be the acquisition cost of the Note, increased by the interest previously accrued by the U.S. Holder on the Note under these rules, disregarding any net positive and net negative adjustments, and decreased by the amount of any non-contingent payments and the projected amount of any contingent payments previously made on the Note. A U.S. Holder's total interest inclusions on the contingent payment debt instrument exceed the total net negative adjustments on the contingent payment debt instrument the U.S. Holder on the sale, exchange or retirement of a contingent payment debt instrument the U.S. Holder took into account as ordinary losses, and the balance as capital loss. Gain or loss recognised by a U.S. Holder on the sale, exchange or retirement of a contingent payment debt instrument will generally be foreign source. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations. In addition, if a Holder recognises loss above certain thresholds, the Holder may be required to file a disclosure statement with the IRS (as described under "*—Reportable Transactions*").

A U.S. Holder will have a tax basis in any property, other than cash, received upon the retirement of a contingent payment debt instrument equal to the fair market value of the property, determined at the time of retirement. The Holder's holding period for the property will commence on the day immediately following its receipt. Special rules apply to contingent payment debt instruments that are denominated, or provide for payments, in a currency other than the U.S. dollar ("Foreign Currency Contingent Payment Debt Instruments"). Very generally, these Notes are accounted for like a contingent debt instrument, as described above, but in the currency of the Foreign Currency Contingent Payment Debt Instruments. The relevant amount must then be translated into U.S. dollars. The rules applicable to Foreign Currency Contingent Payment Debt Instruments are complex and U.S. Holders are urged to consult their own tax advisers regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of such Notes.

Foreign Currency Notes

The following discussion summarises the principal U.S. federal income tax consequences to a U.S. Holder of the ownership and disposition of Notes that are denominated in a specified currency other than the U.S. dollar or the payments of interest or principal on which are payable in a currency other than the U.S. dollar ("Foreign Currency Notes").

The rules applicable to Foreign Currency Notes could require some or all gain or loss on the sale, exchange or other disposition of a Foreign Currency Note to be recharacterised as ordinary income or loss. The rules applicable to Foreign Currency Notes are complex and may depend on the Holder's particular U.S. federal income tax situation. For example, various elections are available under these rules, and whether a Holder

should make any of these elections may depend on the Holder's particular U.S. federal income tax situation. U.S. Holders are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of Foreign Currency Notes.

A U.S. Holder who uses the cash method of accounting and who receives a payment of qualified stated interest in a foreign currency with respect to a Foreign Currency Note will be required to include in income the U.S. dollar value of the foreign currency payment (determined on the date the payment is received) regardless of whether the payment is in fact converted to U.S. dollars at the time, and this U.S. dollar value will be the U.S. Holder's tax basis in the foreign currency.

An accrual method U.S. Holder will be required to include in income the U.S. dollar value of the amount of interest income (including OID or market discount, but reduced by acquisition premium and amortisable bond premium, to the extent applicable) that has accrued and is otherwise required to be taken into account with respect to a Foreign Currency Note during an accrual period. The U.S. dollar value of the accrued income will be determined by translating the income at the average rate of exchange for the accrual period or, with respect to an accrual period that spans two taxable years, at the average rate for the partial period within each taxable year. The U.S. Holder will recognise ordinary income or loss with respect to accrued interest income on the date the income is actually received. The amount of ordinary income or loss recognised will equal the difference between the U.S. dollar value of the foreign currency payment received (determined on the date the payment is received) in respect of the accrual period and the U.S. dollar value of interest income that has accrued during the accrual period (as determined above). Rules similar to these rules apply in the case of a cash method taxpayer required or who elects to currently accrue OID or market discount.

An accrual method U.S. Holder or cash method U.S. Holder accruing OID may elect to translate interest income (including OID) into U.S. dollars at the spot rate on the last day in the interest accrual period (or, in the case of a partial accrual period, the spot rate on the last day of the partial accrual period in the relevant taxable year) or, if the date of receipt is within five business days of the last day of the interest accrual period, the spot rate on the date of receipt. A U.S. Holder that makes this election must apply it consistently to all Notes from year to year and cannot change the election without the consent of the IRS.

Original issue discount, market discount, acquisition premium and amortisable bond premium on a Foreign Currency Note are to be determined in the relevant foreign currency. Where the taxpayer elects to include market discount in income currently, the amount of market discount will be determined for any accrual period in the relevant foreign currency and then translated into U.S. dollars on the basis of the average rate in effect during the accrual period. Exchange gain or loss realised with respect to such accrued market discount shall be determined in accordance with the rules relating to accrued interest described above. Accrued market discount (other than market discount currently included in income) taken into account upon the receipt of any partial principal payment or upon the sale, retirement or other disposition of a Note is translated into U.S. dollars at the spot rate on such payment or disposition date.

If an election to amortise bond premium is made, amortisable bond premium taken into account on a current basis shall reduce interest income in units of the relevant foreign currency. Exchange gain or loss is realised on amortised bond premium with respect to any period by treating the bond premium amortised in the period in the same manner as on the sale, exchange or retirement of the Foreign Currency Note. Any exchange gain or loss will be ordinary income or loss as described below. If the election is not made, any loss realised on the sale, exchange or retirement of a Foreign Currency Note with amortisable bond premium by a U.S. Holder who has not elected to amortise the premium will be a capital loss to the extent of the bond premium.

A U.S. Holder's tax basis in a Foreign Currency Note, and the amount of any subsequent adjustment to the Holder's tax basis, will be the U.S. dollar value amount of the foreign currency amount paid for such Foreign Currency Note, or of the foreign currency amount of the adjustment, determined on the date of the purchase or adjustment, or the settlement date for the purchase, in the case of Notes traded on an established securities market that are purchased by a cash basis U.S. Holder (or an accrual basis U.S. Holder that so elects). A U.S. Holder who purchases a Foreign Currency Note with previously owned foreign currency will recognise ordinary income or loss in an amount equal to the difference, if any, between such U.S. Holder's tax basis in the foreign currency and the U.S. dollar fair market value of the Foreign Currency Note on the date of purchase.

Gain or loss realised upon the sale, exchange or retirement of a Foreign Currency Note that is attributable to fluctuation in currency exchange rates will be ordinary income or loss which will not be treated as interest income or expense. Gain or loss attributable to fluctuations in exchange rates will equal the difference

between (i) the U.S. dollar value of the foreign currency principal amount of the Note, determined on the date the payment is received or the Note is disposed of, and (ii) the U.S. dollar value of the foreign currency principal amount of the Note, determined on the date the U.S. Holder acquired the Note. Payments received attributable to accrued qualified stated interest will be treated in accordance with the rules applicable to payments of interest on Foreign Currency Notes described above. The foreign currency gain or loss will be recognised only to the extent of the total gain or loss realised by the Holder on the sale, exchange or retirement of the Foreign Currency Note. The source of the foreign currency gain or loss will be determined by reference to the residence of the Holder or the "qualified business unit" of the Holder on whose books the Note is properly reflected. Any gain or loss realised by these Holders in excess of the foreign currency gain or loss will be capital gain or loss except to the extent of any accrued market discount or, in the case of shortterm Note, to the extent of any discount not previously included in the Holder's income, **provided that** the Note is not a Foreign Currency Contingent Payment Debt Instrument. Holders should consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of receiving payments in a currency different from the currency in which payments with respect to such Note accrue.

A U.S. Holder will have a tax basis in any foreign currency received on the sale, exchange or retirement of a Foreign Currency Note equal to the U.S. dollar value of the foreign currency, determined at the time of sale, exchange or retirement. A cash method taxpayer who buys or sells a Foreign Currency Note that is traded on an established securities market is required to translate units of foreign currency paid or received into U.S. dollars at the spot rate on the settlement date of the purchase or sale. Accordingly, no exchange gain or loss will result from currency fluctuations between the trade date and the settlement date of the purchase or sale. An accrual method taxpayer may elect the same treatment for all purchases and sales of foreign currency obligations **provided that** the Notes are traded on an established securities market. This election cannot be changed without the consent of the IRS. If either (i) the Note is not traded on an established securities market or (ii) it is and the Holder is an accrual method taxpayer that does not make the election described above with respect to such Note, exchange gain or loss may result from currency fluctuations between the trade date and the settlement date of the purchase or sale. Any gain or loss realised by a U.S. Holder on a sale or other disposition of foreign currency (including its exchange for U.S. dollars or its use to purchase Foreign Currency Notes) will be ordinary income or loss.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Information returns may be filed with the IRS in connection with payments on the Notes and the proceeds from a sale or other disposition of the Notes. A U.S. Holder may be subject to U.S. backup withholding on these payments if it fails to provide its tax identification number to the paying agent and comply with certain certification procedures or otherwise establish an exemption from backup withholding. The amount of any backup withholding from a payment to a U.S. Holder will be allowed as a credit against the Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability and may entitle them to a refund, **provided that** the required information is timely furnished to the IRS. Certain U.S. Holders are not subject to backup withholding. U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisers as to their qualification for exemption from backup withholding and the procedure for obtaining an exemption.

Tax Reporting

A U.S. taxpayer that participates in a "reportable transaction" will be required to disclose its participation to the IRS. The scope and application of these rules is not entirely clear. A U.S. Holder may be required to treat a foreign currency exchange loss from the Notes as a reportable transaction if the loss exceeds U.S.\$50,000 in a single taxable year if the U.S. Holder is an individual or trust, or higher amounts for other non-individual U.S. Holders. In the event the acquisition, ownership or disposition of Notes constitutes participation in a "reportable transaction" for purposes of these rules, a U.S. Holder will be required to disclose its investment by filing Form 8886 with the IRS. A penalty in the amount of U.S.\$10,000 in the case of a natural person and U.S.\$50,000 in all other cases is generally imposed on any taxpayer that fails to timely file an information return with the IRS with respect to a transaction resulting in a loss that is treated as a reportable transaction. Prospective purchasers are urged to consult their tax advisers regarding the application of these rules.

U.S. Holders should consult their own tax advisers regarding any additional tax reporting or filing obligations they may have as a result of the acquisition, ownership or disposition of the Notes, including reporting

requirements related to the holding of certain foreign financial assets. Failure to comply with certain reporting obligations could result in the imposition of substantial penalties.

SUBSCRIPTION AND SALE

Notes may be sold from time to time by the Issuer to any one or more of Banca IMI S.p.A, Barclays Bank PLC, BNP Paribas, Citigroup Global Markets Limited, Commerzbank Aktiengesellschaft, Daiwa Capital Markets Europe Limited, Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch, Erste Group Bank AG, Goldman Sachs International, HSBC Bank plc, ING Bank N.V., J.P. Morgan Securities plc, Merrill Lynch International, Natixis, Nomura International plc, Raiffeisen Bank International AG, Société Générale, UniCredit Bank AG and any other dealer appointed from time to time (the "**Dealers**"). The arrangements under which Notes may from time to time be agreed to be sold by the Issuer to, and purchased by, Dealers are set out in an amended and restated dealer agreement dated 21 May 2015 (the "**Dealer Agreement**") and made between the Issuer and the Dealers. Any such agreement will, *inter alia*, make provision for the form and terms and conditions of the relevant Notes, the price at which such Notes will be purchased by the Dealers and the commissions or other agreed deductibles (if any) payable or allowable by the Issuer in respect of such purchase. The Dealer Agreement makes provision for the resignation or termination of appointment of existing Dealers and for the appointment of additional or other Dealers either generally in respect of the Programme or in relation to a particular Tranche of Notes.

Selling Restrictions

United States of America

The Notes have not been, and will not be, registered under the Securities Act or with any securities regulatory authority of any state or other jurisdiction of the United States, and Notes in bearer form are subject to U.S. tax law requirements. The Notes may not be offered, sold or (in the case of Bearer Notes) delivered within the United States, except pursuant to an exemption, or to, or for the account or benefit of, U.S. persons (as defined in Regulation S) except pursuant to an exemption from, or in a transaction not subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act.

The Bearer Notes are subject to U.S. tax law requirements and may not be offered, sold or delivered within the United States or its possessions or to a United States person, except in certain transactions permitted by U.S. tax regulations. Terms used in this paragraph have the meanings given to them by the United States Internal Revenue Code and regulations thereunder. The applicable Final Terms will identify whether TEFRA C or TEFRA D rules apply or whether TEFRA is not applicable.

The Notes will be offered and sold (A) in bearer form or registered form outside the United States in reliance on Regulation S and (B) in registered form within the United States only to persons who are QIBs in reliance on Rule 144A or another exemption from, or in a transaction not subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act.

In addition, until 40 days after the commencement of the offering of Notes comprising any Tranche, any offer or sale of Notes within the United States by any dealer (whether or not participating in the offering) may violate the registration requirements of the Securities Act if such offer or sale is made otherwise than in accordance with Rule 144A or another exemption from registration under the Securities Act.

The Dealer Agreement provides that the Arranger, or any other Dealer with the prior written consent of the Arranger, may directly or through its respective agents or affiliates arrange for the resale of Restricted Registered Notes in the United States only to QIBs pursuant to Rule 144A.

Each issuance of index-linked Notes shall be subject to additional U.S. selling restrictions as the Relevant Dealer(s) shall agree as a term of the issuance and purchase of such Notes. Each Dealer has agreed that, and each further Dealer appointed under the Programme will be required to agree that, except as permitted by the Dealer Agreement, it shall offer, sell and deliver such Notes only in compliance with such additional U.S. selling restrictions.

United Kingdom

Each Dealer has represented and agreed, and each further Dealer appointed under the Programme will be required to represent and agree that:

1. *No deposit-taking*: in relation to any Notes having a maturity of less than one year:

- a. it is a person whose ordinary activities involve it in acquiring, holding, managing or disposing of investments (as principal or agent) for the purposes of its business; and
- b. it has not offered or sold and will not offer or sell any Notes other than to persons:
 - i. whose ordinary activities involved them in acquiring, holding, managing or disposing of investments (as principal or agent) for the purposes of their businesses; or
 - ii. who it is reasonable to expect will acquire, hold, manage or dispose of investments (as principal or agent) for the purposes of their businesses,
- where the issue of the Notes would otherwise constitute a contravention of Section 19 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (the "**FSMA**") by the Issuer;
- 2. *Financial Promotion*: It has only communicated or caused to be communicated, and will only communicate or cause to be communicated, any invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of section 21 of the FSMA) received by it in connection with the issue or sale of any Notes in circumstances in which section 21(1) of the FSMA does not apply to the Issuer; and
- 3. *General compliance*: It has complied with all applicable provisions of the FSMA with respect to anything done by it in relation to any Notes in, from or otherwise involving the United Kingdom.

Romania

The Notes may not be offered or sold in Romania, nor may this Information Memorandum or any other material relating to the Notes be distributed in Romania, except in full compliance with all Romanian applicable laws, rules and regulations as amended and supplemented from time to time.

Each Dealer has represented and agreed, and each further Dealer appointed under the Programme will be required to represent and agree that it has not directly or indirectly offered or sold and will not offer or sell any Notes in Romania and that it has not distributed and will not distribute any Drawdown Information Memorandum or any Final Terms or any related offering material relating to the Notes in Romania, except in full compliance with all Romanian applicable laws, rules and regulations as amended and supplemented from time to time.

General

Other than with respect to the admission to listing, trading and/or quotation by such one or more listing authorities, stock exchanges and/or quotation systems as may be specified in the Final Terms, no action has been or will be taken in any jurisdiction by the Issuer or any Dealer that would, or is intended to, permit a public offering of the Notes, or possession or distribution of this Information Memorandum, any Final Terms or any other offering material, in any country or jurisdiction where action for that purpose is required. Persons into whose hands this Information Memorandum or any Final Terms come are required by the Issuer and the Dealers to comply with all applicable laws and regulations in each country or jurisdiction in which they purchase, offer, sell or deliver Notes or have in their possession, distribute or publish this Information Memorandum or any other offering material relating to the Notes, in all cases at their own expense.

Selling restrictions may be supplemented or modified with the agreement of the Issuer. Any such supplement or modification may be set out in the relevant Final Terms (in the case of a supplement or modification relevant only to a particular Tranche of Notes) or in a supplement to this Information Memorandum.

Each Dealer has agreed and each further Dealer appointed under the Programme will be required to agree that it will (to the best of its knowledge) comply with all applicable securities laws and regulations in force in each country or jurisdiction in or from which it purchases, offers, sells or delivers Notes or possesses or distributes this Information Memorandum, any Final Terms or any other offering materials. Other persons into whose hands this Information Memorandum or any Final Terms comes are required by the Issuer and the Dealers to comply with all applicable laws and regulations in each country or jurisdiction in or from which they purchase, offer, sell or deliver Notes or possess, distribute or publish this Information Memorandum or any Final Terms or any related offering material, in all cases at their own expense.

The Dealer Agreement provides that the Dealers shall not be bound by any of the restrictions relating to any specific jurisdiction (set out above) to the extent that such restrictions shall, as a result of change(s) or

change(s) in official interpretation, after the date hereof, of applicable laws and regulations, no longer be applicable but without prejudice to the obligations of the Dealer described in the paragraph headed "*General*" above.

Certain of the Dealers and their affiliates have engaged, are currently engaged and may in the future engage, in investment banking and/or commercial banking transactions with, and may perform services for, the Issuer and their affiliates in the ordinary course of business. Such persons may have received, or may continue to receive, customary compensation. In addition, in the ordinary course of their business activities, the Dealers and their affiliates may make or hold a broad array of investments and actively trade debt and equity securities (or related derivative securities) and financial instruments (including bank loans) for their own account and for the accounts of their customers. Such investments and securities activities may involve securities and/or instruments of the Issuer or Issuer's affiliates. Certain of the Dealers or their affiliates that have a lending relationship with the Issuer routinely hedge their credit exposure to the Issuer consistent with their customary risk management policies. Typically, such Dealers and their affiliates would hedge such exposure by entering into transactions which consist of either the purchase of credit default swaps or the creation of short positions in securities, including potentially the Notes issued under the Programme. Any such short positions could adversely affect future trading prices of Notes issued under the Programme. The Dealers and their affiliates may also make investment recommendations and/or publish or express independent research views in respect of such securities or financial instruments and may hold, or recommend to clients that they acquire, long and/or short positions in such securities and instruments.

TRANSFER RESTRICTIONS

Regulation S Notes

Each purchaser of Bearer Notes or Unrestricted Registered Notes outside the United States pursuant to Regulation S and each subsequent purchaser of such Notes in resales prior to the expiration of the distribution compliance period, by accepting delivery of this Information Memorandum and the Notes, will be deemed to have represented, agreed and acknowledged that:

- (i) it is, or at the time Notes are purchased will be, the beneficial owner of such Notes and:
 - (a) it is not a U.S. person and it is, or at the time Notes are purchased will be, the beneficial owner of such Notes and it is located outside the United States (within the meaning of Regulation S); and
 - (b) it is not an affiliate of the Issuer or a person acting on behalf of such an affiliate;
- (ii) it understands that such Notes have not been and will not be registered under the Securities Act and that, prior to the expiration of the distribution compliance period (as defined in Regulation S), it will not offer, sell, pledge or otherwise transfer such Notes except:
 - (a) in an offshore transaction in accordance with Rule 903 or Rule 904 of Regulation S; or
 - (b) to the Issuer; or
 - (c) in the case of Unrestricted Registered Notes only, in accordance with Rule 144A to a person that it and any person acting on its behalf reasonably believe is a QIB purchasing for its own account or the account of a QIB,

in each case in accordance with any applicable securities laws of any State of the United States; and

(iii) it understands that the Issuer, the Fiscal Agent, the Registrar, the Dealers and their affiliates, and others will rely upon the truth and accuracy of the foregoing acknowledgements, representations and agreements and agrees that, if any of the acknowledgements, representations or agreements deemed to have been made by it by its purchase of Notes is no longer accurate, it shall promptly notify the Issuer and the Dealer(s).

On or prior to the fortieth day after the relevant issue date, Notes represented by an interest in an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate may be transferred to a person who wishes to hold such Notes in the form of an interest in a Restricted Global Note Certificate only upon receipt by the Registrar of a written certification from the transferor to the effect that such transfer is being made to a person whom the transferor reasonably believes is a QIB, in a transaction meeting the requirements of Rule 144A and in accordance with any applicable securities laws of any state of the United States. After such fortieth day, such certification requirements will no longer apply to such transfers, but such transfers will continue to be subject to the transfer restrictions contained in the legend appearing on the face of such Global Note Certificate, as described above under "*Forms of the Notes*".

Notes represented by an interest in a Restricted Global Note Certificate may also be transferred to a person who wishes to hold such Notes in the form of an interest in an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate, but only upon receipt by the Registrar of a written certification from the transferor to the effect that such transfer is being made in accordance with Regulation S or Rule 144 (if available) under the Securities Act.

Any interest in a Note represented by an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate that is transferred to a person who takes delivery in the form of an interest in a Note represented by a Restricted Global Note Certificate will, upon transfer, cease to be an interest in a Note represented by an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate and become an interest in a Note represented by a Restricted Global Note Certificate and become an interest in a Note represented by a Restricted Global Note Certificate be subject to all transfer restrictions and other procedures applicable to Notes represented by a Restricted Global Note Certificate.

Rule 144A Notes

Each purchaser of Restricted Registered Notes in reliance on Rule 144A, by accepting delivery of this Information Memorandum, will be deemed to have represented, agreed and acknowledged as follows (terms used in the following paragraphs that are defined in Rule 144A have the respective meanings given to them in Rule 144A):

- the purchaser is (a) a QIB, (b) acquiring the Notes for its own account or for the account of one or more QIBs, (c) not formed for the purpose of investing in the Notes or the Issuer and (d) is aware, and each beneficial owner of such Notes has been advised that the sale of the Notes to it is being made in reliance on Rule 144A;
- (ii) the purchaser understands that (1) the Notes have not been and will not be registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered, sold, pledged or otherwise transferred except (a) in accordance with Rule 144A to a person that it, and any person acting on its behalf, reasonably believes is a QIB purchasing for its own account or for the account of one or more QIBs, (b) in an offshore transaction in accordance with Rule 903 or Rule 904 of Regulation S under the Securities Act, (c) pursuant to an exemption from registration under the Securities Act provided by Rule 144 thereunder (if available), (d) pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act or (e) to the Issuer or any of their respective affiliates, in each case in accordance with any applicable securities laws of any State of the United States; and (2) it will, and each subsequent holder of the Restricted Registered Notes is required to, notify any purchaser of the Restricted Registered Notes from it of the resale restrictions applicable to the Restricted Registered Notes;
- (iii) the purchaser understands that the Restricted Global Note Certificate and any restricted Individual Note Certificate (a "Restricted Individual Note Certificate") will bear a legend to the following effect, unless the Issuer determines otherwise in accordance with applicable law:

THE NOTES REPRESENTED HEREBY HAVE NOT BEEN AND WILL NOT BE REGISTERED UNDER THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES ACT OF 1933. AS AMENDED (THE "SECURITIES ACT") OR ANY SECURITIES LAW OF ANY STATE OF THE UNITED STATES. THE HOLDER HEREOF, BY PURCHASING THE NOTES REPRESENTED HEREBY, AGREES FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE ISSUER THAT THE NOTES REPRESENTED HEREBY MAY BE REOFFERED, RESOLD, PLEDGED OR OTHERWISE TRANSFERRED ONLY IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE SECURITIES ACT AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS AND ONLY (1) PURSUANT TO RULE 144A UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT TO A PERSON THAT THE HOLDER REASONABLY BELIEVES IS A QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONAL BUYER WITHIN THE MEANING OF RULE 144A PURCHASING FOR ITS OWN ACCOUNT OR A PERSON PURCHASING FOR THE ACCOUNT OF A QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONAL BUYER WHOM THE HOLDER HAS INFORMED, IN EACH CASE, THAT THE REOFFER, RESALE, PLEDGE OR OTHER TRANSFER IS BEING MADE IN RELIANCE ON RULE 144A, (2) IN AN OFFSHORE TRANSACTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 903 OR 904 OF REGULATION S UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT, (3) PURSUANT TO AN EXEMPTION FROM REGISTRATION PROVIDED BY RULE 144 UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT (IF AVAILABLE), (4) TO THE ISSUER OR ITS AFFILIATES OR (5) PURSUANT TO AN EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT, IN EACH CASE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANY APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAWS OF ANY STATE OF THE UNITED STATES;

- (iv) if it is acquiring any Notes for the account of one or more QIBs, the purchaser represents that it has sole investment discretion with respect to each such account and that it has full power to make the foregoing acknowledgements, representations and agreements on behalf of each such account; and
- (v) the purchaser understands that the Issuer, the Fiscal Agent, the Registrar, the Dealers and their affiliates, and others will rely upon the truth and accuracy of the foregoing acknowledgements, representations and agreements and agrees that, if any of the acknowledgements, representations or agreements deemed to have been made by it by its purchase of Notes is no longer accurate, it shall promptly notify the Issuer and the Dealer(s).

Upon the transfer, exchange or replacement of a Restricted Global Note Certificate or a Restricted Individual Note Certificate, or upon specific request for removal of the legend, the Issuer will deliver only a Restricted Global Note Certificate or one or more Restricted Individual Note Certificates that bear such legend or will

refuse to remove such legend, unless there is delivered to the Issuer and the Registrar such satisfactory evidence (which may include a legal opinion) as may reasonably be required by the Issuer that neither the legend nor the restrictions on transfer set forth therein are required to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Securities Act.

Any interest in a Restricted Global Note Certificate that is transferred to a person who takes delivery in the form of an interest in an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate will, upon transfer, cease to be an interest in a Restricted Global Note Certificate and become an interest in an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate and, accordingly, will thereafter be subject to all transfer restrictions and other procedures applicable to an interest in an Unrestricted Global Note Certificate.

Prospective purchasers that are QIBs are hereby notified that sellers of the Restricted Registered Notes may be relying on the exemption from the provisions of Section 5 of the Securities Act provided by Rule 144A.

CLEARING AND SETTLEMENT

The information set out below is subject to any change in or reinterpretation of the rules, regulations and procedures of DTC, Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg (together, the "Clearing Systems") currently in effect. Investors wishing to use the facilities of any of the Clearing Systems are advised to confirm the continued applicability of the rules, regulations and procedures of the relevant Clearing Systems. Neither the Issuer nor any other party to the Agency Agreement will have any responsibility or liability for any aspect of the records relating to, or payments made on account of, beneficial ownership interests in the Notes held through the facilities of any Clearing System or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing any records relating to such beneficial ownership interests. The information in this section concerning the Clearing Systems has been obtained from sources that the Issuer believes to be reliable, but neither the Issuers nor any Dealer takes any responsibility for the accuracy thereof. The Issuer confirms that such information has been accurately reproduced and that, so far as it is aware and is able to ascertain from information inaccurate or misleading.

DTC Book-Entry System

Registered Notes whether as part of the initial distribution of the Notes or in the secondary market, are eligible to be held in book-entry form in DTC.

DTC has advised the Issuer that it is a limited purpose trust company organised under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organisation" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to Section 17A of the Exchange Act. DTC holds securities that its participants ("**Participants**") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the settlement among Participants of securities transactions, such as transfers and pledges, in deposited securities through electronic computerised book-entry changes in Participants' accounts, thereby eliminating the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct participants ("**Direct Participants**") include securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations and certain other organisations. DTC is owned by a number of its Direct Participants and by the New York Stock Exchange, Inc., the American Stock Exchange, Inc. and the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Access to the DTC System is also available to others such as securities brokers and dealers, banks and trust companies that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("**Indirect Participants**").

Under the rules, regulations and procedures creating and affecting DTC and its operations (the "**DTC Rules**"), DTC makes book-entry transfers of Registered Notes among Direct Participants on whose behalf it acts with respect to Notes accepted into DTC's book-entry settlement system ("**DTC Notes**") as described below, and receives and transmits distributions of principal and interest on DTC Notes. The DTC Rules are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Direct Participants and Indirect Participants with which beneficial owners of DTC Notes ("**Owners**") have accounts with respect to the DTC Notes similarly are required to make book-entry transfers and receive and transmit such payments on behalf of their respective Owners. Accordingly, although Owners who hold DTC Notes through Direct Participants or Indirect Participants will not possess Registered Notes, the DTC Rules, by virtue of the requirements described above, provide a mechanism by which Direct Participants will receive payments and will be able to transfer their interest with respect to the DTC Notes.

Purchases of DTC Notes under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the DTC Notes on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each DTC Note ("**Beneficial Owner**") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participant's records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase, but Beneficial Owners are expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the DTC Notes are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in DTC Notes, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the DTC Notes is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all DTC Notes deposited by Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co. The deposit of DTC Notes with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. effect no change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the DTC Notes; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such DTC Notes are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to Cede & Co. If less than all of the DTC Notes within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. will consent or vote with respect to DTC Notes. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the Issuer as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the DTC Notes are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments on the DTC Notes will be made to DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts on the due date for payment in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records unless DTC has reason to believe that it will not receive payment on the due date. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name", and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC or the Issuer, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal and interest to DTC is the responsibility of the Issuer, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants is the responsibility of DTC, and disbursements of such payments to the Beneficial Owners is the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

Under certain circumstances, DTC will exchange the DTC Notes for Individual Note Certificates, which it will distribute to its Participants in accordance with their proportionate entitlements and which, if representing interests in a Restricted Global Note Certificate, will be legended as set forth under "*Transfer Restrictions*".

Book-entry Ownership of and Payments in respect of DTC Notes

The Issuer may apply to DTC in order to have each Tranche of Notes represented by the Restricted Global Note Certificate, and if applicable, the Regulation S Global Note, accepted in its book-entry settlement system. Upon the issue of any Global Registered Notes, DTC or its custodian will credit, on its internal book-entry system, the respective principal amounts of the individual beneficial interests represented by such Global Registered Note to the accounts of persons who have accounts with DTC. Such accounts initially will be designated by or on behalf of the relevant Dealer.

Ownership of beneficial interests in a Global Registered Note will be limited to Direct Participants or Indirect Participants. Ownership of beneficial interests in a Global Registered Note will be shown on, and the transfer of such ownership will be effected only through, records maintained by DTC or its nominee (with respect to the interests of Direct Participants) and the records of Direct Participants (with respect to interests of Indirect Participants).

Payments in U.S. dollars of principal and interest in respect of a Global Registered Note registered in the name of DTC's nominee will be made to the order of such nominee as the registered holder of such Note. In the case of any payment in a currency other than U.S. dollars, payment will be made to the relevant Paying Agent on behalf of DTC's nominee and the relevant Paying Agent will (in accordance with instructions received by it) remit all or a portion of such payment for credit directly to the beneficial holders of interests in the Global Registered Note in the currency in which such payment was made and/or cause all or a portion of such payment to be converted into U.S. dollars and credited to the applicable Participants' account.

The Issuer expects DTC to credit accounts of Direct Participants on the applicable payment date in accordance with their respective holdings as shown in the records of DTC unless DTC has reason to believe

that it will not receive payment on such payment date. The Issuer also expects that payments by Participants to beneficial owners of Notes will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers, and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not the responsibility of DTC, the Paying Agents, the Registrar or the Issuer. Payments of principal, premium, if any, and interest, if any, on Notes to DTC are the responsibility of the Issuer.

Transfers of Notes Represented by Global Registered Notes

Transfers of any interests in Notes represented by a Global Registered Note will be effected in accordance with the customary rules and operating procedures of Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or DTC, as the case may be. The laws of some states within the United States require that certain persons take physical delivery of securities in definitive form. Consequently, the ability to transfer Notes represented by a Global Registered Note to such persons may depend upon the ability to exchange such Notes for Individual Note Certificates. Similarly, because DTC can only act on behalf of Direct Participants in the DTC system who in turn act on behalf of Indirect Participants, the ability of a person having an interest in Notes represented by a Global Registered Note held by DTC to pledge such Notes to persons or entities that do not participate in the DTC system or to otherwise take action in respect of such Notes may depend upon the ability to exchange such Notes in definitive form. The ability of any holder of Notes may be impaired if the proposed transfere of such Notes is not eligible to hold such Notes through a direct or indirect participant in the DTC system.

Transfers at any time by a holder of a book-entry interest in a Restricted Global Note Certificate to a transferee who takes delivery of such book-entry interest through a Regulation S Global Note for the same Series of Notes will only be made upon delivery to the Registrar of a certificate setting forth compliance with the provisions of Regulation S. Prior to the expiration of the distribution compliance period (as defined in Regulation S), ownership of book-entry interests in a Regulation S Global Note will be limited to persons that have accounts with Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or DTC, as the case may be, or persons who hold such book-entry interest through Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and/or DTC, as the case may be, and any sale or transfer of such book-entry interest to a US person (within the meaning of Regulation S) shall not be permitted during such period unless such resale or transfer is made pursuant to Rule 144A. Transfers at any time by a holder of a book-entry interest in a Regulation S Global Note to a transferee who takes delivery of such book-entry interest through a Restricted Global Note Certificate for the same Series of Notes will only be made upon receipt by the Registrar or the Transfer Agent of a written certificate from the transferor of such book- entry interest to the effect that such transfer is being made to a person whom such transferor, and any person acting on its behalf, reasonably believes is a QIB within the meaning of Rule 144A in a transaction meeting the requirements of Rule 144A or otherwise in accordance with the transfer restrictions described under "Transfer Restrictions" and in accordance with any applicable securities laws of any state of the United States.

Subject to compliance with the transfer restrictions applicable to the Registered Notes described under "*Transfer Restrictions*", cross-market transfers between DTC, on the one hand, and directly or indirectly through Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg accountholders, on the other, will be effected by the relevant clearing system in accordance with its rules and through action taken by the Registrar, and/or the Paying Agents, as the case may be, and any custodian with whom the relevant Global Registered Notes have been deposited.

On or after the relevant issue date for any Series, transfers of Notes of such Series between accountholders in Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg and transfers of Notes of such Series between participants in DTC will generally have a settlement date three business days after the trade date (T+3). The customary arrangements for delivery versus payment will apply to such transfers.

Cross-market transfers between accountholders in DTC and Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg participants will need to have an agreed settlement date between the parties to such transfer. Because there is no direct link between DTC, on the one hand, and Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg on the other, transfers of interests in the relevant Global Registered Notes will be effected through the Registrar and/or the relevant Paying Agent, as the case may be, and the custodian receiving instructions (and, where appropriate, certification) from the transferor and arranging for delivery of the interests being transferred to the credit of the designated account for the transferee. In the case of cross-market transfers, settlement between Euroclear

or Clearstream, Luxembourg accountholders and DTC participants cannot be made on a delivery versus payment basis. The securities will be delivered on a free delivery basis and arrangements for payments must be made separately.

Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC have each published rules and operating procedures designed to facilitate transfers of beneficial interests in Global Registered Notes among participants and accountholders of Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC. However, they are under no obligation to perform or continue to perform such procedures, and such procedures may be discontinued or changed at any time. None of the Issuer, the Registrar, the Paying Agents or any Dealer(s) will be responsible for any performance by Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC or its respective direct or indirect participants or accountholders of their respective obligations under the rules and procedures governing their operations and none of them will have any liability for any aspect of the records relating to or payments made on account of beneficial interests in the Notes represented by Global Registered Notes or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing any records relating to such beneficial interests.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Responsibility

The Issuer accepts sole responsibility for the information contained in this Information Memorandum and has taken all reasonable care to ensure that the facts stated herein are true and accurate in all material respects.

Authorisation

The establishment of the Programme was authorised by Government Decision no. 1264/2010 on the approval of the sovereign notes framework programme "Medium Term Sovereign Notes", as rectified through the rectification published in the Official Gazette of Romania, Part I, no. 730 of 29 October 2012 and as amended by Government Decision no. 361/2013, by Government Decision no. 923/2013 and by Government Decision no. 192/2015. The Issuer has obtained or will obtain from time to time all necessary consents, approvals and authorisations (including without limitation such ministerial orders or approvals or governmental memoranda or approvals as may be required under applicable law from time to time) in connection with each issue and performance of Notes thereunder.

Issue of Notes

The maximum aggregate principal amount of Notes outstanding from time to time under the Programme will not exceed the maximum amount specified in the Government Decision no. 1264/2010 on the approval of the sovereign notes framework programme "Medium Term Sovereign Notes", as rectified through the rectification published in the Official Gazette of Romania, Part I, no. 730 of 29 October 2012 and as amended by Government Decision no. 361/2013, by Government Decision no. 923/2013 and by Government Decision no. 192/2015 and as may be rectified, amended or replaced from time to time, and in any other applicable Romanian law. The issue of Notes is made in accordance with the Government Emergency Ordinance no. 64/2007 on public debt, as subsequently amended, and Government Decision no. 1470/2007 on the approval of the methodological norms for the application of the Government Emergency Ordinance no. 64/2007 on public debt, as subsequently amended.

No Significant Change

There has been no significant change in the tax and budgetary systems, gross public debt, foreign trade and balance of payments, foreign exchange reserves, financial position and resources and income and expenditure figures of the Issuer since 31 December 2014.

Legal and Arbitration Proceedings

There are no legal or arbitration proceedings against or affecting the Issuer, or any of its assets, nor is the issuer aware of any pending or threatened proceedings, which are or might be material in the context of the issue of the Notes.

Documents on Display

Copies of the following documents (together with English translations thereof) may be inspected during normal business hours at the offices of Société Générale Bank & Trust, 11 avenue Emile Reuter, L-2420 Luxembourg for 12 months from the date of this Information Memorandum:

- (a) the current Information Memorandum in relation to the Programme, together with any amendments or supplements thereto;
- (b) the Agency Agreement;
- (c) the Deed of Covenant;
- (d) the Programme Manual (which contains the forms of the Notes in global and definitive form); and

(e) the Issuer-ICSDs Agreement (which is entered into between the Issuer and Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg with respect to the settlement in Euroclear and/or Clearstream, Luxembourg of Bearer Notes in New Global Note form and Registered Notes to be held under the New Safekeeping Structure).

Clearing of the Notes

The Notes have been accepted for clearance through DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg. The appropriate Common Code and the Committee on Uniform Security Identification Procedures (CUSIP) Number, International Securities Identification Number (ISIN) and/or Common Code in relation to the Notes of each Series will be specified in the relevant Final Terms. The relevant Final Terms shall specify any other clearing system as shall have accepted the relevant Notes for clearance together with any further appropriate information.

ISSUER

Romania c/o Ministry of Public Finance 17 Apolodor Street Sector 5 Bucharest Romania

ARRANGERS

Erste Group Bank AG Graben 21 1010 Vienna Austria

DEALERS

Banca IMI 90 Queen Street London EC4N 1SA United Kingdom

BNP Paribas 10 Harewood Avenue London NW1 6AA United Kingdom

Commerzbank Aktiengesellschaft Kaiserstrasse 16 (Kaiserplatz) 60311 Frankfurt am Main

Federal Republic of Germany

Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch Winchester House

1 Great Winchester Street London EC2N 2DB United Kingdom

Goldman Sachs International

Peterborough Court 133 Fleet Street London EC4A 2BB United Kingdom

ING Bank N.V. Foppingadreef 7 1102 BD Amsterdam The Netherlands

Merrill Lynch International 2 King Edward Street London EC1A 1HQ United Kingdom

Nomura International plc 1 Angel Lane London EC4R 3AB United Kingdom

Société Générale 29, boulevard Haussmann 75009 Paris France Société Générale 29, boulevard Haussmann 75009 Paris France

Barclays Bank PLC 5 The North Colonnade Canary Wharf London E14 4BB United Kingdom

Citigroup Global Markets Limited Citigroup Centre Canada Square Canary Wharf London E14 5LB United Kingdom

Daiwa Capital Markets Europe Limited 5 King William Street London EC4N 7AX United Kingdom

> Erste Group Bank AG Graben 21 1010 Vienna Austria

HSBC Bank plc 8 Canada Square London E14 5HQ United Kingdom

J.P. Morgan Securities plc 25 Bank Street Canary Wharf London E14 5JP United Kingdom

Natixis 30 Avenue Pierre Mendès-France 75013 Paris France

Raiffeisen Bank International AG Am Stadtpark 9 A-1030 Vienna Austria

> UniCredit Bank AG Arabellastrasse 12 D-81925 Munich Germany

FISCAL AGENT

Société Générale Bank & Trust 11 avenue Emile Reuter L-2420 Luxembourg

REGISTRAR, PAYING AGENT AND TRANSFER AGENT

Citibank, N.A. London Branch Citigroup Centre 25 Canada Square London E14 5LB